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#### Abstract

The association between REST reduction and the development of neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC), a novel drug-resistant and lethal variant of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), is well established. To better understand the mechanisms underlying this process, we aimed to identify REST-repressed long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) that promote neuroendocrine differentiation (NED), thus facilitating targeted therapy-induced resistance. In this study, we used data from REST knockdown RNA sequencing combined with siRNA screening to determine that LINC01801 was upregulated and played a crucial role in NED in prostate cancer (PCa). Using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) prostate adenocarcinoma database and CRPC samples collected in our laboratory, we demonstrated that LINC01801 expression is upregulated in NEPC. Functional experiments revealed that overexpression of LINC01801 had a slight stimulatory effect on the NED of LNCaP cells, while downregulation of LINC01801 significantly inhibited the induction of NED. Mechanistically, LINCO1801 is transcriptionally repressed by REST, and transcriptomic analysis revealed that LINC01801 preferentially affects the autophagy pathway. LINC01801 was found to function as a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) to regulate the expression of autophagy-related genes by sponging hsa-miR-6889-3p in prostate cancer cells. In conclusion, our data expand the current knowledge of REST-induced NED and highlight the contribution of the REST-LINC01801-hsa-miR-6889-3p axis to autophagic induction, which may provide promising avenues for therapeutic opportunities.
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## Introduction

Prostate cancer ( PCa ) is the second most commonly diagnosed malignancy and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related mortality in men worldwide [1]. Although androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) has been the standard treatment for PCa since 1941 [2], its long-term efficacy is
often limited, as the disease may eventually progress to castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) with the reactivation of androgen receptor (AR) signaling [3, 4]. The development of next-generation AR-targeted therapies, such as abiraterone and enzalutamide, has increased the overall survival of patients with CRPC by up to 4.8 months [5-9]. However, some patients
may eventually develop drug resistance, metastasis [10], and progression to neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC) [11].

The emergence of treatment-induced NEPC represents a severe condition because NEPC cells are nonproliferating, terminally differentiated, and express little or no AR, thus rendering them no longer responsive to current ADT and chemotherapy [12]. Furthermore, NEPC cells have been demonstrated to secrete cytokines that promote the growth and chemoresistance of their neighboring prostate adenocarcinoma cells [13, 14]. Therefore, no effective therapy is currently available for NEPC, and patients diagnosed with NEPC have a median overall survival of less than one year [12, 15, 16]. However, the mechanisms underlying the development of NEPC remain obscure.

The molecular features of NEPC have been characterized by reduced or absent expression of AR signature markers and increased expression of NE markers, such as b-tubulin III (TUBIII), synaptophysin (SYP), chromogranin A (CgA), and neuron-specific enolase (NSE) [17, 18]. Genetics and molecular mechanisms associated with the development of androgen independence include loss of the tumor suppressor genes p53 and Rb [19-21], amplification or overexpression of the N-MYC oncogene [22-24], and activation of the neural transcription factor BRN2 [25-27]. However, increasing evidence has suggested that neuroendocrine tumors may develop as a result of lineage plasticity [28], a fundamental developmental process that allows a single genotype to acquire polymorphisms in response to environmental stimuli [29]. This process is particularly notable in the case of NEPC, which has genomic alterations resembling those of CRPC adenocarcinoma [19, 30]. Notably, lineage plasticity has also been associated with the development of drug resistance in response to targeted therapies [31, 32], suggesting the need for increased research into epigenetic regulation of lineage plasticity and its ability to lead to neuroendocrine differentiation (NED) as a potential avenue for the development of novel drug targeting strategies.

In 2014, an understanding of how epigenetic changes in REST-mediated lineage plasticity may drive NED in PCa cells was reported [33-

40]. REST, a transcriptional repressor that silences neuronal genes in non-neuronal cells and maintains the pluripotency of neural precursor cells, comprises a DNA-binding domain that recognizes the repressor element-1 (RE-1) site, a 21-nucleotide consensus sequence, and two repressor domains (RD) that recruit Sin3 and CoREST complexes [41]. This capacity to form epigenetic remodeling complexes and suppress target gene transcription has been identified in the regulation of neuroendocrine marker genes [42]. Thus, the decreased expression found during NED in PCa cells indicates a role for epigenetic regulation through REST in the plastic transdifferentiation of NEPC [43, 44].

Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) have recently been defined as a novel type of epigenetic regulator for transcription [45], capable of serving as scaffolds to recruit chromatin-remodeling complexes or transcription factors to specific genomic loci [46-48] or acting as decoys to sequester DNA binding proteins or miRNAs [49]. As such, their regulatory roles in cell development and plasticity have been suggested [50, 51], and dysregulation of IncRNAs has been found to be associated with cancer [52]. Importantly, evidence has demonstrated that IncRNAs may have a role in lineage plasticitymediated drug resistance [53]. However, research on their role in the regulation of NED in PCa cells is still in its early stages [54, 55]. For example, Crea et al. provided the first evidence for a NEPC-associated IncRNA with the identification of MIAT in 2016 [56]. Furthermore, a recent report suggested that the AR antagonist enzalutamide could promote Inc-RNA-p21 expression, leading to NED in PCa [57]. In our previous work, we highlighted HOTAIR as a novel REST-regulated IncRNA that participates in PCa cell NED [33]. Therefore, IncRNAs may offer an additional mechanism that enhances NE transdifferentiation of PCa cells.

