Original Article Trastuzumab plus docetaxel and capecitabine as a first-line treatment for HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction cancer: a phase II, multicenter, open-label, single-arm study

Feng Wang^{1*}, Tian-Shu Liu^{2*}, Xiang-Lin Yuan^{3*}, Hui-Yan Luo^{1*}, Kang-Sheng Gu⁴, Ying Yuan⁵, Yan-Hong Deng⁶, Jian-Ming Xu⁷, Yu-Xian Bai⁸, Ying Wang⁹, Wang-Jun Liao¹⁰, He-Long Zhang¹¹, Feng Bi¹², Bang-Mao Wang¹³, Zhi-Xiang Zhuang¹⁴, Teng-Jia Jiang¹, Rui-Hua Xu¹

¹Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangzhou, China; ²Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; ³Tongji Hospital, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China; ⁴The First Affiliated Hospital of Medical University of Anhui, Hefei, China; ⁵The Second Affiliated Hospital of Medical College of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China; ⁶The 6th Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China; ⁷No. 307 Hospital of PLA, Beijing, China; ⁸Heilongjiang Cancer Hospital, Haerbin, China; ⁹Shengjing Hospital, China Medical University, Shenyang, China; ¹⁰Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China; ¹¹Tangdu Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xian, China; ¹²West China Hospital, Chengdu, China; ¹³Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China; ¹⁴The Second Hospital Affiliated to Suzhou University, Suzhou, China. ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received May 5, 2020; Accepted May 14, 2020; Epub September 1, 2020; Published September 15, 2020

Abstract: Gastric cancer (GC) is the second most common cancer in China. The ToGA study showed that trastuzumab in combination with fluoropyrimidine plus cisplatin prolonged overall survival (OS) in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive advanced GC (AGC). However, some patients may not be able to receive this regimen. We conducted a clinical study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab in combination with docetaxel+capecitabine (DX) in patients with HER2-positive AGC. This phase II, multi-center, open-label, single arm study enrolled patients with HER2-positive AGC who had not received prior treatment for metastatic disease. Patients were treated with a regimen of trastuzumab (8 mg/kg loading dose followed by 6 mg/kg, day 1), capecitabine (1000 mg/m² twice daily, days 1-14) and docetaxel (60 mg/m², day 1 for 6 cycles) every 3 weeks. The primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS) and the secondary endpoints were objective response rate (ORR), OS and safety profiles. Sixty-seven patients with AGC were enrolled from 14 centers. 64 were included in the full analysis set (FAS). The median PFS was 8.1 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 5.6-12.8) and the median OS was 20.9 months (95% CI: 15.1-33.0). Response was evaluated in 59 patients. The ORR was 67.8%. The most common adverse events of Grade \geq 3 were neutropenia, leukopenia, hand-foot syndrome, febrile neutropenia and anemia. We concluded that combination treatment with trastuzumab and DX was well-tolerated and highly effective in patients with HER2-positive AGC, and may offer an alternative to current treatments.

Keywords: Gastric cancer, trastuzumab, HER2, docetaxel, capecitabine

Introduction

Gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) cancer is both the second most common and second most lethal cancer in China [1]. China has one of the highest age standardized-incidence rates per 100,000 individuals in the world [2]. Eighty percent of patients diagnosed with gastric cancer (GC) in China are in an advanced stage [3]. The 5-year survival rate for Chinese patients with GC was 35.9% between 2010 and 2014, much lower than that observed in Korea (68.9%) and Japan (60.3%) [4]. Although studies suggest a modest survival advantage can be gained from chemotherapy [5-9], more effective systemic regimens need to be identified for treatment of patients with advanced gastric cancer (AGC).

Some GC tumors are human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive and the rate of HER2 overexpression on GC tumors in Chinese patients is 7-12% [10, 11]. Trastuzumab (Herceptin[®], Roche, Shanghai Roche Pharmaceuticals Ltd., China) is a humanized antibody targeting HER2 that binds and inhibits tumor proliferation and survival [12]. The ToGA trial demonstrated that trastuzumab in combination with capecitabine or 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) plus cisplatin improved progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients with HER2-positive AGC [13]. Trastuzumab is the only targeted therapy currently approved for a first-line treatment of patients with HER2+ AGC [14]. First-line trastuzumab used in combination with cisplatin and capecitabine or 5-FU is the current standard treatment for HER2positive metastatic GC (mGC) [9, 15]. While the ToGA trial showed the benefits of trastuzumab in combination with capecitabine or 5-FU plus cisplatin, data demonstrating the benefit of other chemotherapy regimens combined with trastuzumab for the treatment of AGC is limited [16].

