Original Article Chemotherapy-induced cytokines and prognostic gene signatures vary across breast and colorectal cancer

Leya Groysman^{1,2,3*}, Lindsey Carlsen^{1,4,5,7*}, Kelsey E Huntington^{1,4,5,7}, Wen H Shen³, Lanlan Zhou^{1,5,7}, Wafik S El-Deiry^{1,4,5,6,7}

¹Laboratory of Translational Oncology and Experimental Cancer Therapeutics, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, The Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI 02903, USA; ²Macaulay Honors College at Hunter College, CUNY, Manhattan, NY 10065, USA; ³Department of Radiation Oncology, Weill Cornell Medicine, Cornell University, NY 10065, USA; ⁴Graduate Program in Pathobiology, The Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI 02903, USA; ⁵Joint Program in Cancer Biology, Brown University and The Lifespan Health System, Providence, RI 02903, USA; ⁶Hematology-Oncology Division, Brown University and The Lifespan Cancer Institute, Providence, RI 02903, USA; ⁷Cancer Center at Brown University, The Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI 02903, USA; ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received August 25, 2021; Accepted October 12, 2021; Epub December 15, 2021; Published December 30, 2021

Abstract: The mechanisms by which chemotherapeutic drugs mediate efficacy and toxicity in patients across cancers are not fully understood. A poorly understood aspect of the tumor cell response to chemotherapy is cytokine regulation. Some drug-induced cytokines promote the anti-cancer activity of the drugs, but others may promote proliferation, metastasis, and drug resistance. We evaluated effects of clinical chemotherapeutics oxaliplatin, cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, and carboplatin on a panel of 52 cytokines in MCF7 breast cancer (BC) cells. We observed pan-drug effects, such as the upregulation of TRAIL-R2 and Chitinase 3-like 1 and drug-specific effects on interleukin and CXCL cytokines. We compared cytokine regulation in MCF7 BC and HCT116 colorectal cancer (CRC) cells, revealing tissue-specific drug effects such as enhanced upregulation of TRAIL-R2 and downregulation of IFN- β and TRAIL in MCF7 by cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and 5-FU. We found that chemotherapy-inducible transcripts have varying potential for prognostic significance in CRC versus BC. Among the non-prognostic CRC genes that were prognostic in BC were NFKBIA and GADD45A, both of which support anti-cancer drug mechanisms. Thus, we establish a novel 7-drug, 52-cytokine signature in MCF7 BC cells and a 3-drug, 40-cytokine signature in HCT116 CRC cells that suggest drug-specific and tissue-specific cytokine regulation. Distinct differences across prognostic gene signatures in BC and CRC further support tissue specificity in the relative impact of drug-regulated genes on patient survival.

Keywords: Breast cancer, colorectal cancer, cytokines, oxaliplatin, cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, carboplatin

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is one of the most common cancers in the U.S. and will account for 14.8% of new cancer cases in 2021 [1]. It is one of the leading causes of death in women. The 5-year survival rate for metastatic BC is 28% [2]. There are five molecular subtypes of BC which include: luminal A (hormone receptor positive, HER2 negative), luminal B (hormone receptor positive, HER2 negative or positive), triple-negative (hormone receptor negative, HER2 negative), HER2-enriched (hormone receptor negative, HER2 positive), and normallike (similar to luminal A) [3]. Triple-negative breast cancer can be further classified into basal-like 1 (BL1), basal-like 2 (BL2), immunomodulatory (IM), mesenchymal (M), mesenchymal-stem like (MSL), luminal androgen receptor (LAR), and unstable (UNS) [4]. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is similarly deadly with a 5-year survival rate of 13% once the disease reaches distant organs. In recent decades, the incidence rate of colorectal cancer has been rising and it is currently the second leading cause of cancer deaths [5]. A better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of cancer cell responses to current treatment options is needed to improve outcomes for these patients.

Treatment options for BC include surgery, radiation, immunotherapy, hormone therapy, and chemotherapy. Factors such as type of BC and metastasis location determine the treatment options used [6]. Hormone therapy, such as estrogen blockers like tamoxifen, in combination with chemotherapy are used as first-line treatments on hormone receptor positive BC [7]. Tumor grade, estrogen receptor expression, and prognostic genes are all taken into consideration prior to deciding on a combined therapy approach for hormone receptor positive BC [8]. Similar to BC, treatment options for CRC include surgery, radiation, immunotherapy, and chemotherapy. 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) is a frontline therapy for CRC and is often combined with other chemotherapies like oxaliplatin and irinotecan (CPT-11) to improve outcomes in the clinic [9]. Some of the drugs used in CRC treatment overlap with drugs used in BC, yet there is heterogeneity in the response to these drugs across tissue types that is incompletely understood. The mechanisms of action for these therapeutics are detailed in
 Table 1. Further investigation could direct can cer-specific combination treatments and targeted therapies to limit side effects and inefficacy in patients.

Cytokines regulate inflammatory responses that may promote or suppress cancer. The presence of cytokines can result in various effects ranging from apoptosis to promoting metastasis. Interleukins, such as IL-1, IL-6, and IL-15, have been shown to be involved in carcinogenesis [10]. There is much interest in the development of cytokine-based biomarkers in cancer [11]. Biomarkers play a role in diagnosis, prognosis, and determining responses to treatment. For example, HER2 and estrogen receptor expression are key biomarkers in BC that determine response to therapy [12]. Alterations in gene expression, protein expression, or metabolic signatures are considered to be biomarkers. One way to establish biomarkers is by analyzing transcripts that are induced by drugs in vitro [12]. Such work has been completed on the HCT116 CRC cell line, which established a dataset of prognostic drug-regulated gene signatures [13]. Evaluating cytokines and biomarkers is needed to better understand the tumor microenvironment and composition which could improve targeted therapies.

Here, we analyze and compare drug-regulated cytokines in BC and CRC and compare the prognostic value of drug-induced transcripts across BC and CRC. The findings contribute to our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of chemotherapy in cancer cells and in the tumor microenvironment across cancer type, aiding our overall goal of improving outcomes for BC and CRC patients.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture conditions

MCF7 human breast cancer cells (obtained from ATCC) were grown in DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO_2 . HCT116 CRC cells were obtained from Bert Vogelstein at Johns Hopkins University.

Establishing IC50 doses

MCF7 cells were treated with doses ranging from 0-50 μ M of cisplatin, oxaliplatin, 5-FU, carboplatin, paclitaxel, doxorubicin, or docetaxel for 72 hours in a 96-well plate. Cell viability was measured using an MTT assay and the IC50 dose was determined based on the dose response curve constructed from the collected data. HCT116 cells were treated with doses ranging from 0-80 μ M of cisplatin, oxaliplatin, or 5-FU for 72 hours in a 96-well plate. Cell viability was measured using a CellTiterGlo assay and the IC50 dose was determined based on the dose response curve constructed from the collected data.