In this study, a combination of transcriptome analysis and small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown screening approaches was used to comprehensively survey IncRNAs. We discovered a previously unrecognized role of long intergenic nonprotein coding RNA 01801 (LINCO1801) as a driver of REST-mediated NED. In particular, elevated levels of LINCO-

1801 were detected in CRPC patients with neuroendocrine features, indicating its possible contribution to the development of the NEPC phenotype and its potential use as a biomarker. Additionally, we observed that ectopic expression of LINC01801 results in the induction of autophagy, likely by sponging hsa-miR-6889$3 p$ and upregulating autophagy-related genes. Given that autophagic activation is essential for NED in PCa cells, targeting the LINC01801-hsa-miR-6889-3p axis may impede undesired NED triggered by treatment and thus prevent drug resistance in CRPC.

## Materials and methods

## Cell culture

LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco, 31800-014) containing 10\% FBS (HyClone, SH30071.03), 1\% penicillin/streptomycin and $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich, G8540). LNCaP-TR cells were cultured as described for LNCaP but supplemented with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ blasticidin S (InvivoGen, ant-bl-1). For the generation of the LINC01801-inducible LNCaP cell line, GeneArt-synthesized LINC01801 cDNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was cloned into the pLenti4-CMV/TO vector and introduced into LNCaP-TR cells by lentiviral transduction, followed by selection with $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ zeocin (InvivoGen, ant-zn-1). LNCaP-TR-shREST [40] and LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 were maintained as described for LNCaP-TR but supplemented with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ zeocin. All cells were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified incubator containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. For chemo-drug treatment, LNCaP cells were treated with 50 nM enzalutamide (MDV3100; Selleck Chemicals, S1250) for 72 hours.

## siRNA transfection

For siRNA screening, LNCaP cells were seeded at $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well in 96 -well plates and transfected with siRNAs from the Human Lincode siRNA Library (Dharmacon, GU301000; Lincode ${ }^{\circledR}$ Set of 4 siRNA LibraryHuman NR IncRNA RefSeq v54, Lot 13101) at a final concentration of 20 nM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, 13778150) following the manufacturer's protocol. Control siRNA (FITC-conjugated)-A (Santa Cruz, sc-36869) was used as a negative control
and to ensure transfection efficiency. For siR-NA-mediated knockdown of LINCO1801, the siRNA oligonucleotide was purchased from Lincode siRNA Library (Dharmacon, GU301000); the sequence was 5'UGAGAAGG-GUACCCAAACA-3'. siRNA was transfected as described above.

High-throughput RNA and small RNA sequencing (RNA-seq and smRNA-seq) and gene ontology (GO) data analysis

Total cellular RNA was extracted from control and knockdown LNCaP cells treated with or without $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{IL-6} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{phenol} \mathrm{red-free} \mathrm{RPMI}$ 1640 (Gibco) supplemented with $10 \%$ char-coal/dextran-treated FBS (CDT; HyClone, SH30068.03) and LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells treated with or without Dox ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). RNAseq was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Paired-end reads were then aligned to the human reference genome GRCh37/hg19 using CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) and annotated to NONCODE v4.0 with Partek Genomics Suite (Partek, St. Louis, MO, USA). Transcript abundances were calculated using Partek Genomics Suite 7.0 , while transcriptome information was obtained from RefSeq Transcripts, NONCODE v4.0, and miRBase v21. For identification of biological functions of differentially expressed mRNAs, a disease and function analysis was conducted using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software.

Real-time reverse transcription and quantitative PCR (real-time RT-qPCR)

Total cellular RNA was isolated by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, 15596-018). RNA isolation from paraffin-embedded specimens (FFPE) was carried out using a truXTRAC FFPE RNA kit (Covaris, PN 520161). For the detection of mRNA and IncRNA, cDNA was generated by SuperScript ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ III First-Strand Synthesis System Kit (Invitrogen, 18080-085) using Oligo-(dT). Both RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were performed following the manufacturer's procedure. Real-time qPCR analysis was carried out in 96 -well plates with a Bio-Rad CFX96 RealTime PCR Detection System. All expression levels were normalized against GAPDH. The pairs of qPCR primers were designed by PerlPrimer
(http://perlprimer.sourceforge.net/). The primer sequences are listed in Table S1.

## Immunoblotting

Cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer (0.5\% NP-40 (Amresco, E109), 1X PBS, and 1X protease inhibitor (Roche, 04693132001)). Protein concentration was measured by Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent (Bio-Rad, 500-0006) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Protein samples were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), transferred to $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size PVDF membranes (GE Healthcare, RPN303F), blocked with 5\% BSA in 1X TBST, immunoblotted with primary antibodies against each of the indicated proteins followed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies, visualized by Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Scientific, 34080) and imaged using a Luminescence/Fluorescence Imaging System (Fujifilm, LAS-4000). The primary antibodies used were anti-REST (Millipore, 07-579), anti-TUBIII (Sigma-AIdrich, 2200), antiCgA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA5-13096), anti-SYP (GeneTex, GTX100865), anti-LC3 (Cell Signaling, 2775), anti-AR (Millipore, 06-680), anti-NSE (Cell signaling, 9536), p62 (Cell signaling, 5114) and anti-GAPDH (GTX 100118).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)
Paraffin-embedded hormone-naïve PCa (HNP) and CRPC tissues were collected at Taipei Veterans General Hospital (TPEVGH). Ethical approval was from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of TPEVGH. Tissue sections were stained for CgA and visualized as described in our previous report [33]. The expression of CgA in human PCa tissue samples was evaluated using the H -score by a board-certified pathologist, Dr. Yu-Ching Peng, in a blind fashion. The $H$-score was derived by multiplying the staining intensity (0-3) by the percentage of epithelial cells with positive staining.

## Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing

 (ChIP-Seq) and real-time qPCRChIP was performed following the protocol from the Farnham laboratory (http://genomics.ucdavis.edu/farnham). Antibodies were used in ChIP assays as follows: anti-REST (Millipore, 17-641)
and nonimmune rabbit serum IgG (Alpha Diagnostic International). ChIP DNA prepared from $2 \times 10^{7}$ LNCaP-TR-shREST cells was subjected to SYBR $^{\circledR}$ Green-based qPCR using a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time PCR System with a primer pair (5'-GCCTTTCTCCCACAATTTCTG-3' and 5'-GTCCCTCTCCATCTCTGTC-3') targeting the LINC01801 promoter of the potential REST binding region identified by ChIP-seq.

## Neurite outgrowth assay

LNCaP and LNCaP-TR-LINCO1801 cells were seeded on coverslips in 6 -well plates at a density of $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well. Following treatments as indicated, cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4\% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 20 minutes at room temperature, washed twice with PBS, and stained with Cell Membrane Stain (1:2000 dilution in PBS) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, the coverslips were nucleus stained with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, H3569) for 5 minutes at room temperature, mounted in mounting solution (Dako, S3023), visualized/photographed by fluorescence microscopy (Lecia, DMI4000B), and analyzed using MetaMorph (Molecular Devices, Neurite Outgrowth).

## miRNA target prediction

DIANA-LncBase v2.0 (http://carolina.imis.athe-na-innovation.gr) was used to predict the miRNAs that interact with LINC01801 and the mRNAs that can be targeted by hsa-miR-6889-3p.

## The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database

RNA-seq profiles of clinical data from 499 patients with prostate adenocarcinoma and 52 normal prostate tissues were downloaded from TCGA. The expression of LINC01801 was analyzed using two-tailed Student's $t$ test.

## Results

Identification of IncRNA LINC01801 as a novel neuroendocrine modulator in PCa

Following recent studies indicating that REST may be a prominent regulator of neuroendocrine differentiation (NED) of PCa cells [35-37, 39, 40, 58], particularly when induced by IL-6 treatment [39, 40], and that long noncoding

RNAs (IncRNAs) have emerged as oncogenes or tumor suppressors to regulate lineage plasticity in cancer progression [53], we sought to identify REST-suppressed IncRNAs associated with NED of PCa. First, for identification of potential REST-repressed IncRNAs in PCa cells, transcriptomic data obtained from REST knockdown LNCaP cells (LNCaP-TR-shREST) [36] were reannotated with the NONCODE v4.0 database [59]. According to the repressive nature of REST, more of the 27,661 expressed IncRNAs were found to be upregulated (7,913; $28 \%$ ) than downregulated (4,774; 17\%) after REST knockdown induced by doxycycline (Dox) (Figure 1A). Next, we employed siRNA screening utilizing the human Lincode siRNA library, which contained four different siRNAs designed to target each transcript (Figure 1B). The NED of PCa cells was monitored through an image-based inspection of the neurite-like morphology of LNCaP cells under IL-6 induction conditions.

An initial screening of 147 long intergenic noncoding RNAs (lincRNAs) present in the human Lincode siRNA library revealed that 14 of them were essential for IL-6-induced NED in LNCaP cells (Figure 1B). Further analysis of expression levels under NED induction conditions, including REST knockdown (Figure 2A) and IL-6 treatment (Figure 2B), identified LINC01801. Consistently, we found higher expression of LINC01801 in DU-145 cells (AR-negative and NE-like cells) [60] than in LNCaP cells (Figure 2C). Importantly, we found that treatment with enzalutamide could also induce NED concomitantly with decrease in the level of REST (Figure 2D), and increase the expression of LINC01801 (Figure 2E) in both LNCaP and C4-2B cells, supporting the notion of REST as a master epigenetic regulator of NED and suggesting that LINC01801 may be involved in REST-induced NED.

We then examined the expression of LINCO1801 in clinical samples from the TCGA database and discovered a slight, but not statistically significant, increase in the expression of LINC01801 in prostate adenocarcinoma samples compared to normal prostate tissues (Figure 2F, upper panel). In particular, a significantly higher expression of LINC01801 was found in the group that exhibited the highest (top 20\%; TUBIII ${ }^{\text {High }}$ ) levels of the neuroendo-
crine marker b-tubulin III (TUBIII) compared to that of the group that showed the lowest (bottom 20\%; TUBIIILow) (Figure 2F, lower panel), suggesting that LINC01801 expression increases in NEPC rather than in adenocarcinoma. Importantly, we assayed eight human hormonenaïve prostate cancer (HNP) and twenty-six CRPC samples and found an increase in LINC01801 in neuroendocrine marker chromogranin A (CgA)-high samples compared to CgAlow and HNP samples (Figure 2G). Together, these data suggest that the expression of LINC01801 is increased in NEPC cells.

## LINC01801 as a novel REST-repressive NED driver of PCa cells

To explore the role of LINCO1801 in the NED of PCa, we generated an inducible LINCO-1801-overexpressing LNCaP cell line using LNCaP-TR cells. Subsequent experiments utilizing RT-qPCR, Western blot, and morphological assays showed that LINC01801 induction triggered an increase in the expression of neuroendocrine markers and neurite-like morphological features in LNCaP cells, indicating its link to NED (Figure 3). Next, the siRNA approach was used to knock down LINC01801 in LNCaP cells. Importantly, LINC01801 knockdown blocked the REST knockdown-induced NE-like cellular morphology and the expression of REST-suppressed NE markers (Figure 4A-D), as well as NED induced by IL-6 treatment (Figure 4E-H) of LNCaP cells. Collectively, our data demonstrate that LINC01801 is essential for REST-mediated NED.