Despite the survival benefit achieved with trastuzumab, some patients develop resistance to the treatment [12]. While the potential molecular mechanisms behind this resistance are under investigation [17-19], further work is needed to reach a definitive conclusion [20].

Other chemotherapy regimens that may show benefit in combination with trastuzumab include docetaxel plus capecitabine (DX), which has shown a synergistic effect in AGC animal models [21] and activity in patients with AGC or advanced esophageal cancer (AEC) [22, 23]. However, the combination of trastuzumab plus DX for AGC has not yet been studied. We evaluated the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab in combination with DX as a first-line therapy in Chinese patients with HER2-positive AGC.

Methods

Patients

This study was a phase II, multicenter, open label, single-arm clinical study designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab in combination with DX as a first-line treatment in Chinese patients with inoperable, locally advanced or recurrent and/or HER2-positive metastatic GC or GEJ adenocarcinoma. Additional eligibility criteria included >18 years of age, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (PS) of 0-1, and an expected survival of ≥ 3 months. Major exclusion criteria included previous chemotherapy for advanced/metastatic disease, previous or current use of any epidermal growth factor receptor-targeted drugs, loss of upper gastrointestinal tract physical integrity or malabsorption syndrome, active (significant or uncontrolled) gastrointestinal bleeding, history or evidence of brain metastases, residual relevant toxicity from previous therapy (except alopecia), other malignancy within the last 5 years (exceptions: carcinoma in situ of the cervix or basal cell carcinoma), congestive heart failure, baseline left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) less than 50%, angina pectoris requiring medication, evidence of transmural myocardial infarction on electrocardiogram (ECG), poorly controlled hypertension (systolic blood pressure [BP] >180 mmHg or diastolic BP >100 mmHg), clinically significant valvular heart disease or high risk uncontrollable arrhythmias.

This study was conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board at each participating center. All patients provided written informed consent before enrollment and were capable of protocol compliance. This study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02004769).

Tumor specimens and HER2 status confirmation

All tumor specimens collected from patients who received tumor resection during surgery or biopsy at our hospital were processed as formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues. The HER2 status of tumors was evaluated using immunohistochemistry (IHC) with anti-HER2/ neu (4B5) monoclonal antibody (Ventana Medical Systems, AZ, USA) [24]. If the IHC score was 2+, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed using the PathVysion HER-2 DNA Probe Kit (Abbott Molecular, IL, USA). A tumor was considered HER2-positive if it scored IHC2+ and had a confirmed FISH+ result (HER2: chromosome enumeration probe 17 ratio ≥ 2). or if it scored IHC3+. FISH analyses for HER2 status were carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol (Vysis, Inc., IL, USA). HER2 testing was performed at either Sun Yat-Sen

University Cancer Center or a local hospital laboratory.

Treatment plan and tumor assessment

Patients received one cycle of treatment with trastuzumab in combination with DX every 3 weeks. All patients were treated until either disease progression, occurrence of unacceptable toxicity or withdrawal from the study for other reasons. Each cycle of treatment included trastuzumab plus DX administered as follows: trastuzumab was administered by intravenous (IV) infusion at a loading dose of 8 mg/kg on treatment Cycle 1 Day 1, followed by a 6 mg/kg dose every 3 weeks (i.e., per cycle); capecitabine was administered for the first 14 days of each cycle (evening of Day 1 to morning of Day 15) and given orally at a dose of 1000 mg/m² twice daily (total daily dosage, 2000 mg/m²); and docetaxel was administered at a dose of 60 mg/m^2 as an IV infusion (infusion time: 1 h) on Day 1 of each cycle (maximally 6 cycles), starting after completion of the trastuzumab infusion.

The primary end point was progression-free survival (PFS). The secondary end points were objective response rate (ORR), overall survival (OS) and safety. Tumor response was evaluated according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1, using only measurable target lesions [25]. To be considered measurable by computerized tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), tumor lesions had to be at \geq 10 m in at least one dimension. For a lymph node to be considered pathologically enlarged and measurable, it must be \geq 15 mm in the shorter axis when assessed by CT scan.

Complete tumor assessment was performed \leq 21 days before the first dose of study treatment; follow-up tumor assessments were performed at 6-week intervals from the start of study treatment. The duration of follow-up was either when 80% of patients died or 18 months after the last patient was enrolled, whichever occurred first.