Cytokine profiling

A total of 5×10^5 MCF7 cells were plated per well of a 24-well plate and incubated for 12-16 hours prior to treatment with cisplatin, oxaliplatin, 5-FU, carboplatin, paclitaxel, doxorubicin, or docetaxel at the corresponding IC50 concentration. Cell medium was collected 24 hours after treatment and stored at -80°C. Samples were shipped to Brown University to run them in biological duplicate on a Luminex 200 Instrument (R&D LX200-XPON-RUO), which captures cytokines on magnetic antibodycoated beads and measures cytokine levels

Table 1. Drugs used in the experiments and their mechanisms of action (drugs chosen are relevant to either, or both, breast and colorectal cancer)

Drug	Mechanism	References
oxaliplatin	Platinum-based compound which can arrest DNA synthesis by binding to guanine and cytosine resulting in cross-linking	[34]
cisplatin	Platinum-based compound that cross links urine bases to form DNA adducts which induces apoptosis	[35]
carboplatin	Platinum-based compound that forms monoadducts by attaching alkyl groups to nucleotides resulting in DNA fragmenting	[36]
doxorubicin	Inhibits topoisomerase II resulting in DNA damage and apoptosis; is able to insert itself within DNA base pairs causing DNA strands to break	[37]
paclitaxel	Taxane compound that disrupts microtubule growth by preventing depolymerization so that the cell can no longer use its cytoskeleton	[38]
docetaxel	Taxane which is a derivative of paclitaxel and inhibits proper microtubule assembly resulting in cell cycle arrest	[39]
5-FU	Inhibits the production of dTMP, which is necessary for DNA replication and repair, resulting in double-stranded breaks	[40]

Table 2. Cytokine function

Cytokine	Function	Predicted Impact on Patient Survival	Reference
4-1BB/TNFRSF9/CD137	promotes the movement of monocytes/macrophages to the tumor microenvironment and promotes metastasis of breast cancer to bone	negative	[41]
BAFF/BLyS/TNFSF13B	increases stemness in epithelial breast cancer cells which promotes metastasis	negative	[23]
C-Reactive Protein/CRP	inflammatory protein that is produced in response to IL-6, elevated levels are associated with more aggressive tumors	negative	[42]
CCL2/JE/MCP-1	recruits and activates tumor-related macrophages that promote tumor growth and angiogenesis	negative	[32]
CCL20/MIP-3 alpha	attracts CCR6 which allows breast cancer cells to break through the extracellular matrix resulting in angiogenesis and metastasis	negative	[43]
CCL22/MDC	associated with accumulation of tumor-associated macrophages	negative	[32, 44]
CCL3/MIP-1 alpha	promotes breast cancer cell migration	negative	[32]
CCL4/MIP-1 beta	high expression promotes tumor development	negative	[32]
CCL5/RANTES	promotes growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis	negative	[20]
CCL7/MCP-3/MARC	promotes breast cancer cell proliferation and is involved in metastasis to bone	negative	[45]
CCL8/MCP-2	recruits and activates tumor-related macrophages that promote tumor growth and angiogenesis	negative	[46]
Chitinase 3-like 1	induces pro-angiogenic/pro-tumorigenic factors	negative	[14]
			general role in cancer
CXCL10/IP-10/CRG-2	inhibits tumor growth by inducing Granzyme B, mediates the NF-kB pathway	not determined	[20]
CXCL11/I-TAC	induces cancer cell migration	negative	[22]
			general role in cancer
CXCL13/BLC/BCA-1	increases cell proliferation, levels of IL-1, TNF, and TGF; decreases apoptosis	negative	[20]
CXCL14/BRAK	inhibits tumor angiogenesis, proliferation, and invasion of cancer cells	positive	[20]
CXCL5/ENA-78	promotes tumor angiogenesis	negative	[20]
CXCL9/MIG	inhibits chemotaxis to endothelial cells	positive	[20]
Fas Ligand/TNFSF6	induces apoptosis; cancer cells that are able to escape the effects of the immune system can use this cytokine to mediate their survival	not determined	[47] general role in cancer
Fas/TNFRSF6/CD95	involved in eliminating tumor-infiltrating immune cells and tissue destruction	negative	[48]
Ferritin	downregulation is associated with increased apoptosis	positive	[49] general role in cancer

G-CSF	high levels can induce the formation of neutrophilic extracellular traps which promote breast cancer cell migration	negative	[50]
GM-CSF	inactivates VEGF and blocks angiogenesis	positive	[51]
Granzyme B	used by cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer cells to induce cell death	positive	[52] general role in cancer
IFN-alpha	induces the activation of the STAT1 pathway	negative	[53, 54] general role in cancer
IFN-β	can induce autophagy in response to IFN-β treatment	not determined	[15]
IFN-gamma	inhibits cell proliferation	positive	[55]
IFN-gamma R1/CD119	inhibits cell proliferation	positive	[55]
IL-1 beta/IL-1F2	makes the tumor more aggressive and invasive	not determined	[21]
IL-10	high levels are associated with metastasis	not determined	[21]
IL-12/IL-23 p40	promotes inflammation and angiogenesis	negative	[56]
IL-15	increases and activates natural killer cells	not determined	[57]
IL-17/IL-17A	inhibits apoptosis through NF-kB activation, promotes angiogenesis, makes cells more aggressive	negative	[29, 58]
IL-2	high levels associated with more aggressive tumors	not determined	[21]
IL-21	promotes proliferation and invasiveness of breast cancer cells	negative	[21]
IL-4	induces apoptosis in breast cancer cells by producing macrophages and eosinophils	positive	[59]
IL-6	decreases responsiveness to endocrine and chemotherapy	negative	[18]
IL-7	promotes survival and growth of breast cancer cells	negative	[21]
IL-8/CXCL8	low levels are related with lack of hormone receptors and metastasis	negative	[19]
M-CSF	promotes metastasis	negative	[51]
PD-L1/B7-H1	associated with higher tumor grade and increased infiltration of T regulatory cells	negative	[60]
Prolactin	plays a role in tumorigenesis	negative	[61]
TNF-alpha	pro-tumorigenic and contributes to drug resistance development	negative	[62]
TRAIL R2/TNFRSF10B	low levels of membrane-bound associated with metastasis, migration, and invasion of cancer cells	negative	[63] general role in cancer
TRAIL R3/TNFRSF10C	high levels of membrane-bound associated with metastasis	negative	[64]
TRAIL/TNFSF10	selectively triggers cancer cell death	positive	[17]
			general role in cancer
TRANCE/TNFSF11/RANKL	induced by progesterone resulting in the proliferation of mammary progenitor cells	not determined	[65]
TREM-1	triggers amplification of inflammatory responses in the tumor	not determined	[66] role in lung cancer
VEGF	high levels are associated with tumors that have large sizes, high histological grade	negative	[67]
VEGFR3/Flt-4	promotes breast cancer cell proliferation and survival	negative	[68]

A panel of 52 cytokines that mediate different pro- or anti- cancer effects were evaluated in the MCF7 and HCT116 cell lines. The function and impact on survival of these cytokines in BC patients based on existing literature is outlined here.

