Original Article Plasma proteomic analysis of association between atrial fibrillation, coronary microvascular disease and heart failure

Gunjan Dixit, John Blair, Cevher Ozcan

Department of Medicine, Section of Cardiology, Heart and Vascular Center, University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC 6080, Chicago 60637, IL, USA

Received February 12, 2022; Accepted April 12, 2022; Epub April 15, 2022; Published April 30, 2022

Abstract: The clinical association between atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary microvascular disease (CMD) and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is highly prevalent, however the mechanism behind this association is not known. We hypothesized that plasma proteomic analysis can identify novel biomarkers and the mechanistic pathways in concomitant AF, CMD and HFpEF. To discover circulating biomarkers for the association between AF, CMD and HFpEF, an unbiased label-free quantitative proteomics approach was used in plasma derived from patients who underwent coronary physiology studies (n=18). Circulating proteins were analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and screened to determine candidate biomarkers of the concomitant AF, CMD and HFpEF. We identified 130 dysregulated proteins across the groups with the independent patient replicates. Among those, 35 proteins were candidate biomarkers of the association between AF, CMD and HFpEF. We found significantly elevated SAA1, LRG1 and APOC3 proteins in the coexistence of AF, CMD and HFpEF, whereas LCP1, PON1 and C1S were markedly downregulated in their associations. AF was associated with reduced LCP1, KLKB1 and C4A in these patients. Combined downregulation of PON1 and C1S was a marker of concurrent HFpEF and CMD. PON1 was associated with HFpEF while C1S was a marker of CMD. These proteins are related to inflammation, extra cellular remodeling, oxidative stress, and coagulation. In conclusion, plasma proteomic profile provides biomarkers and mechanistic insight into the association of AF, CMD and HFpEF. SAA1, LRG1, APOC3, LCP1, PON1 and C1S are candidate markers for the risk stratification of their associations and potential underlying mechanistic pathways.

Keywords: Atrial fibrillation, coronary microvascular dysfunction, heart failure, biomarkers, proteomics

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) commonly occurs in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) [1, 2]. The coexistence of AF and HFpEF is associated with a higher risk of stroke, hospitalization, dementia, kidney failure, myocardial infarction and death compared to those with HFpEF or AF alone [3-5]. Coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) is a new frontier in cardiovascular disease with a known association with HFpEF [6-9]. Studies demonstrated a high incidence of CMD in patients with HFpEF and a likely association with AF [8-11]. Recently, we found that CMD is highly prevalent in patients with AF with or without HFpEF. It is also associated with poor clinical outcome [12]. CMD with AF or HFpEF is associated with higher risk of mortality and HF hospitalization [12]. This has a major clinical implication. Risk stratification is therefore important to develop treatment and/or preventive strategies for the patients with CMD, AF and HFpEF.

Circulating biomarkers are essential for risk stratification, understanding of the mechanisms of the disease progression, and ultimately the identification of novel therapeutic targets [13, 14]. The mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics approach is a rapidly evolving technique for biomarker discovery in clinical samples. It can determine the role of distinct biological pathways in a disease process. Thus far, no biological markers for AF, CMD, and HFpEF have been adopted in clinical practice, though a few studies have identified altered proteins [13-

16]. Also, the mechanisms of their association have not been characterized. Our recent studies in both mouse and human atria demonstrated progressive atrial remodeling in the coexistence of AF and HF [17-19]. This was associated with biatrial enlargement, a reduced cardiomyocyte cell population, patchy fibrosis, inflammation, oxidative stress and metabolic dysregulation. CMD was reported to contribute to HFpEF pathophysiology through cardiomyocyte stiffening, diffuse interstitial fibrosis and myocardial ischemia [6, 11]. Proteomic profiles in the relationship between these three entities can reveal pathological processes in association with disease pathogenesis. Thus, circulating proteins can identify markers of the pathways mechanistically related to the disease association and clinically useful predictors for risk stratification.

We studied the plasma samples from the patients with AF, CMD and/or HFpEF to identify dysregulated protein as potential biomarkers of their association. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) based untargeted and label-free quantification (LFQ) proteomic analysis was performed. Circulating plasma proteins from patients with CMD, HFpEF and AF were screened and the candidate biomarkers of their association were determined. Also, dysregulated proteins were evaluated to characterize the mutual mechanistic pathways in these disease processes and their coexistence.

Methods

Study samples

Plasma samples were obtained from the patients who underwent invasive coronary physiology evaluation at the University of Chicago Medical Center (UCMC) from January 2018 to April 2019. In order to eliminate selection biases, all consecutive patients with angina or dyspnea who were referred to the cardiac catheterization laboratory for invasive angiography and the coronary physiology studies were included in this study. A written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The study was approved by the UCMC Institutional Review Board (#IRB14-0927). Following coronary angiography, if there was no obstructive coronary artery disease defined as a stenosis >50% in the left main coronary artery, >70% in a nonleft main coronary artery, or any stenosis with a fractional flow reserve of ≤ 0.80 , an invasive coronary physiology study was performed [12]. Coronary angiography, and coronary physiology studies were performed by using a standardized protocol as described previously [9, 12]. CMD was defined as abnormal coronary flow reserve (CFR <2.0) in the absence of obstructive coronary artery disease [6, 9]. Clinical data were abstracted from a centralized electronic medical record containing complete records of patients treated and followed at the UCMC. Cardiac function and structure including left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was evaluated by echocardiography within 90 days of the procedure. HFpEF was diagnosed if the patient had a LVEF ≥50%, met Framingham criteria for HF and had a pulmonary capillary wedge pressure of >15 mmHg or a left ventricular end diastolic pressure of >18 mmHg. The incidence of AF was determined by review of electrocardiograms, ambulatory event monitor and inpatient telemetry recordings by using the standard definition [1, 12, 19]. Paroxysmal and persistent AF were included. Patients with prior MI, prior cardiac surgery, valvular disease, obstructive coronary artery disease, and HFpEF due to infiltrative disorders such as amyloidosis and genetic cardiomyopathies were excluded. The patients were not on anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive medications.

Proteomics sample preparation

Blood samples were obtained in EDTA tubes in and processed immediately after collection. Plasma was separated and stored at -80°C for subsequent analysis. A 10 µl plasma/sample was depleted for 12 high abundance proteins using Pierce top 12 abundant protein depletion spin columns (Thermo) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Proteins in the flow-through fractions were collected and processed further using proteomics sample preparation kit for plasma/serum (Biognosys). Proteins were digested using mass-spec grade trypsin (Promega) at a ratio of 50:1 (protein: enzyme) in NH₄HCO₂ buffer overnight at 37°C. The resulting peptides were purified using Pierce C18 tips (Thermo) and evaporated under vacuum.