Performance status and safety assessments

ECOG PS was assessed at baseline and every 21 days during the scheduled visits. The National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.0) was used for safety evaluations, with the exception of cardiac failure which was graded according to the New York Heart Association classification system. Complete medical histories, including demographics, were recorded during the screening visits. Physical examinations were performed at baseline and at each study visit, or as clinically indicated. 12-lead ECG was performed on each patient at baseline, before initiating each treatment cycle and at any time during the study if clinically indicated. LVEF was measured using a multiple-uptake gated acquisition scan, cardiac MRI or ECG. Baseline LVEF assessments were performed \leq 21 days prior to the start of study treatment and then every 12 weeks (more often if clinically indicated) during the study and in the 6 months following the end of treatment/withdrawal from the study.

Statistical analysis

The sample size was determined to be 65 patients assuming an improvement in PFS from 5.5 months to 6.7 months with the addition of trastuzumab [13] and allowing for a 5% drop out rate. The full analysis set (FAS) consisted of all intention-to-treat patients who received at least one dose of any drug as part of the study treatment and was the main population for efficacy evaluation. The safety analysis set (SAF) consisted of all patients who had received at least one dose of any drug as part of the study treatment and completed at least one safety evaluation.

The primary efficacy parameter, duration of PFS, was defined as the time from the initiation of treatment to the date of progressive disease (PD) or death. OS was measured from the initiation of treatment to the date of the last followup or death by any cause. Overall tumor response was defined as the occurrence rate of a confirmed complete response (CR) or a partial response (PR) determined by confirmed radiographic evaluations of target and non-target lesions. PFS and OS were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson Exact method [26]. The change in tumor size from baseline was analyzed using a waterfall plot. The ORR and PFS analyses and the safety evaluation were conducted after 80% of PFS events were observed. OS and safety analyses were conducted when 80% of deaths were observed, or 18 months after the

Parameter	FAS (N=64)
Median age (range), years	58 (19-76)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	47 (73.4)
Female	17 (26.6)
ECOG PS, n (%)	
0	14 (21.9)
1	50 (78.1)
History of gastric cancer surgery, n (%)	
No	44 (68.8)
Yes	20 (31.3)
HER2 status, n (%)	
HER2++ plus FISH+	13 (20.3)
HER2+++	51 (79.7)
Location of primary lesion, n (%)	
Gastric	53 (82.8)
Gastroesophageal junction	11 (17.2)
Lauren classification, n (%)	
Intestinal	15 (23.4)
Diffuse	7 (10.9)
Mixed	5 (7.8)
Unknown	37 (57.8)
Differentiation, n (%)	
G1	3 (4.7)
G2	21 (32.8)
G3	22 (34.4)
Gx	5 (7.8)
Unknown	13 (20.3)
Metastasis, n (%)	
Liver	27 (42.2)
Lung	16 (25.0)
Lymph node	46 (71.9)
Ovary	2 (3.1)
Peritoneum	8 (12.5)

Table 1. Baseline	patient characteristics	(FAS)
-------------------	-------------------------	-------

Abbreviations: ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; FAS, full analysis set; HER2, human epidermal growth factor 2.

last patient was enrolled, whichever occurred first. Descriptive statistics were calculated for safety analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (SPSS 11.0, Chicago, III., USA). All p values were two sided and a p value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Whole exome sequencing

All FFPE tumor specimens of twenty seven patients were sent to HaploX (Shenzhen, Guang-

dong, China) for next generation high throughput sequencing. The resulting tumor DNA libraries were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq Genome Analyzer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), which yielded approximately 150 bp of paired end sequence. FASTQ files were then generated.

Gene variant calling and filtering

Variant calling was carried out using a series of published software in accordance with official instructions as follows: we used Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (version 0.7.10-r789) [27] and Sambamba (version 0.5.9) [28] to process paired-end FASTQ sequences, then generated duplicate-marked, sorted, base-recalibrated, indexed Binary Alignment/Map (BAM) files; SpeedSeg (version 0.1.2) [29] and GATK4 HaplotypeCaller (version 4.0.12.0) were used to call germline single nucleotide polymorphisms and insertions/deletions simultaneously. Variants identified by both methods were considered as high confidence mutations. Bcftools software (version 1.9-94-g9589876) was used to create intersections of variant call format files. Next, we used ANNOVAR with online databases (version hg38) to annotate the variant calls. The online databases used included COSMIC v76, refGene, avsnp147, ALL. sites.2015_08_edit, EAS.sites.2015_08_edit, esp6500siv2_all, exac03 and clinvar_2017-0905. Mutations with single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the ALL.sites.2015_08_edit database that were detected at a frequency of ≤0.1% were analyzed. SNVs annotated with "intergenic" or "intronic" in the Func.refGene database and "synonymous SNV" in the ExonicFunc.refGene database were removed. The R package maftools was used to process and visualize the filtered SNVs [30].

