Original Article Everolimus combined with 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine generated potent anti-tumor effects on ovarian clear cell cancer stem-like/spheroid cells by inhibiting the COL6A3-AKT-mTOR pathway

Chih-Ming Ho^{1,2,3}, Fa-Kung Lee^{1,2}, Ting-Lin Yen³, Shih-Hung Huang⁴, Wen-Fang Cheng^{5,6,7}

¹Gynecologic Cancer Center, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; ²School of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, Hsinchuang, New Taipei, Taiwan; ³Department of Medical Research, Cathay General Hospital, New Taipei, Taiwan; ⁴Department of Pathology, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, ⁶Graduate Institute of Oncology, ⁷Graduate Institute of Clinical Medicine, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Received August 5, 2021; Accepted December 6, 2021; Epub April 15, 2022; Published April 30, 2022

Abstract: Ovarian clear cell cancer stem-like/spheroid cells (OCCCSCs) were associated with recurrence, metastasis, and chemoresistance in ovarian clear cell carcinoma (OCCC). We evaluated the anti-tumor effects of 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC) combined with everolimus (RAD001) on human OCCC. We investigated parental OCCCSCs and paclitaxel-resistant cell lines derived from OCCCSCs *in vitro* and *in vivo*. A Western blot analysis showed that the 5-aza-dC and RAD001 combination therapy was associated with the COL6A3-AKT-mTOR pathway. The OCCCSCs expressed high levels of stemness markers: CD117, ALDH1, NANOG, OCT4, and CD133. The 5-aza-dC and RAD001 combination inhibited proliferation and survival with up to 100-fold more potency in OCCCSCs compared to OCCC cells. This combination showed significant anti-tumor activity; it preferentially diminished OCCCSC stemness levels and spheroid numbers *in vitro*. Limiting dilution assays showed that OCCCSCs possessed tumor-initiating capacity. The 5-aza-dC and RAD001 combination significantly enhanced the inhibition of tumor growth compared to the 5-aza-dC or RAD001 alone. OCCCSCs showed higher expression levels of COL6A3, phospho-AKT, phospho-mTOR, and phospho-Rictor compared to OCCCs. Silencing COL6A3 or abolishing the phospho-AKT-mTOR-Rictor pathway with 5-aza-dC and RAD001 treatment further enhanced OCCCSC apoptosis and reduced OCCCSC stemness. In conclusion, 5-aza-dC combined with RAD001 effectively controlled OCCC and OCCCSC growth by inhibiting the COL6A3-AKT-mTOR pathway.

Keywords: 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine, everolimus, ovarian cancer, ovarian clear cell, cancer stem cells, COL6A3-AKTmTOR pathway

Introduction

Ovarian clear cell carcinomas (OCCCs) account for 12% of ovarian cancers. OCCCs have become the second most common subtype of epithelial ovarian cancer in North America [1]. A relatively high frequency of OCCC (16-25%) was observed in Taiwan and Japan [2, 3]. The early stages (Stages I and II) of OCCCs and other subtypes are often associated with a good prognosis. However, advanced-stage OCCCs are a poor response to chemotherapy, and this chemoresistance was associated with a grave prognosis [2-5]. The treatment response rate of relapsed or refractory OCCC was lower than 10% even in platinum-sensitive OCCC patients, which was lower than other histologic types, such as serous type [6]. Therefore, we urgently need to develop novel strategies for overcoming OCCC chemoresistance.

Although chemotherapy might initially kill most cancer cells, the residual surviving cancer cells are usually cancer stem-like/spheroid cells (CSCs). These CSCs populations are intrinsically resistant to chemotherapy, and the surviving CSCs can subsequently propagate and give rise to recurrent tumors. In suspension, CSCs can form spheres, which indicates that they have the property of self-renewal, proliferation, and multipotency [7]. Thus, CSCs are typically induced to become "spheroids" to enrich and demonstrate the stemness properties and mesenchymal-like characteristics of the isolated cells [8].

Akt targets the tuberous sclerosis proteins 1 and 2 (TSC1 and TSC2), which form the TSC1/ TSC2 complex and negative regulation of mTOR function. Akt phosphorylates the TSC1/TSC2 complex, which then affects several substrates and promotes cell growth and protein synthesis [9]. OCCCs were shown to be highly responsive to mTORC1-targeted therapy [10]. However, rapalogs cannot inhibit the activity of mTORC2 to achieve sufficient anti-cancer effect due to feedback to activate the Akt survival signaling pathway [11]. Clinically, dual PI3K-mTOR1/2 inhibitors, such as BEZ235 and XL765 applied in cancer patients, exhibit severe hyperglycemia and gastrointestinal toxicity [12, 13]. These observations indicate that a dual inhibition at a therapeutic dose may result in better tumor efficacy than monotherapy. However, it also caused severe systemic toxicity. Therefore, the rationale of combination treatment with other targeted drugs has been proposed to inhibit cancer progression, overcome drug resistance, and reduce additive side effects.

Epigenetic changes, such as abnormal DNA methylation, are associated with resistance to mTOR inhibitors [14]. To overcome mTOR inhibitors' drug resistance, 5AZA-dC to everolimus mTORC1 targeting agent in medullary thyroid cancer cells has been shown a strong synergistic antiproliferative activity through the induction of apoptosis [15]. Our previous study also demonstrated 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 could enhance antitumor efficacy and apoptosis not only in paclitaxel-sensitive but also in paclitaxel-resistant OCCCs [16]. In a phase II trial, patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer who were given low-dose decitabine before carboplatin showed promising results. Among 17 heavily pretreated and platinumresistant ovarian cancer patients, the objective response rate was 35%, and the progressionfree survival was 10.2 months, of which nine patients (53%) were free of progression at 6 months [17].

Collagen VI (COL6A3) was reported to contribute to cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer

[18]. Silencing *COL6A3* interrupted the PI3k-Akt signaling pathway, which inhibited gastric cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion [19]. However, the expression levels of COL6A3, phospho-AKT, mTORC1 (p-mTOR), and mTORC2 (p-Rictor) were higher in OCCC stem-like/spheroid cells (OCCCSCs) than in OCCCs. Thus, everolimus (RAD001) was not sufficient to suppress this pathway.

We hypothesized that silencing COL6A3 participation in the AKT-mTOR pathway might play a vital role in the treatment of OCCCSCs. We recently demonstrated that administering RAD001 after 5-aza-dC provided promising anti-tumor effects against both chemosensitive and chemoresistant OCCCs [16]. However, it remains unclear whether this combination might effectively target OCCCSCs as well as OCCCs. We found the combination treatment with RAD001 and 5-aza-dC had strong synergistic antiproliferation in OCCCSCs compared to OCCCs. When 5-aza-dC was combined with RAD001, we observed up to 100-fold more potency for inhibiting proliferation and survival in OCCCSCs compared to OCCCs. The present study aimed to provide proof-of-concept that RAD001 combined with 5-aza-dC could target OCCCSCs by disrupting the COL6A3-AKT-mTOR pathway.

Materials and methods

The Institutional Review Board of our hospital approved the study protocol. All patients provided informed consent before samples were collected. Ascites samples obtained during surgery or for symptom relief in patients with primary or recurrent ovarian cancer were immediately taken to the laboratory for processing.

Cell lines and cultures

ES2 and TOV21G cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). ES2 and TOV21G cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO_2 at 37°C and were grown in McCoy's 5A medium with 10% FBS. We developed paclitaxel-resistant ES-2 and TOV21G cell lines by continuously exposing cells to paclitaxel, as previously described [16]. The final paclitaxel concentrations used to induce paclitaxel-resistant subclones-called ES2TR and TOV21GTR- were 160 and 200 nM, respectively.

