Original Article Eight-plex iTRAQ labeling and quantitative proteomic analysis for human bladder cancer

Qimin Zhang, Shengsong Huang, Huarong Luo, Xin Zhao, Gang Wu, Denglong Wu

Department of Urology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji University, Shanghai 200065, China

Received February 24, 2017; Accepted March 6, 2017; Epub April 1, 2017; Published April 15, 2017

Abstract: Bladder cancer is one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide, and increasing research has focused on new technologies for early detection of bladder cancer. For example, proteomic techniques for biomarker discovery have been implemented for the detection and analysis of protein changes in the tissues, blood, and urine from bladder cancer patients. In this present study, we evaluated the effectiveness of the eight-plex iTRAQ labeling and quantitative proteomic approaches for differentially analyzing proteins found in normal and bladder cancer tissues from individual patients. This study obtained 1627 identified and quantified proteins, and detected significant changes of expression in 35 proteins. In addition, both mass spectrometry and Western Blot results indicated that scaffold attachment factor B (SafB) and GTPase RAN binding protein 1 (RanBP1) were up-regulated in low-grade bladder cancer tissues. Overall, this study suggests that these two proteins are potential candidates as predictive and diagnostic biomarkers and that they may be potentially used as the therapeutic targets for drug discovery.

Keywords: Bladder cancer, eight-plex iTRAQ labeling, scaffold attachment factor B (SafB), RAN binding protein 1 (RanBP1)

Introduction

In the United States, bladder cancer is the fourth most common malignancy among men and the eighth cause of death in males. Unfortunately, there are 73,510 new cases diagnosed each year, and 14,880 deaths per year [1]. In clinical studies, 75% of patients with bladder cancer have the disease confined to the mucosa (pTis, pTa and pT1) of which 20% are muscle infiltrating (pT2-pT4) and 5% are metastatic at the time of diagnosis [2]. Early diagnosis of bladder cancer increases the five-year survival rate to about 88%. New procedures are needed for earlier detection of bladder cancer. At present, cytological analysis and cystoscopy are commonly used detection methods, however they have disadvantages. For example, although cytological analysis is a noninvasive detection method for bladder cancer, it has poor sensitivity and specificity for detecting early low-grade bladder tumors. Cystoscopy is also disadvantageous because of its invasive nature and expense [3-7]. These techniques identified several candidate markers in the urine and/or bladder cancer cells of bladder cancer patients which were used for the initial diagnosis and for monitoring recurrences and treatment responses [3, 6, 8-14]; however, it is important to note that these markers are not superior to existing detection methods in terms of sensitivity or specificity. Thus, there is a compelling need to find more reliable bladder cancer markers for the diagnosis and monitoring of bladder cancer.

To a certain extent, quantitative proteomics has distinct advantages compare with cytological analysis and cystoscopy studies because the protein is responsible for the ultimate malignant phenotype. Mass spectrometry (MS) based protein expression has been applied to identify new biomarkers for cancers such as prostate, breast and pancreatic cancers. Isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ) was developed by Applied Biosystems Incorporation in 2004 [15, 16]. With the eight-plex iTRAQ reagents, multiple samples can be quantitatively analyzed simultaneously under the same experimental condi-

		•						
No. of	Age	Gender	Stage	Tumor sample/	Control sample/			
patient	(year)			IIRAQ leagent	TIRAQ Teagent			
110	72	Male	TOMONO	T110/iTRAQ-118	C110/iTRAQ-114			
112	60	Male	TOMONO	T112/iTRAQ-119	C112/iTRAQ-115			
123	75	Male	TOMONO	T123/iTRAQ-121	C123/iTRAQ-116			
101	64	Male	TOMONO	T101 (WB)	C101 (WB)			
113	78	Male	TOMONO	T113 (WB)	C113 (WB)			

Table 1. Clinic parameters of the bladder cancer patients

Notes: Papillary urothelial carcinoma, low grade. M1, Mt: mixed sample of T110, C110, T112, C112, T123, C123 in equal protein amount.

