Original Article INSM1 promotes breast carcinogenesis by regulating C-MYC

Yinan Guan^{1*}, Yulu Sun^{1*}, Zheying Liu^{1,2}, Yin Zhang¹, Meng Cao¹, Wei Wang¹, Jinqiu Tao¹, Yongzhong Yao¹

¹Division of Breast Surgery, Department of General Surgery, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, China; ²Department of Clinical Medicine, Southeast University School, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, China. ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received March 13, 2023; Accepted June 28, 2023; Epub August 15, 2023; Published August 30, 2023

Abstract: Insulinoma-associated protein-1 (INSM1), which is highly expressed in various neuroendocrine tumors, functions as a zinc finger transcription factor capable of regulating the biological behavior of tumor cells. However, its specific role in breast cancer remains unclear. This study aims to investigate the role and mechanism of INSM1 in breast cancer. A total of 158 cohorts were recruited to examine the expression of INSM1 in breast cancer tissues and their corresponding adjacent normal tissues using immunohistochemistry. Follow-up data, along with clinical and pathological information, were collected to analyze the correlation between INSM1 expression and survival outcomes in breast cancer patients. Additionally, we investigated the impact of INSM1 on breast cancer cell proliferation, migration, and aggregation. To further explore the regulatory effect of INSM1 knockdown on breast cancer tumor growth, we utilized a xenograft mouse model. The results revealed that INSM1 was significantly overexpressed in breast cancer patients and correlated with prognosis. Knockdown of INSM1 notably impaired the malignant biological effects of breast cancer cells and inhibited the growth of xenograft tumors in nude mice. Importantly, our data also suggests an interaction between INSM1 and S-phase kinase-associated protein 2 (SKP2), which in turn regulates C-MYC, thereby affecting the p-ERK pathway. Our study provides the first evidence demonstrating the contribution of INSM1 to tumor formation and growth in breast cancer. Furthermore, we found that INSM1 positively regulates C-MYC and the p-ERK pathway by interacting with SKP2 during breast cancer development. Collectively, these findings highlight INSM1 as a promising target for breast cancer treatment.

Keywords: Breast cancer, INSM1, C-MYC, SKP2, neuroendocrine

Introduction

According to the "2020 Global Cancer Statistics" report, the worldwide incidence of breast cancer has reached 2.26 million cases, surpassing lung cancer as the most prevalent cancer [1]. Breast cancer poses a significant threat to women's health due to its high heterogeneity, morbidity, mortality, recurrence, and metastasis [2, 3]. Although treatment modalities, such as surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and molecular targeted therapy, have significantly improved the prognosis and survival of breast cancer patients, drug sensitivity varies greatly among individuals, and substantial differences in individual treatment outcomes still exist, necessitating further understanding to improve outcomes. Therefore, it is crucial for breast cancer research to identify new and reliable biomarkers for diagnosis and treatment, particularly by exploring underlying mechanisms.

The breast is the target organ of various endocrine hormones among which estrone and estradiol are directly related to the incidence, prognosis and treatment of breast cancer [4]. Neuroendocrine carcinoma of the breast (NEC of the breast) is a tumor with morphological features similar to neuroendocrine tumors of the gastrointestinal tract or lung. Alebit, neuroendocrine tumors originating from the gastrointestinal tract are more common than those originating from the breast. Compared to other types of invasive breast cancer, patients with neuroendocrine carcinoma of the breast exhibit more aggressive clinical stages and worse prognoses [5]. The fifth edition of the WHO classification of breast cancer emphasizes the distinction between neuroendocrine breast cancer and breast cancer with neuroendocrine features. Classic low/intermediate neuroendocrine tumors exhibit specific morphological features and diffusely express synaptophysin or chromogranin A in immunohistochemistry. However, some breast cancers may also be positive for neuroendocrine markers without fulfilling the specific morphological criteria for neuroendocrine breast cancer [6].

Insulinoma-associated protein-1 (INSM1), a zinc-finger transcription factor, is closely associated with various neuroendocrine tumors and serves as a second-generation biomarker for neuroendocrine differentiation [7]. INSM1 shows high expression in neuroendocrine tumors (Figure S1), including medullary thyroid cancer [8], cervical cancer [9], head and neck tumors [10], lung small and large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas [11-15], pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors [16], neuroendocrine gastrointestinal tumors [17], prostate cancer [18], and skin Merkel cell carcinoma [19, 20]. Particularly in lung cancer, multiple studies have confirmed INSM1 as a crucial tumor marker for neuroendocrine lung cancer, demonstrating high sensitivity and specificity [21-24].

Neuroendocrine breast cancer does not exhibit distinct clinical features compared to non-specialized breast cancer types. In current clinical work, the detection of neuroendocrine markers is not routine (Figures S2 and S3). Endocrine treatment guidelines and norms typically follow those for non-specialized invasive breast cancer. The prognosis of breast cancer accompanied by neuroendocrine features remains controversial, but most reported studies indicate a poor response to chemotherapy and chemotherapy resistance [25-30]. Thus, the detection of neuroendocrine markers in breast cancer is necessary, and INSM1, extensively studied in numerous investigations, has demonstrated good sensitivity and specificity compared to traditional neuroendocrine factors such as chromogranin A (CgA) and synaptophysin (SYN) [31-34].

Several cases and studies suggest that INSM1 could serve as a new immunomarker for breast cancer [31, 35, 36]. However, the role and mechanism of INSM1 in breast cancer have not been extensively investigated. Only one recent

study highlighted the significance of the SCAMP1-TV2/PUM2/INSM1 pathway in regulating the biological behavior of breast cancer cells [36]. In our study, we observed overexpression of INSM1 in breast cancer, with high INSM1 expression associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer patients. To further characterize the role of INSM1 in breast cancer, we conducted both in vitro and in vivo studies. The aim of this study was to explore whether INSM1 can serve as a new biomarker and potential therapeutic target for breast cancer, with the hope of personalizing breast cancer treatment and improving patient prognosis.