Tumorsphere formation of ovarian cancer stem-like cells

To induce tumorsphere formation, ES2 and ES2TR160, TOV21G and TOV21GTR cells, and ascites isolated from patients with OCCC were cultured in tumorsphere (spheroid) inducing conditions. Briefly, cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium with 20 ng/mL bFGF, 20 ng/mL EGF, 10 ng/mL IGF, and 2% B27 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), with or without 5-aza-dC or RAD001. Dissociated single cells (1×105 cells/mL) were then seeded into ultra-low attachment plates (Corning 3262, Pittston, PA). After 7 days, we counted the number of spheres formed with an Olympus light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Then, tumorspheres obtained after 14 days were harvested and analyzed with flow cytometry.

Reagents/antibodies

Paclitaxel was purchased from Genovate Biotechnology (Hsinchu, Taiwan). 5-aza-2-dC was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). RAD001 was obtained from Novartis Pharma AG (Taipei, Taiwan). ECL Western blotting detection reagents were from Perkin Elmer (Boston, MA). Antibodies that specifically recognized COL6A3, mTOR, phosphomTOR (Ser2448), Rictor, phospho-Rictor, AKT, phospho-AKT (Ser473), phospho-AKT (Thr308), and GAPDH were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). The Cell Titer 96-well proliferation assay kit was obtained from Promega (Madison, WI).

RNA preparation and quantitative real-time PCR

RNA was isolated with TriPure reagent (Roche) and stored at -80°C before use. The quantity and quality of RNA were evaluated with spectrophotometry. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed with a LightCycler Nano (Roche, Upper Bavaria, Germany), the Hs00369360g1 QIAGEN SYBRGreen Gene Expression Assay, and primers. The primers were designed to detect TWIST1 (QT00011956), Snai1 (QT000-10010), Snai2 (QT00044128), MDR1 (QT000-81928), ABCG2 (QT00073206), HIF-1 α (QT00-083664), HIF-2 α (QT00069587), and GAPDH (QT01192646); GAPDH served as an internal control. We applied the following thermocycling conditions: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and

then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The interpolated number (Ct) of cycles needed to reach a fixed threshold above the background noise was used to quantify amplification. The Hs00369360g1 assay and primers-including primers for detecting COL6A3were applied with an ABI-7300 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA). The primer sequences used for detecting COL6A3 were: F-GTGTTCTCGGTGAGCACCTT and R-CAGCAGTTGAGAGTGATGCTG.

Flow cytometric analysis

Specific markers expressed in ovarian parental and cancer stem-like cells were analyzed with flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences, Erembodegem, Belgium). To identify specific cell types, we applied fluorescein isothiocyanate- or phycoerythrin-conjugated antibodies against OCT4, NANOG, AC133, ABCG1, ABCG2, HIF-1 α , and HIF-2 α (BioLegend Cat.372804, San Diego, CA). ALDH1 (Assaypro Cat.32005-05141, St Charles, MO), AC133 (BioLegend Cat.372804, San Diego, CA), AC117 (BD-555714, Franklin Lakes, NJ), and CD44 (Bio-Rad-MCA89F, Hercules, CA).

Cytotoxicity

We assessed the sensitivities of ES2 and ES2TR160 cells or their derived spheroids to paclitaxel or RAD001 with an MTT assay (Sigma, M5655, Saint Louis, MO), Briefly, tumor cells (4000 cells/well) were placed in a 96-well plate and exposed to paclitaxel and/or RAD001 at the indicated concentrations for 72 h at 5% CO_o and 37°C. Control cells were exposed to culture medium alone. MTT was added to the cells at a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 h. Next, the cultured medium was removed, and 200 µL DMSO (Sigma) was added to dissolve the blue formazan crystals. The optical density was measured at 490 nm with a universal microplate reader Elx800 (Bio-Tek Instruments). IC₅₀ values (the concentration of drugs that produced a 50% reduction of absorbance) were calculated and recorded.

Cell proliferation

Cell proliferation was also determined with MTT assays, as described previously [16]. Briefly, we plated ES2, ESTR160, TOV21G, TOV21GTR

cells, or their derived tumorsphere cells at 1×10^4 cells/well in 6-well plates. We treated the cells with different doses of 5-aza-dC, RAD001, 5-aza-dC combined with RAD001, or paclitaxel in growth media for 1, 3, 5, and 7 days, at 5% CO₂ and 37°C. Then, the MTT solution was added to each well, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 h, as described previously. The medium was then aspirated and replaced with a solubilization solution (DMSO). The plates were evaluated on a Micro Elisa reader (Anthos 2001) at 570 nm.

Caspase-3/7 activity

Caspase-3/7 activities were quantitatively determined with the Caspase-Glo 3/7 assay kit (Promega, G8091, Madison, WI), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, ES2 and ES2TR160 parental cells and tumorspheres were seeded and treated with 5-azadC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC combined with RAD-001, as described in section 4.7. After 24 h, cells were lysed and luminogenic substrates specific for the caspase species were added. Light emissions were measured in a luminometer (Berthold Technologies, Wildbad, Germany).

Western blot analysis

Parental tumor cells and tumorspheres were treated with a Protein Extraction Solution (iNtRON-17081, Korea). Lysates were separated with SDS-PAGE (12.5%) and transferred to a PVDF membrane (NEF-1002001PK, Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA). The membrane was blocked in blocking buffer (TBS, with 0.2% Tween 20 and 1% I-block) and incubated with the appropriate polyclonal antibodies for 1 h. A purified rabbit anti-human GAPDH polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) was applied at the same time to serve as the endogenous control. The GAPDH signal was used to normalize the signals generated from the antibodies against mTOR, p-mTOR, Rictor, p-Rictor, AKT, p-Akt (Ser473), p-Akt (Thr308), and COL6A3 (Cell Signaling). After washing the membrane, we applied an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories). The membrane was washed, and the bound antibodies were visualized by developing with the NBT/BCIP chromogens.

COL6A3 shRNA transfection into ES2 and ES2TR160 cells

We performed a COL6A3 knock-down by transfecting cells with human pLKO.1 lentiviral shRNA plasmids (from The RNAi Consortium). The shRNA target sequences were: COL6A3# 1: 5'-GCTTTGCACATATTCGAGATT-3'. COL6A3# 2: 5'-GCCCTCATCCAAAGCATCAAA-3', COL6A3# 3: 5'-CGCGACTTTGTAATGAACCTA-3', COL6A3# 4: 5'-CCTTAATCTATGTGCACCGTT-3', COL6A3#5: 5'-GTGGTTAAGATGCTCCGTGAA-3'.

Animal experiments

NOD/SCID (NOD.CB17 Prkdc scid/Jnarl) mice were purchased from the National Animal Center (Taipei, Taiwan) and maintained following institutional policies. All experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Cathay General Hospital.

In the first experiments, 5-7-week-old nod/scid mice were inoculated subcutaneously in bilateral flanks with 1×10^3 or 1×10^4 parental and tumorsphere ES2 and ES2TR160 cells on day 0. Mice were then subcutaneously treated with 200 μ L PBS or 0.25 mg/kg 5-aza-dC for 3 days (days 1-3). When the tumors reached approximately 50 mm³ (around day 8), the mice were randomized into 3 groups-a PBS control group (n=5); a RAD001 test group, which received 5 mg/kg RAD001 orally (n=3) twice per week; and a RAD001 plus 5-aza-dC group, which received 5 mg/kg RAD001 orally twice per week and a 5-aza-dC induction (n=3). Tumor volumes were measured every 2 days after the start of treatment. Tumor growth was measured with calipers, and volumes were calculated based on the modified ellipsoid formula-L×W×W/2-at the indicated time points. All experiments were carried out in duplicate.

In the second experiment, mice were inoculated subcutaneously with 1×10^3 or 1×10^4 ES2TR160 cells, ES2 cells, or ES2TR160 spheroids. Tumor volumes were measured every week.

In the third experiment, mice were inoculated subcutaneously with 5×10^4 ES2 or ES2TR160 cells transfected with vector alone or with spheroid cells transfected with the COL6A3 shRNA. Tumor volumes were measured every week.