Prognostic Gene Signatures in CRC		
Pan-drug	HIST2H2BA (H2BP1)	PLGLB2
CDKN1A	HIST2H2BE (H2BC21)	PRR11
FAS	HIST2H2BF (H2BC18)	RIT1
GDF15	HIST2H3A (H3C15); HIST2H3C (H3C14)	STAG1
PPM1D	HIST2H3D (H3C13)	TMEM40
SERPINB5	HIST2H4A (H4C14); HIST2H4B (H4C15)	Oxaliplatin-specific
SESN2	HIST2H4B (H4C15); HIST2H4A (H4C14)	ACTA2
5-FU-specific	HIST3H2BB (H2BU1)	LYPD3
HIST1H1C (H1-2)	NEK2	PHYHIP
HIST1H2AC (H2AC6)	SMAD6	USP53
HIST1H2AE (H2AC8)	CPT-11-specific	Cisplatin-specific
HIST1H2AL (H2AC16); HIST1H2BN (H2BC15)	ABHD4	ATXN7L1
HIST1H2BC (H2BC4)	ANKRA2	CUX1
HIST1H2BD (H2BC5)	BUB1	OSGIN1
HIST1H2BG (H2BC8)	CCNB1	VAV2
HIST1H4E (H4C5)	HPCAL1	ZFAT
HIST1H4H (H4C8)	MXD4; MIR4800	Cis/Ox-specific
HIST2H2AA3 (H2AC18); HIST2H2AA4 (H2AC19)	PI4K2A	SNAI1
HIST2H2AA4 (H2AC19); HIST2H2AA3 (H2AC18)	PIP4K2A	

Table 3	. Prognostic	Gene	Signatures	in	CRC
---------	--------------	------	------------	----	-----

Chemotherapy-inducible transcripts have varying potential for prognostic significance in CRC vs. BC. We evaluated previously established prognostic CRC gene signatures [13] for prognostic value in BC. Only one transcript, H2BC4, also had prognostic value (*P*-value <0.05) in BC (red, bolded text) according to analysis in cBioPortal.

using a system based on the principles of flow cytometry (see https://www.luminexcorp.com/ luminex-100200/#overview for more information). A custom 52-cytokine panel was split into 34-plex and 18-plex assays (R&D LXSAHM) and was run on the Luminex 200 instrument according to the manufacturer's protocol.

TCGA analysis

Generation of prognostic CRC signatures (Table 3): Our lab previously generated prognostic drug-induced gene signatures in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells treated with cisplatin, oxaliplatin, irinotecan (CPT-11), or 5-FU. Briefly, this involved using cBioPortal and TCGA Colorectal Adenocarcinoma PanCancer Atlas database (containing 592 samples with RNA-seq data) to determine the difference in overall survival between groups of patients with low or high mRNA expression (<-1 or >1 standard deviation from the mean of all samples, respectively) of genes within the drug-induced gene signatures [13]. Here, we selected 45 genes from these previously established signatures which had high prognostic value (logrank Pvalue <0.05), along with an additional 5 genes that were included in the prognostic CRC signature after evaluation of existing literature, to generate a 50-gene prognostic CRC signature. We evaluated this 50-gene signature for prognostic value (logrank *P*-value <0.05) in breast cancer using cBioPortal. The TCGA Breast Invasive Carcinoma PanCancer Atlas database (containing 1082 samples with RNA-seq data) was used to determine the difference in overall survival between groups of patients with low or high mRNA expression (<-1 or >1 standard deviation from the mean of all samples, respectively) of genes within this 50-gene signature.

Generation of non-prognostic CRC signatures (**Table 4**): Using drug-induced gene signatures previously established in the lab [13], we established non-prognostic (*P*-value >0.2) drug-induced gene signatures in CRC using cBioPortal (analysis as described above). We evaluated this non-prognostic gene signature for prognostic significance (*P*-value <0.05) in BC.

Statistical analysis

MTT assay: Mean and standard deviation were calculated for each drug treatment concentration.

Table 4. Non-prognostic dene Signatures in ono			
Non-prognostic Gene Signatures in CRC			
Pan-drug	FDXR	EGR1	
ATF3	FHIT	ETS1	
BTG2	FMNL2	FAM214A	
CD274	FTO	FGD1	
DCP1B	G2E3	FGD4	
E2F7	GRHL3	FOS	
IER5	HMGA2	GLIPR1	
MDM2	HS6ST2	HOXB8	
PLK2	IFIT3	HS6ST1	
POLH	IFNAR2	KLF6	
PRDM1	IKBIP	KLK6	
RNF19B	IPO11; LRRC70	KLK7	
SERTAD1	IRS2	KRTAP2-2	
SESN1	ISG15	LAMB3	
TOB1	KIAA0040	MAP1S	
TP53INP1	KITLG	MBD5	
TUBGCP3	KSR2	NKTR	
5-FU-specific	LAMP3	NR4A3	
ARHGEF39	LIN37	NUAK1	
CCNG2	LRBA	RBM24	
CENPA	LYNX1	RIOK3	
DTL	MED13L	RNU11	
FAM83D	MGAT5	SAT1	
HIST1H2AH (H2AC12)	MSI2	SHFM1 (SEM1)	
HIST1H2AJ (H2AC14)	NBAS	SRSF5	
HIST1H2BB (H2BC3)	NTPCR	SUSD2	
HIST1H2BF (H2BC7)	OR51B5	TGM2	
HIST1H2BH (H2BC9)	0R51I1	THEM6	
HIST1H2BK (H2BC12)	PARD6G	TIGAR	
HIST1H2BO (H2BC17)	PDLIM3	TUFT1	
HIST1H3A (H3C1)	PIBF1	ULBP1	
HIST1H3B (H3C2)	PPP3CA	VCAN	
HIST1H3F (H3C7)	PRRG4	Cisplatin-specific	
HIST1H3G (H3C8)	PTCH1	ADAM22	
HIST1H4A (H4C1)	PTK2	ARHGEF3	
HIST1H4B (H4C2)	PTPRO	ARID5B	
HIST1H4D (H4C4)	RAB27B	ATXN1	
HIST1H4K (H4C12)	RAB33B	BAZ2B	
HIST2H2AB (H2AC21)	RABGAP1L	BTBD9	
HIST2H2AC (H2AC20)	RAD51B	CDKL5	
HIST3H2A (H2AW)	RAET1G	CMIP	
INCENP	RASA1	DOCK4	
KIRREL	REEP1	EXT1	
MYBL1	RGS5	FAM217B	
PLAU	RHOBTB3	FUT8	
PSMC3IP	RINL	GBX2	
RASSF6	RPS27L	GTF2IRD1	
SOX4	RRM2B	IGF2BP3	

Table 4.	Non-prognostic	Gene Signatures in (CRC
----------	----------------	----------------------	-----

TCGA analysis: Samples selected in cBioPortal had mRNA expression z-scores relative to all samples. Logrank test *P*-values were considered to be significant if they were below 0.05.

Cytokine profiling: The mean of biological duplicates was used as a final fold-change value.

Results

Differential sensitivity of MCF7 BC cells to cytotoxic chemotherapeutic drugs

IC50 concentrations were established in the MCF7 cell line for doxorubicin (1.42 µM), paclitaxel (1.59 μM), oxaliplatin (2.7 μM), docetaxel (9.93 µM), 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (10 µM), cisplatin (29.5 μ M), and carboplatin (50 μ M) using an MTT assay (Figure 1A-C). The established IC50 concentrations were used for subsequent cytokine profiling experiments. In the HCT116 cell line. IC50 concentrations were established for 5-FU (9.8 µM), oxaliplatin (2 µM), and cisplatin (14.8 µM) using a CellTiterGlo assay (Figure 1D).