Assessment of the association of plasma protein with AF, CMD, and HFpEF

Plasma samples from the patients were dived in study and control groups. Study and control

groups were matched based on age, sex, bodymass index, coexisting cardiovascular conditions and systemic diseases. Control group included samples from the patients with no AF or HFpEF or CMD. Study groups included patients with different concomitant diseases. The ACH group included with diagnosis of concomitant AF, CMD and HFpEF. The CH group included the patients with CMD and HFpEF, but no AF. We also studied the patients with HFpEF alone or CMD alone. LC-MS based untargeted and LFQ proteomic analysis was performed to investigate the dysregulated protein biomarkers in these patients' plasma samples. Circulating plasma proteins were screened in each group and compared with other groups to determine association of the proteins. Candidate biomarkers of their association were determined. Also, dysregulated proteins were identified for the shared mechanistic pathways in their coexistence.

LC-MS/MS analysis

MS analysis was performed on Orbitrap Elite[™] Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and coupled with a chromatographic nanoLCultra nanoflow system (Eksigent, Dublin, CA). Peptides were injected onto a trap column (150 µm ID × 3 cm in-house packed with ReproSil C18, 3 µm) coupled with an analytical column (75 µm ID × 10.5 cm, PicoChip column packed with ReproSil C18, 3 µm) (New Objectives, Inc., Woburn, MA) using a Dionex UltiMate 3000 Rapid Separation nanoLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A linear gradient of solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (0.1% formic acid in ACN) was used to separate the peptides over 120 minutes. Full MS scans were acquired from 400-2000 m/z at 60,000 resolving power using an isolation width of 1.0 m/z. The top fifteen most abundant precursor ions in each full MS scan were selected for MS/MS fragmentation by collision-induced dissociation (CID) at 35% normalized collision energy. Dynamic exclusion time was 58 seconds.

Data and statistical analysis

Raw MS data file analysis was performed with MaxQuant software version 1.6.0.16 (http:// www.maxquant.org) [20], with a False Discovery Rate (FDR) \leq 0.01 which was applied at the protein and peptide level. The searched parameters used in MS data processing were as fol-

lows: Oxidized Methionine (M), Acetylation (Protein N-term) as variable modifications and Carbamidomethyl (C) as fixed modifications with minimum 7 amino acids per peptide length. Furthermore, match between runs (MBR) was used as an advanced parameter with stringent 0.7 min as matching time window. LFQ was enabled in the global parameters to perform the quantitation analysis at MS/MS level. We also collected iBAQ to get the sampling depth of the overall sample set (Supplementary File 1). Peptides and corresponding protein identification were performed using the Max-Quant integrated Andromeda search engine (target-decoy approach with a reverse database). The data files were processed with a human consensus coding sequence (CCDS: ~35,000 sequences) database retrieved from Ensemble. A common contaminants list was appended to this FASTA file to facilitate their exclusion. For guality control analysis, MQ processed data was analyzed in RStudio [21]. The LFO values were extracted from the protein group's files. Log2 transformed LFQ values corresponding to each identified protein were plotted for their correlation using Pearson correlation analysis. We also generated a box plot to show distribution of each sample quantitation values. Median quantile-based normalization was performed on the above dataset using limma package in RStudio (Boston, MA).

Results

Study samples

Plasma samples were collected from the patients during invasive coronary physiology study. The patients (median age 57-year-old, 83% female, 61% African Americans, mean LVEF 64±7%, mean body mass index 39±11 kg/m²) were dived into study (n=13) and control (n=5) groups. Proteomics profile and dysregulated proteins in control and study groups including ACH group, CH group, HFpEF alone and CMD alone were detected and analyzed. We compared plasma proteomes of patients with AF, CMD and HFpEF with the control group to identify the dysregulated proteins as pathogenic markers of association between these three disease conditions.

Plasma proteomics profiling

The detailed proteomics workflow is described in **Figure 1A**. Plasma proteomic analysis covered a dynamic range of ~6 order of magnitude



Figure 1. Plasma proteomics analysis. A. Experimental details depicting proteomics workflow; B. Pearson's correlation of independent biological replicates within and between the disease groups; C. Venn diagram showing identified differential proteins across patient versus control groups. Abbreviations: Ctrl, control group including patients' without atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD), and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF); ACH, group including patients with concomitant AF, CMD, and HFpEF; CH, group including patients with concomitant CMD, and HFpEF; HFpEF, group of patients with HFpEF alone; CMD, group of patients with CMD alone.

(Supplementary Figure 1). Overall, we identified 253 proteins across the sample groups. The LFQ values were log2 transformed in RStudio for further analysis. The initial R based quality control analysis revealed a close distribution of the peptide's LFQ intensities per sample (Supplementary Figure 2), and correlation (Pearson correlation ~0.77-1.00) (Figure 1B). The data was normalized and fold change (FC) in each disease group compared to the control group was calculated. LFQ values for each pro-

tein were passed through a threshold and considered for further analysis if the signal was detected in at least 2 of the independent biological replicates. This resulted in 130 dysregulated proteins. The FC was calculated for each protein in the disease group with respect to the control group (<u>Supplementary Table 1</u>). Next, we applied a stringent threshold cutoff of FC \geq +1.5 and \leq -1.5, to filter the proteins between each disease group with respect to the control group (**Table 1**).