In the fourth experiment, mice were inoculated subcutaneously in bilateral flanks with 3×10^4 ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorsphere cells or COL6A3-shRNA ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorsphere cells on day 0. Mice were then subcutaneously treated with 200 µL PBS or 0.25 mg/ kg 5-aza-dC for 3 days (days 1-3). When the tumors reached approximately 50 mm³ (around day 8), the mice were randomized into 3 groups-a PBS control group (n=5); a paclitaxel test group (n=5), which received 20 mg/kg per week, and a RAD001 plus 5-aza-dC group (n=5), which received 2.5 mg/m² RAD001 orally twice per week and a 5-aza-dC induction.

Results

Preferential expression of stemness, drug resistance, and EMT markers in ES2 and ES2TR160 cells and tumorspheres

Compared to their parental cells, the ES2 and the ES2TR160 tumorspheres expressed higher levels of pluripotent factors-OCT4, NANOG, CD44, and AC133 (Figure 1A), and characteristic drug-resistance factors-ABCG1, ABCG2, and the hypoxia-related factors, HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α (Figure 1B). Furthermore, ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres exhibited epithelial-mesenchymal-transition (EMT) properties, with elevated levels of SNAI1, SNAI2, and TWIST1 (Figure 1B), compared to parental cells. In addition, ES2 and ES2TR160 (Figure 1C), and TOV21G and TOV21GTR200 (Figure 1D) tumorspheres expressed higher percentages of stemness markers-ALDH1/CD44- than their parental cells, based on flow cytometry. In various human ovarian cancer cell lines, the percentage of tumorspheres that expressed CD117 was higher than the percentage observed in the corresponding parental cells (Figure 2A). To make the data more convincing, we measured the protein levels of pluripotent factors-OCT4, NANOG, CD44, AC133, and characteristic drugresistance factors-ABCG1, ABCG2, and the hypoxia-related factors, HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in addition to mRNA levels. Compared to their parental cells, the ES2 and the ES2TR160 tumorspheres expressed higher levels of pluripotent factors-OCT4, NANOG, and AC133, and characteristic drug-resistance factors-ABCG1, ABCG2, and the hypoxia-related factors, HIF-1 α and HIF-2α (Figure 2B).

Stemness and proliferation inhibited by 5-azadC and RAD001 in paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant cells

As shown in **Figure 2A**, the percentage of ALDH1-expressing ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres increased after paclitaxel treatment. In contrast, the percentages of AC117-expressing ES2 and ES2TR spheroids significantly decreased after 5 nM or 10 nM paclitaxel treatment (**Figure 3A**). However, the percentages of ALDH1- and AC133-expressing parental and tumor spheroid cells decreased after 5-aza-dC and/or RAD001 treatment (**Figures 3B** and **4A**).

We also evaluated the effects of 5-aza-dC and/ or RAD001 on tumor cell proliferation. We found that, in ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroid cells, 5-aza-dC inhibited proliferation by 35% and 28%, and RAD001 inhibited proliferation by 58% and 52%, respectively (**Figure 4B**). The combination of 5AZA-dC and RAD001 also synergistically inhibited ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroid cell proliferation by 64% and 59%, respectively (**Figure 4B**).

ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroid yield reduced with 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001

Figure 5A shows representative images of ES2 spheroids after treating with 5-aza-dC and/or RAD001. The numbers of ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids significantly decreased after treatment with 1 μ M 5-aza-dC and/or 100 μ M RAD001 (Figure 5B). Treatment with 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 caused a larger reduction in the numbers (24±2%) and sizes of ES2 and ES2TR160-derived spheroids, compared to treatment with 5-aza-dC or RAD001 alone (P< 0.001). However, 5-aza-dC, but not RAD0001, significantly reduced the fraction of ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres found in G1 of the cell cycle (Figure 5C).

5-Aza-dC followed by RAD001 induced caspase 3/7 activity in spheroids

Treatment with 5-aza-dC, either alone or followed by RAD001, significantly increased caspase 3/7 activities in both ES2 and ES2TR160derived spheroid cells (P<0.001, student's t-test, **Figure 5D**).



Figure 1. Expression of cell markers in ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres (TS). Quantitative RT-PCR results show the expression levels of (A) pluripotent factors-OCT4, NANOG, CD44, and AC133 in ES2, ES2 TS, ES2 TR160 and ES-2TR160 TS. (B) EMT-related factors-SNAI1, SNAI2, and TWIST1, and drug resistance-related factors-ABCG1, ABCG2 HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in ES2, ES2 TS, ES2 TR160 and ES2TR160 TS. (C) Percentages of ALDH1/CD44-expressing cells among ES2, ES2 TS, ES2 TR160 and ES2TR160 TS by flowcytometric analysis. (D) Percentages of ALDH1/CD44-expressing cells among TOV21G, TOV21G TS, TOV21GTR200, and TOV21GTR200 TS by flowcytometric analysis.



Figure 2. Expression of cell markers in ES2 and ES2TR160 cells and tumorspheres. A. Percentages of CD117expressing cells among various parental tumor cells and their TS by flowcytometric analysis. B. Percentages of pluripotent factors-OCT4, NANOG, CD44, and AC133, EMT-related factors-SNAI1, SNAI2, and TWIST1, and drug resistance-related factors-ABCG1, ABCG2 HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in ES2, ES2 TS, ES2 TR160, and ES2TR160 TS by flowcytometric analysis. (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).



Figure 3. Effects of anti-tumor drugs on stemness markers expression and cell proliferation of tumor cell lines and their tumorspheres (TS) by flow cytometric analysis. A. Percentages of CD117, ALDH1, CD117/ALDH1-expressing ES2, ES2TR160, ES2 TS, and ES2TR160 (TS) treated with or without paclitaxel by flow cytometric analysis. B. Percentages of AC133 expression of ES2 or ES2TR160 and their tumorspheres treated with 10 μ M 5-aza-dC (5AZA) and/or IC₅₀ of RAD001 by flowcytometric analysis. (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).

Cytotoxicity of paclitaxel, 5-Aza-dC, and RAD001 in spheroids

Paclitaxel exhibited a significantly higher IC₅₀ in ES2TR160 spheroids (461 nM) than in ES2 cells (23.5 nM; P<0.001), ES2 spheroids (25.7 nM; P<0.001), and ES2TR160 cells (220 nM; P<0.05). However, the IC₅₀ of RAD001 in ES2 cells (157 μ M) was similar to those observed in

ES2TR160 cells (127 µM; P= 0.069), ES2 spheroids (153 µM; P=0.520), and ES2TR160 spheroids (156 µM; P=0.340). The IC₅₀ of RAD001 was 138.7 µM in tumor spheroids that were cultured from ascites of a patient with recurrent OCCC. This IC₅₀ was similar to that observed for RAD001 in ES2TR160 spheroids. In contrast, the $\mathrm{IC}_{_{\rm 50}}$ of paclitaxel in ascites was 13.8 µM, which was 30-fold higher than that observed for paclitaxel in ES2TR160 spheroids.

These results suggested that RAD001 cytotoxicity could occur at similar concentrations in both paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant ES2 cells and ES2-derived spheroids.

Inhibitory effects of RAD001 on paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant OCCCderived spheroids

Paclitaxel inhibited the proliferation of ES2 cells and ES2-TR160 spheroids in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6A). After 7 days, paclitaxel treatment inhibited growth by 46-92% in ES2 spheroids treated with 23.5 nM or 70.5 nM and by 14-53% in ES2TR160 spheroids treated with 160 nM or 461 nM paclitaxel. As shown in Figure 4A, RAD001 also inhibited the proliferation of ES2 cells and ES2TR160 spheroids in a dose-dependent manner. In ES2 spheroids and ES2TR160 spheroids treated for 7 days with 159 µM and 459 µM RAD001, respectively,

growth was inhibited by 60-99% and 48-82%, respectively.

