Original Article Wntless promotes cellular viability and resistance to enzalutamide in castration-resistant prostate cancer cells

Alan P Lombard¹, Chengfei Liu¹, Cameron M Armstrong¹, Leandro S D'Abronzo¹, Wei Lou¹, Christopher P Evans^{1,2}, Allen C Gao^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Urologic Surgery, University of California Davis, CA, USA; ²UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California Davis, CA, USA; ³VA Northern California Health Care System, Sacramento, CA, USA

Received July 31 2019; Accepted August 2, 2019; Epub August 15, 2019; Published August 30, 2019

Abstract: Background: De-regulation of Wnt signaling pathways has been shown to be associated with progression of castration-resistant prostate cancer and more recently, studies indicate that both canonical and non-canonical Wht pathways may mediate resistance to anti-androgen therapies such as enzalutamide. However, the mechanisms by which Wnt signaling is altered in prostate cancer remain poorly understood. Wnt pathway function begins with Wht biogenesis and secretion from Wht signal sending cells. While previous studies have investigated downstream mechanisms of Wnt pathway alterations in prostate cancer, little is known on the role of Wnt secretion mediating proteins. Wntless (WLS) is thought to be essential for the secretion of all Wnts. In this study, we sought to understand the role of WLS in prostate cancer. Methods: RNA-seq and gene set enrichment analysis were used to understand expression profile changes in enzalutamide-resistant C4-2B-MDVR (MDVR) cells versus parental C4-2B cells. Quantitative-PCR and western blot were used to confirm RNA-seg data and to assess expression changes of gene targets of interest. Rv1 cells were used as a separate model of enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer. RNAi was used to inhibit WLS expression. Cell viability, colony formation, and PSA ELISA assays were used to assess cell growth and survival. Results: Transcriptomic profiling revealed enriched Wnt pathway signatures in MDVR versus parental C4-2B cells. We further show that MDVR cells upregulate Wnt signaling and overexpress WLS. Inhibition of WLS decreases Wnt signaling, markedly attenuates prostate cancer cell viability, induces apoptosis, and resensitizes enzalutamide-resistant cells to enzalutamide treatment. Lastly, we show that inhibition of WLS reduces AR and AR-variants expression and downstream signaling. Conclusions: Our findings support a role for WLS in the progression of prostate cancer to a treatment-resistant state. Further efforts to understand Wnt signaling pathway alterations in this disease may lead to the development of novel treatments.

Keywords: Wnt signaling, castration-resistant prostate cancer, enzalutamide resistance, wntless, wls, porcupine, porcn

Introduction

Castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is a significant cause of cancer-related death in men worldwide. Next-generation anti-androgen therapies (NGATs) such as enzalutamide have improved outcomes [1-3]. However, resistance to therapy persists as an impediment to further progress. Understanding the mechanisms by which tumors evade NGAT resistance will enhance our ability to treat patients with CRPC.

Wnt signaling has been shown to be altered in the development and progression of cancer [4, 5]. Wnt signaling exerts downstream effects through two separate signaling cascades; 1) the beta-catenin dependent canonical pathway and 2) the beta-catenin independent noncanonical pathways. Both Wnt signaling pathways have been shown to be involved in prostate cancer progression and recently, it was demonstrated that both canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling may play a role in resistance to NGATs [6, 7]. However, the mechanisms underlying Wnt-dependent treatment resistance remain poorly understood.

While studies suggest that altered Wnt signaling may be responsible for progression to an anti-androgen resistant state, these studies

have largely focused on downstream pathway components such as altered expression or function of beta-catenin [6]. In contrast, little is known regarding the putative role of altered Wnt secretion in prostate cancer. Wnt secretion is largely controlled by two key players, Porcupine (PORCN) and Wntless (WLS) [8]. Porcupine is a membrane-bound-O-acyl-transferase responsible for lipidation of Wnt proteins [9-12]. Lipidated Whts then become substrates for WLS which mediates transport of Wnts for extracellular export where they can engage various receptors to elicit downstream signaling [10, 13]. Both PORCN and WLS have been shown to play a role in different cancer contexts, but the role of both PORCN and WLS in prostate cancer remains to be elucidated.