TRAIL-R2, IFN- β , Chitinase 3-like 1, and TRAIL are the only cytokines out of a panel of 52 that were regulated by a majority of clinically used drugs in BC

Evaluating cytokine production post-drug treatment can reveal information about drug mechanisms and how they mediate proand anti-cancer responses in cancer cells. To investigate this, we treated MCF7 BC cells with oxaliplatin, cisplatin, 5-FU, doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, or carboplatin at their respective IC50 concentrations, harvested the cell supernatant after 24 hours, and analyzed levels of 52 cytokines using Luminex 200 Instrumentation. The function and impact on survival of these cytokines in BC patients

TRAF4	RSRC1	JARID2
TRIM59	SDC1	KAT6B
ттк	SFN	KDM4C
ZNF658	SLC29A3	KLF12
CPT-11-specific	SMAD3	KLHL29
ABCA12	SPAG5	MAML2
AKR1B15	STAT4	MY01E
APAF1	STK17A	NFKBIA
APOBEC3C	STK39	NR6A1
APOBEC3H	TBL1X	OPHN1
ARHGAP10	TCP11L1	OR2M3
BAG1	THUMPD3-AS1	OR8D1
BCKDHB	TLR3	PHLPP1
BIRC3	TMEM63B	PITPNC1
CAMK2D	TNFRSF10C	PRKCE
CCDC91	TP53I3	PRPF39
CCNB2	TP73	PTPRM
CDKAL1	TRIO	SIPA1L3
CDKL2	TRPM6	SSH2
CITED2	VPS13B	TANC1
CMBL	VTI1A	TANC2
CNNM4	WDR63 (DNAI3)	TBC1D22A
COG5	XPC	TBX3
COL17A1	XRCC4	TESK1
CTBP2	ZNF672	TIAM1
DEPDC7	ZNF804A	TMEM133 (ARHGAP42)
DIAPH2	ZNF823	TNS3
DOCK3	Oxaliplatin-specific	TSPAN18
DRAM1	AEN	UVRAG
DST	AHNAK2	ZNF407
DYM	C3orf14	ZNF426
EFNB1	CD22; MIR5196	CisOx-specific
EHBP1	CSRNP1	ARRDC4
EPN3	CTSS	GADD45A
EXOC6B	DUSP1	LPAR6
FAF1	DUSP19	SLC10A5
		70502

Non-prognostic CRC signatures have prognostic value in BC. Using druginduced gene signatures previously established in the lab [13], we established non-prognostic (*P*-value >0.2) CRC gene signatures using cBioPortal. While these signatures were non-prognostic in CRC, several transcripts were prognostic (*P*-value <0.05) in BC (red, bolded text).

based on existing literature is outlined in Table 2.

We observed that all of the drugs upregulated Chitinase 3-like 1 (CHI3L1) and TRAIL-R2 (**Figure 2A**). CHI3L1 induces pro-angiogenic/ pro-tumorigenic factors and is associated with a poor prognosis in multiple cancer types in-

cluding breast and colorectal cancer [14]. Soluble TRAIL-R2 cytokine can serve as a decoy receptor for death receptor 5 (DR5) to inhibit apoptosis and may indicate poor survival when expressed at high levels. Most of the drugs downregulated IFN-B, which is likely beneficial for survival of the patient due to its role in inducing autophagy in response to IFN- β treatment [15, 16]. Most of the drugs also downregulated TRAIL, a pro-apoptotic cytokine [17]. In summary, each of the chemotherapeutic drugs regulated cytokines in a way that may exert both positive and negative effects on cancer progression.

Identification of pan-drug mechanisms of efficacy point toward the development of potential targeted therapies, whereas identification of pan-drug mechanisms of acquired resistance point toward potential combination therapies to improve outcomes.

Drug-specific cytokine induction reveals possible mechanisms of efficacy, toxicity, and/or acquired resistance

In addition to the observed pandrug cytokine regulation, there were also drug-specific effects, including across some drugs with identical primary targets or similar mechanisms of action (**Figure 2A**). These drug effects were mostly on cytokines belonging to the IL or CXCL family. Interleukins (IL) and CXCLs often exert a positive effect on cancer progression by mediating responses which aid proliferation.

Taxane-induced cytokine signatures: Docetaxel and Paclitaxel are both taxanes which share similar structure and mechanism of action. Not surprisingly, some cytokines were similarly regulated by these drugs including IFN- β (decreased), BAFF (decreased), CXCL10 (increased), and TRAIL-R2 (increased), although these cytokines were also similarly regulated by other



Figure 1. The concentration of each drug needed to kill half of the cells. Images of MCF7 MTT assay plates (A, B) and corresponding IC50 curves generated in GraphPad Prism (C). MCF7 cells were least sensitive to carboplatin

and cisplatin and most sensitive to oxaliplatin, doxorubicin, and paclitaxel. The IC50 concentrations were used for treatment of cells for cytokine profiling. IC50 concentrations for HCT116 cells were measured using a CellTiter-Glo assay (D).

drugs without similar mechanisms of action such as cisplatin and oxaliplatin.

We also noted some striking differences in regulation of cytokines by docetaxel vs. paclitaxel such as TRAIL, IL-8, CXCL11, IL-17, and Granzyme B. Interestingly, the downregulation of IL-17 was observed after treatment with docetaxel and cisplatin, but this effect did not extend to paclitaxel although this drug shares a similar mechanism to docetaxel (Figure 2A). IL-17 is needed for the tumor-promoting activity of myeloidderived suppressor cells, thus cisplatin and docetaxel may have a unique ability to inhibit the pro-cancer effects of these cells [18].

Also surprising was the observation that paclitaxel was the only drug to upregulate IL-8/CXCL8, a cytokine associated with metastasis and poor prognosis in breast cancer [19, 20] (Figure 2A). Although docetaxel and paclitaxel belong to the same class of drugs, their cytokine regulation showed that there may be distinctions in how they mediate their effects.

Platinum-based drug-induced cytokine signatures: Carboplatin, cisplatin, and oxaliplatin share similar platinumbased structures and similar mechanisms of action. Not surprisingly, these drugs had similar effects on some cyto-



Figure 2. Regulation of cytokines by chemotherapy in the MCF7 breast cancer cell line and HCT116 colorectal cancer cell line. A. IC50 drug concentrations were used to treat MCF7 cells and conditioned media was collected for the profiling of 52 cytokines. B. Comparison of regulation of cytokines by chemotherapy between the MCF7 breast cancer cell line and the HCT116 colorectal cancer line. Respective IC50 drug concentrations were used to treat both cell lines and conditioned media was collected for the profile at 24 hours (MCF7) and at 48 hours (HCT116).

kines such as TRAIL (decreased), TRAIL-R2 (increased), Chitinase 3-like 1 (increased), and CXCL10 (increased). However, these drugs had divergent effects on several cytokines in the panel. For example, IL-17 was downregulated by cisplatin, upregulated by oxaliplatin, and not changed by carboplatin. As IL-17 is needed for the tumor-promoting activity of myeloid-derived suppressor cells [18], this observation may indicate an opposite effect on this cell population by cisplatin and oxaliplatin. While carboplatin and cisplatin had no effect on IL-10 and CXCL13 levels, oxaliplatin upregulated these cytokines (Figure 2A). High levels of IL-10 correlate with metastasis and CXCL13 increases BC cell proliferation, indicating a possible pro-cancer effect of oxaliplatin that does not extend to carboplatin or cisplatin [19-21]. CXCL11/I-TAC, responsible for the migration of cancer [22], was upregulated by oxaliplatin but downregulated by carboplatin. Oxaliplatin may pose more harm than carboplatin since it is able to exert more tumor-enhancing effects through several cytokines. Again, despite having similar structures and mechanisms, these drugs were able to have individual effects on some cytokines.