In vitro growth inhibited by 5-Aza-dC and RAD001 in paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxelresistant OCCC cell-derived spheroids

The IC_{50} of 5-aza-dC was over 1 mM in ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids. However, treatment with



Figure 4. A. Effects of anti-tumor drugs on stemness markers expression and cell proliferation of tumor cell lines and their tumorspheres (TS) by flow cytometric analysis and MTT assay. Percentages of ALDH1 expression of ES2 or ES2TR160 and their tumorspheres treated with 10 μ M 5-aza-dC (5AZA) and/or IC₅₀ of RAD001 by flowcytometric analysis. B. Cell proliferation assays of ES2 TS and ES2TR160 TS in the absence or presence of 10 μ M 5-aza-dC and/or 10 μ M RAD001 for seven days by MTT assays. (**P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).

the $\text{IC}_{_{50}}$ of RAD001 followed by 0.1-100 μM 5-aza-2-dC achieved significant inhibition of proliferation in ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids (Figure 6B). Conversely, treatment with a fixed dose of 10 µM 5aza-dC followed by 0.1-1000 µM RAD001 also achieved significant inhibition of proliferation in ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids, particularly at 150 µM RAD001, compared to treatment with 5-aza-dC alone, RAD001 alone, or paclitaxel (Figure 7A). When 5-aza-dC was combined with RAD001, we observed up to 100-fold more potency for inhibiting proliferation and survival in OCCCSCs compared to OCCCs. This inhibition was dose-dependent at RAD001 concentrations of 0.1-1000 µM (Figure 7B-D). The combination treatment with RAD001 and 5-aza-dC showed strong synergistic antiproliferation in OCCCSCs compared to OCCCs. In ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids cells, the combination of RAD001 and 5-aza-dC was highly synergistic (CI: 0.007 for ES2 spheroids, CI: 0.006 for ES2TRspheroids) when either of the two drugs was used with equitoxic concentration (IC₅₀ RAD001, IC₅₀ 5-aza-dC). The DRI (DRI calculated for 50% cell survival) of ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids was 1530 and 1560 for RAD001, and 10000 and 13400 for 5-aza-dC, respectively. In TOV21G and TOV21GTR spheroids, a highly synergistic activity was also detected. The combination index (CI) and drug resistance index (DRI) of OCCCSCs and OCCCs were presented in Table 1.

Treatment of ovarian clear cell cancer stem-like cells



Figure 5. Anti-tumor effects of 5-aza-dC and RAD001 on ES2 and ES2TR160 TS, and TOV21G and TOV21G200 (tumorspheres). A. Representative images of ES2 and ES2TR160 TS treated without or with 5-aza-dC and/or RAD001. B. The numbers of tumorspheres formed in ES2, ES2TR160, TOV21G, and TOV21GTR200 cultures treated without or with 5-aza-dC and/or RAD001. C. Distribution of different stages of the cell cycle of tumor cells and their tumorspheres treated without or with 5-aza-2dC (left) or RAD0001 (right) by flow cytometric analysis. D. Caspase 3/7 activity in ES2 and ES2TR160-derived spheroids treated without or with 10 μ M 5-aza-dC and/or IC₅₀ of RAD001 or paclitaxel. (**P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).



Figure 6. Time- and dose-dependent effects of anti-tumor drugs on tumor tumorsphere viability. A. Time course of ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorsphere (TS) proliferation in the absence or presence of different doses of paclitaxel or RAD001. B. The percentage of proliferation in ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres when treated with the IC_{50} of RAD001 followed by different concentrations of 5-aza-2-dC (0, 0.1, 1, 10, 100 μ M). (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).

In vivo tumorigenic capabilities of OCCCs and paclitaxel-resistant spheroids

To determine whether ES2 spheroids and ES2TR160 spheroids retained their tumorigenic capacity, we tested their growth *in vivo*, in an animal model of tumorigenesis. When we injected mice subcutaneously with 1×10^3 ES2 or ES2TR160 spheroids, tumors grew in 2 of 6 mice and 1 of 8 mice, respectively. Moreover, when mice were injected with 1×10^4 ES2 or ES2TR160 spheroids, 2 out of 3 mice grew tumors in both groups. In contrast, when mice were injected with 1×10^4 ES2-TR160 cells, none of the mice (0/3) grew tumors.

We calculated the tumor initiation fraction (TIF) as the total number of mice that grew tumors/the total number of cells injected in all mice. We found TIFs of 1/9000 in ES2 spheroids, 1/12667 in ES2TR-160 spheroids, and 0/32000 in ES2TR160 cells. Thus, the TIFs were 2.5-3.6 folds higher in spheroids than those in ES2TR160 parental cells.

Potent in vivo anti-tumor effects on OCCC spheroidderived tumors with 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001

To determine whether RAD001 or 5-aza-dC followed by RAD-001 could inhibit tumor growth after injecting ES2 or ES2TR-160 spheroids *in vivo*, we employed a subcutaneous xenograft mouse model. The mean ES2 spheroid-derived tumor sizes after 25 days were: 669.6 mm³, in PBS-treated mice, 474.6 mm³ in 5-aza-dC-

treated mice, 422.0 mm³ in RAD001-treated mice, and 261.5 mm³ in 5-aza-dC plus RAD001-treated mice (P<0.01, one-way ANOVA, **Figure 8A**). The mean ES2TR160-derived tumor sizes after 25 days were: 668.2 mm³ in PBS-treated mice, compared to 518.6 mm³ in 5-aza-dC-treated mice, 445.8 mm³ in RAD001-treated mice, and 292.8 mm³ in 5-aza-dC plus RAD001-treated mice (P<0.01, one-way ANOVA, **Figure 8B**). The 5-aza-dC plus RAD001-treated in ES2 spheroid-derived tumor group showed the low-



Figure 7. Dose-dependent effects of anti-tumor drugs on tumor cells and their tumorsphere viability by MTT assays. (A) Viabilities of ES2 cells and ES2 tumorspheres treated with 5-aza-2-dC and/or RAD001. (B) Viabilities of ES2TR160 cells and ES2TR160 tumorspheres treated with 5-aza-2-dC and/or RAD001. (C) Viabilities of TOV21G cells and TOV21G tumorspheres treated with 5-aza-2-dC and/or RAD001, and (D) Viabilities of TOV21GTR200 cells and TOV21GTR200 tumorspheres treated with 5-aza-2-dC and/or RAD001. (different concentrations of 5-AZA-dC or RAD001, or a fixed dose of 10 μ M 5-AZA-dC combined with RAD001 concentrations of 0, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, and 1000 μ M). (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).

est tumor weights (0.22 \pm 0.05 g) compared to the PBS-treated (0.59 \pm 0.10 g), 5-aza-dC-treated (0.31 \pm 0.04 g) and RAD001-treated (0.37 \pm 0.06 g) groups (P<0.001, one-way ANOVA; **Figure 8C**), The 5-aza-dC plus RAD001-treated in ES2TR160 spheroid-derived tumor group also showed the lowest tumor weights (0.20 g \pm 0.07 g) compared to the PBS-treated (0.55 \pm 0.12 g), 5-aza-dC-treated (0.37 \pm 0.05 g) and RAD001-treated (0.34 \pm 0.11 g) groups (P<0.001, one-way ANOVA; **Figure 8D**).

These results indicated that RAD001 combined with 5-aza-dC could generate more potent *in*

vivo anti-tumor effects than RAD001 or 5-azadC alone.

Phosphorylation of mTOR, rictor, and AKT was inhibited by 10 μ M 5-Aza-dC followed by IC₅₀ of RAD001 in paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant OCCC spheroids

We evaluated the phosphorylation of mTOR, Rictor, and AKT in the presence and absence of 5-aza-dC and/or RAD001. We found that the phosphorylation levels of mTOR, Rictor, and AKT have elevated more in OCCC spheroids than in OCCC parental cells (**Figures 9, 10**).

uc) combination in centimes after 6 days of treatment					
Cell line –	ED ₅₀ (μM)		CI	DRI	
	EV	5-aza-dC	CI	EV	5-aza-dC
ES2	159	58000	0.812	1.23	>10000
ES2TS	153	39000	0.0007	1530	10000
ES2TR	127	55800	0.631	1.59	698
ES2TRTS	156	13400	0.0006	1560	134000
TOV21G	100	>1000	0.11	10	>100
TOV21TS	1	100	0.011	14.3	1000
TOV21GTR	193	>1000	0.052	19.3	>100
TOV21GTRTS	10	700	0.01	125	7000

Table 1. Combination index (CI), dose reduction index(DRI) of everolimus (EV), and 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine (5-aza-
dC) combination in cell lines after 6 days of treatment

 $\rm CI_{50}$ was calculated for 50% cell survival ($\rm ED_{50}$) by isobologram analyses performed with CALCUSYN software. DRI was calculated in the case of synergism. It represents the order of magnitude (fold) of dose reduction obtained for $\rm ED_{50}$ effect in a combination setting as compared to each drug alone.