Next, we investigated whether LINC01801 is a direct target of REST by searching for REST binding sites (RE-1) in the promoter region (TSS $\pm 2000$ ) using JASPAR (http://jaspar.genereg. net/). Unexpectedly, no RE-1 site was identified within this region; however, possible REST binding sites were detected on the LINC01801 promoter in multiple cell lines via REST ChIPseq from the ENCODE database (Figure 5A). We then examined our ChIP-seq data for possible REST binding sites in LNCaP cells [33] and revealed multiple potential REST binding regions on the LINC01801 promoter (Figure $5 B$ ). To verify this, we employed ChIP-qPCR in LNCaP-TR-shREST cells and found that REST was indeed bound to the LINC01801 promoter region, with a significant decrease in recruit-
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Figure 1. Identification of LINC01801 as a novel REST-repressed IncRNA required for IL-6-induced NED of LNCaP cells. A. Workflow showing the steps in the identification of REST-targeting long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs): LNCaP-TR-shREST cells were treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ doxycycline (Dox) for 0, 3, and 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and used for RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to identify IncRNAs with expression levels greater than 1 FPKM in at least one sample. Pie chart showing the number (percentage) of IncRNAs that were up- or downregulated more than 1.5fold. B. Schematic representation of siRNA-based screening procedure to identify IncRNAs driving NED of LNCaP cells. Representative images show that IncRNA knockdown inhibited IL-6-induced NED neurite outgrowth, with a scale bar of $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. An accompanying table is provided, describing seventeen upregulated IncRNAs whose knockdown reduces IL-6-induced NED of LNCaP cells. Numbers one to four indicate individual siRNA, and IncRNAs that scored in both screens are highlighted in bold.
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| Gene Symbol | siRNA number |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| FAM225A |  | V | V | V |
| FLJ36000 | V |  |  |  |
| LINC00571 | V |  |  |  |
| LINC00926 |  | V |  |  |
| LINC01000 |  | V |  |  |
| LINC01021 | V |  | V |  |
| LINC01801 |  |  |  | v |
| LOC100131289 |  |  |  | V |
| LOC100506082 |  |  |  | V |
| LOC100506207 |  |  |  | V |
| LOC100506804 |  | V |  |  |
| LOC646736 |  |  | V |  |
| MAFG-AS1 |  |  | V |  |
| NCRUPAR |  |  |  | V |
| SNHG8 |  |  | V |  |
| SSSCA1-AS1 |  |  | V |  |
| TPT1-AS1 |  |  | V |  |



Figure 2. The LINC01801 level is increased in NEPC cells. (A) RT-qPCR analysis to detect LINC01801 expression in LNCaP-TR-shREST cells treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Dox for 72 hours. The cells without treatment were used as the control (Ctrl). (B) RT-qPCR analysis of LINC01801 expression in LNCaP and C4-2B cells after treatment with $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{IL-6}$ in phenol red-free RPMI-1640 with 10\% CDT for 72 hours. (C) Immunoblots of AR and TUBIII in LNCaP and DU145. Detecting LINC01801 expression in LNCaP and DU-145 cells by RT-qPCR analysis. (D) Immunoblots of REST, AR and NED markers in LNCaP (left panel) and C4-2B (right panel) cells treated with 50 nM enzalutamide (Enza) for

72 hours. (E) Expression of LINC01801 was measured in LNCaP and C4-2B cells treated as described in (D) by RTqPCR. The cells without treatment were used as the control (Ctrl). (F) Comparison of LINC01801 levels in tumorous and nontumorous tissues of the prostate dataset from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (upper panel) and between samples with high (top 20\%) and low (bottom 20\%) expression of TUBIII (lower panel). (G) Representative images of IHC staining of CgA show negative staining in hormone-naïve prostate cancer (HNP) and positive staining in CRPC. RT-qPCR analysis of LINC01801 expression in 8 HSPC, 14 CgA low (CgA ${ }^{\text {Low }}$ ), and 12 CgA high (CgA ${ }^{\text {High }}$ ) CRPC specimens. For (A-C, E), data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD. The statistical significance was calculated using Student's t test. $* P<0.05, * * P<0.01, * * * P<0.001$. n.s. nonsignificant.


Figure 3. LINC01801 induces NED of LNCaP cells. (A) RT-qPCR analysis to detect LINC01801 expression in LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells treated with $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Dox for 72 hours. The cells without treatment were used as control (Ctrl). (B) Immunoblots of REST, AR and NED markers in LNCaP-TRLINC01801 cells treated as described in (A). (C) Representative images (20× magnification) of LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells treated as described in (A) and stained by cell membrane stain (red). Scale bar: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (left panel). Neurite length was quantified by the average from 50 cells (right panel). For (A and $C$ ), data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD. The statistical significance was calculated using Student's t test. ***P<0.001.
scriptional repression of LINC01801, we used LNCaP-TRREST cells generated in our previous study, which induce overexpression of REST [40]. Dox was administered to cells prior to IL-6 stimulation, and the results demonstrated that REST overexpression attenuated the induction of LINC01801 (Figure 5D). Thus, we conclude that LINC01801 is a direct REST-repressed IncRNA that is upregulated in neuroendocrine PCa cells upon downregulation of REST.