In this current study, we present evidence for increased Wnt signaling in a model of enzalutamide resistant CRPC. We also show that both PORCN and WLS are overexpressed in resistant cells. As the increase in WLS was much greater than that of PORCN, we focused on WLS and further demonstrate that WLS mediates Wnt signaling and regulates cellular viability. Inhibition of WLS re-sensitizes enzalutamide-resistant cells to treatment. Finally, we show that WLS regulates AR and AR-variant expression and downstream signaling. These findings expand our understanding of Wnt signaling in advanced prostate cancer.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and reagents

C4-2B cells were kindly provided and authenticated by Dr. Leland Chung (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA). CWR22Rv1 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). ATCC uses short tandem repeat profiling for testing and authentication of cell lines. All cell lines are routinely tested for mycoplasma every 6 months using ABM mycoplasma PCR detection kit (Cat#: G238). All experiments with these cell lines and their derivatives were conducted within 6 months of receipt or resuscitation after cryopreservation. Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. 100 IU penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin. Enzalutamide-resistant C4-2B cells (C4-2B-MD-VR) were described previously and maintained in complete RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20

µM enzalutamide [14]. C4-2B cells were cultured alongside C4-2B-MDVR cells during their creation as an appropriate control. All cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% carbon dioxide. Enzalutamide (Cat#: S1250) was purchased from Selleckchem. All transfections were performed using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Cat#: 13778150) purchased from ThermoFisher according to manufacturer's instructions using 10-50 nM siRNA. Non-targeting control siRNA (Cat#: 12935112) and Stealth Wntless (WLS) targeting siRNA were purchased from ThermoFisher. WLS-F-Oligo (Cat#: 10620318): GGUAUUGGAGGAGGA-UCACCAUGAU. WLS-R-Oligo (Cat#: 10620319): AUCAUGGUGAUCCUCCUCCAAUACC.

Cell viability assay

Cells were plated at a density of 10,000-40,000 cells/well in 24-well plates in complete RPMI 1640 media without any selection agent. After 24 hours, cells were subjected to indicated treatments. At completion of assay, cell growth was determined using CCK-8 reagent (Dojindo) according to manufacturer's instructions. For assays testing the effect of WLStargeting siRNA alone on cellular viability, assay was performed 96 hours after transfection. For assays combining siRNA transfection with drug treatment, cells were transfected 24 hours after plating, subsequently treated with indicated drugs the following day, and viability assays were conducted at 5 (MDVR) and 3 (Rv1) days after drug treatment. Data is displayed as percent of control cell growth. All conditions were performed in triplicate. All experiments were performed at least twice.

Colony formation assay

Cells were plated at 500 cells/well in 6-well plates in complete RPMI 1640 with no selection agent. Plated cells were subsequently treated 24 hours later as indicated. Colonies formed for 14 days. At the completion of the assay, cell colonies were fixed and stained using the following solution for 20 minutes; 0.05% w/v crystal violet, 1% of 37% formaldehyde, 1% methanol, 1X PBS. After staining, colonies were rinsed, allowed to air dry, and counted. Data is displayed as a percent of control cell colony growth. All conditions were performed in duplicate. All experiments were performed at least twice.

RNA-sequencing and GSEA analysis

Transcriptome analysis of the C4-2B and C4-2B-MDVR cell lines was performed with nextgeneration sequencing (NGS). For this, indexed RNA-Sequencing (RNA-Seq) libraries were prepared from 1 µg total RNA using the TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit purchased from Illumina according to the manufacturer's standard protocol, and as previously described [15]. The libraries were then combined for multiplex sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 System (2x100 bp, paired-end; ~30 million reads/sample). A standard TopHat-Cufflinks workflow was utilized for analysis of gene and transcript isoform expression [16]. For this, sequence reads (FASTQ format) were aligned to the reference human genomic sequence (Feb. 2009, GR-Ch37/hg19) and splice junction mapping performed with TopHat [17], and allowing for a maximum of two mismatches. The Cufflinks and Cuffdiff tools were used for transcript assembly, quantitation, and differential expression [18]. Transcript abundance/expression values were expressed as FPKM (fragments per kilobase per million fragments mapped), and were normalized with Cuffnorm [16]. GSEA was performed using the Java desktop software (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/ index.jsp) [19, 20]. The PID_WNT_SIGNALING_ PATHWAY, KEGG_WNT_SIGNALING_PATHWAY, and GO_WNT_SIGNALING_PATHWAY datasets were downloaded from the Molecular Signatures Database and were used in the GSEA analysis.

Preparation of whole cell lysates

Cells were harvested, washed with PBS, and lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaV, 10 mM NaF, and 1X Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Cat#: 78430) purchased from ThermoFisher. Protein concentration was determined with Pierce Coomassie Plus (Bradford) Assay Kit (Cat#: 23236) purchased from ThermoFisher.