Treatment with RAD001 could not inhibit the phosphorylation of mTOR, Rictor, or AKTser473 in OCCC spheroids (**Figure 9**). Treatment with RAD001 or 5-aza-dC could not inhibit the phosphorylation of mTOR or Rictor in OCCC spheroids. However, 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 could inhibit the phosphorylation of mTOR and Rictor in both paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant OCCC spheroids (**Figures 9, 10**).

COL6A3 knockdown reversed chemoresistance and spheroid formation, and induced apoptosis in paclitaxel-sensitive and -resistant OCCC spheroids

COL6A3 was previously related to cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer cells¹³. We examined whether COL6A3 expression was correlated with the paclitaxel resistance of OCCC spheroids by silencing COL6A3 expression *in vitro*. The IC₅₀ values of paclitaxel were significantly higher in ES2 (25.7 nM) and ES2TR160 spheroids (461 nM) than in COL6A3-silenced ES2 (1.6 nM, P<0.0001) and ES2TR160 spheroids (0.6 nM, P<0.0001, students' t-test). These results suggested that COL6A3 inhibition could enhance the response to chemotherapy in both paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxelresistant OCCC spheroids.

We next evaluated whether COL6A3 was associated with the *in vitro* stemness and *in vivo* tumorigenesis of OCCC spheroids. COL6A3 expression was remarkably increased in OCCC spheroids compared to OCCCs (Figure 11).

5-aza-dC treatment dose-dependently suppressed COL6A3 expression in OCCC spheroids, but not in OCCC parental cells (Figure 11). Moreover, the numbers of OCCC spheroids were significantly lower in COL6A3-silenced ES2 and ES2TR160 cultures compared to the numbers observed in mock-transfected ES2 and ES2TR160 cultures (Figure 12A). In addition, the percentages of caspase 3/7-expressing cells were significantly higher in COL6A3-silenced ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids compared to ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids (Figure 12B). The percentage of caspase 3/7-expressing cells was further enhanced by treating with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 (Figure 12B).

Treating ES2 spheroids with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 caused a reduction in the percentages of ALDH1-expressing cells, but not AC133-expressing cells (Figure 12C). However, the percentages of ALDH1-expressing ES2TR spheroids did not significantly change with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 (Figure 12D). We found that ALDH1 expression levels were further reduced with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 in *COL6A3*-silenced ES2TR160 spheroids compared to ES2TR160 spheroids (Figure 12D).

Our results indicated that inhibiting COL6A3 expression could overcome the drug resistance of ES2TR spheroids against 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 treatment.

Inhibiting COL6A3 reduced the tumorigenicity of OCCC spheroids in vivo

We next examined whether inhibiting COL6A3 could reduce the tumorigenicity of OCCC spheroids *in vivo*. Among the five mice inoculated with 5×10^4 *COL6A3*-silenced ES2 spheroids, none exhibited tumor growth (P=0.002, students' t-test); in contrast, all five of the mice inoculated with mock-transfected ES2 spheroids tumors had tumor growth. Similarly, one out of ten mice inoculated with 5×10^4 *COL6A3*-silenced ES2TR160 spheroids and 6 out of 10 mice inoculated with mock-transfected ES2-TR160 spheroids exhibited tumors (P=0.019, students' t-test). These results indicated that inhibiting COL6A3 expression could reduce the



Figure 8. Tumorgenicity of tumorspheres (TS) *in vivo*. A. Time course of average tumor growth in mice inoculated with ES2 TS treated with 5-AZA-dC and/or RAD001. B. Time course of average tumor growth in mice inoculated with ES2TR160 TS treated with 5-AZA-dC and/or RAD001. C. The average weights of tumors grown in mice after inoculations with ES2 TS treated with 5aza-dC and/or RAD001 on day 25. D. The average weights of tumors grown in mice after inoculations with ES2TR160 TS treated with 5aza-dC and/or RAD001 on day 25. D. The average weights of tumors grown in mice after inoculations with ES2TR160 TS treated with 5aza-dC and/or RAD001 on day 25. (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).

tumorigenicity of ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids *in vivo*.

Silencing of COL6A3 through reducing the stemness and increasing the apoptosis was further achieved by treatment with 5-aza-dC and RAD001 in both paclitaxel-sensitive and resistant OCCC spheroids.

We next compared the antitumor effects of 5-aza-dC plus RAD001 with paclitaxel treatment of ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids and COL6A3-shRNA ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids *in vivo*. Among the five mice inoculated with 3×10^4 ES2 spheroids, 5 exhibited tumor growth; in contrast one out of 5 mice inoculated with 3×10^4 ES2 spheroids treated with 5-aza-dC plus RAD001 (P<0.01, students' t-test). However, among the five mice inoculated with 3×10^4 ES2 spheroids treated with paclitaxel, 5 exhibited tumor growth. Similarly, the tumor size significantly decreased in mice inoculated with 3×10^4 ES2TR spheroids treated with the tumor size significantly decreased in mice inoculated with the tumor size significantly decrea

5-aza-dC plus RAD001 compared with those without treatment (P<0.05, students' t-test). However, the tumor size did not significantly decrease in mice inoculated with 3×10⁴ ES2TR spheroids treated with paclitaxel compared with those without treatment (P=0.18). Among the five mice inoculated with 3×10⁴ COL6A3silenced ES2 or ES2TR spheroids treated with either 5-aza-dC plus RAD001 or paclitaxel, none exhibited tumor growth. The tumor size significantly decreased in mice inoculated with 3×10⁴ COL6A3-silenced ES2TR spheroids treated with paclitaxel or 5-aza-dC plus RAD-001 compared with those without treatment (average in size: 0 mm³ vs. 214 mm³; 0 mm³ vs. 68.2 mm³, both ps <0.01).

We examined the effects of 5-aza-dC, RAD001, and 5-aza-dC plus RAD001 treatments on the phosphorylation of mTOR, Rictor, and AKT in *COL6A3*-silenced paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant OCCC spheroids (**Figure 13**). We found that phosphorylation was



Figure 9. Analysis of anti-tumor drug effects on the mTOR signaling pathway. Western blot results show the HIN1, mTOR, phosphorylation of mTOR (p-mTOR), Rictor, phosphorylation of Rictor (p-Rictor), AKT, phosphorylation of AKT (p-AKT) (Thr308), p-AKT (Ser473), and GAPDH in ES2 cells, ES2 tumorspheres (TS), ES2TR160 cells, and ES2TR160 TS treated with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC combined with RAD001. The numbers in the immunoblot represent the relative folds of HIN-1, p-mTOR, p-Rictor, p-AKT (Thr308), and p-AKT (Ser473) in ES2 cells, ES2 tumorspheres (TS), ES2TR160 cells, and ES2TR160 TS treated with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC combined with RAD001. The numbers in the immunoblot represent the relative folds of HIN-1, p-mTOR, p-Rictor, p-AKT (Thr308), and p-AKT (Ser473) in ES2 cells, ES2 tumorspheres (TS), ES2TR160 cells, and ES2TR160 TS treated with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC combined with RAD001 compared to the control group in ES2 cells without treatment. The numbers in the immunoblot were calculated as target protein density/ target protein+GAPDH density and set the control group in ES2 cells without treatment as 1.

reduced on Rictor, and AKT-Thr308 in collagensilenced ES2 and ES2TR spheroids compared to mock-transfected ES2 and ES2TR spheroids. However, the COL6A3 knockdown did not inhibit the phosphorylation of mTOR or AKTser473. in ES2 spheroids, or AKTser473, in ES2TR160 spheroids (Figure 13). Moreover, the phosphorylation of mTOR, Rictor, or AKTser473 could not be completely abolished with RAD001 or 5-aza-2-dC in OCCC spheroids. In contrast, 5-aza-dC followed by RAD001 almost entirely inhibited the phosphorylation of mTOR, Rictor, and AKTser473 in both paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant COL6A3-silenced OCCC spheroids (Figure 13). Silencing of COL6A3 might enhance abolishing phospho-AKT/mTOR/ Rictor by treatment with 5-aza-dC and RAD001 in both paclitaxel-sensitive and resistant OCCC spheroids.