Materials and methods

Human-tissue samples

All clinical sample collections were conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Tissue samples were obtained from 158 patients with pathologically confirmed breast cancer who underwent surgery at the Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University. None of the patients had previously received anticancer therapy. The clinical stages were classified according to the guidelines of the International Union Against Cancer. The use of human subjects for this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University, and informed consent was obtained from all participating patients.

Immunohistochemistry

IHC was performed in tissue microarrays (TMA) as previously described [1, 2]. The TMA sections were labeled with anti-INSM1 antibodies (1:50 dilution, ab170876, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and examined under a microscope for pathological assessment.

Cell culture

The human BT-549, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and HS578T cell lines were purchased from ATCC (Virginia, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (New York, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, USA), 1% L-Glutamine (Gibco, USA), 1% non-essential amino acids (Gibco, USA), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, USA) at 37°C with 5% CO_{2} .

INSM1 down-regulation and C-MYC overexpression

Stable down-regulation of INSM1 and overexpression of C-MYC in BT-549 and MDA-MB-231 cells were achieved by using recombinant Lentivirus. Blank lentivirus was used as a vector control. Three RNA interference target sequences were designed based on the INSM1 gene to construct the target gene RNA interference lentiviral vector.

Cell proliferation and colony formation assays

Cell proliferation was quantified by counting the number of cells and plotting the proliferation curve over a five-day period. Briefly, an equal number of cells were seeded at day 0 and then counted daily at indicated time points using the celigo mechanism (Nexcelom). For the colony formation assay, cells were seeded and cultured for 14 days in medium. Colonies were fixed with a 4% paraformaldehyde solution and stained with GIEMSA dye solution. The number of colonies was counted using ImageJ software. Experiments were performed with three independent replicates.

Cell migration and invasion assays

Wound healing assay was carried out to assess cell migration according to a previously reported protocol [39, 40]. The images were scanned and analyzed using Cellomics (Thermo) at 0 hours, 24 hours, and 48 hours. For the cell invasion assays, a Transwell assay was performed using a Transwell kit (3422 Corning). Cells were resuspended in 100 μ l of serum-free medium and placed in the upper compartment of a Transwell chamber with or without matrigel. Migrating or invatings cells were fixed and stained. The number of cells was determined under a light microscope by counting five random fields.

CCK-8 colony formation

The effect of INSM1 down-regulation and C-MYC overexpression on cell proliferation was determined by using the CCK-8 (Sigma, USA) colony formation assay, following a previously reported protocol [41, 42].

Flow cytometric analysis

Samples were filtered and analyzed by flow cytometry as described previously [43, 44]. All

experimental operations and steps were carried out following the instructions of the eBioscience[™] Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kits (No. 88-8007-74). Flow cytometry data was analyzed using FlowJo Software v. 10 (Tree Star, Inc., USA).

Western blotting

Whole cell lysates were analyzed by Western Bloting as described previously [45]. Briefly, lysates were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto nitrocellulose filter (NC) membranes. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies that recognized INSM1 (Abcam, USA) at a 1:500 dilution or MYC (Abcam, USA) at a 1:1000 dilution. Anti-GAPDH antibody (Abcam, USA) at a 1:30000 dilution was used for standardization. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled anti-rabbit or antimouse polyclonal antibodies were used as secondary antibodies at a 1:5000 dilution. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled antirabbit polyclonal antibody (Abcam, USA) was used as a secondary antibody at a dilution of 1:5000. Protein bands were stained using Millipore's immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate kit. Band densities were evaluated using ImageJ.

Reverse transcription and quantitative realtime PCR

Total RNA was extracted from the BT549 and MDA-MB-231 cells with Trizol (Sigma, USA) and then reversed transcription into complementary DNA (cDNA) using a cDNA synthesis kit (Vazyme, China). The RNA concentration and quality were determined by measuring the 260/280 nm ratio using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ND-100). For mRNA expression analysis, quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the Two-Step AceQ qPCR SYBR Green master mix (Vazyme, China). The relative gene expression levels were quantified by normalizing to GAPDH using the $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. GAPDH was selected as the internal reference gene for mRNA detection.

Mice

All animal experiments were approved by the Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University. The lenti-edited MDA-MB-231 cells were used for the in vivo study. Six-week-old BALB/C athymic



Figure 1. Clinical significance and relative expression of INSM1 in BC tissues and cells. A. Representative images of TMA stained via IHC for INSM1. Scale bar, ×200. B. Statistical histograms depicting TMA staining for INSM1 (Peritumoral, n = 19; T1 and T4 tumors, n = 19). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. C. Analysis of the correlation between INSM1 expression and patient survival time, n = 133. D. Quantitative RT-PCR detection of INSM1 expression levels in four BC cell types, presented as mean \pm SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

nude mice were obtained from Jiangsu Jicui Yaokang Biotechnology Co. LTD. The mice were maintained in pathogen-free conditions and divided into two groups: the control group (only MDA-MB-231 cells) and the shINSM1 group (MDA-MB-231 cells infected with INSM1 stable-knockdown viruses). A total of 1.6×10^7 cells were injected into the fourth mammary fat pad of the mice. Tumor volume was measured every 7 days. Animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Nanjing University, Nanjing, China.