ACH		CH		HFpE	F	CMD)
Protein ID	FC						
LCP1	-5.1	PON1	-4.1	PON1	-2.4	C1S	-5.5
KLKB1	-2.5	C1S	-3.6	SAA4	1.5	SHBG	-1.9
C4A	-2.4	SHBG	-1.9	POTEI	1.5	HBA2	-1.5
SHBG	-1.6	LUM	-1.6	PROS1	1.5	PGLYRP2	1.5
CLEC3B	1.5	CLEC3B	1.5	FCN3	1.6	C1QC	1.6
C6	1.5	SAA4	1.5	VTN	1.6	AMBP	1.6
CRP	1.5	SERPINA4	1.5	HPR	1.7	AGT	1.6
HBA2	1.5	AMBP	1.5	C8G	1.7	VTN	1.7
CNDP1	1.6	SERPINF2	1.6	APOM	1.7	APOM	1.7
C7	1.6	FCN3	1.7	C1QB	1.8	ERN1	1.8
FCN3	1.6	APOC2	1.7	C8A	1.8	C5	1.8
C2	1.6	C4A	1.7	HBA2	1.9	SERPINF1	1.8
SERPINA4	1.6	C9	1.7	C7	1.9	C6	2.1
IGFALS	1.7	CNDP1	1.7	F10	2.0	C4A	2.1
APOM	1.7	APOM	1.9	APOC1	2.0	C1QA	2.2
C8A	1.8	F12	2.0	C1QC	2.4	RBP4	2.3
POTEI	1.9	IGFALS	2.1	F9	2.5	SERPINF2	2.3
CPB2	1.9	C6	2.1	CFP	2.7	PON1	2.4
SERPINA6	2.1	LGALS3BP	2.4	LCAT	2.9	APOF	2.9
PROS1	2.3	C8G	2.4	APOF	2.9	BCHE	3.2
F9	2.5	C1QB	2.7	PZP	2.9	APOC3	5.0
SELENOP	2.6	CPN2	2.9	APOD	3.0	LRG1	23.8
C1QC	2.6	APOF	2.9	BTD	3.2	SAA1	105.5
CFP	2.7	PPBP	3.2	CPB2	3.6		
LCAT	2.9	APOD	3.9	C1S	3.6		
C1S	3.0	PZP	4.4	CPN2	4.2		
C8G	3.5	GPLD1	4.8	APOC3	13.1		
C1QB	3.5	APOC3	10.8	SAA1	81.4		
PZP	3.7	LRG1	38.8				
APOD	4.5	SAA1	66.8				
SERPIND1	6.3						
CPN2	7.5						
APOC3	11.3						
SAA1	13.0						
LRG1	16.9						

 Table 1. Dysregulated plasma proteins

Abbreviations: ACH, indicates atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary microvascular disease (CMD) and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF); CH, CMD and HFpEF; ID, identifier; FC, fold change. Green color indices downregulated proteins and brown color upregulated proteins.

Dysregulated proteins

Among the 130 dysregulated proteins analyzed, 35 proteins passed the applied cut-off filter across different disease conditions (**Table 1**). The protein overlap analysis was performed to identify the common and unique proteins

associated within each disease group with respect to the control (Figure 1C). STRING based protein network analysis revealed the involvement of dysregulated proteins in various physiological pathways such as inflammation, tissue remodeling, oxidative and metabolic stress, and complement and coagulation cascade (Figure 2). Across all disease groups, the top 3 reactome pathways were; 1) complement cascade (PROS1, CPB2, F2, C8A, C6, C8G, C4A, C7, C1R, C1S, C2, FCN3, CRP, C10A, C1-QB, C1QC, CPN2), 2) regulators of complement cascade (PROS1, CPB2, F2, C8A, C6, C8G, C4A, C7, C1R, C1S, C2, C10A, C10B, C1QC, CPN2), and 3) initial activation of complement system (C4A, CRP, C1R, CFP, C1S, C2, FCN3, C1QA, C10B, C10C). The complement cascade involves in inflammation, tissue remodeling, fibrosis, and other pathophysiological processes.

There were several upregulated proteins in patients with AF, CMD and HFpEF. FC in the levels of SAA1 (Serum Amyloid A1) was consistently elevated in all four study groups. SAA1 is a prominent acute phase protein. Another inflammatory marker, C-reactive protein (CRP), was also elevated in the ACH group with a 1.5-

fold increase. FC of LRG1 (leucine-rich α -2-glycoprotein 1), a protein which has been shown to promote apoptosis and autophagy, demonstrated a significant increase in ACH (16.9), CH (38.8), CMD (23.8) groups. The levels of CPN2 were high in ACH, CH, and HFpEF groups. The levels of APOM, and APOC3 were

Biomarkers of AF, CMD and HFpEF



Figure 2. Protein association network. Network analysis of reported proteins associated with (A) ACH versus control, (B) CH versus control, (C) HFpEF versus control, and (D) CMD versus control, groups (Protein names correspond to gene IDs). Control group included patients' without atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD), and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). ACH group consisted of patients with concomitant AF, CMD, and HFpEF. CH group included patients with concomitant CMD, and HFpEF. Red arrow indicates upregulated proteins. Black arrow shows downregulated proteins.

increased. APOC3 showed more than a 10-fold increase in patients with ACH, CH, and HFpEF groups and 5-fold increase in CMD group when compared with normal group. APOC1, APOC2, and APOD were increased in ACH, CH, and HFpEF groups. Lastly, APOF was seen upregulated in CH, HFpEF and CMD groups. PPBP levels showed 3.2-fold increase in CH group. Coagulation factor F9 was increased in ACH, and HFpEF groups.

The proteins that were downregulated as reflected by a negative fold changes, are shown in Table 1. Complement 1S (C1S) was downregulated in patients with CMD and HFpEF in addition to patients with CMD alone. It displayed 3-fold increase in ACH group as well as HFpEF alone. C1S is part of immune response and coagulation. Reduced expression of Paraoxonase 1 (PON1) was also observed in ACH. CH, and HFpEF groups but it showed a 2.4-fold increase in CMD group. PON1 is a glycoprotein which has a role in inflammatory diseases. In the ACH group, lymphocyte cytosolic protein 1 (LCP1) was significantly downregulated (5-fold) when compared to control group. LCP1 has multiple functions critical for immunity and cellular processes. KLKB1, C4A, and SHBG were also low in ACH group. Reduced levels of LUM, and SHBG were observed across all the disease groups compared to normal individuals. Plasma LPA (APOA) levels were lower in CMD group. HBA2 was found to be lower in both CH and CMD groups. APOH levels were low in ACH group and APOA2 expression was lower in CH group. Several proteins exhibited similar levels of expression that have previously been implicated as potential biomarkers in various cardiovascular disease conditions. These proteins included GPX3, C9, HBB, VTN, GSN, SAA4, AGT, AMBP, TLN1, ATRN, C1RL, ITIH (1, 2, 3, 4) and apolipoproteins APOA1, APOA4, APOB, APOL1, and APOE, SERPIN (A1, A3, A5, A10, C1, F1, and G1).