Discussion

In this study, we established that OCCCSCs possessed stemness properties, drug resistance, and EMT characteristics. ALDH1 has been confirmed as an ovarian CSC marker [20]. High ALDH1 levels were related to chemoresistance and advanced-stage OCCCs, which significantly reduced progressionfree survival [21]. OCCC is a unique subtype of epithelial ovarian cancer with resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy. OCCC has a worse prognosis than the most common serous carcinomas, in both firstline and recurrent settings [4, 6, 22]. Few studies have focused on CSCs in OCCCs. We found that ALDH1, CD133, and CD117 expression levels were consistently higher in OCCCSCs than in OCCCs. In contrast, CD44 was inconsistently higher in OCCCSCs than in OCCCs. Although many studies have shown that CSCs have high expression of CD44⁺ cells, we found that ALDH1, CD133, and CD117 expression were more representative of OCC-CSCs. The expression CD44 seems higher in ES2TR160 spheroid than ES2TR160 parental, but not in ES2 spheroid vs ES2. The IC_{EO} of ES2 spheroid is low as well as ES2 (25.7

nM vs 23.5 nM). Our data confirm that an increase in the number of CD44⁺ cells increases chemoresistance, which is consistent with previous studies on ovarian cancer cell lines.

When OCCCSCs were treated with paclitaxelthe major anti-cancer drug in advanced ovarian cancer- we observed a reduction in AC117 expression, but not ALDH1 expression. However, RAD001 plus 5-AZA-dC treatment reduced both ALDH1 and AC133 expression in OCCCSCs. Previous studies have shown that the PI3K-mTOR pathway plays an important role in the proliferation of CSCs in solid tumors [23, 24]. Caumanns et al. reported mTORC1/2 (AZD8055), PI3K (GDC0941) and MEK1/2 (selumetinib) inhibitors have synergistic effects in a combination of low-dose in a set of genetically diverse OCCC cell lines and OCCC PDX



Figure 10. Statistic analysis of anti-tumor drug effects on the mTOR signaling pathway. Statistic analysis results show the relative folds of HIN1, phosphorylation of mTOR (p-mTOR), phosphorylation of Rictor (p-Rictor), phosphorylation of AKT (p-AKT) (Thr308), and p-AKT (Ser473) in ES2 cells, ES2 tumorspheres (TS), ES2TR160 cells, and ES2TR160 TS treated with 5-aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-aza-dC combined with RAD001.

models. Their study stated that a synergistic combination of mTORC1/2, PI3K, and MEK1/2

inhibitors at low-dose is an effective treatment strategy that precludes pathway reactivation induced by low-dose single treatment and is worthy of further exploration in OCCCs [25]. The dual inhibition of PI3K/mTOR and MDM2 significantly reduced the tumor volume in the mouse xenograft model and achieved a synergistic anti-proliferative effect in OCCCs cells without TP53 mutation [26]. However, one study showed that adding temsirolimus to frontline chemotherapy by inhibiting mTORC1 activity did not improve overall survival in patients with primary stages III and IV OCCC, compared to historical controls [27, 28].

Recent studies have shown encouraging results by combining PI3K/ mTOR inhibitors with hormonal therapy, chemotherapy, or targeted therapy. Those studies demonstrated clinical benefits without significant additional toxicity [29, 30]. Currently, several phase II clinical trials (NCT02724020 and NCT02-725268) are evaluating the efficacy of a new generation of mTORC1/2 inhibitors, such as MLN0128 (Sapanisertib). A strategy that combines the inhibition of mTOR with PI3K or MEK was proposed to be the most likely to show high clinical benefit in a majority of OCCC patients. In the present study, we demonstrated that RAD001 given after 5-aza-dC inhibited both mTORC1/2 and AKT phosphorylation, possessed anticancer activity, and provided promising anti-tumor effects against both chemosensitive and chemoresistant OCCCSCs. The combinations showed a strong synergistic effect, and CI is less than 0.01. Using the method of Chou-Talalay, it can be proven that the combination of 5-AZA-dC and everolimus is more effective in inhibiting the proliferation of OCC-CSCs than using each drug alone.

This cytotoxic activity in both chemosensitive and chemoresistant OCCCSCs cells effectively



Figure 11. Analysis of the dose-dependent effect of 5-aza-dC on the COL6A3 expression. Western blots and statistic analysis results show COL6A3 expression in ES2 cells, ES2 TS, ES2TR160 cells, and ES-2TR160 TS treated with 0, 2, 5, and 10 μ M 5-aza-dC. The blots were cropped from the original blots. (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, all by students' t-test). The numbers in the immunoblot represent the relative folds of COL6A3 in ES2 cells, ES2 TS, ES2TR160 cells, and ES2TR160 TS treated with 0, 2, 5, and 10 μ M 5-aza-dC. The numbers in the immunoblot represent the relative folds of COL6A3 in ES2 cells, ES2 TS, ES2TR160 cells, and ES2TR160 TS treated with 0, 2, 5, and 10 μ M 5-aza-dC. The numbers in the immunoblot were calculated as COL6A3 density/COL6A3+GAPDH density and set the control group in ES2 cells, ES2 TS, ES2TR160 cells, and ES2TR160 TS without 5-aza-dC treatment as 1.

induces apoptosis and has a highly synergistic effect. Our in vivo data revealed the antitumor effect of RAD001 combined with 5-aza-dC could overcome paclitaxel resistance in both chemosensitive and chemoresistant OCCCSCs. Knockdown COL6A3 is effective in treating both chemosensitive and chemoresistant OCCCSCs. Thus, this treatment showed potential for testing in clinical trials in the future.

Compared with the more common serous carcinoma, OCCC is more resistant to platinumbased chemotherapy and has a worse prognosis in the primary and recurrent OCCC [4, 6, 22]. The response rate of salvage chemotherapy to platinum-sensitive and platinum-resistant clear cell carcinoma is only 9% and 1%, respectively [6], which indicates that new treatment strategies for recurrent clear cell carcinoma of the ovary are urgently needed. A previous study showed patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer received low-dose decitabine administered before carboplatin achieved a 35% objective response rate (ORR) and a 10.2month progression-free survival [17]. Zeng et al. reported a three-drug combination with lowdose DAC pretreatment and reducing-dose of carboplatin/paclitaxel in patients with platinum-resistant/refractory ovarian cancer had a

40.74% of ORR (31.82% for measurable disease), which is tolerable and shows remarkable clinical activity [31]. The anticancer effect of everolimus may be restricted by the absence of the intrinsic inhibition on mTORC2 and the activation of survival pathways in cancer cells [32]. Vitale et al. reported everolimus combined with 5-aza-dC- has synergistic activity in medullary thyroid carcinoma cell lines [15]. Thus, we proposed and proved the concept that everolimus combined with 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine could generate potent anti-tumor effects on OCCCSCs by Inhibiting the COL6A3-AKT-mTOR Pathway. In addition, our previous in vivo study showed the ES2 and ES2TR160 tumor-bearing mice treated with 5-aza and RAD001 had a significantly smaller volume than those treated with PBS alone. 5-AZA. paclitaxel, or RAD001 [16]. The results indicate that 5-aza-dC com-

bined with RAD001 had potent anti-tumor effects in both paclitaxel-sensitive and resistant OCCC tumors. The related experimental and clinical data indicate the potentially high importance of our experimental results to therapeutic practice.