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as mean \pm SD or SEM as indicated. Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's t-test for two-group comparisons. The chi-square test was used to investigate the significance of the associations between patient variables such as age, tumor size, N classifications, ER status, PR status, ERBB2 status, and survival status. The cumulative survival time was obtained using the Kaplan-Meier method, and multiple variable COX regression analysis was performed. Tumor volume analysis in mice was conducted using repeated measurement ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni test. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science 26 (26.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism (8.0, GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). A *p*-value of \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

Results

High expression of INSM1 correlates with worse survival in breast cancer (BC) patients

To investigate INSM1 overexpression in BC patients, we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) on a tissue microarray (TMA) containing 158 BC patient specimens and 19 normal breast tissues. Our results demonstrated that INSM1 protein was predominantly nuclear and significantly elevated in tumor tissues compared to normal tissues (Figure 1A and 1B; Table 1). The relationship between INSM1 expression and clinicopathological variables of

Table 1. Expression patterns in breast cancer tissues and para-carcinoma tissues revealed in immunohistochemistry analysis

| INSM1 | Tumor tissue | | Para-carcinoma tissue | | n volvo | |
|------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|----------|--|
| expression | Cases | Percentage | Cases | Percentage | p value | |
| Low | 83 | 52.5% | 19 | 100.0% | 0.000*** | |
| High | 75 | 47.5% | 0 | - | | |

***P < 0.001 by *t*-test.

the 158 breast cancer patients is presented in Table 1. We observed a significant association (P = 0.017) between INSM1 expression and tumor size (P = 0.041) and T Infiltrate (P = 0.006) (Figure 1A and 1B; Table 2). Furthermore, BC patients with higher INSM1 expression had a significantly lower probability of overall survival (Figure 1C). We conducted COX regression analysis and the results revealed that the hazard ratio (HR) was 0.212 (95% CI: 0.0968-0.4643; P-value = 0.000105), suggesting that high expression of INSM1 is an independent risk factor for poor prognosis. Additionally, we examined INSM1 expression in four cell lines (BT-549, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, HS578T), revealing high expression of INSM1 in the latter three cell lines compared to BT-549 (Figure 1D). Our findings indicate that INSM1 is upregulated in BC and its expression may serve as a potential prognostic marker.

Knockdown of INSM1 inhibited the malignant phenotype of BC cells

To explore the role of INSM1 in BC, we transfected BT-549 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines with shCtrl (cells transfected with blank lentivirus) and shINSM1 (Figure 2A and 2B). We evaluated the biological behaviors of the cells and observed a significant reduction in proliferation (Figure 2C) and colony formation (Figure 2D) in the shINSM1 group compared to the shCtrl group. These results suggest that INSM1 plays a vital role in cell proliferation in BC. As metastasis is a leading cause of BC-related mortality [46], we further investigated the role of INSM1 in the metastatic phenotype of BC cells. The shINSM1 group exhibited significantly decreased migration (Figure 3A) and invasion (Figure **3B**) of BC cells compared to the shCtrl group, along with a significant increase in the apoptotic rate (Figure 3C). These findings indicate that INSM1 significantly promotes malignant characteristics of BC cells.

INSM1 depletion suppresses xenograft growth in vivo

A mouse model was established for the investigation into the role of INSM1 in BC tumor progression in vivo. The experiments consisted of a control group (NC) and an experimental group (KD) with knockdown of INSM1 with five mice per group. Mouse weight and zenograft tumor

size was measured once per week. After five weeks, the mice were sacrificed and the tumors were removed (**Figure 4A**). The tumor formation in mice with INSM1 knockdown was significantly impeded relative to the NC group (**Figure 4B**). At five weeks, the tumor weight in the NC group, 1.130 ± 0.381 g, was significantly higher than in the KD group, 0.482 ± 0.191 g (P = 0.014 < 0.05, **Figure 4C**). These results indicate that INSM1 knockdown significantly reduces tumor growth in vivo, indicating INSM1 acts as a prominent promoter of BC growth.

INSM1 regulates C-MYC

To gain a deeper understanding of the mechanism of INSM1 in breast cancer, we examined target genes using INACT analysis (https:// www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/home). We identified five proteins that significantly interact with INSM1, excluding three non-human genes (Figure 4D). Previous studies have shown that C-MYC is a tumor suppressor gene and is involved in triplenegative breast cancer [47]. We found that C-MYC protein expression was regulated after INSM1 knockdown in BC cells (Figure 4E). Overexpression of MYC significantly inhibited apoptosis in BT549 and MDA-MB-231 cells (MYC+NC-SHINSM1 vs. NC(OE+KD), P < 0.05). INSM1 knockdown significantly promoted apoptosis (shINSM1+NC-MYC vs. NC(OE+KD), P < 0.05). INSM1 knockdown also significantly inhibited the apoptotic regulatory effect of MYC (shINSM1+NC-MYC vs. MYC+NC-shINSM1, P < 0.05) (Figure 4F). Additionally, MYC overexpression significantly promoted BC cell migration (MYC+NC-shINSM1 vs. NC(OE+KD), P < 0.05), whereas INSM1 knockdown inhibited cell migration (shINSM1+NC-MYC vs. NC(OE+KD), P < 0.05). INSM1 knockdown also significantly inhibited the migration regulation effect of MYC (shINSM1+NC-MYC vs. MYC+NC-shINSM1, P < 0.01) (Figure 4G). These findings demonstrate

| | No. of patients | INSM1 expression | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------|
| Features | | Low | High | p value |
| All patients | 158 | 83 | 75 | |
| Age (years) | | | | 0.130 |
| < 55 | 68 | 40 | 28 | |
| ≥ 55 | 84 | 39 | 45 | |
| Grade | | | | 0.642 |
| II | 74 | 40 | 34 | |
| III | 60 | 30 | 30 | |
| AJCC stage | | | | 0.095 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 1 | 26 | 16 | 10 | |
| 2 | 79 | 40 | 39 | |
| 3 | 36 | 15 | 21 | |
| T Infiltrate | | | | 0.006** |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 1 | 39 | 25 | 14 | |
| 2 | 87 | 42 | 45 | |
| 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 | |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Lymphatic metastasis (N) | | | | 0.455 |
| 0 | 75 | 41 | 34 | |
| 1 | 39 | 18 | 21 | |
| 2 | 18 | 8 | 10 | |
| 3 | 13 | 7 | 6 | |
| Tumor size | | | | 0.041* |
| < 3 cm | 61 | 37 | 24 | |
| ≥ 3 cm | 83 | 36 | 47 | |
| ER nuclear test results | | | | 0.738 |
| ≤5 | 65 | 28 | 37 | |
| > 5 | 63 | 29 | 34 | |
| PR nuclear test results | | | | 0.396 |
| = 0 | 78 | 33 | 45 | |
| > 0 | 50 | 25 | 25 | |
| HER2 testing results of membrane | | | | 0.055 |
| ≤ 6 | 71 | 37 | 34 | |
| > 6 | 57 | 20 | 37 | |
| KI67 nuclear test results | | | | 0.859 |
| ≤ 2.25 | 64 | 29 | 35 | |
| > 2.25 | 64 | 28 | 36 | |
| P53 nuclear test results | | | | 0.616 |
| ≤ 4 | 74 | 35 | 39 | |
| > 4 | 56 | 24 | 32 | |
| FISH data | | | | 0.503 |
| Negative | 90 | 43 | 47 | |
| Positive | 41 | 17 | 24 | |