tions [17-19]. This unique approach labels samples with eight independent isobaric tags of the same mass that, upon fragmentation in MS/MS, result in eight unique reporter ions (m/z from 113 to 121). Therefore, the same peptides of the proteins from different specimens labeled with these reagents appear as an individual species in a MS scan. However, upon fragmentation of the peptide, each label releases a unique reporter ion that can be measured in a MS/MS scan. The peak area for the reporter ions provides relative quantitation of the peptide contained in the eight different samples. The use of isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) combined with multidimensional liquid chromatography (LC) and MS analysis is emerging as a powerful method to search for specific cancer biomarkers.

Here, we applied the eight-plex iTRAQ-labeling and nano-LC-MS/MS technologies to discover differentially expressed proteins between bladder cancer and non-tumor (NT) control tissues from the individuals with disease. A total of 1627 proteins were identified and quantified, and there were 35 significantly changed proteins with a *p*-value <0.05 between bladder cancer and normal tissues. The up-regulated proteins SafB and RanBP1 in bladder cancer tissues were further validated by Western Blot analyses and found to be potential candidates for early detection or for the stage differentiation of bladder cancer.

Materials and methods

Clinical specimens

Tissues from tumors and from adjacent normal regions (controls) were collected from patients diagnosed with bladder cancer at Tongji Hospital, Shanghai, China. The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics and Human Clinical Trial Committee of Tongji Hospital. All of the tissue samples were pathologically confirmed as papillary urothelial carcinoma (PUC) according to the WHO/ ISUP classification of urothelial carcinomas, 2004. The samples were washed 3 times with

ice-cold PBS to remove blood and stored in liquid nitrogen. **Table 1** lists the diagnosis, sex, and other clinical sample information for the patient samples.

Sample preparation

Human bladder tissues from donors were lysed as described [20]. Briefly, each tissue was added to SDT-lysis buffer (2% SDS, 0.1 M DTT, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH=7.6), at a buffer to tissue ratio of 10:1 (v/w). After homogenization for 3 minutes, the mixture was incubated in boiling water for another 3 minutes. Then, the crude extract was sonicated for 1 minute and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 30 minutes at room temperature. The protein concentration was determined by measuring tryptophan fluorescence as previously described [21]. Briefly, 1 µL of sample or tryptophan standard (100 ng/ μ L) was added into 3 mL of 8 M urea buffer (8 M urea, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH=7.6). Fluorescence experiments were carried out by a multi-mode microplate reader (Synergy NEO HTS, Biotek, USA). Fluorescence was excited at 295 nm and measured at 350 nm. and both excitation and emission slits were set at 10 nm.

Protein digestion and peptide labeling with iTRAQ reagents

100 μ g of protein per sample was digested by the FASP procedure as described [20]. Briefly, each sample was transferred to a 10 kDa filter (Pall Corporation) and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 40 minutes at room temperature. 200 μ L of UA buffer (8 M urea, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH=8.5) was added and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 40 minutes. This step was then repeated. Following that, the concentrate was mixed with 100 μ L of 50 mM iodacetamide (IAA) in UA buffer and incubated for an additional 40 min-

Eight-plex iTRAQ in human bladder cancer



Figure 1. Flow chart of the iTRAQ labeling quantitative proteomic study of low-grade bladder tissue samples.

utes at room temperature in darkness. After that, IAA was removed by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 40 minutes. The sample was diluted with 200 μ L of UA buffer and centrifuged twice. Then, 200 μ L of 50 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) was added and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 40 minutes. This step was repeated twice. Finally, 100 μ L of 50 mM TEAB and Trypsin (1:50, enzyme to protein) were added and incubated with the mixture at 37°C for 16 h. The tryptic peptide mixtures were collected and dried in a vacuum concentrator for further analysis.