Western blot

Protein extracts were resolved by SDS-PAGE and indicated primary antibodies were used. Antibodies; LRP6 (CS-3395S, rabbit monoclonal antibody, 1:1000 dilution), p-LRP6 (CS-2568S, rabbit polyclonal antibody, 1:1000 dilution), and cleaved-PARP (CS-9541S, rabbit monoclonal antibody, 1:1000 dilution) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. WLS (MABS87, mouse monoclonal antibody, 1:1000 dilution) was purchased from Millipore Sigma. AR-441 (SC-7305, mouse monoclonal antibody, 1:1000 dilution) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. GAPDH (MAB374, mouse monoclonal antibody, 1:10000) and Tubulin (T5168, mouse monoclonal antibody, 1:6000 dilution) were purchased from EMD Millipore and Sigma-Aldrich respectively and were used to monitor the amounts of samples applied. Proteins were visualized with a chemiluminescence detection system (Cat#: WBLUR0500) purchased from Millipore.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted using TRizol reagent (Cat#: 15596018) purchased from ThermoFisher. RNA was digested with RNase-free DNase 1 (Cat#: EN05216101) purchased from ThermoFisher. cDNAs were prepared using ImProm-II reverse transcriptase (Cat#: M314C) purchased from Promega. The cDNAs were subjected to quantitative-PCR (qPCR) using SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Cat#: 172-5205) purchased from Bio-Rad according to the manufacturer's instructions. Triplicates of samples were run on default settings of Bio-Rad CFX-96 realtime cycler. Each reaction was normalized by co-amplification of Actin. Data was calculated using the efficiency corrected method. Primers used for gPCR were; ACTIN: F-CCCAGCCATGTA-CGTTGCTA, R-AGGGCATACCCCTCGTAGATG; PO-RCN: F-TACCTGAAGCATGCAAGCAC, R-CGGTG-TCTACCATGTGCATC; WLS: F-TCATGGTATTTCAG-GTGTTTCG, R-GCATGAGGAACTTGAACCTAAAA; LEF1: F-CAGTCATCCCGAAGAGGAAG, R-AGGGC-TCCTGAGAGGTTTGT; BAMBI: F-TGCACGATGTTC-TCTCTCCT. R-GAAGTCAGCTCCTGCACCTT: AR: F-CCTGGCTTCCGCAACTTACAC, R-GGACTTGTG-CATGCGGTACTCA; AR-v7: F-AACAGAAGTACCTG-TGCGCC, R-TCAGGGTCTGGTCATTTTGA; PSA: F-GCCCTGCCCGAAAGG, R-GATCCACTTCCGGTAA-TGCA; NKX3.1: F-CCGAGACGCTGGCAGAGACC, R-GCTTAGGGGTTTGGGGGAAG; UBE2C: F-TGGTC-TGCCCTGTATGATGT, R-AAAAGCTGTGGGGTTTTT-CC.

PSA ELISA

PSA levels were measured in conditioned media from MDVR cellular viability assay testing WLS-targeting siRNA against non-targeting siRNA using a PSA ELISA Kit (KA0208, Abnova, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data is displayed as percent of control well PSA either with or without normalization to well cell count. All conditions were performed in triplicate. All experiments were performed at least twice.

Statistical analysis

All quantitated data is displayed as percent of control mean \pm standard deviation. Significance was assessed using a two tailed two sample equal variance students t-test. A *p*-value of \leq 0.05 was accepted as significant.

Results

Wnt signaling is up-regulated in enzalutamideresistant prostate cancer cells

We have previously created and characterized the C4-2B-derived enzalutamide-resistant cell line C4-2B-MDVR (MDVR) as a model of CRPC with acquired resistance to enzalutamide treatment [14, 21]. We performed RNA-sequencing to assess transcriptomic changes between MDVR and parental C4-2B cells and utilized gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to determine pathway alterations which may mediate resistance to enzalutamide [19, 20]. Notably, we found Wnt signaling pathway gene sets were significantly enriched in MDVR cells from three separate data bases; PID_WNT_SIGNALING_ PATHWAY, KEGG WNT SIGNALING PATHWAY, and GO_WNT_SIGNALING_PATHWAY (Figure **1A**). Enrichment of these gene sets suggests increased Wnt signaling activity in MDVR cells versus parental C4-2B cells. In line with this finding, we indeed found several key Wnt targets up-regulated in our RNA-sequencing data (Figure 1B). We also found increased LRP6 and phospho-LRP6, which is a key component in transmission of Wnt signaling (Figure 1C). These data indicate that increased Wnt signaling may play a role in enzalutamide resistance and suggest that upregulated autocrine Wnt signaling may mediate this change.

WLS regulates Wnt signaling in prostate cancer

We next sought to determine how Wnt signaling may be altered in MDVR cells. For Wnt proteins to be secreted for induction of downstream sig-

naling, they must first be modified and transported out of signaling cells. PORCN is responsible for lipidation of Wnts, which makes them substrates for WLS, which has been found to play a critical, if not indispensable, role in the secretion of all Wnts [22]. We hypothesized that an increase in PORCN and WLS expression may be involved in the Wnt signaling pathway phenotype observed in MDVR cells. Indeed, both PORCN and WLS were found represented in gene sets used for our GSEA analysis. Interestingly, we did find increased expression of both PORCN and WLS in our RNA-sequencing data comparing MDVR to C4-2B cells (Figure 2A). These results were confirmed by gPCR (Figure 2B). We chose to focus on WLS and show by western blot that WLS protein level is markedly increased in MDVR cells (Figure 2C). Analysis of publicly available data comparing enzalutamide-resistant LNCaP and C4-2 cells to parental controls is consistent with our data showing WLS expression is increased 3.8 and 1.5 fold (data not shown) [6]. We also found that increased WLS expression is associated with high Gleason score prostate cancer in an Oncomine dataset (Figure 2D). These data suggest that WLS may play a key role in prostate cancer progression.