Results

Patient characteristics

Between November 2013 and June 2016, a total of 67 patients were enrolled from 14 hospitals in China. Fifty-eight patients completed follow-up and nine discontinued or withdrew from the study (patient withdrew consent, n=5; death or lost to follow-up, n=3; other, n=1). The FAS comprised 64 patients; three patients were not included in the FAS because they did not receive any drugs related to the study treatment. Patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics are shown in Table 1. The



Figure 1. Progression-free survival, Kaplan-Meier.





Table 2. Overall objective response ra	ate
based on RECIST	

Response	n (%)
Total	59 (100)
CR	5 (8.5)
PR	35 (59.3)
SD	11 (18.6)
PD	8 (13.6)
ORR	40 (67.8)

Abbreviations: CR, complete response; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease.

median age at the time of enrollment was 58 years (range: 19-76). 31.3% of patients had a

history of GC surgery. The number of HER2-positive tumors based on IHC3+ and IHC2+ plus FISH+ were 51 (79.7%) and 13 (20.3%), respectively. All patients had differentiated adenocarcinoma; 11 (17.2%) patients had GC and 53 (82.8%) had GEJ cancer. The most frequent site of metastasis was the lymph node (71.9%), followed by the liver (42.2%), lung (25.0%) and ovary (3.1%).

Efficacy

The median duration of followup at the time of analysis (FAS; n=64) was 14.5 months. The median PFS was 8.1 months (95% CI: 5.6-12.8 and the median OS was 20.9 months (95% Cl: 15.1-33.0) (Figures 1 and 2). The ORR was evaluated in 59 patients (5 patients were missing) using the RECIST (version 1.1) criteria. Five patients achieved CR and 35 achieved PR; the ORR was 67.8% (95% CI 0.54-0.79) (Table 2). The median time to response was 1.77 months (95% CI: 1.44-4.3) and among the 40 patients who achieved CR or PR, the median duration of response was 11.5 months (95% CI: 6.8-13.2). Figure 3 shows a waterfall plot of tumor size reduction over time, demonstrating that most patients

experienced a reduction of tumor size compared to baseline.

Safety

Adverse events (AEs) are listed in **Table 3**. The median number of trastuzumab treatment cycles was 8.0 (1.0-51.0). Adverse events were observed in 63 of the 67 patients who received at least one dose of the study treatment. The most common Grade \geq 3 AEs were neutropenia (22.4%), followed by leukopenia (19.4%), handfoot syndrome (9.0%), febrile neutropenia (4.5%) and anemia (3.0%) (**Table 3**). We observed acceptable cardiac safety in this study. An LVEF decrease by \geq 15% was reported for one patient. One AE (febrile neutropenia) leading to death was reported in this study.

Am J Cancer Res 2020;10(9):3037-3046



Figure 3. Waterfall plot of percent change in tumor size from baseline. Abbreviations: CR, complete response; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

Table 3. Adverse events (SAF)

	SAF (<i>N</i> =67), n %	
Any AEs	63 (94.0)	
Any SAEs	16 (23.9)	
Treatment-related SAEs	14 (20.9)	
AEs leading to treatment withdrawal	7 (10.5)	
AEs leading to death	1 (1.5)	
All cause AEs (≥10% incidence rate)	All grade, n (%)	Grade ≥3, n (%)
Metabolic and nutritional diseases		
Hypoalbuminemia	11 (16.4)	0
Hypocalcemia	7 (10.45)	0
Laboratory test		
ALT increase	13 (19.4)	1 (1.5)
AST increase	17 (25.4)	1 (1.5)
TBIL increase	11 (16.4)	1 (1.5)
Blood pressure increase	8 (11.9)	0
Neutropenia	29 (43.3)	15 (22.4)
Platelet count decrease	13 (19.4)	0
Leukopenia	37 (55.2)	13 (19.4)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue diseases		
Hand-foot syndrome	17 (25.4)	6 (9.0)
Gastrointestinal diseases		
Diarrhea	8 (11.9)	0
Nausea	7 (10.45)	0
Vomiting	7 (10.45)	1 (1.49)
Blood and lymphatic diseases		
Anemia	26 (38.8)	2 (3.0)
Abbraviational AF advarge events ALT classic	transaminasa. ACT	accontate amine

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; SAE, serious adverse event; SAF, safety analysis set; TBIL, total bilirubin.