## LINC01801 modulates the autophagy pathway

For determination of the mechanisms by which LINCO1801 regulates NED in PCa, the inducible LINC01801 overexpressing LNCaP cell line was subjected to RNA-seqbased transcriptome profiling. This analysis revealed 8,028 expressed mRNAs with FPKM greater than 1, with 985 (12\%) genes being upregulated and 294 (4\%) being downregulated. Then, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) data analysis to identify enriched pathways using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA). The results indicated that genes regulated by LINC01801 overexpression were positively associated with cell viability, autophagy, and gene transcription (Table 1).
ment after REST was knocked down (Figure 5C). To further validate REST-mediated tran-

Next, siRNA was used to knock down LINC01801 in LNCaP cells, which were then


Figure 4. LINCO1801 is required to maintain the NE characteristics in LNCaP cells. (A) LNCaP-TR-shREST cells were transfected with either a siRNA targeting LINCO1801 or a nontargeting control siRNA (siGLO). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Dox for 72 hours, and then, the expression of LINC01801 and REST was measured by RT-qPCR. (B) Immunoblots of REST and the NED marker tubulin III (TUBIII) in LNCaP-TRLINC01801 cells treated as described in (A). (C, D) Representative images (40× magnification) of LNCaP-TR-shREST cells treated as in (A) and stained by cell membrane stain (red) (C); subsequently, neurite length was calculated from the average of 50 cells (D). (E) LNCaP cells were transfected with either siRNA targeting LINCO1801 or siGLO for 48 hours and then treated with $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ IL-6 in phenol red-free RPMI-1640 with $10 \%$ CDT for 48 hours. RT-qPCR was performed to detect LINC01801. (F) Immunoblots of REST and the NED marker tubulin III (TUBIII) in LNCaP cells treated as described in (E). (G,H) Representative images (40× magnification) of LNCaP cells treated as in (E) and stained by cell membrane stain (red) (G); subsequently, neurite length was calculated from the average of 50 cells (H). For (A, D, E, H), data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD. The statistical significance was calculated using Student's t test. $* * P<0.01, * * * P<0.001$.


Figure 5. LINC01801 is a direct target of REST. (A) ChIP-seq data of REST binding sites in the LINC01801 promoter region (TSS $\pm 2000 \mathrm{bp}$ ) from the four cell lines PFSK-1, SK-N-SH, HepG2, and K562 were obtained from the ENCODE database. (B) ChIP-seq data of REST binding sites in the LINC01801 promoter region in LNCaP cells. (C) ChIP DNA from LNCaP-TR-shREST cells with or without Dox treatment was precipitated with anti-REST or anti-rabbit IgG and then amplified by qPCR using primers designed for the LINC01801 promoter. (D) RT-qPCR analysis of LINC01801 in LNCaP-TR-REST cells treated as indicated for 72 hours. For ( $C$ and D), data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD. The statistical significance was calculated using Student's $t$ test. ***P<0.001.
treated with IL-6. Subsequently, RNA-seq analysis revealed that after knockdown of LINC01801, 1,167 (11\%) genes were upregulated and 2,098 (20\%) were downregulated. Furthermore, in the IL-6-treated LINC01801
knockdown LNCaP cells, 297 (3\%) genes were upregulated, and 968 (9\%) were downregulated. An unbiased functional annotation using IPA revealed that IL-6 treatment had a consistent effect on cells as LINC01801 overexpres-

Table 1. GO categories of LINC01801-regulated genes

| Disease \& Function | LINC01801 |  | IL-6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OE | KD | siGLO | siLINC01801 |
| Cell death and survival |  |  |  |  |
| Cell viability | 6.3 | - | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| Cell morphology, cellular Function and maintenance |  |  |  |  |
| Autophagy | 3.2 | - | 3.1 | - |
| Autophagy of cells | 3.2 | - | 2.8 | - |
| Gene expression |  |  |  |  |
| Expression of RNA | 2.2 | - | 4.1 | - |
| Transcription | 2.2 | - | 4.4 | - |

sion, including increased cell viability, autophagy, and gene transcription (Table 1). Notably, knockdown of LINC01801 selectively abolished the autophagy and gene expression pathways induced by IL-6 but had less of an effect on modulation of the canonical cell viability pathway (Table 1). These results support the hypothesis that autophagy is essential for IL-6induced NED in PCa [40, 61], further suggesting that LINC01801 may be a downstream target of REST that regulates autophagy-related gene expression and activates autophagy in NED processes.

LINC01801 activates autophagy through transcriptional upregulation of autophagy-related genes

To confirm whether autophagy is activated by LINC01801, we examined its activation in LNCaP cells overexpressing LINCO1801. The results showed an increase in autophagic cells (Figure 6A) and LC3-II levels (Figure 6B). These findings are consistent with previous reports, including our own [36, 40, 61], showing that autophagy is activated and required for NED.