We next asked whether WLS regulates Wnt signaling in MDVR cells. Inhibition of WLS by siRNA decreased both LRP6 and phospho-LRP6 protein levels, suggesting a decrease in autocrine Wnt signaling (**Figure 3A**). Using qPCR, we found that inhibition of WLS lead to decreased transcript levels of two highly expressed Wnt targets found in MDVR cells, LEF1 and BAMBI (**Figure 3B**). Taken together, these data suggest that increased WLS expression mediates upregulated Wnt signaling in enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer cells.

Inhibition of WLS decreases proliferation and induces apoptosis

Currently, no other studies have tested the role of WLS in prostate cancer. Thus, we sought to understand how WLS may regulate prostate cancer cell viability. Using cell growth assays, we found that siRNA mediated inhibition of WLS expression significantly decreased MDVR cellular viability (**Figure 4A**). Decreased viability in response to WLS knockdown was also coincident with significantly lower levels of secreted



Figure 1. Enzalutamide-resistant MDVR cells display increased Wnt signaling. A. GSEA analysis of RNA-sequencing data comparing MDVR to C4-2B demonstrates that MDVR cells display enrichment of Wnt pathway gene sets. B. RNA-sequencing FPKM values were compared and show increased expression of several Wnt pathway targets in MDVR versus C4-2B cells. C. Western blot shows that LRP6 and phospho-LRP6 expression is increased in MDVR cells versus C4-2B cells. GAPDH served as a loading control.



Figure 2. MDVR cells display increased expression of PORCN and WLS. A. RNA-sequencing analysis shows that both PORCN and WLS expression is increased in MDVR versus parental C4-2B cells. B. qPCR confirms that both PORCN and WLS are overexpressed in MDVR cells. C. Western blot demonstrates increased WLS protein expression in MDVR versus C4-2B cells. GAPDH served as a loading control. D. Analysis of the Tomlins dataset in the Oncomine database demonstrates that WLS expression is associated with Gleason score 9 prostate tumors. * = p-value \leq 0.05.



Figure 3. Inhibition of WLS decreases Wnt signaling in MDVR cells. A. Western blots were used to assay for protein levels of WLS, LRP6, and phospho-LRP6 in MDVR cells transfected with WLS targeting siRNA (siWLS) or a non-targeting control siRNA (siC) for 72 hours. GAPDH served as a loading control. B. MDVR cells were transfected with siC or siWLS and harvested after 48 hours. qPCR demonstrates that siRNA mediated inhibition of WLS results in decreased expression of WLS, LEF1, and BAMBI in MDVR cells. * = p-value ≤ 0.05 .

PSA (Figure 4B). Colony formation assays were performed and show that inhibition of WLS markedly decreases clonogenic potential of

MDVR cells (Figure 4C). We also found increased levels of cleaved-PARP in response to WLS inhibition in MDVR cells, indicating induction of apoptosis (Figure 4D).

Our previous work has demonstrated that 22Rv1 (Rv1) prostate cancer cells harbor intrinsic resistance to enzalutamide. Interestingly, we found that Rv1 cells also have increased WLS expression versus C4-2B cells (**Figure 4E**). Similar to MDVR cells, inhibition of WLS significantly decreases Rv1 cellular viability and leads to increased cleaved-PARP indicating apoptosis (**Figure 4F**, **4G**). These data demonstrate

that WLS is a critical component to maintaining viability and promoting survival in enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer cells.



Figure 4. Inhibition of WLS attenuates cellular viability and induces apoptosis in enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer cells. A. Cell viability assay demonstrates that siRNA-mediated inhibition of WLS (siWLS) versus non-targeting siRNA (siC) decreases MDVR cellular viability. B. PSA ELISA shows that inhibition of WLS reduces PSA secretion in MDVR cells. C. Colony formation assays demonstrate that inhibition of WLS reduces clonogenic potential of MDVR cells. D. MDVR cells were treated with siC or siWLS and harvested after 72 hours. Western blot for cleaved-PARP suggests induction of apoptosis in response to decreased WLS expression versus C4-2B cells. GAPDH served as a loading control. F. Cell viability assay demonstrates that siRNA-mediated inhibition of WLS decreases Rv1 cellular viability. G. Rv1 cells were treated with siC or siWLS and harvested after 72 hours. Western blot for cleaved-PARP suggests induction of apoptosis in response to decreased WLS expression versus C4-2B cells. GAPDH served as a loading control. F. Cell viability assay demonstrates that siRNA-mediated inhibition of WLS decreases Rv1 cellular viability. G. Rv1 cells were treated with siC or siWLS and harvested after 72 hours. Western blot for cleaved-PARP suggests induction of apoptosis in response to decreased WLS expression in Rv1 cells. GAPDH served as a loading control. * = p-value ≤ 0.05 .