5-FU-specific cytokine regulation: 5-FU was the only drug to downregulate CXCL10 (**Figure 2A**), a cytokine that is involved in the NF-kB pathway and which promotes angiogenesis, suggesting a 5-FU-specific mechanism of cancer inhibition. However, CXCL10 can also exert an anti-tumorigenic role by inducing Granzyme B production [20], this upregulation or downregulation may pose a beneficial effect that is likely context dependent.

Doxorubicin-specific cytokine induction: Interestingly, doxorubicin did not downregulate any cytokines in the panel but did upregulate several (**Figure 2A**). Cytokines uniquely upregulated by doxorubicin include BAFF, CXCL9, and IL-6. BAFF has been shown to increase stemness in epithelial breast cancer cells which is associated with metastasis [23]. IL-6 is responsible for stimulating the growth and invasiveness of MCF7 cells and is involved in the activation of the NF-kB pathway which promotes proliferation and survival of malignant cells as well as anti-estrogen resistance [18].

The promotion of anti-estrogen resistance by doxorubicin through the IL-6 cytokine may suggest that this drug would not be suitable in combination with hormone therapy. Unlike BAFF and IL-6, CXCL9 inhibits chemotaxis to endothelial cells which has a positive prognosis in cancer [20]. Still, the positive role of CXCL9 may not outweigh the harms of BAFF and IL-6.

These observations suggest that patients treated with doxorubicin may benefit further from combination therapies to mitigate these potentially harmful effects. Together, we identify drug-specific effects which enhance our understanding of how these drugs function, directing the development of novel treatment combinations to enhance efficacy or avoid toxicity.

Comparison of drug-induced cytokine signatures across CRC and BC reveal tissue-specific drug effects

It is recognized that widely used cytotoxic chemotherapies such as 5-FU, oxaliplatin, and cisplatin have tissue-specific effects however it remains less clear if these effects extend to cytokine regulation and/or secretion. To investigate this, we measured cytokine levels in cisplatin, oxaliplatin, or 5-FU-treated HCT116 colorectal cancer cells (48-hour treatment) and compared them to drug-induced cytokine levels in MCF7 cells (24-hour treatment) [13].

We observed many differences across cell line, including enhanced downregulation of VEGF and CXCL11 in the HCT116 cells, enhanced TRAIL-R2 upregulation in the MCF7 cells, and enhanced downregulation of IFN- β and TRAIL in the MCF7 cells (**Figure 2B**).

A limitation of this experiment is that cytokines were measured at different time points posttreatment and it is possible that some cytokines are differentially regulated at 24 hours compared to 48 hours. However, it is likely that striking differences such as downregulation IFN- β and TRAIL, and upregulation of TRAIL-R2, in the MCF7 cell line at 24 hours may extend to 48 hours.

Overall, these findings indicate that MCF7 cells are more prone to chemotherapy-mediated downregulation of TRAIL and upregulation of TRAIL-R2 by cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and 5-FU, both of which are likely to have pro-tumor effects. Future directions could include investigation across other cell types and with other clinically relevant drugs used for treatment of BC.

Chemotherapy-inducible transcripts have varying potential for prognostic significance in CRC versus BC

Our analysis of CRC and BC cytokine regulation after drug treatment revealed some similar drug effects across cancer type, but also revealed some tissue-specific effects of cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and 5-FU. Based on this, we hypothesized that a database previously established in the lab containing prognostic druginduced gene signatures in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells treated with cisplatin, oxaliplatin, irinotecan (CPT-11), or 5-FU would contain some genes that were similarly prognostic in breast cancer, and some genes that were strikingly different across tissue type [13] (Tables 3 and 4). To investigate this, we used the computational tool cBioPortal and the TCGA Breast Invasive Carcinoma PanCancer Atlas database (containing 1082 samples with RNA-seg data) to determine the difference in overall survival between groups of patients with low or high mRNA expression (<-1 or >1 standard deviation from the mean of all samples, respectively) of genes within this CRC database. Genes were considered prognostic if the log rank P-value was below 0.05.

Only 1 gene (H2BC4) from a list of 50 that were prognostic in CRC (**Table 3**) was also prognostic in BC (**Figure 3**), indicating that though some chemotherapy-induced genes play a role in patient survival across tissue type, most are tissue-specific. High levels of H2BC4 correlate with better survival in BC while low levels correlate with better survival in CRC (**Figure 3**), further demonstrating this tissue specificity.



Figure 3. One gene (H2BC4) from a prognostic gene signature in CRC is also prognostic in BC. Kaplan-Meier curves correlate with the bolded gene found in **Table 3**. High levels of H2BC4 correlate with better survival in BC while low levels correlate with better survival in CRC, suggesting chemotherapy-induced genes play a tissue-specific role in patient survival.

We also established non-prognostic druginduced CRC gene signatures and evaluated them for prognostic value in BC (**Table 4**). We found that among the non-prognostic CRC genes, 25 genes were prognostic in BC (**Figure 4**). This suggests, perhaps unsurprisingly, that there is variation in the contribution of drugregulated genes to patient survival across organ type.

Two of the genes that were not prognostic in CRC but were prognostic in BC are NFKBIA and GADD45A (**Figure 5**). In line with our finding that higher expression levels correlate with better survival, NFKBIA is responsible for inhibiting the NFKB pathway which promotes proliferation of malignant cells [24] and higher levels of GADD45A are associated with increased sensitivity to docetaxel and paclitaxel. Furthermore, NFKB activity inhibits the GADD45 promoter, thus suppressing this pathway's activity also aids with overcoming drug resistance [25].

The drug-specific prognostic genes between the two cancers vary due to the heterogeneity in the drug response. These genes should be further evaluated in drug-treated MCF7 cells, to confirm tissue-specific prognostic gene signatures, because we only previously tested their regulation in CRC.

Discussion

Immune responses can play a key role in both cancer development and tumor suppression, and these responses are in part regulated by the identity and magnitude of cytokines present and secreted by cancer cells. We analyzed cytokine responses of MCF7 BC cells to multiple clinically used chemotherapeutic agents in order to gain a better understanding how these drugs mediate anti- or pro- cancer responses.

When treating luminal breast cancer, chemotherapy is used in combination with hormone therapy to improve outcomes [6]. It is possible that additional combinations to combat the upregulation of harmful cytokines, like CHI3L and TRAIL-R2 (upregulated by all seven chemotherapeutic agents investigated here) could even further improve outcomes.

The mechanism by which TRAIL-R2 is increased is unclear. While we previously discovered that











Figure 4. A subset of a non-prognostic gene signature in CRC is prognostic in BC. Kaplan-Meier curves correlate with the genes found in Table 4.