 Table 2. Relationship between INSM1 expression and tumor characteristics in patients with breast cancer

**P < 0.01 by *t*-test; *P < 0.05 by *t*-test.

that C-MYC participates in the regulation of INSM1 on the biological behaviors of BC cells.

INSM1 interacts with SKP2 to regulate C-MYC

Previous studies have suggested that MYC can block p21 transcription or induce S-phase kinase-associated protein 2 (SKP2) [49, 50]. Therefore, we investigated the relationship between INSM1 and SKP2 on C-MYC regulation. We successfully established BC cells infected with SKP2 overexpressed lentivirus. Compared to the control group, down-regulation of INSM1 and overexpression of SKP2 upregulated C-MYC (Figure 5A and 5B). Moreover, the protein stability of C-MYC significantly decreased following CHX treatment after INSM1 knockdown and SKP2 overexpression (Figure 5C and 5D). To determine the correlation between SKP2 and INSM1, we performed Co-IP and found that INSM1 interacts with SKP2 (Figure 5F). Results showed that the ubiguitination level of C-MYC significantly increased after INSM1 knockdown (Figure 5E), demonstrating that IN-SM1 interacts with SKP2 to regulate C-MYC.

INSM1 affects p-ERK phosphorylation

Research has shown that INSM1 plays a key role in NE lung cancer through Shh signaling, which crosstalks with the PI3K/AKT and MEK/ERK pathways to enhance N-MYC stability [51]. To gain a bet-



Am J Cancer Res 2023;13(8):3500-3516

INSM1 promotes breast carcinogenesis

Figure 2. INSM1 knockdown restricts BC cell malignant phenotypes. A. Immunofluorescence images of BC cells post-lentiviral infection. B. Cells transfected with an empty vector serve as a negative control. Both RT-qPCR and Western blot analyses were performed to determine mRNA and protein levels respectively in BC cells following INSM1 gene downregulation or negative control. C. Cell proliferation assay predicts potential of INSM1 to promote BC cell proliferation. D. Colony formation assay exploring INSM1's impact on BC cell colony formation capabilities.



Figure 3. INSM1 expression's effect on BC cell migration, invasion, and apoptosis. A. Wound healing assay assessing BC cell migration. B. Transwell assay examining alterations to the invasion phenotype of cells with varying INSM1 expression levels. C. Flow cytometric analysis investigating INSM1 influence on BC cell apoptosis. Data are presented as mean \pm SD from a minimum of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

INSM1 promotes breast carcinogenesis





Figure 4. INSM1 inhibits BC tumor growth in vitro and regulates C-MYC. A. Tumor images from INSM1 knockout and control groups. B. Tumor sizes assessed via repeated measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test. C. Tumor weights were measured post-surgical dissection, n = 10 for NC and KD groups. D. INACT analysis identified five proteins significantly interacting with INSM1. E. Western blot analysis of INSM1 and C-MYC expression in stably transfected BC cells. F. Flow cytometry examines how INSM1 regulation of C-MYC influences BC cell diagnosis. G. Wound healing assay to determine the effect of downregulated INSM1 on C-MYC's promotion of cell migration.



Figure 5. INSM1 interacts with SKP2 to regulate C-MYC. A. Western blot analysis of INSM1 and C-MYC in BC cells with or without MG132. B. Western blot analysis of SKP2 and C-MYC in BC cells with or without CHX. D. Western blot analysis of SKP2 and C-MYC in BC cells with or without CHX. D. Western blot analysis of SKP2 and C-MYC in BC cells with or without CHX. E. Co-IP explores the potential interaction between SKP2 and INSM1. F. Effects of INSM1 knockdown on C-MYC protein stability through determination of ubiquitination patterns.

ter understanding of the possible mechanism of INSM1 action in BC, we investigated the relationship between INSM1 and phosphorylation in the ERK pathway. We assessed BC cell proliferation and diagnosis using CCK-8, colony formation, and flow cytometric analysis, and explored the expression levels of ERK and p-ERK proteins through Western blot analysis (**Figure 6A-C**). Our data revealed that INSM1 infection significantly influenced p-ERK phosphorylation and its expression in BC cells (BT549 and MDA-MB-231) after lentivirus infection.

Discussion

Breast cancer is a relatively common tumor. In recent years, advancements in surgical techniques, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, molecular targeted therapy, and immunotherapy have significantly reduced the mortality rate [14, 52]. However, breast cancer remains one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths in women. Patients with similar pathological types, surgical methods, endocrine treatments, and targeted drug therapies exhibit varying prognosis and treatment outcomes [53]. Therefore, targeted research is of particular importance for the future diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer.

This study concludes that high expression of INSM1 is significantly correlated with advanced stage and tumor growth in breast cancer patients. Additionally, overexpression of INSM1 is associated with shorter survival time in BC patients, indicating its significant role in promoting malignant behaviors in BC cells. These findings suggest that INSM1 may play an oncogenic role and contribute to the occurrence and development of breast cancer. Moreover, INSM1 has the potential to serve as a molecular target for the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer. Several studies in breast cancer have demonstrated that compared to traditional neuroendocrine markers such as CgA, CD56, and SYN [32, 34, 35], INSM1 exhibits lower sensitivity but higher specificity. Similar trends have been observed in neuroendocrine lung cancer, where INSM1 is recognized as a pathological indicator for small cell lung cancer [13, 21, 24, 54]. Based on the immunohistochemical and correlation analysis results of this study, it is suggested that INSM1 should be

included in the immunohistochemical pathological detection of breast cancer.