Discussion

The main findings of our study include: 1) Discovery-based untargeted plasma proteomic

analysis identified 35 proteins in association with AF, CMD and HFpEF. 2) SAA1, LRG1 and APOC3 were most consistently elevated markers of the coexistence of AF, CMD and HFpEF. 3) LCP1, PON1 and C1S were markedly downregulated in their associations. 4) Reduced levels of LCP1, KLKB1 and C4A were associated with AF in patients with CMD and HFpEF. 5) Combined downregulation of PON1 and C1S was a marker of the HFpEF and CMD. 6) Low PON1 was associated with HFpEF. 7) Low C1S was associated with CMD. These proteins are associated with the inflammatory processes, coagulation pathways, oxidative stress, metabolism, complement system and extracellular matrix remodeling. Thus, plasma proteomic profile may provide mechanistic insight into the association of AF, CMD and HFpEF and may serve as biomarkers.

Recently, we and others demonstrated that there are significant clinical associations between AF, CMD and HFpEF [8-12]. CMD is highly prevalent in patients with AF with or without HFpEF [12]. We found that CMD is a predictor of concomitant AF and HFpEF. CMD and AF or HFpEF are associated with higher risk of mortality and HF hospitalization. Therefore, it is critical to determine the patients who are at risk of developing concomitant AF, CMD and/ or HFpEF. Our approach revealed several dysregulated proteins between the disease and control groups (Figure 1C and Table 1). Among 130 dysregulated proteins after quality control analysis (Supplementary Table 1), SAA1 was the most prominent biomarker for the association between AF, CMD and HFpEF, as depicted by highest FC across all groups. SAA1 is an acute phase protein and its level in plasma increases in response to inflammation or tissue injury [22, 23]. Elevated SAA may reflect an inflammatory state that promotes the occurrence and persistence of AF in CMD and HFpEF. SAA and CRP are primarily induced by the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 (IL6) [23]. An elevated CRP level is known to predict the incidence of cardiovascular events [24]. A 1.5-fold increase was observed in CRP levels in ACH group. Also, an increase in the plasma levels of LRG1 was observed in ACH, CH, and CMD groups. LRG1 promotes apoptosis and autophagy through the TGF β -smad1/5 signaling pathway by up-regulating ALK1, which exacerbates ischemia and reperfusion injury [25]. Our analysis shows that LRG1 is a biomarker of AF in CMD and HFpEF. Thus, elevated levels of SAA1 and LRG1 are valuable novel biomarkers to detect patients who are at the risk of developing concomitant AF, CMD and HFpEF.

Furthermore, we found several downregulated proteins in coexistence of AF, CMD and HFpEF or in individual disease condition. Among those proteins, LCP1, PON1 and C1S were more noticeable. C1S levels were significantly low in CH group, and in patients with CMD. Down regulation of C1S is a promising biomarker for assessing the risk of CMD since C1S was particularly lower in patients with CMD alone. Decreased C1 complex activity has been associated with impaired immune response which is part of the inflammatory process [26]. However, C1S was upregulated (3-fold increase) in ACH, and HFpEF groups. LCP1 was downregulated by 5-fold in the ACH group. LCP1 is critical for immunity and cellular processes. The levels of CPN2 were high in ACH, and HFpEF groups. CPN2 inhibits fibrinolysis and may be up-regulated in myocardial infarction during clot formation over a ruptured plaque in an attempt to limit complement activation and restrict inflammation [27]. The complement system is an essential component of immune response and regulates inflammatory processes [26-29]. Downregulation of PON1 in CH, and HFpEF groups is significant as a likely marker for the association of these disease processes. PON1 is a glycoprotein which has a role in inflammatory diseases and acts as anti-atherosclerotic component of HDL [30]. Thus, the measurement of the levels of LCP1, PON1 and C1S in plasma samples can guide clinicians for patient's care by determining the susceptibility to CMD, HFpEF and AF.

Overall, our study presents valuable biomarkers for risk stratification and mechanistic insight into the association between AF, CMD and HFpEF. This has significant clinical implications. By studying human plasma samples, our findings indicate that circulating biomarkers such as SAA1, LRG1, APOC3, LCP1, PON1 and C1S, have high translational potential to predict the association of AF, CMD, and HFpEF. Detecting high-risk patients with these biomarkers will provide an opportunity to initiate preventive measures and close monitoring of the patient for early diagnosis and management of the CMD, AF and HFpEF. Ultimately, this may help to reduce morbidity and mortality in those patients. These markers need to be tested in larger cohort.

Proteomic profiling of our study population demonstrated that the association of AF, CMD and HFpEF involves multiple physiological pathways including inflammation, complement system, coagulation cascade, oxidative stress, metabolism, fibrosis, and extracellular remodeling. Alteration of intracellular and extracellular matrix protein complexes in the plasma indicates tissue remodeling in these disease processes. Functional and structural myocardial remodeling in atria and ventricle is essential in the coexistence of AF, HFpEF and CMD [1-3, 17-19, 31-33]. Myocardial tissue remodeling can be triggered by multiple clinical or molecular factors, and includes fibrosis, myocyte loss, inflammation, stretch, disrupted electrical conduction, cardiomyocyte and extracellular matrix remodeling [23, 24, 28]. Recently, we demonstrated mitochondrial dysfunction, impaired energetics and oxidative stress in mouse and human atrial myocardium in association with AF and HF [17]. CMD with abnormal microcirculation attenuates coronary flow augmentation in response to stress and it leads to demandsupply mismatch, and myocardial ischemia [6]. CMD is found to be associated with myocardial fibrosis and inflammation along with ischemia [6, 11, 33, 34]. These pathways in atrial and ventricular myocardial remodeling contribute to the pathophysiological association between AF, CMD and HFpEF. Novel mechanistic insights into their relationship will facilitate the development of new therapeutic approaches for the primary and secondary prevention of AF, CMD and HFpEF.

There are limitations in our study including sample size. However, this is a discovery-based untargeted plasma proteomic analysis with the independent patient replicates and therefore there is no prerequisite of larger number of samples. Current samples are sufficient to make scientific conclusions in these analysis. Our findings provide biomarkers for the association between AF and other disease conditions. These novel biomarkers are required to be tested in future targeted validation studies in larger cohorts. There are missing LFQ values of some proteins across the independent replicates. To overcome this limitation, we considered the proteins as biomarker only if they were detected in at least two of the independent patient samples in each group.