Figure 5. Upregulation of GADD45A and NFKBIA is associated with better overall survival in breast cancer but not colorectal cancer. High expression of GADD45A is associated with better survival in BC (A) but not CRC (B). High expression of NFKBIA correlates with better survival in BC (C) but not CRC (D).

TRAIL-R2 (DR5) is a p53 target gene and MCF7 cells have wild-type p53, the soluble TRAIL-R2 may be increased due to the activity of proteases [26]. Proteases are increased in the tumor microenvironment and may oppose the innate immune system in killing BC cells through increase in soluble TRAIL-R2 [27]. It is interesting that in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells, TRAIL-R2 was decreased in the presence of oxaliplatin and 5-FU. While it is well-documented that TRAIL-R2 on the cell surface is upregulated after treatment with DNA-damaging agents in a p53-dependent manner, the effects of these compounds on soluble TRAIL-R2 are much less studied. It is possible that HCT116 and MCF7 have differential protease activity which impacts on the drug effects on soluble TRAIL-R2.

Paclitaxel and docetaxel have similar mechanisms of action, yet they had different effects on cytokine levels. Previous clinical studies have shown that early luminal A breast cancer survival does not benefit from taxane (paclitaxel, docetaxel) addition to hormone therapy but taxane addition does benefit metastatic luminal BC survival [28]. Paclitaxel may pose more harm than docetaxel since it upregulated multiple harmful cytokines (IL-6, CXCL11, IL-8, etc.) that docetaxel either downregulated or had no effect on. Elevated levels of IL-17A have been associated with protection from docetaxelinduced death in MCF7 cells [29], however in the profile docetaxel was able to downregulate this cytokine, suggesting that docetaxel may be effective at overcoming the barrier IL-17 poses to cell death.

Tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cells have shown increased sensitivity to 5-FU compared to wildtype MCF7 cells [30]. Our investigation revealed that 5-FU downregulated many cytokines that aid cancer progression, further supporting the use of 5-FU after patients have become resistant to tamoxifen.

Protein expression of erbB-2 (HER2) is a predictor of cells' responsiveness to doxorubicin. Overexpression of this protein is correlated with increased topoisomerase-IIa expression, a target for doxorubicin action [31]. While MFC7 is a HER2 negative cancer, doxorubicin still induced robust cell death and cytokine induction. Doxorubicin may not be the most effective agent for treating luminal breast cancer. The status of erbB-2 may not be the reason for doxorubicin's lack of effectiveness since the cells were sensitive to the drug. Doxorubicin could contribute to mechanisms of acquired resistance through the upregulation of many harmful cytokines. The apparent unique inability of doxorubicin to down-regulate any cytokines in the panel could indicate a doxorubicin-specific effect in which harmful cytokines are more likely to be upregulated compared to the other drugs.

While cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and carboplatin are all platinum-based drugs that function similarly, they had distinct effects on the cytokine panel. Cisplatin had a unique effect on the IL-17 cytokine that only docetaxel was also able to have. Carboplatin and oxaliplatin had many opposing effects on the panel. The mechanism of each drug may ultimately distinguish their pathway of action to yield varying results in the cell, and the results suggest there may be differences in structurally related drugs.

Genes from the prognostic and non-prognostic CRC signatures that had prognostic significance in BC survival could be further evaluated in drug-treated BC cells. Our cBioPortal analysis found that differential regulation of these genes impacted patient survival differently across cancer type. Most of the genes that were prognostic in CRC were not prognostic in BC. On the other hand, several non-prognostic CRC genes were prognostic in BC. Validation of the regulation of these genes by drugs in BC cells could aid in their development as predictive or prognostic biomarkers. Furthermore, these genes should be assessed in other relevant cancers, for instance, endometrial cancer, to further build upon their prognostic value.

The inflammatory results of the NFKB pathway, mediated by cytokines which include IL-1, IL-6, and IL-12, progress the development of breast cancer thus the NFKBIA gene has a positive effect on survival by inhibiting this [24, 32]. GADD45A can serve as a potential target to further study the effects of taxane therapy on luminal breast cancer. Understanding taxane effects may create better guidelines on when it should be implemented in the treatment of advanced or metastatic luminal BC.

The lack of treatment information in the TCGA database is a limitation of this study. Without treatment information, we cannot fully understand the prognostic significance in terms of the drugs that are used for patient treatment. Exploring the effects of these drugs in other BC cell lines and subtypes could create a better understanding of the tumor environment and which chemotherapies are more effective for each subtype.

Other future directions include using a hormone therapy in the study as well as evaluating drug combinations. Tamoxifen is a hormone therapy that is commonly used in luminal breast cancer treatment [33]. Having a better understanding of the tamoxifen immune profile in MCF7 cells may reveal more about what is responsible for the efficacy and toxicity of this treatment. In the clinic, these chemotherapies are not used as single agents, which is why it is important to evaluate what immune response combinations of drugs yield in the cancer cells. Understanding the signals involved in drug responses can lead to the development of novel drug combinations.

Lastly, it will also be vital to analyze the gene signatures and cytokines in other preclinical models such as patient-derived or transgenic organoids as well as patient tissue and blood samples. These models could address the complexity of intra- and inter-tumor heterogeneity. This work should involve evaluation across BC and CRC subtypes to further address tumor heterogeneity.

In summary, we establish a 7-drug, 52-cytokine signature in BC cells and a 3-drug, 40cytokine signature in CRC cells that suggest drug-specific and tissue-specific cytokine regulation. Differences across prognostic gene signatures in BC and CRC further support tissue specificity in impact of gene expression on patient survival.

Acknowledgements

W.S.E-D. is an American Cancer Society Research Professor and is supported by the Mencoff Family University Professorship at Brown University. This work was supported by the Teymour Alireza P'98, P'00 Family Cancer Research Fund established by the Alireza Family. L.G. contributed to the research as part of the Leadership Alliance National Consortium at Brown University during the summer of 2021. Laboratory facilities at Brown University (W.S.E-D.) and at Cornell University (W.H.S.) were used to complete the experiments. Due to the COVID pandemic that continued during the summer of 2021, students participating in the Leadership Alliance Program were not able to be physically present at the laboratory facilities at Brown University. The work was conducted under the guidance of W.S.E-D. at Brown University. The co-authors are grateful to W.H.S. for allowing L.G. to perform the MCF7 cell culture, cytotoxicity assays and harvesting of samples in connection with this work in her laboratory at Cornell University.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Wafik S El-Deiry, Laboratory of Translational Oncology and Experimental Cancer Therapeutics, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, The Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, 222 Richmond Street, Providence, RI 02903, USA. Tel: 610-800-2443; E-mail: wafik@brown.edu

References

- [1] Cancer stat facts: female breast cancer. NIH NCI surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program. https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/breast.html.
- [2] Breast cancer-metastatic: statistics. Cancer. Net, 2021. https://www.cancer.net/cancertypes/breast-cancer-metastatic/statistics.
- [3] Molecular subtypes of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Org: https://www.breastcancer.org/ symptoms/types/molecular-subtypes.
- [4] Marra A, Trapani D, Viale G, Criscitiello C and Curigliano G. Practical classification of triple-

negative breast cancer: intratumoral heterogeneity, mechanisms of drug resistance, and novel therapies. NPJ Breast Cancer 2020; 6: 54.