Figure 5. Inhibition of WLS re-sensitizes enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer cells to enzalutamide treatment. A. Cell viability assay was used to test MDVR cell response to control treatment (C = non-targeting siRNA (siC) and drug vehicle (DMSO)), inhibition of WLS (siWLS), enzalutamide (Enz = $20 \ \mu$ M), or a combination of both. B. Cell viability assay was used to test Rv1 cell response to inhibition of WLS, enzalutamide (20 μ M), or a combination of both. * = *p*-value ≤ 0.05 .

Inhibition of WLS sensitizes resistant cells to enzalutamide treatment

We've shown increased WLS expression in models of enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer. Whether higher levels of WLS potentiate enzalutamide resistance is unknown. To test this, we used a cell growth assay assessing response to siRNA mediated inhibition of WLS (si-WLS), enzalutamide (20 μ M), or a combination of both (Figure 5A and 5B). Our results demonstrate that the combination is significantly more effective than either single treatment in both MDVR and Rv1 cells. These data indicate that increased WLS expression represents a novel mechanism of enzalutamide resistance.

WLS regulates expression of AR and downstream signaling

The AR is the primary target for treatment of prostate cancer. It has been demonstrated that there is interplay between Wnt signaling and the AR and downstream AR signaling. Fur-

Wntless promotes viability and confers resistance to enzalutamide



Figure 6. WLS regulates AR expression and AR signaling. (A) MDVR cells were treated with non-targeting siRNA (siC) or WLS-targeting siRNA (siWLS) and harvested after 72 hours. Western blot shows decreased AR (top panel, light exposure) and AR-variant (AR-V) expression (lower panel, darker exposure) in response to siWLS in MDVR cells. Tubulin served a loading control. qPCR shows decreased (B). AR and AR-v7 expression and (C). PSA, NKX3.1, and UBE2C expression in response to siWLS in MDVR cells harvested 48 hours after treatment. (D) PSA ELISA with normalizing PSA by cell number demonstrates decreased PSA secretion in MDVR cells transfected with siWLS. (E) Western blot shows decreased AR and AR-variant expression in response to siWLS treatment in Rv1 cells. GAPDH served as a loading control. (F) qPCR shows decreased AR and AR-v7 expression in response to siWLS treatment in Rv1 cells. * = p-value ≤ 0.05 .

thermore, Wnt signaling has been demonstrated to positively regulate AR expression [23]. Thus, we sought to test whether WLS may regulate the AR and AR signaling. Western blot demonstrates that siRNA knockdown of WLS inhibits AR protein expression (Figure 6A). Interestingly, we also found decreased levels of AR-variants, which have been demonstrated to mediate enzalutamide resistance through constitutive AR signaling. qPCR further demonstrates that WLS inhibition results in decreased AR expression and also AR-v7 expression (Figure 6B). Inhibition of WLS results in down-regulation of key AR target genes, PSA and NKX3.1, as well as AR-v7 target gene UBE2C (Figure 6C). PSA ELISA results from Figure 4B normalized to numbers of cells remaining in the wells at the time of the assay show that despite lower cell numbers in siWLS wells, PSA secretion is still significantly decreased, in line with qPCR results (**Figure 6D**). We also found that inhibition of WLS decreases both AR and AR-variants expression (including that of AR-v7) in Rv1 cells (**Figure 6E, 6F**). These data demonstrate that WLS potentiates both AR expression and signaling and may regulate transition to enzalutamide resistance in part through regulation of AR-variants.

Discussion

Despite progress in the field of CRPC treatment, resistance to therapy appears inevitable. Wht signaling has been implicated in resistance to anti-androgen therapy but the underlying mechanisms remain largely unknown. In the current study, we present evidence that Wht signaling and resistance to enzalutamide can be potentiated by increased expression of the Wht secretion mediator WLS. We specifically show that WLS regulates Wnt signaling and promotes tumor cell viability and resistance to treatment. We also show that WLS plays a key role in regulating AR expression and signaling. These results further our understanding of Wnt signaling in advanced prostate cancer and highlight a potential new therapeutic target for this disease.