For peptide labeling with iTRAQ reagents, a reference pool was created by combining 100 μ g of peptides from each clinical sample. The samples corresponding to each iTRAQ tag are listed in **Table 1** and **Figure 1**. iTRAQ labeling was done following the manufacturer's instructions (Applied Biosystems). Briefly, 100 μ g of each dried peptide sample were reconstituted in 30 μ L Dissolution Buffer. Each peptide solu-

tion was labeled at room temperature for 2 h with one iTRAQ reagent vial (mass tag 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 121) that was previously reconstituted with 70 μ L of ethanol. After 2 h, 100 μ L of Milli-Q water was added to each tube to quench the iTRAQ reaction before being incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The contents of all iTRAQ reagent-labeled sample tubes were combined into one tube. This combined sample was then dried down by evaporation in a Speed Vac. 100 μ L of water was added to the tube and the sample was dried down completely.

LC-MS/MS analysis

The reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) separation was achieved on a Suveyor HPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a home-made column (75 μ m × 120 mm) at a flow rate of 250 nL/min. The RP-HPLC system was coupled with an LTQ-Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) operated in data-dependent mode. The mass spectrometer was set so that each full MS scan was followed by MS/MS for the 10 most intense ions with charges \geq +2 with the following Dynamic ExclusionTM settings: repeat counts, 1; repeat duration, 120 s; exclusion duration, 120 s. The full mass was scanned in an Orbitrap analyzer with R=30,000 (defined at m/z 400), followed by HCD MS/MS scans with R=7,500. The NCE was set at 35%.

Database searching for iTRAQ-based quantitation

The generated mass spectrometry data were searched using Mascot (ver. 2.1; Matrix Science, London, United Kingdom) against a protein sequence database consisting of: The human International Protein Index protein sequence database (ver 3.68), frequently observed contaminants, and reversed copies of all the sequences. Enzyme specificity was set to trypsin, carbamidomethyl cysteine was set as a fixed modification; whereas, oxidized methionine, N-terminal iTRAQ eight-plex, lysine iTRAQ eight-plex were set as variable modifications. A maximum of two missed cleavages were allowed, and the minimum required peptide length was set to 6 amino acids. The required false positive rate was set to 1% at the peptide level, and the required false discovery rate was set to 1% at the protein level. False positive rates for peptides were calculated by recording the Mascot score and the peptide sequence length-dependent histograms of forward and reverse hits separately, and then, Bayes' theorem was used to derive the probability of a false identification for a given top scoring peptide. The probability cutoff of 0.05 at the peptide level ensures that the number of falsely identified peptides remains low. Proteins were then sorted by the product of the false positive rates of their corresponding peptides and only peptides with distinct sequences were considered.

Relative quantitation (fold change) was calculated using iTRAQ reporter ion intensities in MS/MS spectra (m/z 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119 and 121) from the raw data sets. The reporter ion intensities were extracted using the following criteria: first, the fragment ion tolerance was 20 ppm for the most confident centroid peak. Second, only peptides unique to a given protein were considered for relative quantitation, except those peptides common to other isoforms or proteins of the same family. Third, the mean intensity of the m/z 113 and 117 reporter ions (mixed samples of C110, C112, C123, T110, T112 and T123 in equal protein amount) was used to normalize the intensity of the other reporter ions (m/z 114, 115, 116, 118, 119 and 121) corresponding to the individual clinical samples (C110, C112, C123, T110, T112 and T123), respectively.

Data analysis

The *p*-value of the protein between normal and cancer tissue samples was calculated using the T-Test, and P<0.05 was set as a criterion for significant change. Subcellular location and molecular function predictions of each identified protein was achieved using Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (IPA, version 8.5, Ingenuity Systems, Redwood City, CA). Also, the function heatmap and protein interaction network were generated by Ingenuity Pathways Analysis software.