Genomic analysis

To explore gene candidates suitable for the prediction of patients with GC most likely to

respond to trastuzumab/DX treatment, we applied a batch of tests for each gene mutation status and the study treatment response in seventeen patients' tumor samples. We found seven genes that were statistically significantly related to treatment response (Figure S1). These seven genes were CTAGE15, RIMBP3, BRSK2, AP3B1, IQCE, MGA and NP-BWR2, in which 76%, 76%, 71%, 53%, 53%, 24% and 6% of patients had mutations. respectively. Among the seven mutated genes, two were previously reported to be related to GC: BRSK2 and AP-3B1. The response rates for mutant and wild-type AP3B1 in this study were 33.3% and 87.5%, respectively (P= 0.0498); response rates for mutant and wild-type BRSK2 were 41.7% and 100%, respectively (P=0.0441). Patients with wild-type AP3B1 or BRSK2 genes had a better response to the study treatment.

Discussion

This phase II, multi-center, open-label, single arm study examined trastuzumab with DX as a treatment regimen for first-line therapy in patients with HER2-positive advanced gastric or GEJ cancer. The results of this study showed a favorable ORR of 67.8% (95% CI: 0.54-0.79), median PFS of 8.1 months (95% CI: 5.6-12.8) and median OS of 20.9 months (95% Cl: 15.1-33.0). Treatment outcomes were comparable to previously investigated treatment regimens for this pa-

tient population, including the ToGA trial, which reported an ORR of 47%, median PFS of 6.7 months (95% Cl: 6-8) and median OS of 13.8 months (95% Cl: 12-16) for trastuzumab plus cisplatin and capecitabine/5-FU treated patients [13]. This treatment regimen demonstrated an acceptable safety profile with only 23.9% of patients experiencing an SAE. This drug combination appears to be an effective and safe alternative to the standard cisplatin and 5-FU treatment regimens currently recommended for AGC; however, further clinical studies using trastuzumab plus DX in AGC patients are warranted.

Our results are in line with previous reports from phase II studies evaluating similar regimens of DX in patients with AGC or AEC [22, 23]. These studies reported an ORR of 39-46%, median PFS of 4.2-6.1 months and median OS of 9.4-15.8 months [22, 23]. Our study used this chemotherapy regimen with the addition of trastuzumab and demonstrated for the first time the clinical efficacy of trastuzumab in combination with DX in HER2-positive AGC. While there are promising options available for a firstline treatment of metastatic AGC that are reported to have good response rates and survival benefits, there is still a lack of effective treatment options for patients who have relapsed after treatment with a cisplatin-containing regimen especially those who recur in a short term. HER2-positive AGC patients have a higher rate of disease recurrence after resection. There is currently no standard second-line therapy for patients with recurrent HER2positive AGC [9, 31] and while several clinical studies have investigated potential second-line treatments in these patients, none have been successful to date [32]. The combination of DX might be an option for these patients if they have maintained a suitable ECOG PS. Patients unable to tolerate platinum-based therapies may also be candidates for this treatment regimen. Thirty-three (51.6%) patients received second line chemotherapy after disease progression. Two patients received surgery after enrolling into the trial and two patients received radiotherapy.

The overall incidence of Grade \geq 3 AEs in our study was 49.3% (95% CI: 37.3-61.2). The relative absence of Grade \geq 3 AEs other than neutropenia (*n*=15, 22.4%), leukopenia (*n*=13, 19.4%) and hand-foot syndrome (*n*=6, 9.0%) observed in this study was remarkable and is lower than that reported in the two phase II studies evaluating the DX treatment regimen in patients with AGC [22, 23]. The ToGA study reported an overall incidence rate of Grade \geq 3

AEs of 68%, which is higher than that reported in the present study; incidence rates of 30% and 2% were reported for neutropenia and hand-foot syndrome Grade \geq 3, respectively. The incidences of all grades of diarrhea, nausea and vomiting were lower in the present study than that reported for the ToGA trial; the incidence of all grades anemia was higher in this trial (38.8%) than in the ToGA trial (28%) but the occurrence of Grade \geq 3 anemia was lower (3.0% and 12%, respectively) [13]. One treatment-related death was reported in this study. This rate is similar to that observed in the ToGA trial (3%) [13] and two phase II trials evaluating DX treatment, which reported 1 (2.2%) and 0 deaths [22, 23].