It is thought that WLS is an essential component for the trafficking of all Wnts and thus, it represents a critical player in downstream Wnt signaling. In support of this, inhibition of WLS results in Wnt loss-of-function phenotypes in several models. Relatively little is known regarding the role of WLS in disease states, but studies have demonstrated a role for WLS in various cancer contexts. Astrocytic gliomas were shown to overexpress WLS and inhibition of WLS decreased tumor viability and in vivo tumor growth [24]. WLS was shown to play a role in bladder cancer progression presumably through regulation of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway [25]. WLS was also shown to 1) be overexpressed in a subset of ovarian, gastric, and breast cancers, 2) be associated with a poor clinical outcome in gastric cancer, and 3) be associated with HER2 expression, although the significance of this last association is poorly understood [26]. Additional studies further implicate WLS in the progression of leukemia and colorectal cancer [27, 28].

In our study, we demonstrate that WLS inhibition decreases Wnt signaling, inhibits prostate cancer cell viability, and re-sensitizes resistant cells to treatment. As WLS is thought to regulate the secretion and subsequent function of all Wnts, it is reasonable to hypothesize that WLS serves as a master regulator of both canonical and non-canonical Wnt signaling in prostate cancer [22]. Both canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling are thought to play a role in prostate cancer and progression to a castration and therapeutic resistant state [29]. Zhang et al presented evidence for increased beta-catenin expression and downstream signaling in enzalutamide resistant prostate cancer, resulting in blunted response to treatment [6]. Miyamoto et al utilized single-cell RNAsequencing of circulating tumor cells to show that non-canonical Wnt signaling was activated in those patients progressing after treatment [7]. Additional studies to fully understand how WLS regulates Wnt signaling in advanced prostate cancer are warranted.

Our studies further demonstrated that inhibition of WLS results in decreased AR expression and downstream signaling. Interplay between the AR and Wnt signaling pathways has been documented in prostate cancer [30]. Wnt signaling has been shown to promote AR expression and a physical interaction involving the AR and beta-catenin has been demonstrated and well characterized [23, 31-34]. Interestingly, the interplay between the AR and beta-catenin signaling pathways may differ by stage of the disease [29, 30, 35]. In androgen sensitive prostate cancer, it is thought that AR binding to beta-catenin leads to increased AR signaling with a subsequent decrease in Wnt targets expression possibly due to preferential betacatenin binding to AR rather than TCF/LEF transcription factors. However, in CRPC, it is thought that AR and beta-catenin signaling positively work together to promote expression of a gene signature which potentiates a castration-resistant phenotype. Our work suggests that Wnt/ beta-catenin signaling continues to work with AR signaling in enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer.

Recent efforts have highlighted the important role of AR-variants in mediating a resistant phenotype in CRPC patients [36-38]. Our data indicates that inhibition of WLS results in decreased AR-variant expression and suggests that it also decreases downstream AR-variant signaling. This novel and exciting finding suggests that targeting Wnt signaling may alleviate AR-variant mediated therapeutic resistance making it a highly attractive therapeutic strategy. Understanding how Wnt signaling promotes the expression of AR-variants will require further study.

Conclusions

Our findings show that WLS is upregulated in enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer and potentiates viability and a resistant phenotype. While further study is needed to fully understand the complex role of Wnt signaling in prostate cancer progression, our data suggests that targeting Wnt secretion and downstream signaling may represent an attractive strategy for the treatment of resistant CRPC.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by grants NIH/ NCI CA168601, CA179970, CA225836, DOD PC150229, DOD PC150040, and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Research & Development BL&D grant number I01BX0002653 (A.C. G), and a Research Career Scientist Award (A.C.G).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Allen C Gao, Department of Urologic Surgery, University of California Davis, CA, USA. E-mail: acgao@ucdavis.edu

References

- [1] Tran C, Ouk S, Clegg NJ, Chen Y, Watson PA, Arora V, Wongvipat J, Smith-Jones PM, Yoo D, Kwon A, Wasielewska T, Welsbie D, Chen CD, Higano CS, Beer TM, Hung DT, Scher HI, Jung ME and Sawyers CL. Development of a secondgeneration antiandrogen for treatment of advanced prostate cancer. Science 2009; 324: 787-790.
- [2] Scher HI, Fizazi K, Saad F, Taplin ME, Sternberg CN, Miller K, de Wit R, Mulders P, Chi KN, Shore ND, Armstrong AJ, Flaig TW, Flechon A, Mainwaring P, Fleming M, Hainsworth JD, Hirmand M, Selby B, Seely L, de Bono JS; AF-FIRM Investigators. Increased survival with enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 1187-1197.
- [3] Beer TM, Armstrong AJ, Rathkopf DE, Loriot Y, Sternberg CN, Higano CS, Iversen P, Bhattacharya S, Carles J, Chowdhury S, Davis ID, de Bono JS, Evans CP, Fizazi K, Joshua AM, Kim CS, Kimura G, Mainwaring P, Mansbach H, Miller K, Noonberg SB, Perabo F, Phung D, Saad F, Scher HI, Taplin ME, Venner PM, Tombal B; for the PREVAIL Investigators. Enzalutamide in metastatic prostate cancer before chemotherapy. N Engl J Med 2014; 371: 424-433.
- [4] Murillo-Garzon V and Kypta R. WNT signalling in prostate cancer. Nat Rev Urol 2017; 14: 683-696.
- [5] Zhan T, Rindtorff N and Boutros M. Wnt signaling in cancer. Oncogene 2017; 36: 1461-1473.
- [6] Zhang Z, Cheng L, Li J, Farah E, Atallah NM, Pascuzzi PE, Gupta S and Liu X. Inhibition of the wnt/beta-catenin pathway overcomes resistance to enzalutamide in castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cancer Res 2018; 78: 3147-3162.