Western blot analysis

Total proteins were extracted from tumors and from adjacent normal regions (controls) obtained from patients diagnosed with bladder cancer. A total of 50 µg of proteins were mixed with invitrogen NuPAGE® LDS sample buffer (reducing agent added) and resolved by NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris Gel with MOPS running buffer. Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane using the iBlot[™] dry blotting system. The membranes were then blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in PBS and 0.1% Tween-20 for 45 minutes at room temperature, followed by incubation overnight at 4°C with an anti-SafB antibody (dilution: 1/500, Cat.05-588, Millipore), an anti-RanBP1 (dilution: 1/1000, Cell signaling), or anti-GAPDH antibody (dilution: 1/5000, Abcam, loading control). The secondary antibody that was used was IRDye goat anti-mouse/rabbit antibody (dilution 1/10000, LI-COR).

Results

Quantitative analysis of tumor and non-tumor tissue from bladder cancer patients using iTRAQ labeling

The strategy for the analysis of the different samples using the iTRAQ reagents coupled



Figure 2. Subcellular location and function distribution of the quantified proteins between normal and bladder cancer tissues. A: Distribution of Subcellular Location; B: Distribution of Protein Type; C: Heatmap of diseases and biological functions.

with nano-LC-MS/MS is illustrated in **Figure 1**. 1,627 unique proteins were quantified from the tumor and non-tumor samples (<u>Supplementary Data</u>). Of these, 35 proteins (2.2% of 1627 proteins) were statistically different between the two subsets (T-Test *p* value <0.05).

The bioinformatics analysis of the 1627 proteins was performed by the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. The bioinformatic analysis revealed subcellular locations for 1502 proteins as well as predictive biological processes. Of the 1502 proteins (**Figure 2A**), 875 proteins (58%) and 284 (19%) were predicted to be localized in the cytoplasm and nucleus respectively, due to their high abundance; 155 (10%) and 137 (9%) proteins were predicted as extracellular and plasma membrane proteins, respectively. This finding indicates that the low abundant secreted extracellular and the plasma membrane proteins can also be detected and quantified in our study. As shown in **Figure 2B**, the quantitative proteome of 1502 proteins shows no biases against low-abundance regulatory proteins such as the receptor/growth factors (37 identified and quantified which represents 2.5% of our proteome) and the kinase/phosphatase (73 identified which is 5% of the total).

The link to disease and the biological function of the significantly changed proteins were also analyzed. As shown in **Figure 2C**, the proteins involved in tissue development showed decreased expression in tumor tissues; whereas, the proteins involved in cancer, cellular growth, cellular movement, hematological system development, immune cell trafficking, and infectious disease all showed increased expression in tumor tissues. The proteins related to the aggregation of cells, such as CLNS1A, SERPINF1, and COL14A1, showed decreased expression in tumor tissues with a z-score of -0.762 and an overlap *p*-value of 2.57E-4. These results suggest that the integrity and



the normal connective base membrane of the bladder cancer tissue might be disrupted by the abnormal growth of the tumor cells. However, the proteins related to cell proliferation, such as SLC2A1, MKI67, NAP1L1, SBDS, USP9X, and PDXK showed increased expressions in the tumor tissue with a Z-score of 2.767 and an overlap *p*-value of 4.49E-2. These results suggest that the cells in the tumor tissues have increased proliferation compared to the normal tissues.

Interaction network analysis of the significantly changed proteins

The 1627 quantified proteins (especially the 35 proteins that significantly changed between

tumor and non-tumor subsets) were further analyzed using the disease/biological function interaction network in the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software. The significantly changed proteins were enriched in the network for "Cellular Movement, Cancer, Gastrointestinal Disease" and "Cardiac Necrosis/Cell Death, Cell Death and Survival, Cellular Development" with a score of 20 and 18, respectively. Interestingly, more than half of the proteins in these two networks are present or are connected in both networks, so we merged these two networks into one single network (Figure 3). 32 proteins quantified in our study were present in this network. Among them, 12 proteins were significantly up-regulated in tumor tissues, 9