In conclusion, this plasma proteomic analysis has identified mechanistic pathways and novel biomarkers linking AF, CMD and HFpEF. Our study demonstrates translational potential with the discovery of circulating biomarkers such as SAA1, LRG1, APOC3, LCP1, PON1 and C1S to predict the association of AF, CMD, and HFpEF. These markers show the role of oxidative/metabolic stress, inflammation, extracellular remodeling, and coagulation pathways in shared mechanisms of these coexisting disease processes. Thus, these circulating markers may lay the groundwork for future studies in detecting underlying pathogenesis and the patient population at risk for the association between AF, CMD and HFpEF.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (C. Ozcan, #K08HL117082). Proteomics services were performed by the Northwestern Proteomics Core Facility, generously supported by NCI CCSG P30 CA060553 awarded to the Robert H Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, instrumentation award (S100D025194) from NIH Office of Director, and the National Resource for Translational and Developmental Proteomics supported by P41 GM108569.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Cevher Ozcan, Center for Arrhythmia Care, Section of Cardiology, Heart and Vascular Center, University of Chicago Medicine, 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC 6080, Chicago 60637, IL, USA. Tel: 773-702-2559; Fax: 773-702-8875; E-mail: cozcan@uchicago.edu

References

- [1] January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, Calkins H, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC Jr, Conti JB, Ellinor PT, Ezekowitz MD, Field ME, Murray KT, Sacco RL, Stevenson WG, Tchou PJ, Tracy CM and Yancy CW. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 64: e1-76.
- [2] Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, Chamberlain AM, Chang AR, Cheng S, Das SR, Delling FN, Djousse L, Elkind MSV, Ferguson JF, Fornage M, Jordan LC, Khan SS, Kissela BM, Knutson KL, Kwan TW, Lackland DT, Lewis TT, Lichtman JH, Longenecker CT, Loop MS, Lutsey PL, Martin SS, Matsushita K, Moran AE, Mussolino ME, O'Flaherty M, Pandey A, Perak AM, Rosamond WD, Roth GA, Sampson UKA, Satou GM, Schroeder EB, Shah SH, Spartano NL, Stokes A, Tirschwell DL, Tsao CW, Turakhia MP, Van-Wagner LB, Wilkins JT, Wong SS and Virani SS; American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2019 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2019; 139: e56-e528.
- [3] Santhanakrishnan R, Wang N, Larson MG, Magnani JW, McManus DD, Lubitz SA, Ellinor PT, Cheng S, Vasan RS, Lee DS, Wang TJ, Levy D, Benjamin EJ and Ho JE. Atrial fibrillation begets heart failure and vice versa: temporal associations and differences in preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. Circulation 2016; 133: 484-492.
- [4] Zafrir B, Lund LH, Laroche C, Ruschitzka F, Crespo-Leiro MG, Coats AJS, Anker SD, Filippatos G, Seferovic PM, Maggioni AP, De Mora Martin M, Polonski L, Silva-Cardoso J and Amir O; ESC-HFA HF Long-Term Registry Investigators. Prognostic implications of atrial fibrillation in heart failure with reduced, mid-range, and preserved ejection fraction: a report from 14 964 patients in the European Society of cardiology heart failure long-term registry. Eur Heart J 2018; 39: 4277-4284.
- [5] Lam CS, Rienstra M, Tay WT, Liu LC, Hummel YM, van der Meer P, de Boer RA, Van Gelder IC, van Veldhuisen DJ, Voors AA and Hoendermis ES. Atrial fibrillation in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: association with exercise capacity, left ventricular filling pressures, natriuretic peptides, and left atrial volume. JACC Heart Fail 2017; 5: 92-98.
- [6] Taqueti VR and Carli MF. Coronary microvascular disease pathogenic mechanisms and therapeutic options: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll of Cardiol 2018; 72: 2625-2641.

- [7] Taqueti VR, Solomon SD, Shah AM, Desai AS, Groarke JD, Osborne MT, Hainer J, Bibbo CF, Dorbala S, Blankstein R and Di Carli MF. Coronary microvascular dysfunction and future risk of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur Heart J 2018; 39: 840-849.
- [8] Shah SJ, Lam CSP, Svedlund S, Saraste A, Hage C, Tan RS, Beussink-Nelson L, Ljung Faxén U, Fermer ML, Broberg MA, Gan LM and Lund LH. Prevalence and correlates of coronary microvascular dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: PROMIS-HFpEF. Eur Heart J 2018; 39: 3439-3450.
- [9] Dryer K, Gajjar M, Narang N, Lee M, Paul J, Shah AP, Nathan S, Butler J, Davidson CJ, Fearon WF, Shah SJ and Blair JEA. Coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2018; 314: H1033-H1042.
- [10] Range FT, Schäfers M, Acil T, Schäfers KP, Kies P, Paul M, Hermann S, Brisse B, Breithardt G, Schober O and Wichter T. Impaired myocardial perfusion and perfusion reserve associated with increased coronary resistance in persistent idiopathic atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2007; 28: 2223-2230.
- [11] Paulus WJ and Tschöpe C. A novel paradigm for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: comorbidities drive myocardial dysfunction and remodeling through coronary microvascular endothelial inflammation. J Am Coll of Cardiol 2013; 62: 263-2671.
- [12] Ozcan C, Allan T, Besser SA, de la Pena A and Blair J. The relationship between coronary microvascular dysfunction, atrial fibrillation and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Am J Cardiovasc Dis 2021; 11: 29-38.
- [13] Ko D, Benson MD, Ngo D, Yang Q, Larson MG, Wang TJ, Trinquart L, McManus DD, Lubitz SA, Ellinor PT, Vasan RS, Gerszten RE, Benjamin EJ and Lin H. Proteomics profiling and risk of newonset atrial fibrillation: Framingham Heart Study. J Am Heart Assoc 2019; 8: e010976.
- [14] Tran KV, Tanriverdi K, Aurigemma GP, Aurigemma GP, Lessard D, Sardana M, Parker M, Shaikh A, Gottbrecht M, Milstone Z, Tanriverdi S, Vitseva O, Keaney JF, Kiefe Cl, McManus DD and Freedman JE. Circulating extracellular RNAs, myocardial remodeling, and heart failure in patients with acute coronary syndrome. J Clin Transl Res 2019; 5: 33-43.
- [15] Salinas J, Lin H, Aparico HJ, Huan T, Liu C, Rong J, Beiser A, Himali JJ, Freedman JE, Larson MG, Rosand J, Soreq H, Levy D and Seshadri S. Whole blood microRNA expression associated with stroke: results from the Framingham Heart Study. PLoS One 2019; 14: e0219261.