Given that the IPA analysis showed an association between LINC01801 and gene expression, the levels of 19 genes defined in the autophagy pathway were measured by RT-qPCR. The results showed that LINCO1801 overexpression increased the expression of ATM, HIF1A, PTEN, TBK1, VPS13A, and XPO1 (Figure 6C). Subsequently, a clinical survey based on the TCGA database was conducted, which revealed that the expression levels of the aforementioned genes were significantly higher among those with the highest (top 20\%; LINC01801 ${ }^{\text {High }}$ ) levels of LINC01801 compared to those with the lowest (bottom 20\%; LINCO1801 ${ }^{\text {Low }}$ ) levels
(Figure 6D). Furthermore, the expression levels of autophagy-related genes in the TUBIII ${ }^{\text {High }}$ group were compared with those in the TUBIIILow group, and similarly, the expression levels of HIF1A, PTEN, VPS13A and XP01 were higher in the TUBIIIHigh group (Figure 6E). Collectively, these findings further support the notion that LINC01801 is involved in NED by regulating the expression of autophagy-related genes and activating autophagy.

LINC01801 activates the autophagy pathway by sponging miR-6889-3p and upregulating autophagy-related genes

Given that IncRNAs can modulate gene transcription by sponging miRNAs, which results in co-up- and co-downregulation of their target genes, and the fact that most of the LINCO-1801-regulated mRNAs were co-up- and codownregulated with LINCO1801, we hypothesized that LINC01801 may function as a ceRNA to modulate gene expression. To study this, we first determined the subcellular distribution of LINC01801 using an RNA scope assay. Our results showed that, consistent with the cytoplasmic localization of ceRNAs, LINC01801 was predominantly found in the cytoplasmic compartment, albeit to a lesser extent in the nuclear compartment (Figure 7A). To identify miRNAs potentially sponged by LINC01801, we performed smRNA-seq to profile miRNA expression in the IL-6-treated LNCaP cells with/without knockdown of LINC01801. Among the 725 expressed miRNAs, 47 (6\%) were upregulated and 179 (25\%) were downregulated after IL-6 treatment. Notably, knockdown of LINC01801 under IL-6 treatment conditions significantly increased the number of upregulated miRNAs (157 miR-


Figure 6. Overexpression of LINC01801 activates the autophagy pathway through upregulation of autophagy-related genes. (A) Representative images ( $64 \times$ magnification) of LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells treated with or without 0.1 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Dox for 72 hours and stained with anti-LC3 antibody (Green) and Hoechst 33342 (blue). The cells without treatment were used as a control (CtrI). Scale bar: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (left panel). Quantitative analysis of the average from 5 microscopic fields was used to assess autophagic cell number (right panel). (B) Immunoblots of p62 and LC3
in LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells treated as described in (A). (C) RT-qPCR was used to detect the expression of 19 autophagy-related genes in LNCaP-TR- LINC01801 cells treated as described in (A). (D, E) The levels of the six LINC01801 upregulated genes in LINC01801 (D) and TUBIII (E) high (top 20\%) and low (bottom 20\%) prostate adenocarcinoma samples from the TCGA database. The statistical significance was calculated using Student's t test. $* P<0.05, * * * P<0.001$. n.s. nonsignificant.

NAs; 22\%) compared to IL-6 treatment alone (47 miRNAs; 6\%), suggesting a role for LINC01801 as a ceRNA. To further investigate this hypothesis, we used the DIANA-LncBase v2.0 database to predict potential miRNAs that may be targeted by LINC01801. We found that of the 179 miRNAs downregulated by IL-6 treatment, 9 were predicted to be potential targets for LINC01801 (Figure 7B). Additionally, for the 157 miRNAs upregulated after knockdown of LINC01801 under IL-6 treatment conditions, 10 miRNAs were predicted as potential targets of LINC01801 (Figure 7B). Notably, the miRNAs hsa-miR-3620-3p, hsa-miR-6515-3p and hsa-miR-6889-3p were common targets of these two groups (Figure 7B, bold).

To investigate whether these miRNAs were targeted by LINC01801, we performed RT-qPCR on LINCO1801-overexpressing LNCaP cells and identified hsa-miR-6889-3p as a potential target of LINC01801 (Figure 7C). Supporting the notion that ceRNA possesses multiple binding sites for its targeted miRNA, LINC01801 contains 5 potential binding sites for hsa-miR-6889-3p (Figure 7D). To determine whether hsa-miR-6889-3p participates in LINC01801induced autophagy activation, we transfected a hsa-miR-6889-3p mimic into LNCaP-TRLINC01801 cells. The results demonstrated that the hsa-miR-6889-3p mimic inhibited the autophagy induced by overexpression of LINC01801 (Figure 7E). Additionally, the expression of autophagy-related genes was analyzed, and the hsa-miR-6889-3p mimic was found to inhibit the expression of ATM, HIF1A, PTEN, VPS13A, and XP01, and the overexpression of LINC01801 partially reversed the suppressive effect of hsa-miR-6889-3p on HIF1A, PTEN, and VPS13A (Figure 7F). Unfortunately, the potential hsa-miR-6889-3p target site on the transcript of most autophagy genes, except PTEN, could not be detected using various bioinformatic tools, such as TargetScan, miRTar base, and TarBase. This finding suggests that hsa-miR-6889-3p likely represses autophagyrelated gene expression through an indirect mechanism, which warrants further investiga-
tion. In conclusion, our findings suggest that the LINC01801-hsa-miR-6889-3p axis is responsible for REST-mediated control of NED and autophagic activation.

## Discussion

New generations of anti-AR therapies have been developed to treat metastatic CRPC. However, these new therapies may induce adverse effects, particularly increasing the prevalence of NEPC. Given the poor prognosis and lack of effective treatments, the average survival rate after NEPC diagnosis is less than a year [62]. Therefore, more research is necessary to elucidate the molecular mechanisms behind NEPC for improved prevention and control of this type of CRPC.