IPI Number		Normalized iTRAQ Intensity							Ratio
	Protein Name		C_101	C_113	T_80	T_101	T_113	- p-value	(T/C)
IPI00872991	ADH1B cDNA FLJ51682, highly similar to Alcohol dehydrogenase 1B		1.18	2.42	0.32	0.74	0.63	0.032	0.27
IPI00002459	ANXA6 Uncharacterized protein		1.48	2.34	0.42	1.09	0.59	0.038	0.40
IPI00012119	DCN Isoform A of Decorin		1.94	1.84	0.49	0.91	0.57	0.041	0.41
IPI00176193	COL14A1 Isoform 1 of Collagen alpha-1 (XIV) chain	1.24	2.12	1.71	0.43	1.13	0.68	0.045	0.44
IPI00026240	BST1 ADP-ribosyl cyclase 2	2.13	1.67	1.67	0.43	1.20	0.85	0.021	0.45
IPI00607693	CES1 liver carboxylesterase 1 isoform c precursor	1.54	0.96	1.67	0.50	0.79	0.63	0.032	0.46
IPI00026314	GSN Isoform 1 of Gelsolin	1.18	1.37	1.77	0.79	0.98	0.89	0.039	0.62
IPI00329801	ANXA5 Annexin A5	1.31	1.49	1.50	1.07	0.93	0.98	0.004	0.70
IPI00032179	SERPINC1 Antithrombin-III	1.39	1.27	1.28	0.84	1.18	0.77	0.044	0.71
IPI00550991	SERPINA3 cDNA FLJ35730 fis, clone TESTI2003131, highly similar to ALPHA-1-ANTICHYMOTRYPSIN		1.39	1.16	0.98	1.11	0.86	0.034	0.74
IPI00219365	MSN Moesin		1.44	1.32	1.09	1.06	1.19	0.030	0.75
IPI00967583	CRMP1 cDNA FLJ16285 fis, clone OCBBF2004038, highly similar to Dihydropyrimidinase-related protein 1		1.36	1.34	0.87	1.10	1.08	0.041	0.79
IPI00550069	RNH1 Ribonuclease inhibitor		1.14	1.30	1.03	0.97	1.07	0.021	0.83
IPI00006114	SERPINF1 Pigment epithelium-derived factor		1.24	1.31	1.11	1.06	1.12	0.006	0.87
IPI00953221	ESYT2 Isoform 6 of Extended synaptotagmin-2		1.03	1.22	1.28	1.30	1.41	0.044	1.18
IPI00021855	APOC1 Apolipoprotein C-I		1.32	0.96	1.38	1.55	1.54	0.046	1.29
IPI00024129	PPIC Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase C		0.91	1.17	1.61	1.35	1.30	0.035	1.37
IPI00296485	MAP1S Microtubule-associated protein 1S		1.08	1.02	1.22	1.58	1.42	0.024	1.38
IPI00026271	RPS14 40S ribosomal protein S14		1.07	1.05	1.46	1.36	1.84	0.039	1.42
IPI00394882	RAB15 Isoform 1 of Ras-related protein Rab-15		0.94	0.86	1.66	1.16	1.32	0.044	1.48
IPI00028481	RAB8A Ras-related protein Rab-8A		0.94	0.86	1.66	1.16	1.32	0.044	1.48
IPI00148063	HEBP1 Heme-binding protein 1		0.54	0.66	0.76	0.82	1.05	0.040	1.49
IPI00032808	RAB3D Ras-related protein Rab-3D		0.97	0.74	1.52	1.19	1.46	0.022	1.53
IPI00926999	SBDS 10 kDa protein		0.87	0.81	1.09	1.32	1.29	0.007	1.55
IPI00478565	PTK7 Isoform 4 of Tyrosine-protein kinase-like 7		1.03	0.77	1.47	1.41	1.62	0.004	1.65
IPI00983392	SAFB scaffold attachment factor B1 isoform 1		0.96	0.59	1.14	1.46	1.65	0.045	1.67
IPI00983621	THY1 18 kDa protein		1.05	0.85	2.13	1.50	2.18	0.037	1.76
IPI00979926	CLNS1A PRO1478		0.68	0.52	1.33	1.04	1.22	0.012	1.78
IPI00023860	NAP1L1 Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1		0.88	0.58	1.24	1.31	1.73	0.020	1.87
IPI00878075	RANBP1 23 kDa protein		1.08	1.22	2.21	1.87	2.40	0.003	1.90
IPI00018783	ITPA Inosine triphosphate pyrophosphatase		0.66	0.90	1.49	1.21	2.09	0.049	1.93
IPI00013004	PDXK Isoform 1 of Pyridoxal kinase		0.66	0.52	1.96	1.10	1.44	0.037	2.22
IPI00004233	MKI67 Isoform Long of Antigen KI-67		0.93	0.69	2.03	2.83	1.77	0.019	2.44
IPI00220194	SLC2A1 Solute carrier family 2, facilitated glucose transporter member 1		0.84	0.23	3.18	1.32	2.55	0.034	4.39
IPI00003964	USP9X Isoform 2 of Probable ubiquitin carboxyl-termi-		0.97	0.00	1.18	1.68	1.70	0.030	4.72