- [7] Miyamoto DT, Zheng Y, Wittner BS, Lee RJ, Zhu H, Broderick KT, Desai R, Fox DB, Brannigan BW, Trautwein J, Arora KS, Desai N, Dahl DM, Sequist LV, Smith MR, Kapur R, Wu CL, Shioda T, Ramaswamy S, Ting DT, Toner M, Maheswaran S and Haber DA. RNA-Seq of single prostate CTCs implicates noncanonical Wnt signaling in antiandrogen resistance. Science 2015; 349: 1351-1356.
- [8] Herr P, Hausmann G and Basler K. WNT secretion and signalling in human disease. Trends Mol Med 2012; 18: 483-493.
- [9] Hofmann K. A superfamily of membranebound O-acyltransferases with implications for wnt signaling. Trends Biochem Sci 2000; 25: 111-112.
- [10] Coombs GS, Yu J, Canning CA, Veltri CA, Covey TM, Cheong JK, Utomo V, Banerjee N, Zhang ZH, Jadulco RC, Concepcion GP, Bugni TS, Harper MK, Mihalek I, Jones CM, Ireland CM and Virshup DM. WLS-dependent secretion of WNT3A requires Ser209 acylation and vacuolar acidification. J Cell Sci 2010; 123: 3357-3367.
- [11] Tanaka K, Okabayashi K, Asashima M, Perrimon N and Kadowaki T. The evolutionarily conserved porcupine gene family is involved in the processing of the Wnt family. Eur J Biochem 2000; 267: 4300-4311.
- [12] Tanaka K, Kitagawa Y and Kadowaki T. Drosophila segment polarity gene product porcupine stimulates the posttranslational N-glycosylation of wingless in the endoplasmic reticulum. J Biol Chem 2002; 277: 12816-12823.
- [13] Herr P and Basler K. Porcupine-mediated lipidation is required for Wnt recognition by Wls. Dev Biol 2012; 361: 392-402.
- [14] Liu C, Lou W, Zhu Y, Nadiminty N, Schwartz CT, Evans CP and Gao AC. Niclosamide inhibits androgen receptor variants expression and overcomes enzalutamide resistance in castrationresistant prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2014; 20: 3198-3210.
- [15] Pan CX, Zhang H, Tepper CG, Lin TY, Davis RR, Keck J, Ghosh PM, Gill P, Airhart S, Bult C, Gandara DR, Liu E and de Vere White RW. Development and characterization of bladder cancer patient-derived xenografts for molecularly guided targeted therapy. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0134346.
- [16] Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, Pimentel H, Salzberg SL, Rinn JL and Pachter L. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat Protoc 2012; 7: 562-578.
- [17] Trapnell C, Pachter L and Salzberg SL. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics 2009; 25: 1105-1111.

- [18] Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold BJ and Pachter L. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol 2010; 28: 511-515.
- [19] Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES and Mesirov JP. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102: 15545-15550.
- [20] Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, Puigserver P, Carlsson E, Ridderstrale M, Laurila E, Houstis N, Daly MJ, Patterson N, Mesirov JP, Golub TR, Tamayo P, Spiegelman B, Lander ES, Hirschhorn JN, Altshuler D and Groop LC. PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. Nat Genet 2003; 34: 267-273.
- [21] Liu C, Armstrong C, Zhu Y, Lou W and Gao AC. Niclosamide enhances abiraterone treatment via inhibition of androgen receptor variants in castration resistant prostate cancer. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 32210-20.
- [22] Najdi R, Proffitt K, Sprowl S, Kaur S, Yu J, Covey TM, Virshup DM and Waterman ML. A uniform human Wnt expression library reveals a shared secretory pathway and unique signaling activities. Differentiation 2012; 84: 203-213.
- [23] Li Y, Wang L, Zhang M, Melamed J, Liu X, Reiter R, Wei J, Peng Y, Zou X, Pellicer A, Garabedian MJ, Ferrari A and Lee P. LEF1 in androgen-independent prostate cancer: regulation of androgen receptor expression, prostate cancer growth, and invasion. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 3332-3338.
- [24] Augustin I, Goidts V, Bongers A, Kerr G, Vollert G, Radlwimmer B, Hartmann C, Herold-Mende C, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A and Boutros M. The Wnt secretion protein Evi/Gpr177 promotes glioma tumourigenesis. EMBO Mol Med 2012; 4: 38-51.
- [25] Schmid SC, Sathe A, Guerth F, Seitz AK, Heck MM, Maurer T, Schwarzenbock SM, Krause BJ, Schulz WA, Stoehr R, Gschwend JE, Retz M, German Bladder Cancer N and Nawroth R. Wntless promotes bladder cancer growth and acts synergistically as a molecular target in combination with cisplatin. Urol Oncol 2017; 35: 544.e541-544.e510.
- [26] Stewart J, James J, McCluggage GW, McQuaid S, Arthur K, Boyle D, Mullan P, McArt D, Yan B, Irwin G, Harkin DP, Zhengdeng L, Ong CW, Yu J, Virshup DM and Salto-Tellez M. Analysis of wnt-