The progression of PCa to CRPC has been demonstrated to occur via diverse mechanisms, particularly gene mutations and amplifications [21, 24]. Notably, lineage plasticity has the potential to minimize the AR dependence of CRPC, thereby facilitating the acquisition of an NE phenotype [28]. Furthermore, epigenetic regulatory control over transcriptional accessibility has been identified as a fundamental factor in mediating the plasticity of NEPC [43, 44]. Due to its potentially reversible nature, in contrast to irreversible genetic events with few effective targeted therapies, it is of particular interest to study epigenetics in the progression of CRPC to NEPC. For example, EZH2, a histone H3 lysine-27 tri-methyl (H3K27me3) methyltransferase, epigenetically mediates NED. Inhibition of EZH2 suppresses NED and sensitizes AR-targeted therapy [22, 57]. However, to date, no epigenetic therapeutic intervention has been clinically approved.

Downregulation of REST, a transcriptional repressor known to regulate neuronal differentiation $[63,64]$ and tumor growth [65, 66], was observed in up to $50 \%$ of clinical NEPC specimens [67]. In 2014, evidence emerged for the first time indicating that REST serves as a critical epigenetic repressor that governs the NED driver gene in PCa [37]. Consistent with this
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Figure 7. hsa-miR-6889-3p as a potential target of LINC01801. (A) Representative RNAscope images (40× magnification) of LNCaP cells treated with $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ IL-6 in phenol red-free RPMI-1640 with $10 \%$ CDT compared with the untreated cells (Ctrl). Scale bar: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (B) Prediction of LINC01801 targeted miRNAs, which are downregulated with IL-6 treatment and upregulated after knockdown of LINC01801 using DIANA-LncBase v2.0. (C) The expression levels of hsa-miR-3620-3p, hsa-miR-6515-3p, and hsa-miR-6889-3p in LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells treated with or without $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Dox for 72 hours. (D) The structure of the predicted hsa-miR-6889-3p binding site on LINC01801. (E) Immunoblots of LC3 in Dox-treated LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells transiently transfected with hsa-miR-6889-3p mimic or siGLO. Cells without any treatment were used as the control (Ctrl). (F) RT-qPCR was used to detect the expression of the six LINC01801 upregulated genes (Figure 6) in LNCaP-TR-LINC01801 cells treated as described in (E). The statistical significance was calculated using Student's $t$ test. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. n.s. nonsignificant.
finding, our previous reports have elucidated the role of REST in the regulation of autophagyrelated genes, which are required for NED in response to various stimuli [36, 40, 68]. Interestingly, our recent findings further demonstrated that the IncRNA HOTAIR, which is repressed by REST, could potentially serve as an underlying mechanism of NED mediated by REST, suggesting the potential of IncRNA to serve as an underlying mechanism of NED mediated by REST. Furthermore, due to the high tissue specificity of IncRNAs [69], the IncRNA prostate cancer gene 3 (PCA3) has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and is now being used in the clinical setting as a routine biomarker for the diagnosis of PCa [70, 71]. Additionally, IncRNAs may epigenetically regulate transcription by either acting as scaffolds for the recruitment of transcription regulators to certain genomic loci or functioning as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) to sponge miRNAs [46-49]. Taken together, these findings indicate that IncRNAs may play an important role in initiating and facilitating the progression of NEPC and thus present an opportunity for novel therapies [54, 56, 57].

To identify potential REST-repressed IncRNAs involved in the NED of PCa, we used an inducible REST knockdown LNCaP cell model. Following a comprehensive siRNA knockdown screening, we identified a novel REST-targeted NED-related IncRNA, LINCO1801 (Gene ID: 400685; location (hg38): chr19:3478853434832869). This IncRNA is categorized as a subtype of IncRNA originating from intergenic regions, known as long intergenic noncoding RNA (lincRNA). Although limited information is available, a 2012 study observed deletions of the genomic locus that includes LINC01801 in relation to 19q13.11 deletion syndrome [72], an autosomal dominant neurodevelopmental disorder [73]. This finding suggests that

LINC01801 may be involved in mediating lineage plasticity and neuronal differentiation. To further investigate, we conducted immunohistochemical staining (IHC) of CgA in 8 HNP and 26 CRPC tissues. We observed an NE phenomenon in 12 CRPC samples and discovered that LINC01801 expression levels increased greatly in CRPC samples with NE characteristics compared to HNP and CRPC without NE characteristics (Figure 2G).

To gain insight into the function of this novel IncRNA, we performed transcriptome analysis in LNCaP cells using overexpression and knockdown approaches. Bioinformatic analysis using IPA revealed that cell viability, autophagy and RNA transcription pathways were significantly enriched in genes regulated by LINC01801 overexpression but not in those regulated by LINC01801 knockdown, as evidenced by z-score hits ( $\geq|2.0|$ ) (Table 1). More importantly, IL-6 treatment was found to significantly modulate gene expression in pathways related to cell viability, autophagy, and RNA transcription, while knockdown of LINCO1801 via siRNA abolished the autophagy and RNA transcription pathways enriched by IL-6 treatment (Table 1). Our findings confirm that IL-6 treatment induces PCa NED through activation of autophagy [40,61] and suggest that LINC01801 plays a role in this process through transcriptional regulation of autopha-gy-related genes. Real-time RT-qPCR analysis further supports this concept, as an increase in ATM, HIF1A, PTEN, TBK1, VPS13A, and XP01 mRNA levels was found after LINC01801 overexpression.