Zhang et al. [55] discovered that compared to other types of breast cancer, neuroendocrine breast cancer exhibited a larger tumor diameter, which aligns with our findings. However, we did not observe a significant difference between neuroendocrine breast cancer and lymph node metastasis while Zhang et al. [55] demonstrated a lower incidence of lymph node metastasis in neuroendocrine breast cancer compared to other types of breast cancer. Some studies have indicated a significant correlation between neuroendocrine differentiation and estrogen positivity in invasive breast cancer [56]. Kawasaki et al. [35] identified a significant positive correlation between INSM1 and estrogen receptor expression. INSM1 also exhibited a negative correlation with Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-2 (HER-2) and high molecular weight cytokeratin. INSM1 can be used to classify invasive breast cancer into different prognostic subtypes based on neuroendocrine characteristics. Moreover, it was suggested that patients with high INSM1 expression had a better prognosis. However, our study did not reveal a significant correlation with ER. PR. and HER-2, and we believed that patients with high INSM1 expression had a worse prognosis. The differences observed may be attributed to variations in inclusion criteria, ethnic backgrounds, and interpretations of neuroendocrine characteristics among breast cancer patients. It should be noted that not all the fundamental clinical information of breast cancer patients was completely obtained in our study, and the lack of access to certain data may have resulted in the exclusion of corresponding patients. Additionally, there may be some statistical bias in the telephone and outpatient follow-up information, which cannot be entirely objective. Therefore, larger-scale, multicenter studies are necessary to assess the impact of INSM1 expression on the prognosis of breast cancer patients.

The MYC genes consist of three types: N-MYC, C-MYC, and L-MYC. Among these, C-MYC gene expression disorder is estimated to occur in up to 70% of human cancers [57]. C-MYC is one of the most extensively studied oncogenes and is closely associated with cancer growth, progression, and maintenance. The regulation of



Figure 6. INSM1 influences p-ERK phosphorylation. A. CCK-8 assay investigates changes in cell proliferation when INSM1 is downregulated in BC cells, with or without the p38 MAPK inhibitor SB203580. B. Western blot identifies changes in ERK and p-ERK protein levels in cells with downregulated INSM1, with or without SB203580. C. Flow cytometry examines the impact of INSM1-mediated p-ERK phosphorylation on BC cell diagnosis. Data are presented as mean \pm SD from a minimum of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

C-MYC protein is involved in various cellular processes, including growth, cell cycle, differ-

entiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, metabolism, DNA repair, protein translation, immune response, and stem cell formation [58-60]. Research suggests that the interaction between MYC and the zinc finger protein MIZ1 contributes to the downregulation of certain genes [61]. Chen et al. [62] demonstrated that INSM1 increases the level of MYC and enhances its stability by activating the PI3K/AKT/GSK3ß signaling pathway in neuroblasts. The positive feedback loop between MYC and INSM1 stimulates the proliferation of neuroendocrine cells. Additionally, INSM1 expression enhances the invasiveness and tumorigenicity of neuroendocrine cells [48]. Studies on neuroendocrine lung cancer have shown that INSM1 activation promotes MYC stabilization in neuroendocrine lung cancer cells, and INSM1 is a necessary signaling pathway for MYC carboxylation, suggesting a positive regulation of MYC by INSM1 [62-64]. Chen et al. [62] demonstrated that INSM1 increases the level of MYC and enhances its stability by activating the PI3K/AKT and MEK/ERK 1/2 signaling pathways in neuroblasts. In our study, we observed that knocking down INSM1 in breast cancer cells led to a downregulation of C-MYC protein levels and inhibited the regulatory effect of C-MYC on breast cancer cells. Furthermore, we discovered that INSM1 works in conjunction with SKP2 to influence and regulate C-MYC, which aligns with the research conducted by Kim et al. [65], where SKP2 was found to regulate MYC protein stability and activity.

Although current studies focusing on targeting in breast cancer generally consider direct targeting of transcription factors as challenging for achieving effective tumor suppression, research in lung cancer has demonstrated the effectiveness of targeting INSM1-related signaling pathways in the presence of INSM1 expression [66], which aligns with the findings of this study. Therefore, targeting multiple signaling pathways associated with the activation of the INSM1/MYC transcription factor may be an important approach to delaying or blocking the growth of breast cancer. This study suggests that INSM1 could serve as a novel therapeutic target for breast tumors. MYC plays a significant role in promoting the survival and migration of various tumors through diverse pathways [67, 68]. Numerous studies have also explored MYC-targeted therapies to achieve the inhibition of different types of tumors, although direct targeting of MYC has been considered challenging [69-71]. This study introduces a new concept for the targeted regulation of MYC and the ERK pathway: by selectively modulating INSM1, it affects the stability of the SKP2/C-MYC/ERK pathway and thereby achieves therapeutic effect in breast cancer treatment.