less (WLS) expression in gastric, ovarian, and breast cancers reveals a strong association with HER2 overexpression. Mod Pathol 2015; 28: 428-436.

- [27] Chiou SS, Wang LT, Huang SB, Chai CY, Wang SN, Liao YM, Lin PC, Liu KY and Hsu SH. Wntless (GPR177) expression correlates with poor prognosis in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia via Wnt signaling. Carcinogenesis 2014; 35: 2357-2364.
- [28] Voloshanenko O, Erdmann G, Dubash TD, Augustin I, Metzig M, Moffa G, Hundsrucker C, Kerr G, Sandmann T, Anchang B, Demir K, Boehm C, Leible S, Ball CR, Glimm H, Spang R and Boutros M. Wnt secretion is required to maintain high levels of Wnt activity in colon cancer cells. Nat Commun 2013; 4: 2610.
- [29] Yokoyama NN, Shao S, Hoang BH, Mercola D and Zi X. Wnt signaling in castration-resistant prostate cancer: implications for therapy. Am J Clin Exp Urol 2014; 2: 27-44.
- [30] Pakula H, Xiang D and Li Z. A tale of two signals: AR and WNT in development and tumorigenesis of prostate and mammary gland. Cancers (Basel) 2017; 9.
- [31] Truica Cl, Byers S and Gelmann EP. Betacatenin affects androgen receptor transcriptional activity and ligand specificity. Cancer Res 2000; 60: 4709-4713.
- [32] Yang F, Li X, Sharma M, Sasaki CY, Longo DL, Lim B and Sun Z. Linking beta-catenin to androgen-signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 2002; 277: 11336-11344.
- [33] Song LN, Herrell R, Byers S, Shah S, Wilson EM and Gelmann EP. Beta-catenin binds to the activation function 2 region of the androgen receptor and modulates the effects of the N-terminal domain and TIF2 on ligand-dependent transcription. Mol Cell Biol 2003; 23: 1674-1687.
- [34] Masiello D, Chen SY, Xu Y, Verhoeven MC, Choi E, Hollenberg AN and Balk SP. Recruitment of beta-catenin by wild-type or mutant androgen receptors correlates with ligand-stimulated growth of prostate cancer cells. Mol Endocrinol 2004; 18: 2388-2401.
- [35] Lee E, Ha S and Logan SK. Divergent androgen receptor and beta-catenin signaling in prostate cancer cells. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0141589.
- [36] Wadosky KM and Koochekpour S. Androgen receptor splice variants and prostate cancer: from bench to bedside. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 18550-18576.
- [37] Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Wang H, Luber B, Nakazawa M, Roeser JC, Chen Y, Mohammad TA, Chen Y, Fedor HL, Lotan TL, Zheng Q, De Marzo AM, Isaacs JT, Isaacs WB, Nadal R, Paller CJ, Denmeade SR, Carducci MA, Eisenberger MA and Luo J. AR-V7 and resistance to

enzalutamide and abiraterone in prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 2014; 371: 1028-1038.

[38] Kohli M, Ho Y, Hillman DW, Van Etten JL, Henzler C, Yang R, Sperger JM, Li Y, Tseng E, Hon T, Clark T, Tan W, Carlson RE, Wang L, Sicotte H, Thai H, Jimenez R, Huang H, Vedell PT, Eckloff BW, Quevedo JF, Pitot HC, Costello BA, Jen J, Wieben ED, Silverstein KAT, Lang JM, Wang L and Dehm SM. Androgen receptor variant AR-V9 is coexpressed with AR-V7 in prostate cancer metastases and predicts abiraterone resistance. Clin Cancer Res 2017; 23: 4704-4715.