In addition, we found that a lack of response to this treatment regimen was significantly related to missense mutations for two genes, BRSK2 and AP3B1. BRSK2 encodes a serine/threonine-protein kinase of the AMPK family that acts as a checkpoint kinase [33] and was previously found to be amplified in cases of GC [34]. The clinical implications of BRSK2 expression in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma have been published; BRSK2 was found to be induced by nutrient deprivation in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells and to suppress mTORC1 activity [35]. The AP3B1 gene encodes the large B1 subunit of the adaptor-related protein complex-3 and is involved in protein trafficking to lysosomes or specialized endosomallysosomal organelles [36]. A previously published expression profile analysis of premalignant lesions of GC revealed that the AP3B1 gene was upregulated [37]. Though some reports demonstrate an association between BRSK2 and AP3B1, the potential roles of these two genes in GC have not been well studied. Additional studies are needed to determine the underlying functions of these two genes in AGC and to confirm the clinical implications of the present findings.

This study was limited in that it was a relatively small, single-arm study so it could not be directly compared to other treatment regimens, and tumor samples were not available from all patients for testing correlations between gene mutations and treatment response.

Conclusions

The combination of trastuzumab plus DX was an effective and safe first-line treatment regi-

men for AGC. Further studies with larger numbers of patients are warranted to directly compare trastuzumab in combination with DX versus in combination with other platinum-based agents plus fluorouridine regimens and to confirm the results of the present study.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Shanghai Roche Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and was supported, in part, by grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017-YFC1308900), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81872011), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2014A030312015), Science and Technology Program of Guangdong (2015B020232008), and the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (15570006). Feng Wang is a recipient of the Young Physician Scientist Program of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (16zxqk03). We thank Sarah Bubeck, PhD of Edanz Medical Writing for providing medical writing support, which was funded by Shanghai Roche Pharmaceuticals Ltd.

All patients provided written informed consent before enrollment and were capable of complying with the protocol.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Abbreviations

5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; AE, adverse event; AEC, advanced esophageal cancer; AGC, advanced gastric cancer; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; CT, computerized tomography; DX, docetaxel plus capecitabine; ECG, electrocardiogram; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FAS, full analysis set; FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffinembedded; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; GC, gastric cancer; GEJ, gastroesophageal junction; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IHC, immunohistochemistry; IV, intravenous: LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; mAb: monoclonal antibody; mGC, metastatic gastric cancer; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; PS, performance status; RECIST, Response

Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SNV, single nucleotide variant.

Address correspondence to: Rui-Hua Xu, Department of Medical Oncology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, 651 Dong Feng Road East, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, China. Tel: +86-20-87343468; E-mail: xurh@sysucc.org.cn

References

- [1] Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, Jemal A, Yu XQ and He J. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2016; 66: 115-132.
- [2] Ang TL and Fock KM. Clinical epidemiology of gastric cancer. Singapore Med J 2014; 55: 621-8.
- [3] Zong L, Abe M, Seto Y and Ji J. The challenge of screening for early gastric cancer in China. Lancet 2016; 388: 2606.
- [4] Allemani C, Matsuda T, Di Carlo V, Harewood R, Matz M, Nikšić M, Bonaventure A, Valkov M, Johnson CJ, Estève J, Ogunbiyi OJ, Azevedo E Silva G, Chen WQ, Eser S, Engholm G, Stiller CA, Monnereau A, Woods RR, Visser O, Lim GH, Aitken J, Weir HK and Coleman MP; CONCORD Working Group. Global surveillance of trends in cancer survival 2000-14 (CONCORD-3): analysis of individual records for 37 513 025 patients diagnosed with one of 18 cancers from 322 population-based registries in 71 countries. Lancet (London, England) 2018; 391: 1023-1075.
- [5] Li T and Chen L. [Efficacy and safety of SOX regimen as neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer]. Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi 2011; 14: 104-106.
- [6] Li ZY, Koh CE, Bu ZD, Wu AW, Zhang LH, Wu XJ, Wu Q, Zong XL, Ren H, Tang L, Zhang XP, Li JY, Hu Y, Shen L and Ji JF. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with FOLFOX: improved outcomes in Chinese patients with locally advanced gastric cancer. J Surg Oncol 2012; 105: 793-799.
- [7] Kim YW, Kim MJ, Ryu KW, Lim HS, Lee JH, Kong SY, Lee JS, Choi IJ, Kim CG, Lee JY, Cho SJ, Kook MC, Park YI, Kim SK and Park SR. A phase II study of perioperative S-1 combined with weekly docetaxel in patients with locally advanced gastric carcinoma: clinical outcomes and clinicopathological and pharmacogenetic predictors for survival. Gastric Cancer 2016; 19: 586-596.
- [8] Sasako M, Sakuramoto S, Katai H, Kinoshita T, Furukawa H, Yamaguchi T, Nashimoto A, Fujii M, Nakajima T and Ohashi Y. Five-year outcomes of a randomized phase III trial compar-

ing adjuvant chemotherapy with S-1 versus surgery alone in stage II or III gastric cancer. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 4387-4393.