- [16] Kornej J, Büttner P, Hammer E, Engelmann B, Dinov B, Sommer P, Husser D, Hindricks G, Völker U and Bollmann A. Circulating proteomic patterns in AF related left atrial remodeling indicate involvement of coagulation and complement cascade. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0198461.
- [17] Ozcan C, Li Z, Kim G, Jeevanandam V and Uriel N. Molecular mechanism of the association between atrial fibrillation and heart failure includes energy metabolic dysregulation due to mitochondrial dysfunction. J Card Fail 2019; 25: 911-920.
- [18] Ozcan C, Battaglia E, Young R and Suzuki G. LKB1 knockout mouse develops spontaneous atrial fibrillation and provides mechanistic insights into human disease process. J Am Heart Assoc 2015; 4: e001733.
- [19] Deshmukh A, Kim G, Burke M, Anyanwu E, Jeevanandam V, Uriel N, Tung R and Ozcan C. Atrial arrhythmias and electroanatomical remodeling in patients with left ventricular assist devices. J Am Heart Assoc 2017; 6: e005340.
- [20] Tyanova S, Temu T and Cox J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. Nat Protoc 2016; 11: 2301-2319.
- [21] RStudio Team (2015). RStudio: integrated development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA URL http://www.rstudio.com/.
- [22] Cheng T, Wang XF, Hou YT and Zhang L. Correlation between atrial fibrillation, serum amyloid protein A and other inflammatory cytokines. Mol Med Rep 2012; 6: 581-584.
- [23] Negreva M, Georgiev S and Prodanova K. Significant increase in C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A in the early hours of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Cardiol Res 2016; 7: 1-8.
- [24] Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Pennells L, Wood AM, White IR, Gao P, Walker M, Thompson A, Sarwar N, Caslake M, Butterworth AS, Amouyel P, Assmann G, Bakker SJ, Barr EL, Barrett-Connor E, Benjamin EJ, Björkelund C, Brenner H, Brunner E, Clarke R, Cooper JA, Cremer P, Cushman M, Dagenais GR, D'Agostino RB Sr, Dankner R, Davey-Smith G, Deeg D, Dekker JM, Engström G, Folsom AR, Fowkes FG, Gallacher J, Gaziano JM, Giampaoli S, Gillum RF, Hofman A, Howard BV, Ingelsson E, Iso H, Jørgensen T, Kiechl S, Kitamura A, Kiyohara Y, Koenig W, Kromhout D, Kuller LH, Lawlor DA, Meade TW, Nissinen A, Nordestgaard BG, Onat A, Panagiotakos DB, Psaty BM, Rodriguez B, Rosengren A, Salomaa V, Kauhanen J, Salonen JT, Shaffer JA, Shea S, Ford I, Stehouwer CD, Strandberg TE, Tipping RW, Tosetto A, Wassert-

heil-Smoller S, Wennberg P, Westendorp RG, Whincup PH, Wilhelmsen L, Woodward M, Lowe GD, Wareham NJ, Khaw KT, Sattar N, Packard CJ, Gudnason V, Ridker PM, Pepys MB, Thompson SG and Danesh J. C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, and cardiovascular disease prediction. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 1310-1320.

- [25] Jin J, Sun H, Liu D, Wang H, Liu Q, Chen H, Zhong D and Li G. LRG1 Promotes apoptosis and autophagy through the TGFβ-smad1/5 signaling pathway to exacerbate ischemia/reperfusion injury. Neuroscience 2019; 413: 123-134.
- [26] Beveridge AJ, Wallis R and Samani NJ. A molecular dynamics study of C1r and C1s dimers: implications for the structure of the C1 complex. Proteins 2012; 80: 1987-1997.
- [27] Cheow ESH, Cheng WC, Yap T, Dutta B, Lee CN, Kleijn DPV, Sorokin V and Sze SK. Myocardial injury is distinguished from stable angina by a set of candidate plasma biomarkers identified using iTRAQ/MRM-based approach. J Proteome Res 2018; 17: 499-515.
- [28] Prabhu SD and Frangogiannis NG. The biological basis for cardiac repair after myocardial infarction: from inflammation to fibrosis. Circ Res 2016; 119: 91-112.
- [29] Frid MG, McKeon BA, Thurman JM, Maron BA, Li M, Zhang H, Kumar S, Sullivan T, Laskowsky J, Fini MA, Hu S, Tuder RM, Gandjeva A, Wilkins MR, Rhodes CJ, Ghataorhe P, Leopold JA, Wang RS, Holers VM and Stenmark KR. Immunoglobulin-driven complement activation regulates proinflammatory remodeling in pulmonary hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020; 201: 224-239.

- [30] Watson AD, Berliner JA, Hama SY, La Du BN, Faull KF, Fogelman AM and Navab M. Protective effect of high-density lipoprotein associated paraoxonase: inhibition of the biological activity of minimally oxidised low-density lipoprotein. J Clin Invest 1995; 96: 2882-2889.
- [31] Heijman J, Algalarrondo V, Voigt N, Melka J, Wehrens XH, Dobrev D and Nattel S. The value of basic research insights into atrial fibrillation mechanisms as a guide to therapeutic innovation: a critical analysis. Cardiovas Res 2016; 109: 467-479.
- [32] Goette A, Kalman JM, Aguinaga L, Akar J, Cabrera JA, Chen SA, Chugh SS, Corradi D, D'Avila A, Dobrev D, Fenelon G, Gonzalez M, Hatem SN, Helm R, Hindricks G, Ho SY, Hoit B, Jalife J, Kim YH, Lip GY, Ma CS, Marcus GM, Murray K, Nogami A, Sanders P, Uribe W, Van Wagoner DR and Nattel S. EHRA/HRS/APHRS/ SOLAECE expert consensus on atrial cardiomyopathies: definition, characterization, and clinical implication. Europace 2016; 18: 1455-1490.
- [33] Alex L, Russo I, Holoborodko V and Frangogiannis NG. Characterization of a mouse model of obesity-related fibrotic cardiomyopathy that recapitulates features of human heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2018; 315: H934-H949.
- [34] Srivaratharajah K, Coutinho T, deKemp R, Liu P, Haddad H, Stadnick E, Davies RA, Chih S, Dwivedi G, Guo A, Wells GA, Bernick J, Beanlands R and Mielniczuk LM. Reduced myocardial flow in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction. Circ Heart Fail 2016; 9: e002562.