Conclusions

Our study indicates that INSM1 is highly expressed in breast cancer. INSM1 participates in promoting the development of breast cancer by interacting with SKP2 to regulate MYC and the phosphorylation of ERK pathway. Finally, low INSM1 expression is correlated with less aggressive clinicopathlogcal staging and favorable survival outcomes.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Yongzhong Yao, Division of Breast Surgery, Department of General Surgery, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Nanjing University, No. 321 Zhongshan Road, Gulou District, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, China. E-mail: Yongzhong_Yao@ hotmail.com

References

- [1] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A and Bray F. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021; 71: 209-249.
- [2] DeSantis CE, Ma J, Gaudet MM, Newman LA, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, Jemal A and Siegel RL. Breast cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019; 69: 438-451.
- Fillon M. Breast cancer recurrence risk can remain for 10 to 32 years. CA Cancer J Clin 2022; 72: 197-199.
- [4] Hanker AB, Sudhan DR and Arteaga CL. Overcoming endocrine resistance in breast cancer. Cancer Cell 2020; 37: 496-513.
- [5] Rakha E and Tan PH. Head to head: do neuroendocrine tumours in the breast truly exist? Histopathology 2022; 81: 2-14.
- [6] Tan PH, Ellis I, Allison K, Brogi E, Fox SB, Lakhani S, Lazar AJ, Morris EA, Sahin A, Salgado R, Sapino A, Sasano H, Schnitt S, Sotiriou C, van Diest P, White VA, Lokuhetty D and Cree IA; WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial

Board. The 2019 World Health Organization classification of tumours of the breast. Histopathology 2020; 77: 181-185.

- [7] Rosenbaum JN, Guo Z, Baus RM, Werner H, Rehrauer WM and Lloyd RV. INSM1: a novel immunohistochemical and molecular marker for neuroendocrine and neuroepithelial neoplasms. Am J Clin Pathol 2015; 144: 579-591.
- [8] Seok JY, Kang M, De Peralta-Venturina M and Fan X. Diagnostic utility of INSM1 in medullary thyroid carcinoma. Int J Surg Pathol 2021; 29: 615-626.
- [9] Kuji S, Watanabe R, Sato Y, Iwata T, Hirashima Y, Takekuma M, Ito I, Abe M, Nagashio R, Omae K, Aoki D and Kameya T. A new marker, insulinoma-associated protein 1 (INSM1), for highgrade neuroendocrine carcinoma of the uterine cervix: analysis of 37 cases. Gynecol Oncol 2017; 144: 384-390.
- [10] Rooper LM, Bishop JA and Westra WH. INSM1 is a sensitive and specific marker of neuroendocrine differentiation in head and neck tumors. Am J Surg Pathol 2018; 42: 665-671.
- [11] Rodriguez EF, Chowsilpa S and Maleki Z. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 immunostain: a diagnostic tool for pulmonary small cell carcinoma in cytology. Acta Cytol 2018; 62: 333-338.
- [12] Rooper LM, Sharma R, Li QK, Illei PB and Westra WH. INSM1 demonstrates superior performance to the individual and combined use of synaptophysin, chromogranin and CD56 for diagnosing neuroendocrine tumors of the thoracic cavity. Am J Surg Pathol 2017; 41: 1561-1569.
- [13] Sakakibara R, Kobayashi M, Takahashi N, Inamura K, Ninomiya H, Wakejima R, Kitazono S, Yanagitani N, Horiike A, Ichinose J, Matsuura Y, Nakao M, Mun M, Nishio M, Okumura S, Motoi N, Ito T, Miyazaki Y, Inase N and Ishikawa Y. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 (INSM1) is a better marker for the diagnosis and prognosis estimation of small cell lung carcinoma than neuroendocrine phenotype markers such as chromogranin A, synaptophysin, and CD56. Am J Surg Pathol 2020; 44: 757-764.
- [14] Tsai HK, Hornick JL and Vivero M. INSM1 expression in a subset of thoracic malignancies and small round cell tumors: rare potential pitfalls for small cell carcinoma. Mod Pathol 2020; 33: 1571-1580.
- [15] Viswanathan K, Siddiqui MT and Borczuk AC. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 is a sensitive and specific marker for lung neuroendocrine tumors in cytologic and surgical specimens. J Am Soc Cytopathol 2019; 8: 299-308.
- [16] Tanigawa M, Nakayama M, Taira T, Hattori S, Mihara Y, Kondo R, Kusano H, Nakamura K, Abe Y, Ishida Y, Okabe Y, Hisaka T, Okuda K,

Fujino K, Ito T, Kawahara A, Naito Y, Yamaguchi R, Akiba J, Akagi Y and Yano H. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 (INSM1) is a useful marker for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor. Med Mol Morphol 2018; 51: 32-40.

- [17] González I, Lu HC, Sninsky J, Yang C, Bishnupuri K, Dieckgraefe B, Cao D and Chatterjee D. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 expression in primary and metastatic neuroendocrine neoplasms of the gastrointestinal and pancreaticobiliary tracts. Histopathology 2019; 75: 568-577.
- [18] Xin Z, Zhang Y, Jiang Z, Zhao L, Fan L, Wang Y, Xie S, Shangguan X, Zhu Y, Pan J, Liu Q, Huang Y, Dong B and Xue W. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 is a novel sensitive and specific marker for small cell carcinoma of the prostate. Hum Pathol 2018; 79: 151-159.
- [19] Lilo MT, Chen Y and LeBlanc RE. INSM1 is more sensitive and interpretable than conventional immunohistochemical stains used to diagnose merkel cell carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 2018; 42: 1541-1548.
- [20] Rush PS, Rosenbaum JN, Roy M, Baus RM, Bennett DD and Lloyd RV. Insulinoma-associated 1: a novel nuclear marker in Merkel cell carcinoma (cutaneous neuroendocrine carcinoma). J Cutan Pathol 2018; 45: 129-135.
- [21] Mukhopadhyay S, Dermawan JK, Lanigan CP and Farver CF. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 (INSM1) is a sensitive and highly specific marker of neuroendocrine differentiation in primary lung neoplasms: an immunohistochemical study of 345 cases, including 292 whole-tissue sections. Mod Pathol 2019; 32: 100-109.
- [22] Rothrock AT, Stewart J 3rd, Li F, Racila E and Amin K. Exploration of INSM1 and hASH1 as additional markers in lung cytology samples of high-grade neuroendocrine carcinoma with indeterminate neuroendocrine differentiation. Diagn Cytopathol 2022; 50: 230-234.
- [23] Staaf J, Tran L, Söderlund L, Nodin B, Jirström K, Vidarsdottir H, Planck M, Mattsson JSM, Botling J, Micke P and Brunnström H. Diagnostic value of insulinoma-associated protein 1 (INSM1) and comparison with established neuroendocrine markers in pulmonary cancers. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2020; 144: 1075-1085.
- [24] Xu X, Wang G, Duan Y and Huo Z. Prognostic value and non-neuroendocrine role of INSM1 in small cell lung cancer. Pathol Res Pract 2022; 229: 153693.
- [25] Cloyd JM, Yang RL, Allison KH, Norton JA, Hernandez-Boussard T and Wapnir IL. Impact of histological subtype on long-term outcomes of neuroendocrine carcinoma of the breast. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2014; 148: 637-644.