- [5] Rawla P, Sunkara T and Barsouk A. Epidemiology of colorectal cancer: incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. Prz Gastroenterol 2019; 14: 89-103.
- [6] Gradishar WJ, Anderson BO, Abraham J, Aft R, Agnese D, Allison KH, Blair SL, Burstein HJ, Dang C, Elias AD, Giordano SH, Goetz MP, Goldstein LJ, Isakoff SJ, Krishnamurthy J, Lyons J, Marcom PK, Matro J, Mayer IA, Moran MS, Mortimer J, O'Regan RM, Patel SA, Pierce LJ, Rugo HS, Sitapati A, Smith KL, Smith ML, Soliman H, Stringer-Reasor EM, Telli ML, Ward JH, Young JS, Burns JL and Kumar R. Breast cancer, version 3.2020, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2020; 18: 452-478.
- [7] Hormone therapy for breast cancer. American Cancer Society: https://www.cancer.org/cancer/breast-cancer/treatment/hormone-therapy-for-breast-cancer.html.
- [8] Gao JJ and Swain SM. Luminal A breast cancer and molecular assays: a review. Oncologist 2018; 23: 556-565.
- [9] Xie YH, Chen YX and Fang JY. Comprehensive review of targeted therapy for colorectal cancer. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2020; 5: 22.
- [10] Hussain SP and Harris CC. Inflammation and cancer: an ancient link with novel potentials. Int J Cancer 2007; 121: 2373-2380.
- [11] Kartikasari AER, Huertas CS, Mitchell A and Plebanski M. Tumor-induced inflammatory cytokines and the emerging diagnostic devices for cancer detection and prognosis. Front Oncol 2021; 11: 692142.
- [12] Henry NL and Hayes DF. Cancer biomarkers. Mol Oncol 2012; 6: 140-146.
- [13] Carlsen L, Schorl C, Huntington K, Hernandez-Borrero L, Jhaveri A, Zhang S, Zhou L and El-Deiry WS. Pan-drug and drug-specific mechanisms of 5-FU, irinotecan (CPT-11), oxaliplatin, and cisplatin identified by comparison of transcriptomic and cytokine responses of colorectal cancer cells. Oncotarget 2021; 12: 2006-2021.
- [14] Libreros S, Garcia-Areas R and Iragavarapu-Charyulu V. CHI3L1 plays a role in cancer through enhanced production of pro-inflammatory/pro-tumorigenic and angiogenic factors. Immunol Res 2013; 57: 99-105.
- [15] Ambjørn M, Ejlerskov P, Liu Y, Lees M, Jäättelä M and Issazadeh-Navikas S. IFNB1/interferonβ-induced autophagy in MCF-7 breast cancer cells counteracts its proapoptotic function. Autophagy 2013; 9: 287-302.
- [16] He W, Wang Q, Xu J, Xu X, Padilla MT, Ren G, Gou X and Lin Y. Attenuation of TNFSF10/

TRAIL-induced apoptosis by an autophagic survival pathway involving TRAF2- and RIPK1/ RIP1-mediated MAPK8/JNK activation. Autophagy 2012; 8: 1811-1821.

- [17] Mérino D, Lalaoui N, Morizot A, Solary E and Micheau O. TRAIL in cancer therapy: present and future challenges. Expert Opin Ther Targets 2007; 11: 1299-1314.
- [18] Esquivel-Velázquez M, Ostoa-Saloma P, Palacios-Arreola MI, Nava-Castro KE, Castro JI and Morales-Montor J. The role of cytokines in breast cancer development and progression. J Interferon Cytokine Res 2015; 35: 1-16.
- [19] Chin AR and Wang SE. Cytokines driving breast cancer stemness. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2014; 382: 598-602.
- [20] Liu H, Yang Z, Lu W, Chen Z, Chen L, Han S, Wu X, Cai T and Cai Y. Chemokines and chemokine receptors: a new strategy for breast cancer therapy. Cancer Med 2020; 9: 3786-3799.
- [21] Fasoulakis Z, Kolios G, Papamanolis V and Kontomanolis EN. Interleukins associated with breast cancer. Cureus 2018; 10: e3549.
- [22] Puchert M, Obst J, Koch C, Zieger K and Engele J. CXCL11 promotes tumor progression by the biased use of the chemokine receptors CXCR3 and CXCR7. Cytokine 2020; 125: 154809.
- [23] Pelekanou V, Notas G, Athanasouli P, Alexakis K, Kiagiadaki F, Peroulis N, Kalyvianaki K, Kampouri E, Polioudaki H, Theodoropoulos P, Tsapis A, Castanas E and Kampa M. BCMA (TNFRSF17) induces APRIL and BAFF mediated breast cancer cell stemness. Front Oncol 2018; 8: 301.
- [24] Liu T, Zhang L, Joo D and Sun SC. NF-κB signaling in inflammation. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2017; 2: 17023.
- [25] Tamura RE, de Vasconcellos JF, Sarkar D, Libermann TA, Fisher PB and Zerbini LF. GADD45 proteins: central players in tumorigenesis. Curr Mol Med 2012; 12: 634-651.
- [26] Wu GS, Burns TF, McDonald ER 3rd, Jiang W, Meng R, Krantz ID, Kao G, Gan DD, Zhou JY, Muschel R, Hamilton SR, Spinner NB, Markowitz S, Wu G and el-Deiry WS. KILLER/DR5 is a DNA damage-inducible p53-regulated death receptor gene. Nat Genet 1997; 17: 141-143.
- [27] Mason SD and Joyce JA. Proteolytic networks in cancer. Trends Cell Biol 2011; 21: 228-237.
- [28] Fukada I, Araki K, Kobayashi K, Kobayashi T, Horii R, Akiyama F, Takahashi S, Iwase T and Ito Y. Therapeutic effect of taxanes on metastatic breast cancer of various immunohistochemical subtypes. Oncol Lett 2016; 12: 663-669.
- [29] Cochaud S, Giustiniani J, Thomas C, Laprevotte E, Garbar C, Savoye AM, Curé H, Mascaux C, Alberici G, Bonnefoy N, Eliaou JF, Bensussan A and Bastid J. IL-17A is produced by breast

cancer TILs and promotes chemoresistance and proliferation through ERK1/2. Sci Rep 2013; 3: 3456.