- [9] Wang FH, Shen L, Li J, Zhou ZW, Liang H, Zhang XT, Tang L, Xin Y, Jin J, Zhang YJ, Yuan XL, Liu TS, Li GX, Wu Q, Xu HM, Ji JF, Li YF, Wang X, Yu S, Liu H, Guan WL and Xu RH. The Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO): clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastric cancer. Cancer Commun (Lond) 2019; 39: 10.
- [10] Qiu MZ, Li Q, Wang ZQ, Liu TS, Liu Q, Wei XL, Jin Y, Wang DS, Ren C, Bai L, Zhang DS, Wang FH, Li YH and Xu RH. HER2-positive patients receiving trastuzumab treatment have a comparable prognosis with HER2-negative advanced gastric cancer patients: a prospective cohort observation. Int J Cancer 2014; 134: 2468-2477.
- [11] Zhou F, Li N, Jiang W, Hua Z, Xia L, Wei Q and Wang L. Prognosis significance of HER-2/neu overexpression/amplification in Chinese patients with curatively resected gastric cancer after the ToGA clinical trial. World J Surg Oncol 2012; 10: 274.
- [12] Hudis CA. Trastuzumab–mechanism of action and use in clinical practice. N Engl J Med 2007; 357: 39-51.
- [13] Bang YJ, Van Cutsem E, Feyereislova A, Chung HC, Shen L, Sawaki A, Lordick F, Ohtsu A, Omuro Y, Satoh T, Aprile G, Kulikov E, Hill J, Lehle M, Rüschoff J and Kang YK; ToGA Trial Investigators. Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2010; 376: 687-697.
- [14] Saeki H, Oki E, Kashiwada T, Arigami T, Makiyama A, Iwatsuki M, Narita Y, Satake H, Matsuda Y, Sonoda H, Shimokawa M and Maehara Y; Kyushu Study Group of Clinical Cancer (KSCC). Re-evaluation of HER2 status in patients with HER2-positive advanced or recurrent gastric cancer refractory to trastuzumab (KSCC1604). Eur J Cancer 2018; 105: 41-49.
- [15] Waddell T, Verheij M, Allum W, Cunningham D, Cervantes A and Arnold D. Gastric cancer: ESMO-ESSO-ESTRO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2013; 24 Suppl 6: vi57-63.
- [16] Soularue É, Cohen R, Tournigand C, Zaanan A, Louvet C, Bachet JB, Hentic O, Samalin E, Chibaudel B, de Gramont A and André T; for GERCOR. Efficacy and safety of trastuzumab in combination with oxaliplatin and fluorouracilbased chemotherapy for patients with HER2positive metastatic gastric and gastro-oesoph-

ageal junction adenocarcinoma patients: a retrospective study. Bull Cancer 2015; 102: 324-331.