The expression of collagen VI in primary ovarian tumors may correlate with tumor grade and response to chemotherapy [18]. Tumor cells can directly remodel their extracellular matrix through overexpression of COL6A3. This change in the microenvironment is helpful to develop drug resistance in ovarian cancer [18]. COL6A3 is highly expressed in primary and metastatic ovarian cancer tissues and the omentum and ascitic stromal cells (MSc-OCSPCs) within the tumor microenvironment. High expression of COL6A3 is associated with advanced stage and poor survival in EOC. Collagen VI can promote ovarian cancer tumor growth and metastasis in EOC. When ES2 or ES2TR cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A medium with COL6 proteins, then transferred to a spheroid culture medium, we found that the number of spheroids markedly increased. By contrast, when ES2 and ES2TR cells were cocultured with MSc-OCSPCs/shCOL6A3 for 2 weeks and then transferred to a spheroid cul-





Figure 12. Analysis of anti-tumor drug effects on the ES2 and ES-2TR160 *COL6A3*-silenced tumorspheres (TS). A. The numbers and size distributions of ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres compared to the numbers and size distributions in *COL6A3*-silenced tumorspheres. B. The percentages of caspase 3/7-expressing cells among *COL6A3*silenced ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres compared to ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres, treated without or with 10 µM 5-AZA-dC, IC₅₀ of RAD001, 10 µM 5-aza-dC combined with IC₅₀ of RAD001, or paclitaxel. C. The percentages of ALDH1 and AC133-expressing cells among ES2 and *COL6A3*-silenced ES2 TS treated with 5-aza-2-dC and/ or RAD001. D. The percentages of ALDH1 and AC133-expressing cells among ES2TR160 and *COL6A3*-silenced ES2TR160 TS treated with 5-aza-2-dC and/or RAD001. (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, all by students' t-test).

ture medium, the development of spheroids was markedly reduced compared with spheroids in cocultures with MSc-OCSPCs. Due to the COL6A3 enhancing spheroid formation in OCCC cells and the CSCs usually representing chemoresistance ce-IIs, we hypothesized that COL6A3 might be involved in OCCCSC properties. We first examined an association between COL6A3 expression and paclitaxel resistance. We found that knocking down COL6A3 expression could overcome paclitaxel resistance by reducing the IC₅₀ of paclitaxel in ES2 and ES2TR spheroids. Next, we examined whether COL6A3 was related to stemness features in OCCCSCs cell lines. We confirmed that COL6A3 expression was remarkably higher in OCCCSCs than in OCCCs. Knocking down COL6A3 expression reduced spheroid formation and induced apoptosis in paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant ES2 spheroids. In vivo, mice subcutaneously injected with 5× 10⁴ COL6A3-silenced ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids showed diminished tumor growth compared to mice injected with ES2 and ES2TR160 spheroids (0/5 vs. 5/5 tumors with ES2 spheroids; 1/10 vs. 6/10 tumors with ES2TR160 spheroids, respectively). Therefore, these preliminary data indicated that COL6A3 expression was involved in the tumorigenicity of ES2 and ES2TR spheroids in vitro and in vivo. Importantly, when COL6A3 expression was knocked down in paclitaxel-resistant ES2 spheroids, 5-Aza-dC followed by RAD001 treatment could reduce the fraction of ALDH1-expressing cells to very low percentages.

Ao et al. reported that *COL6A3* gene silencing inhibited cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, but promoted apoptosis, through the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway in gastric cancer [19]. To our knowledge, the present study was the first to show that the COL6A3-AKT-

mTOR pathway was involved in OCCCSCs and might serve as a target for treating OCCCS. RAD001, in combination with 5-Aza-dC, effectively targeted cancer stem-like spheroid cells

Treatment of ovarian clear cell cancer stem-like cells



Figure 13. Effects of anti-tumor drugs on phosphorylation of mTORpathway enzymes. Western blot analysis shows the COL6A3, mTOR, phosphorylation of mTOR (p-mTOR), Rictor, phosphorylation of Rictor (p-Rictor), AKT, phosphorylation of AKT (p-AKT) (Thr308), and p-AKT (Ser473) in COL6A3-silenced ES2 and ES2TR160 tumorspheres (TS), treated without (-) or with 5-Aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-Aza-dC combined with RAD001. The blots were cropped from the original blots. The numbers in the immunoblot represent the relative folds of COL6A3, p-mTOR, p-Rictor, p-AKT (Thr308), and p-AKT (Ser473) in COL6A3-silenced ES2 and ES2TR160 TS, treated without (-) or with 5-Aza-dC, RAD001, or 5-Aza-dC combined with RAD001 compared to ES2 and ES2TR160 TS. The numbers in the immunoblot were calculated as target protein density/target protein+GAPDH density and set the control group in ES2 and ES2TR160 TS without treatment as 1.

in OCCCs. Silencing *COL6A3* enhanced the effects of RAD001 combined with 5-Aza-dC in OCCCSCs, by reducing stemness and promoting apoptosis. Further studies that aim to uncover this mechanism might reveal a strategy for overcoming the chemoresistance and tumorigenicity of OCCCSCs.

We concluded that RAD001 combined with 5-aza-dC effectively targeted cancer stem-like spheroid OCCCs. Silencing *COL6A3* enhanced the effects of RAD001 combined with 5-aza-dC in OCCCSCs by reducing their stemness and promoting apoptosis. Therefore, 5-aza-dC combined with RAD001 might effectively control the tumorgenicity of OCCCs and OCCCSCs by inhibiting the COL6A3-AKT-mTOR pathway. This treatment strategy might overcome the chemoresistance and tumorigenicity of OCCCSCs.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by research funds from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) and Cathay General Hospital in Taiwan (MOST104-2314-B-281-006-MY3, MOST107-2314-B-281-005-MY3).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Abbreviations

OCCCSCs, Ovarian clear cell cancer stem-like/spheroid cells; OC-CC, ovarian clear cell carcinoma; 5-aza-dC, 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine; RAD001, everolimus; CSCs, cancer stem-like cells; p-mTOR, mTO-RC1; p-Rictor, mTORC2; COL6A3, Collagen VI.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Wen-Fang Cheng, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, National Taiwan University Hospital, 7 Chung-Shan South Road, Taipei, Taiwan. Tel: +886-2-23123456-71964; Fax: +886-2-2311-4965; E-mail: wenfangcheng@yahoo.com

References

 Köbel M, Kalloger SE, Huntsman DG, Santos JL, Swenerton KD, Seidman JD and Gilks CB; Cheryl

Brown Ovarian Cancer Outcomes Unit of the British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver BC. Differences in tumor type in low-stage versus high-stage ovarian carcinomas. Int J Gynecol Pathol 2010; 29: 203-211.

- [2] Ho CM, Huang YJ, Chen TC, Huang SH, Liu FS, Chang Chien CC, Yu MH, Mao TL, Wang TY and Hsieh CY. Pure-type clear cell carcinoma of the ovary as a distinct histological type and improved survival in patients treated with paclitaxel-platinum-based chemotherapy in puretype advanced disease. Gynecol Oncol 2004; 94: 197-203.
- [3] Itamochi H, Kigawa J and Terakawa N. Mechanisms of chemoresistance and poor prognosis. in ovarian clear cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci 2008; 99: 653-658.
- [4] Sugiyama T, Kamura T, Kigawa J, Terakawa N, Kikuchi Y, Kita T, Suzuki M, Sato I and Taguchi K. Clinical characteristics of clear cell carcinoma of the ovary: a distinct histological type with poor prognosis and resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy. Cancer 2000; 88: 2584-2594.
- [5] Ho CM, Chien TY, Shih BY and Huang SH. Evaluation of complete surgical staging with

pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy and paclitaxel plus carboplatin chemotherapy for improvement of survival in stage I ovarian clear cell carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2003; 88: 394-399.