- [26] Loap P, Laki F, Beuzeboc P, Fourquet A and Kirova YM. Five-year outcomes in patients treated with surgery and radiotherapy for primary neuroendocrine neoplasm of the breast. Breast J 2021; 27: 776-780.
- [27] Park YM, Wu Y, Wei W and Yang WT. Primary neuroendocrine carcinoma of the breast: clinical, imaging, and histologic features. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2014; 203: W221-230.
- [28] Tang F, Wei B, Tian Z, Gilcrease MZ, Huo L, Albarracin CT, Resetkova E, Zhang H, Sahin A, Chen J, Bu H, Abraham S and Wu Y. Invasive mammary carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation: histological features and diagnostic challenges. Histopathology 2011; 59: 106-115.
- [29] Wei B, Ding T, Xing Y, Wei W, Tian Z, Tang F, Abraham S, Nayeemuddin K, Hunt K and Wu Y. Invasive neuroendocrine carcinoma of the breast: a distinctive subtype of aggressive mammary carcinoma. Cancer 2010; 116: 4463-4473.
- [30] Zhu Y, Li Q, Gao J, He Z, Sun R, Shen G, Zhang H, Xia W and Xu J. Clinical features and treatment response of solid neuroendocrine breast carcinoma to adjuvant chemotherapy and endocrine therapy. Breast J 2013; 19: 382-387.
- [31] Kudo N, Takano J, Kudoh S, Arima N and Ito T. INSM1 immunostaining in solid papillary carcinoma of the breast. Pathol Int 2021; 71: 51-59.
- [32] Metovic J, Castellano I, Marinelli E, Osella-Abate S, Sapino A, Cassoni P and Papotti M. INSM1 expression in breast neoplasms with neuroedocrine features. Endocr Pathol 2021; 32: 452-460.
- [33] Razvi H, Tsang JY, Poon IK, Chan SK, Cheung SY, Shea KH and Tse GM. INSM1 is a novel prognostic neuroendocrine marker for luminal B breast cancer. Pathology 2021; 53: 170-178.
- [34] Turkevi-Nagy S, Báthori Á, Böcz J, Krenács L, Cserni G and Kővári B. Syntaxin-1 and insulinoma-associated protein 1 expression in breast neoplasms with neuroendocrine features. Pathol Oncol Res 2021; 27: 1610039.
- [35] Kawasaki T and Kaira K. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 (INSM1) expression in breast carcinomas with neuroendocrine morphologies: application and future prospective. Virchows Arch 2021; 479: 191-194.
- [36] Tao W, Ma J, Zheng J, Liu X, Liu Y, Ruan X, Shen S, Shao L, Chen J and Xue Y. Silencing SCAMP1-TV2 inhibited the malignant biological behaviors of breast cancer cells by interaction with PUM2 to facilitate INSM1 mRNA degradation. Front Oncol 2020; 10: 613.
- [37] Zombori T and Cserni G. Immunohistochemical analysis of the expression of breast mark-

ers in basal-like breast carcinomas defined as triple negative cancers expressing keratin 5. Pathol Oncol Res 2018; 24: 259-267.

- [38] Kővári B, Szász AM, Kulka J, Marušić Z, Šarčević B, Tiszlavicz L and Cserni G. Evaluation of p40 as a myoepithelial marker in different breast lesions. Pathobiology 2015; 82: 166-171.
- [39] Arsic N, Bendris N, Peter M, Begon-Pescia C, Rebouissou C, Gadéa G, Bouquier N, Bibeau F, Lemmers B and Blanchard JM. A novel function for Cyclin A2: control of cell invasion via RhoA signaling. J Cell Biol 2012; 196: 147-162.
- [40] Espada J, Matabuena M, Salazar N, Lucena S, Kourani O, Carrasco E, Calvo M, Rodríguez C, Reyes E, González S and Juarranz A. Cryptomphalus aspersa mollusc eggs extract promotes migration and prevents cutaneous ageing in keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts in vitro. Int J Cosmet Sci 2015; 37: 41-55.
- [41] Barltrop JA, Owen TC, Cory AH and Cory JG. 5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl)-3-(4-sulfophenyl)te trazolium, inner salt (Mts) and related analogs of 3-(4,5dimethylthiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (Mtt) reducing to purple water-soluble formazans as cell-viability indicat. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 1991; 1: 611-614.
- [42] Malich G, Markovic B and Winder C. The sensitivity and specificity of the MTS tetrazolium assay for detecting the in vitro cytotoxicity of 20 chemicals using human cell lines. Toxicology 1997; 124: 179-192.
- [43] Elmore S. Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. Toxicol Pathol 2007; 35: 495-516.
- [44] Pan Y, Shan W, Fang H, Guo M, Nie Z, Huang Y and Yao S. Sensitive and visible detection of apoptotic cells on Annexin-V modified substrate using aminophenylboronic acid modified gold nanoparticles (APBA-GNPs) labeling. Biosens Bioelectron 2014; 52: 62-68.
- [45] Andersson El, Brück O, Braun T, Mannisto S, Saikko L, Lagström S, Ellonen P, Leppä S, Herling M, Kovanen PE and Mustjoki S. STAT3 mutation is associated with STAT3 activation in CD30(+) ALK(-) ALCL. Cancers (Basel) 2020; 12: 702.
- [46] Medeiros B and Allan AL. Molecular mechanisms of breast cancer metastasis to the lung: clinical and experimental perspectives. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20: 2272.
- [47] Li M, Li A, Zhou S, Lv H and Yang W. SPAG5 upregulation contributes to enhanced c-MYC transcriptional activity via interaction with c-MYC binding protein in triple-negative breast cancer. J Hematol Oncol 2019; 12: 14.
- [48] Agrawal P, Yu K, Salomon AR and Sedivy JM. Proteomic profiling of Myc-associated proteins. Cell Cycle 2010; 9: 4908-21.