- [30] Watanabe T, Oba T, Tanimoto K, Shibata T, Kamijo S and Ito KI. Tamoxifen resistance alters sensitivity to 5-fluorouracil in a subset of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. PLoS One 2021; 16: e0252822.
- [31] Paik S, Bryant J, Park C, Fisher B, Tan-Chiu E, Hyams D, Fisher ER, Lippman ME, Wickerham DL and Wolmark N. erbB-2 and response to doxorubicin in patients with axillary lymph node-positive, hormone receptor-negative breast cancer. J Nat Cancer Inst 1998; 90: 1361-1370.
- [32] Ali S and Lazennec G. Chemokines: novel targets for breast cancer metastasis. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2007; 26: 401-420.
- [33] Hwang KT, Kim EK, Jung SH, Lee ES, Kim SI, Lee S, Park HK, Kim J, Oh S and Kim YA; Korean Breast Cancer Society. Tamoxifen therapy improves overall survival in luminal A subtype of ductal carcinoma in situ: a study based on nationwide Korean Breast Cancer Registry database. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2018; 169: 311-322.
- [34] Alcindor T and Beauger N. Oxaliplatin: a review in the era of molecularly targeted therapy. Curr Oncol 2011; 18: 18-25.
- [35] Aldossary AS. Review on pharmacology of cisplatin: clinical use, toxicity and mechanism of resistance of cisplatin. Biomed Pharmacol J 2019; 12.
- [36] Carboplatin. DrugBank Online, https://go. drugbank.com/drugs/DB00958.
- [37] Doxorubicin. StatPearls Publishing, 2020 Jul 26. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK459232/.
- [38] Paclitaxel. DrugBank Online, https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB01229.
- [39] Docetaxel. StatPearls Publishing, 2020 Dec2. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK-537242/.
- [40] Fluorouracil. StatPearls Publishing, 2021 Jul 18. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK549808/.
- [41] Jiang P, Gao W, Ma T, Wang R, Piao Y, Dong X, Wang P, Zhang X, Liu Y, Su W, Xiang R, Zhang J and Li N. CD137 promotes bone metastasis of breast cancer by enhancing the migration and osteoclast differentiation of monocytes/macrophages. Theranostics 2019; 9: 2950-2966.
- [42] Asegaonkar SB, Asegaonkar BN, Takalkar UV, Advani S and Thorat AP. C-reactive protein and breast cancer: new insights from old molecule. Int J Breast Cancer 2015; 2015: 145647.
- [43] Osuala KO and Sloane BF. Many roles of CCL20: emphasis on breast cancer. Postdoc J 2014; 2: 7-16.

- [44] Sun J, Sun J, Song B, Zhang L, Shao Q, Liu Y, Yuan D, Zhang Y and Qu X. Fucoidan inhibits CCL22 production through NF-κB pathway in M2 macrophages: a potential therapeutic strategy for cancer. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 35855.
- [45] Liu Y, Cai Y, Liu L, Wu Y and Xiong X. Crucial biological functions of CCL7 in cancer. PeerJ 2018; 6: e4928.
- [46] Farmaki E, Kaza V, Chatzistamou I and Kiaris
 H. CCL8 promotes postpartum breast cancer by recruiting M2 macrophages. iScience 2020; 23: 101217.
- [47] Rossin A, Miloro G and Hueber AO. TRAIL and FasL functions in cancer and autoimmune diseases: towards an increasing complexity. Cancers (Basel) 2019; 11: 639.
- [48] Müllauer L, Mosberger I, Grusch M, Rudas M and Chott A. Fas ligand is expressed in normal breast epithelial cells and is frequently up-regulated in breast cancer. J Pathol 2000; 190: 20-30.
- [49] Min PB and Connor JR. Role of ferritin in cancer biology. J Cancer Sci Ther 2015; 7: 155-160.
- [50] Liu L, Liu Y, Yan X, Zhou C and Xiong X. The role of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in breast cancer development: a review. Mol Med Rep 2020; 21: 2019-2029.
- [51] Eubank TD, Roberts RD, Khan M, Curry JM, Nuovo GJ, Kuppusamy P and Marsh CB. Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor inhibits breast cancer growth and metastasis by invoking an anti-angiogenic program in tumor-educated macrophages. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 2133-2140.
- [52] Rousalova I and Krepela E. Granzyme B-induced apoptosis in cancer cells and its regulation (review). Int J Oncol 2010; 37: 1361-1378.
- [53] Critchley-Thorne RJ, Simons DL, Yan N, Miyahira AK, Dirbas FM, Johnson DL, Swetter SM, Carlson RW, Fisher GA, Koong A, Holmes S and Lee PP. Impaired interferon signaling is a common immune defect in human cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106: 9010-9015.
- [54] Provance OK and Lewis-Wambi J. Deciphering the role of interferon alpha signaling and microenvironment crosstalk in inflammatory breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2019; 21: 59.
- [55] García-Tuñón I, Ricote M, Ruiz AA, Fraile B, Paniagua R and Royuela M. Influence of IFN-gamma and its receptors in human breast cancer. BMC Cancer 2007; 7: 158.
- [56] Gangemi S, Minciullo P, Adamo B, Franchina T, Ricciardi GR, Ferraro M, Briguglio R, Toscano G, Saitta S and Adamo V. Clinical significance of circulating interleukin-23 as a prognostic factor in breast cancer patients. J Cell Biochem 2012; 113: 2122-2125.

- [57] Gillgrass AE, Chew MV, Krneta T and Ashkar AA. Overexpression of IL-15 promotes tumor destruction via NK1.1+ cells in a spontaneous breast cancer model. BMC Cancer 2015; 15: 293.
- [58] Song X, Wei C and Li X. The potential role and status of IL-17 family cytokines in breast cancer. Int Immunopharmacol 2021; 95: 107544.
- [59] Nagai S and Toi M. Interleukin-4 and breast cancer. Breast Cancer 2000; 7: 181-186.
- [60] Soliman H, Khalil F and Antonia S. PD-L1 expression is increased in a subset of basal type breast cancer cells. PLoS One 2014; 9: e88557.
- [61] Zinger M, McFarland M and Ben-Jonathan N. Prolactin expression and secretion by human breast glandular and adipose tissue explants. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2003; 88: 689-696.
- [62] Cruceriu D, Baldasici O, Balacescu O and Berindan-Neagoe I. The dual role of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) in breast cancer: molecular insights and therapeutic approaches. Cell Oncol (Dordr) 2020; 43: 1-18.
- [63] Tahir RA, Sehgal SA, Khattak NA, Khan Khattak JZ and Mir A. Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily 10B (TNFRSF10B): an insight from structure modeling to virtual screening for designing drug against head and neck cancer. Theor Biol Med Model 2013; 10: 38.
- [64] Labovsky V, Martinez LM, Davies KM, de Luján Calcagno M, García-Rivello H, Wernicke A, Feldman L, Matas A, Giorello MB, Borzone FR, Choi H, Howard SC and Chasseing NA. Prognostic significance of TRAIL-R3 and CCR-2 expression in tumor epithelial cells of patients with early breast cancer. BMC Cancer 2017; 17: 280.
- [65] Sigl V, Jones LP and Penninger JM. RANKL/ RANK: from bone loss to the prevention of breast cancer. Open Biol 2016; 6: 160230.
- [66] Ho CC, Liao WY, Wang CY, Lu YH, Huang HY, Chen HY, Chan WK, Chen HW and Yang PC. TREM-1 expression in tumor-associated macrophages and clinical outcome in lung cancer. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008; 177: 763-770.
- [67] Liu Y, Tamimi RM, Collins LC, Schnitt SJ, Gilmore HL, Connolly JL and Colditz GA. The association between vascular endothelial growth factor expression in invasive breast cancer and survival varies with intrinsic subtypes and use of adjuvant systemic therapy: results from the Nurses' Health Study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011; 129: 175-184.
- [68] Varney ML and Singh RK. VEGF-C-VEGFR3/Flt4 axis regulates mammary tumor growth and metastasis in an autocrine manner. Am J Cancer Res 2015; 5: 616-628.