- [6] Crotzer DR, Sun CC, Coleman RL, Wolf JK, Levenback CF and Gershenson DM. Lack of effective systemic therapy for recurrent clear cell carcinoma of the ovary. Gynecol Oncol 2007; 105: 404-408.
- [7] Zhang S, Balch C, Chan MW, Lai HC, Matei D, Schilder JM, Yan PS, Huang TH and Nephew KP. Identification and characterization of ovarian cancer-initiating cells from primary human tumors. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 4311-4320.
- [8] Rfehi S, Ramos Valdes Y, Bertrand M, McGee J, Préfontaine M, Sugimoto A, DiMattia GE and Shepherd TG. TGFβ signaling regulates epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in ovarian cancer ascites-derived spheroids. Endocr Relat Cancer 2016; 23: 147-159.
- [9] Manning BD and Cantley LC. Akt/PKB signaling: navigating downstream. Cell 2007; 129: 1261-1274.
- [10] Mabuchi S, Hisamatsu T and Kimura T. Targeting mTOR signaling pathway in ovarian cancer. Curr Med Chem 2011; 18: 2960-2968.
- [11] Bhagwat SV and Crew AP. Novel inhibitors of mTORC1 and mTORC2. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 2010; 11: 638-645.
- [12] Papadopoulos KP, Tabernero J, Markman B, Patnaik A, Tolcher AW, Baselga J, Shi W, Egile C, Ruiz-Soto R, Laird AD, Miles D and Lorusso PM. Phase I safety, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic study of SAR245409 (XL765), a novel, orally administered PI3K/ mTOR inhibitor in patients with advanced solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2014; 20: 2445-2456.
- [13] Fazio N, Buzzoni R, Baudin E, Antonuzzo L, Hubner RA, Lahner H, DE Herder WW, Raderer M, Teulé A, Capdevila J, Libutti SK, Kulke MH, Shah M, Dey D, Turri S, Aimone P, Massacesi C and Verslype C. Phase II study of BEZ235 in patients with everolimus-resistant, advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Anticancer Res 2016; 36: 713-719.
- [14] Bihani T, Ezell SA, Ladd B, Grosskurth SE, Mazzola AM, Pietras M, Reimer C, Zinda M, Fawell S and D'Cruz CM. Resistance to everolimus driven by epigenetic regulation of MYC in ER+ breast cancers. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 2407-2420.
- [15] Vitale G, Dicitore A, Pepe D, Gentilini D, Grassi ES, Borghi MO, Gelmini G, Cantone MC, Gaudenzi G, Misso G, Di Blasio AM, Hofland LJ, Caraglia M and Persani L. Synergistic activity of everolimus and 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine in medullary thyroid carcinoma cell lines. Mol Oncol 2017; 11: 1007-1022.

- [16] Ho CM, Lee FK, Huang SH and Cheng WF. Everolimus following 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine is a promising therapy in paclitaxel-resistant clear cell carcinoma of the ovary. Am J Cancer Res 2018; 8: 56-69.
- [17] Matei D, Fang F, Shen C, Schilder J, Arnold A, Zeng Y, Berry WA, Huang T and Nephew KP. Epigenetic resensitization to platinum in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 2012; 72: 2197-2205.
- [18] Sherman-Baust CA, Weeraratna AT, Rangel LB, Pizer ES, Cho KR, Schwartz DR, Shock T and Morin PJ. Remodeling of the extracellular matrix through overexpression of collagen VI contributes to cisplatin resistance in ovarian cancer cells. Cancer Cell 2003; 3: 377-386.
- [19] Ao R, Guan L, Wang Y and Wang JN. Silencing of COL1A2, COL6A3, and THBS2 inhibits gastric cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion while promoting apoptosis through the PI3k-Akt signaling pathway. J Cell Biochem 2018; 119: 4420-4434.
- [20] Sharrow AC, Perkins B, Collector MI, Yu W, Simons BW and Jones RJ. Characterization of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 high ovarian cancer cells: towards targeted stem cell therapy. Gynecol Oncol 2016; 142: 341-348.
- [21] Mizuno T, Suzuki N, Makino H, Furui T, Morii E, Aoki H, Kunisada T, Yano M Kuji S, Hirashima Y, Arakawa A, Nishio S, Ushijima K, Ito K, Itani Y and Morishige K. Cancer stem-like cells of ovarian clear cell carcinoma are enriched in the ALDH-high population associated with an accelerated scavenging system in reactive oxygen species. Gynecol Oncol 2015; 137: 299-305.
- [22] Winter WE 3rd, Maxwell GL, Tian C, Carlson JW, Ozols RF, Rose G, Markman M, Armstrong DK, Muggia F and McGuire WP; Gynecology Oncology Group Study. Prognostic factors for stage III epithelial ovarian cancer: a gynecologic oncology group study. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 3621-3627.
- [23] Dubrovska A, Kim S, Salamone RJ, Walker JR, Maira SM, Garcia-Echeverria C, Schultz PG and Reddy VA. The role of PTEN/Akt/PI3K signaling in the maintenance and viability of prostate cancer stem-like cell populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106: 268-273.
- [24] Zhou J, Wulfkuhle J, Zhang H, Gu P, Yang Y, Deng J, Margolick JB, Liotta LA, Petricoin E 3rd and Zhang Y. Activation of the PTEN/mTOR/ STAT3 pathway in breast cancer stem-like cells is required for viability and maintenance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104: 16158-16163.
- [25] Caumanns JJ, Berns K, Wisman GBA, Fehrmann RSN, Tomar T, Klip H, Meersma GJ, Hijmans EM, Gennissen AMC, Duiker EW, Weening D, Itamochi H, Kluin RJC, Reyners AKL, Birrer MJ, Salvesen HB, Vergote I, van Nieuwenhuysen E, Brenton J, Braicu EI, Kupryjanc-

zyk J, Spiewankiewicz B, Mittempergher L, Bernards R, van der Zee AGJ and de Jong S. Integrative kinome profiling identifies mTORC1/2 inhibition as treatment strategy in ovarian clear cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2018; 24: 3928-3940.

- [26] Makii C, Ikeda Y, Oda K, Uehara Y, Nishijima A, Koso T, Kawata Y, Kashiyama T, Miyasaka A, Sone K, Tanikawa M, Tsuruga T, Mori-Uchino M, Nagasaka K, Matsumoto Y, Wada-Hiraike O, Kawana K, Hasegawa K, Fujiwara K, Aburatani H, Osuga Y and Fujii T. Anti-tumor activity of dual inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and MDM2 against clear cell ovarian carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2019; 155: 331-339.
- [27] Tamochi H and Kigawa J. Clinical trials and future potential of targeted therapy for ovarian cancer. Int J Clin Oncol 2012; 17: 430-440.
- [28] Shu CA, Zhou Q, Jotwani AR, Iasonos A, Leitao MM Jr, Konner JA and Aghajanian CA. Ovarian clear cell carcinoma, outcomes by stage: the MSK experience. Gynecol Oncol 2015; 139: 236-241.
- [29] Kolev VN, Wright QG, Vidal CM, Ring JE, Shapiro IM, Ricono J, Weaver DT, Padval MV, Pachter JA and Xu Q. PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitor VS-5584 preferentially targets cancer stem cells. Cancer Res 2015; 75: 446-455.

- [30] Fang DD, Zhang CC, Gu Y, Jani JP, Cao J, Tsaparikos K, Yuan J, Thiel M, Jackson-Fisher A, Zong Q, Lappin PB, Hayashi T, Schwab RB, Wong A, John-Baptiste A, Bagrodia S, Los G, Bender S, Christensen J and Vanarsdale T. Antitumor efficacy of the dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor PF-04691502 in a human xenograft tumor model derived from colorectal cancer stem cells harboring a mutation. PLoS One 2013; 8: e67258.
- [31] Zhang Y, Mei Q, Liu Y, Li X, Brock MV, Chen M, Dong L, Shi L, Wang Y, Guo M, Nie J and Han W. The safety, efficacy, and treatment outcomes of a combination of low-dose decitabine treatment in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer. Oncoimmunology 2017; 6: e1323619.
- [32] Chan J and Kulke M. Targeting the mTOR signaling pathway in neuroendocrine tumors. Curr Treat Options Oncol 2015; 15: 365-379.