- [17] Wang DS, Liu ZX, Lu YX, Bao H, Wu X, Zeng ZL, Liu Z, Zhao Q, He CY, Lu JH, Wang ZQ, Qiu MZ, Wang F, Wang FH, Li YH, Wang XN, Xie D, Jia WH, Shao YW and Xu RH. Liquid biopsies to track trastuzumab resistance in metastatic HER2-positive gastric cancer. Gut 2019; 68: 1152-1161.
- [18] Jin MH, Nam AR, Park JE, Bang JH, Bang YJ and Oh DY. Resistance mechanism against trastuzumab in HER2-positive cancer cells and its negation by Src inhibition. Mol Cancer Ther 2017; 16: 1145-1154.
- [19] Pietrantonio F, Fucà G, Morano F, Gloghini A, Corso S, Aprile G, Perrone F, De Vita F, Tamborini E, Tomasello G, Gualeni AV, Ongaro E, Busico A, Giommoni E, Volpi CC, Laterza MM, Corallo S, Prisciandaro M, Antista M, Pellegrinelli A, Castagnoli L, Pupa SM, Pruneri G, de Braud F, Giordano S, Cremolini C and Di Bartolomeo M. Biomarkers of primary resistance to trastuzumab in HER2-positive metastatic gastric cancer patients: the AMNESIA case-control study. Clin Cancer Res 2018; 24: 1082-1089.
- [20] Gerson JN, Skariah S, Denlinger CS and Astsaturov I. Perspectives of HER2-targeting in gastric and esophageal cancer. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2017; 26: 531-540.
- [21] Kodera Y, Fujiwara M, Yokoyama H, Ohashi N, Miura S, Ito Y, Koike M, Ito K and Nakao A. Combination of oral fluoropyrimidine and docetaxel: reappraisal of synergistic effect against gastric carcinoma xenografts. In Vivo 2005; 19: 861-866.
- [22] Giordano KF, Jatoi A, Stella PJ, Foster N, Tschetter LK, Alberts SR, Dakhil SR, Mailliard JA, Flynn PJ and Nikcevich DA; North Central Cancer Treatment Group. Docetaxel and capecitabine in patients with metastatic adenocarcinoma of the stomach and gastroesophageal junction: a phase II study from the North Central Cancer Treatment Group. Ann Oncol 2006; 17: 652-656.
- [23] Lorenzen S, Duyster J, Lersch C, von Delius S, Hennig M, Bredenkamp R, Peschel C and Lordick F. Capecitabine plus docetaxel every 3 weeks in first- and second-line metastatic oesophageal cancer: final results of a phase II trial. Br J Cancer 2005; 92: 2129-2133.
- [24] Abrahao-Machado LF and Scapulatempo-Neto C. HER2 testing in gastric cancer: an update. World J Gastroenterol 2016; 22: 4619-4625.
- [25] Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, Dancey J, Arbuck S, Gwyther S, Mooney M, Rubinstein L, Shankar L, Dodd L, Kaplan R, Lacombe D and

Verweij J. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 2009; 45: 228-247.

- [26] Anderson JR, Bernstein L and Pike MC. Approximate confidence intervals for probabilities of survival and quantiles in life-table analysis. Biometrics 1982; 38: 407-416.
- [27] Li H and Durbin R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 2010; 26: 589-595.
- [28] Tarasov A, Vilella AJ, Cuppen E, Nijman IJ and Prins P. Sambamba: fast processing of NGS alignment formats. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 2015; 31: 2032-2034.
- [29] Chiang C, Layer RM, Faust GG, Lindberg MR, Rose DB, Garrison EP, Marth GT, Quinlan AR and Hall IM. SpeedSeq: ultra-fast personal genome analysis and interpretation. Nat Methods 2015; 12: 966-968.
- [30] Mayakonda A, Lin DC, Assenov Y, Plass C and Koeffler HP. Maftools: efficient and comprehensive analysis of somatic variants in cancer. Genome Res 2018; 28: 1747-1756.
- [31] National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NC-CN). NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2019 Gastric Cancer. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/ physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf].
- [32] Zhao D, Klempner SJ and Chao J. Progress and challenges in HER2-positive gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma. J Hematol Oncol 2019; 12: 50.

- [33] Bright NJ, Thornton C and Carling D. The regulation and function of mammalian AMPKrelated kinases. Acta physiologica (Oxford, England) 2009; 196: 15-26.
- [34] Liu YY, Chen HY, Zhang ML, Tian D, Li S and Lee JY. Loss of fragile histidine triad and amplification of 1p36. 22 and 11p15. 5 in primary gastric adenocarcinomas. World J Gastroenterol 2012; 18: 4522.
- [35] Saiyin H, Na N, Han X, Fang Y, Wu Y, Lou W and Yang X. BRSK2 induced by nutrient deprivation promotes Akt activity in pancreatic cancer via downregulation of mTOR activity. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 44669-44681.
- [36] Chapuy B, Tikkanen R, Muhlhausen C, Wenzel D, von Figura K and Honing S. AP-1 and AP-3 mediate sorting of melanosomal and lysosomal membrane proteins into distinct post-Golgi trafficking pathways. Traffic (Copenhagen, Denmark) 2008; 9: 1157-1172.
- [37] Lee CH, Bang SH, Lee SK, Song KY and Lee IC. Gene expression profiling reveals sequential changes in gastric tubular adenoma and carcinoma in situ. World J Gastroenterol 2005; 11: 1937-1945.



Figure S1. Mutations in specific genes associated with response to trastuzumab and chemotherapy. A. Responseassociated mutations in patients with the response status of CR/PR versus SD/PD/Inevaluable (two-tailed Fisher's exact test; all mutation rates of specific genes were significantly different between the two groups). Bottom numbers represent sample names. Mutation types are indicated using different colors. B and C. Bar graphs showing the proportion of patients that responded to trastuzumab treatment according to *AP3B1* and *BRSK2* wild-type or mutant status. Abbreviations: CR, complete response; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.