Furthermore, we observed that in the RNA-seq data, the number of genes upregulated by LINC01801 overexpression $(985,12 \%)$ was higher than that downregulated (294, 4\%), while the number of genes downregulated (2,098, 20\%) after siLINCO1801 knockdown
was higher than that of genes upregulated (1,167, 11\%). Similarly, after IL-6 treatment, the downregulated genes (968, 9\%) were more pronounced than the upregulated genes (297, 3\%) following LINC01801 knockdown. Given that IncRNAs play a key role in mediating transcription by sponging miRNAs and the observation of co-up- and co-downregulation of LINC01801 with genes regulating autophagy, LINC01801 may possess ceRNA activity and affect the NED process, at least in part, by sponging miRNAs. To identify miRNAs that can be sequestered by LINC01801 and are also related to NE differentiation, we performed smRNA-seq of IL-6-treated LNCaP cells with or without LINC01801 knockdown. The results showed that under IL-6 treatment, 179 miRNAs (25\%) were downregulated and 47 (6\%) were upregulated, while under LINC01801 knockdown, 157 miRNAs (22\%) were upregulated. This finding further supported the notion that LINC01801 has the ability to sponge miRNAs and function as a ceRNA. To investigate potential miRNAs that are targeted by IncRNA LINC01801, we used DIANA-LncBase v2.0 to predict miRNA-interacting regions on LINCO1801. After examining the miRNAs that were downregulated in IL-6 treatment and upregulated after LINC01801 knockdown, we identified three candidates (Figure 7B). In particular, hsa-miR-6889-3p, which contained five potential binding sites on LINC01801, was found to decrease its expression levels following overexpression of LINCO1801 (Figure 7C). The ratio between the number of miRNA-binding sites in IncRNAs and the levels of miRNA molecules may play an essential role in ceRNA regulation [74]. In this regard, hsa-miR-6889-3p, which has five potential binding sites on LINC01801 and is expressed at a relatively low level (RPM ~1), with a ranking of $644^{\text {th }}$ out of 725 expressed miRNAs (RPM >1) in LNCaP cells, may be a possible target of regulation by LINC01801.

Surprisingly, we were unable to identify target sites for hsa-miR-6889-3p in most upregulated autophagy-related genes after LINC01801 overexpression. However, we verified that hsa-miR-6889-3p regulation of most LINC01801 upregulated autophagy-related genes via an overexpression assay, while overexpression of LINC01801 partially reversed the expression of some of these genes (Figure 7F). Among them, HIF1A is transcriptionally activated and
stabilized by STAT3 under hypoxic conditions, resulting in autophagic induction [75]. VPS13A is a phospholipid transporter implicated in the packaging of organelles into autophagosomes and has recently been found to be an au-tophagy-regulated gene [76]. Taken together, our data suggest that the LINC01801-hsa-miR-6889-3p axis may modulate autophagy-related genes through an indirect mechanism that warrants further exploration.

In conclusion, we have identified LINC01801 as a novel REST-targeting IncRNA, and LINCO-1801-mediated sponging of hsa-miR-6889-3p can restore the expression of autophagy-related genes, activate autophagy and, consequently, induce NED, indicating that LINC01801 could serve as a prognostic biomarker for NEPC and that inhibition of autophagy could be a potential therapeutic approach for treating this highly drug-resistant subtype of CRPC.
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Table S1. Primer sequences for RT-qPCR used in this study

| Gene | Forward primer (5'-3') | Reverse primer (5'-3') |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ATG2B | GCTCACAAGTTACTTCAGCTC | ATCAACATCCAACTTAGCTCC |
| ATM | CAATCATCACCAAGTTCGCA | CAGCACTATGGGACATTTCTC |
| BIRC2 | ATCTAGTGTTCCAGTTCAGCC | AACTCACACCTTGGAAACCAC |
| BIRC6 | ATCATGTTCAGTTGGAGTCAC | CTATGAAGCACAAGGCACC |
| CHUK | TGTTACCACCTGATGAAAGTC | CTATCACAGCCTCTAACTCCA |
| COPZ1 | GGACTGACAGTGAAATTGCC | TTCATCCACAGCCAAGAACAG |
| GNAI3 | TCCCAGTCTAACTACATTCCA | GCATTCGGTTCATCTCCTC |
| HIF1A | AGTTCACCTGAGCCTAATAGTCC | TCCAAGTCTAAATCTGTGTCCTG |
| MCL1 | CCTTCCAAGGCATGCTTCG | GGAAGAACTCCACAAACCCA |
| MFN1 | GTTAGTAGACAGTCCAGGCA | GGCATCCCAACGATTATTGAG |
| PTEN | CGGAACTTGCAATCCTCAG | ACGCTCTATACTGCAAATGCT |
| RB1CC1 | TGACGTAACTGTATCAGAGGG | ATTTGTATCCGTCCCAGCAC |
| R0CK1 | TTACTGACAGGGAAGTGAGG | ACTTAAATCGGGTACAACTGG |
| SC0C | TTAAGAATGCAACACTCAGGTC | GAGGAATGGTCTATGTCATCTG |
| STAM2 | TTCCAATGCAGACATATCCAG | TGACATATCCACAGACATCCC |
| TBK1 | ACATAAGCTTCCTTCGTCCA | CATTCCACCCACCACATCTC |
| VPS13A | AGTTCAAACCTGATGTGCCT | TTCCAACTCCTCCAAAGACAC |
| XPO1 | CGCAGATACGGGCTTACAG | ACCAGCAGTATGTGAAGTGTC |