- [49] Bretones G, Delgado MD and León J. Myc and cell cycle control. Biochim Biophys Acta 2015; 1849: 506-516.
- [50] Hydbring P, Castell A and Larsson LG. MYC modulation around the CDK2/p27/SKP2 axis. Genes (Basel) 2017; 8: 174.
- [51] Chen C, Breslin MB and Lan MS. Sonic hedgehog signaling pathway promotes INSM1 transcription factor in neuroendocrine lung cancer. Cell Signal 2018; 46: 83-91.
- [52] Sugie T. Immunotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. Chin Clin Oncol 2018; 7: 28.
- [53] Watkins EJ. Overview of breast cancer. JAAPA 2019; 32: 13-17.
- [54] Kriegsmann K, Zgorzelski C, Kazdal D, Cremer M, Muley T, Winter H, Longuespée R, Kriegsmann J, Warth A and Kriegsmann M. Insulinoma-associated protein 1 (INSM1) in thoracic tumors is less sensitive but more specific compared with synaptophysin, chromogranin A, and CD56. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2020; 28: 237-242.
- [55] Zhang Y, Chen Z, Bao Y, Du Z, Li Q, Zhao Y and Tang F. Invasive neuroendocrine carcinoma of the breast: a prognostic research of 107 Chinese patients. Neoplasma 2013; 60: 215-222.
- [56] Kwon SY, Bae YK, Gu MJ, Choi JE, Kang SH, Lee SJ, Kim A, Jung HR, Kang SH, Oh HK and Park JY. Neuroendocrine differentiation correlates with hormone receptor expression and decreased survival in patients with invasive breast carcinoma. Histopathology 2014; 64: 647-659.
- [57] Hsieh AL, Walton ZE, Altman BJ, Stine ZE and Dang CV. MYC and metabolism on the path to cancer. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2015; 43: 11-21.
- [58] Duffy MJ, O'Grady S, Tang M and Crown J. MYC as a target for cancer treatment. Cancer Treat Rev 2021; 94: 102154.
- [59] Lourenco C, Resetca D, Redel C, Lin P, Mac-Donald AS, Ciaccio R, Kenney TMG, Wei Y, Andrews DW, Sunnerhagen M, Arrowsmith CH, Raught B and Penn LZ. MYC protein interactors in gene transcription and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2021; 21: 579-591.
- [60] Park JH, Pyun WY and Park HW. Cancer metabolism: phenotype, signaling and therapeutic targets. Cells 2020; 9: 2308.
- [61] Shostak A, Ruppert B, Ha N, Bruns P, Toprak UH; ICGC MMML-Seq Project, Eils R, Schlesner M, Diernfellner A and Brunner M. MYC/MIZ1dependent gene repression inversely coordinates the circadian clock with cell cycle and proliferation. Nat Commun 2016; 7: 11807.

- [62] Chen C, Breslin MB, Guidry JJ and Lan MS. 5'-lodotubercidin represses insulinoma-associated-1 expression, decreases cAMP levels, and suppresses human neuroblastoma cell growth. J Biol Chem 2019; 294: 5456-5465.
- [63] Funa K, Steinholtz L, Nou E and Bergh J. Increased expression of N-myc in human small cell lung cancer biopsies predicts lack of response to chemotherapy and poor prognosis. Am J Clin Pathol 1987; 88: 216-220.
- [64] Park KS, Martelotto LG, Peifer M, Sos ML, Karnezis AN, Mahjoub MR, Bernard K, Conklin JF, Szczepny A, Yuan J, Guo R, Ospina B, Falzon J, Bennett S, Brown TJ, Markovic A, Devereux WL, Ocasio CA, Chen JK, Stearns T, Thomas RK, Dorsch M, Buonamici S, Watkins DN, Peacock CD and Sage J. A crucial requirement for Hedgehog signaling in small cell lung cancer. Nat Med 2011; 17: 1504-1508.
- [65] Kim SY, Herbst A, Tworkowski KA, Salghetti SE and Tansey WP. Skp2 regulates Myc protein stability and activity. Mol Cell 2003; 11: 1177-1188.
- [66] Chen C, Notkins AL and Lan MS. Insulinomaassociated-1: from neuroendocrine tumor marker to cancer therapeutics. Mol Cancer Res 2019; 17: 1597-1604.
- [67] Baluapuri A, Wolf E and Eilers M. Target geneindependent functions of MYC oncoproteins. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2020; 21: 255-267.
- [68] Chen H, Liu H and Qing G. Targeting oncogenic Myc as a strategy for cancer treatment. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2018; 3: 5.
- [69] Dhanasekaran R, Deutzmann A, Mahauad-Fernandez WD, Hansen AS, Gouw AM and Felsher DW. The MYC oncogene - the grand orchestrator of cancer growth and immune evasion. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2022; 19: 23-36.
- [70] Habib S, Ariatti M and Singh M. Anti-c-myc RNAi-based onconanotherapeutics. Biomedicines 2020; 8: 612.
- [71] Venkateswaran N and Conacci-Sorrell M. MYC leads the way. Small GTPases 2020; 11: 86-94.



Figure S1. INSM1 is highly expressed in a variety of cancer tissues.



Figure S2. Flow chart of bioinformatic analysis.



Figure S3. Figures of bioinformatic analysis. Abbreviations: TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas project; BRCA: breast cancer.