Supplementary method

Proteome MS data quality control (QC)

Eighteen plasma samples from CVD patients [disease groups: atrial fibrillation (AF) + Coronary Microvascular Disease (CMD) + Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction (HFpEF), CMD, and HFpEF along with control samples] were collected at the University of Chicago Medical Center. The most abundant proteins were depleted using the commercial kit (please refer to method section of the manuscript). We digested the individual samples utilizing a bottom-up proteomics sample prep work flow (experimental details are available in the method section of the manuscript). Post sample prep, the samples were run with nano-LC-MS/MS and collected data was searched with MaxQuant for label free quantification (LFQ). Here, we analyzed the overall proteome coverage in the samples. For protein analysis, we used LFQ intensity (LFQ: Label-free quantification). These intensities are based on the (raw) intensities and normalized on multiple levels to make sure that profiles of LFQ intensity Based Absolute Quantification: iBAQ values calculated by MaxQuant are the (raw) intensities divided by the number of theoretical peptides. iBAQ values are proportional to the molar quantities of the proteins). Overall, we identified ~200 proteins. The quant data is presented below.



Supplementary Figure 1. Proteomic sampling depth. Running protein count by iBAQ revealed a sampling depth of ~6 orders of magnitude.



Supplementary Figure 2. Box plot. Before median quantile normalization (A). Median quantile normalized data (B).

Gene ID	HGNC ID	Protein names	ACH	СН	HFpEF	CMD
C1S	HGNC:1247	complement C1s	3.0	-3.6	3.6	-5.5
SHBG	HGNC:10839	sex hormone binding globulin	-1.6	-1.9	1.0	-1.9
HBA2	HGNC:4824	hemoglobin subunit alpha 2	1.5	-0.7	1.9	-1.5
LUM	HGNC:6724	lumican	0.2	-1.6	-0.1	-0.8
LPA	HGNC:6667	lipoprotein(a)	1.1	1.1	0.7	-0.5
CPN2	HGNC:2313	carboxypeptidase N subunit 2	7.5	2.9	4.2	-0.2
IGFALS	HGNC:5468	insulin like growth factor binding protein acid labile subunit	1.7	2.1	0.8	-0.1
C8G	HGNC:1354	complement C8 gamma chain	3.5	2.4	1.7	-0.1
SERPINA6	HGNC:1540	serpin family A member 6	2.1	1.1	1.4	0.1
C7	HGNC:1346	complement C7	1.6	0.7	1.9	0.2
SERPIND1	HGNC:4838	serpin family D member 1	6.3	0.3	-0.2	0.2
C2	HGNC:1248	complement C2	1.6	1.2	1.2	0.2
APOE	HGNC:613	apolipoprotein E	0.3	0.8	0.1	0.3
HP	HGNC:5141	haptoglobin	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.3
FCN3	HGNC:3625	ficolin 3	1.6	1.7	1.6	0.3
TLN1	HGNC:11845	talin 1	0.8	1.1	0.7	0.4
PZP	HGNC:9750	PZP alpha-2-macroglobulin like	3.7	4.4	2.9	0.4
ITIH3	HGNC:6168	inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain 3	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.4
F12	HGNC:3530	coagulation factor XII	1.4	2.0	1.1	0.5
FN1	HGNC:3778	fibronectin 1	1.3	0.9	1.1	0.5
HBB	HGNC:4827	hemoglobin subunit beta	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.5
CLEC3B	HGNC:11891	C-type lectin domain family 3 member B	1.5	1.5	0.9	0.6
C1QB	HGNC:1242	complement C1q B chain	3.5	2.7	1.8	0.6
C8B	HGNC:1353	complement C8 beta chain	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.6
CD14	HGNC:1628	CD14 molecule	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.6
SERPINA7	HGNC:11583	serpin family A member 7	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.6
APOH	HGNC:616	apolipoprotein H	-0.9	1.2	0.9	0.6
F11	HGNC:3529	coagulation factor XI	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.7
ORM2	HGNC:8499	orosomucoid 2	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.7
VCL	HGNC:12665	vinculin	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.7
GPLD1	HGNC:4459	glycosylphosphatidylinositol specific phospholipase D1	0.6	4.8	0.6	0.7
QSOX1	HGNC:9756	quiescin sulfhydryl oxidase 1	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.7
ECM1	HGNC:3153	extracellular matrix protein 1	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.7
CNDP1	HGNC:20675	carnosine dipeptidase 1	1.6	1.7	1.1	0.7
CFD	HGNC:2771	complement factor D	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
F10	HGNC:3528	coagulation factor X	1.3	0.9	2.0	0.8
SERPINA4	HGNC:8948	serpin family A member 4	1.6	1.5	1.4	0.8
IGLL5	HGNC:38476	immunoglobulin lambda like polypeptide 5	-0.4	0.2	0.2	0.8
CPN1	HGNC:2312	carboxypeptidase N subunit 1	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.8
C4BPA	HGNC:1325	complement component 4 binding protein alpha	0.6	1.2	0.9	0.8
C4BPB	HGNC:1328	complement component 4 binding protein beta	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8
FETUB	HGNC:3658	fetuin B	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.8
AHSG	HGNC:349	alpha 2-HS glycoprotein	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.8
SERPINA10	HGNC:15996	serpin family A member 10	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.8
GPX3	HGNC:4555	glutathione peroxidase 3	1.3	1.1	1.3	0.8
APOL1	HGNC:618	apolipoprotein L1	1.2	1.3	1.1	0.8
BTD	HGNC:1122	biotinidase	1.1	1.0	3.2	0.9
ATRN	HGNC:885	attractin	1.3	1.0	1.3	0.9
C9	HGNC:1358	complement C9	1.3	1.7	1.2	0.9
LCAT	HGNC:6522	lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase	2.9	1.2	2.9	0.9

Biomarkers of AF, CMD and HFpEF

C1RL	HGNC:21265	complement C1r subcomponent like	1.0	1.0	1.4	0.9
CPB2	HGNC:2300	carboxypeptidase B2	1.9	1.3	3.6	0.9
ORM1	HGNC:8498	orosomucoid 1	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9
APOC2	HGNC:609	apolipoprotein C2	1.3	1.7	1.4	0.9
APOC1	HGNC:607	apolipoprotein C1	1.3	1.5	2.0	0.9
APOD	HGNC:612	apolipoprotein D	4.5	3.9	3.0	0.9
APOA4	HGNC:602	apolipoprotein A4	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
ITIH4	HGNC:6169	inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain 4	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9
LBP	HGNC:6517	lipopolysaccharide binding protein	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.0
APOA1	HGNC:600	apolipoprotein A1	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0
APCS	HGNC:584	amyloid P component, serum	1.1	0.8	0.9	1.0
C8A	HGNC:1352	complement C8 alpha chain	1.8	0.4	1.8	1.0
C3	HGNC:1318	complement C3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
FGA	HGNC:3661	fibrinogen alpha chain	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0
CFP	HGNC:8864	complement factor properdin	2.7	1.3	2.7	1.0
FGG	HGNC:3694	fibrinogen gamma chain	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0
SERPINA5	HGNC:8723	serpin family A member 5	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
LCP1	HGNC:6528	lymphocyte cytosolic protein 1	-5.1	1.0	1.0	1.0
HPX	HGNC:5171	hemopexin	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
C4B	HGNC:1324	complement C4B (Chido blood group)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
FGB	HGNC:3662	fibrinogen beta chain	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0
PRG4	HGNC:9364	proteoglycan 4	0.9	1.2	1.4	1.0
F9	HGNC:3551	coagulation factor IX	2.5	1.1	2.5	1.0
KNG1	HGNC:6383	kininogen 1	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
SERPINA1	HGNC:8941	serpin family A member 1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
SERPINC1	HGNC:775	serpin family C member 1	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1
APOB	HGNC:603	apolipoprotein B	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1
CP	HGNC:2295	ceruloplasmin	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1
ITIH1	HGNC:6166	inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain 1	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.1
POTEI	HGNC:37093	POTE ankyrin domain family member I	1.9	1.2	1.5	1.1
LGALS3BP	HGNC:6564	galectin 3 binding protein	1.1	2.4	1.4	1.1
B2M	HGNC:914	beta-2-microglobulin	0.7	0.7	0.5	1.1
F2	HGNC:3535	coagulation factor II, thrombin	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.1
GC	HGNC:4187	GC vitamin D binding protein	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1
AZGP1	HGNC:910	alpha-2-glycoprotein 1, zinc-binding	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.1
CFH	HGNC:4883	complement factor H	0.7	0.5	0.8	1.1
PPBP	HGNC:9240	pro-platelet basic protein	1.0	3.2	1.2	1.1
SERPING1	HGNC:1228	serpin family G member 1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.1
SERPINA3	HGNC:16	serpin family A member 3	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1
TF	HGNC:11740	transferrin	0.7	0.2	0.9	1.1
TTR	HGNC:12405	transthyretin	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.1
ITIH2	HGNC:6167	inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain 2	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1
HPR	HGNC:5156	haptoglobin-related protein	1.4	0.9	1.7	1.1
SELENOP	HGNC:10751	selenoprotein P	2.6	1.3	1.0	1.2
A2M	HGNC:7	alpha-2-macroglobulin	1.0	1.2	0.8	1.2
A1BG	HGNC:5	alpha-1-B glycoprotein	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2
PLG	HGNC:9071	plasminogen	0.5	0.9	1.0	1.2
VWF	HGNC:12726	von Willebrand factor	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.2
HRG	HGNC:5181	histidine rich glycoprotein	1.1	0.8	1.1	1.2
AFM	HGNC:316	afamin	0.9	0.7	1.1	1.2
APOA2	HGNC:601	apolipoprotein A2	0.1	-0.7	0.5	1.2
CFB	HGNC:1037	complement factor B	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.2

Biomarkers of AF, CMD and HFpEF

CLU	HGNC:2095	clusterin	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2
PROS1	HGNC:9456	protein S	2.3	1.4	1.5	1.3
KLKB1	HGNC:6371	kallikrein B1	-2.5	-0.2	-0.7	1.3
C1R	HGNC:1246	complement C1r	0.3	0.4	0.0	1.3
CFI	HGNC:5394	complement factor I	-0.5	0.4	0.7	1.4
SAA4	HGNC:10516	serum amyloid A4, constitutive	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.4
GSN	HGNC:4620	gelsolin	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.4
CRP	HGNC:2367	C-reactive protein	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.4
PGLYRP2	HGNC:30013	peptidoglycan recognition protein 2	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.5
C1QC	HGNC:1245	complement C1q C chain	2.6	1.0	2.4	1.6
AMBP	HGNC:453	alpha-1-microglobulin/bikunin precursor	1.1	1.5	1.3	1.6
AGT	HGNC:333	angiotensinogen	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.6
VTN	HGNC:12724	vitronectin	0.9	0.9	1.6	1.7
APOM	HGNC:13916	apolipoprotein M	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.7
ERN1	HGNC:3449	endoplasmic reticulum to nucleus signaling 1	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.8
C5	HGNC:1331	complement C5	1.4	0.7	1.3	1.8
SERPINF1	HGNC:8824	serpin family F member 1	0.8	0.3	0.5	1.8
C6	HGNC:1339	complement C6	1.5	2.1	1.1	2.1
C4A	HGNC:1323	complement C4A (Rodgers blood group)	-2.4	1.7	-0.2	2.1
C1QA	HGNC:1241	complement C1q A chain	1.3	1.3	1.3	2.2
RBP4	HGNC:9922	retinol binding protein 4	-1.0	-0.5	0.9	2.3
SERPINF2	HGNC:9075	serpin family F member 2	1.3	1.6	1.4	2.3
PON1	HGNC:9204	paraoxonase 1	-0.2	-4.1	-2.4	2.4
APOF	HGNC:615	apolipoprotein F	1.0	2.9	2.9	2.9
BCHE	HGNC:983	butyrylcholinesterase	1.0	0.9	1.0	3.2
APOC3	HGNC:610	apolipoprotein C3	11.3	10.8	13.1	5.0
LRG1	HGNC:29480	leucine rich alpha-2-glycoprotein 1	16.9	38.8	1.0	23.8
SAA1	HGNC:10513	serum amyloid A1	13.0	66.8	81.4	105.5

Note: Fold change values (cut-off set to +/- 1.5) reflects differentially expressed proteins across patient *versus* control groups. Abbreviations: ACH indicates atrial fibrillation/coronary microvascular disease/heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; CH, coronary microvascular disease/heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; CMD, coronary microvascular disease; ID, identifier; FC, fold change. *Green* color indices downregulated proteins.