Table 2. List of significantly changed proteins betwee	en normal and bladder cancer tissues (P<0.05)
--	---

proteins were significantly down-regulated in tumor tissues, and 11 proteins had no significant change between tumor and non-tumor tissues.

Western blot analysis

Western blot validation was performed to confirm the quantitative results from mass spectrometry. The scaffold attachment factor B (SafB) is up-regulated in bladder cancer tissues with a tumor/normal ratio of 1.67 and a *p*-value of 0.04 (**Table 2**) in the MS analysis. A Western Blot analysis of the SafB protein confirmed that SafB is up-regulated in five individual bladder cancer samples (*p*-value of 0.015, tumor to non-tumor ratio of 2.09, **Figure 4A**).

The RAN binding protein 1 (RanBP1) is also upregulated in bladder cancer tissues with a tumor/normal ratio of 1.90 and a *p*-value of 0.003 (**Table 2**). Western Blot analysis of the RanBP1 protein also confirmed that RanBP1 is up-regulated in five individual bladder cancer



Figure 4. SafB and RanBP1 are up-regulated in the bladder cancer samples. A. Western blot analyses of SafB in the bladder cancer tissues and non-tumor tissues (*p*-value of 0.015, tumor to non-tumor ratio of 2.09). Total GAP-DH levels served as loading controls. B. Western blot analyses of RanBP1 in the bladder cancer tissues and non-tumor tissues (*p*-value of 0.0007, tumor to non-tumor ratio of 1.53). Total GAPDH levels served as loading controls. **P*<0.05; ****P*<0.001.

samples compare to the controls (*p*-value of 0.0007, tumor to normal ratio of 1.53, **Figure 4B**).

Discussions

The classification of bladder cancer plays an important role in clinics for determining the appropriate treatment strategies and for predicting the outcomes of the disease. According to distinct clinical characteristics, the WHO grading of bladder cancer has incorporated a range of histologic descriptions such as urothelial papilloma (completely benign lesion), papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential (PUNLMP), and low-grade and highgrade cancer [6]. The more common techniques for diagnosing bladder cancer are cystoscopy, photodynamic diagnosis/blue-light

cystoscopy, narrow-band imaging and urinary markers. However, cytology, the most widely adopted non-invasive urine test, has good specificity and sensitivity for the detection of high-grade tumors, but it has poor sensitivity for lowgrade tu-mors [6]. In this study, the tumor and the adjacent normal tissues from three low-grade non-muscleinvasive bladder cancer patients were analyzed using the iTRAO labeling quantitative proteomic approach. In previous studies, based on urine proteomic analyses, the urine proteins from the bladder cancer patients and the controls were usually pooled to minimize individual variation and to enhance signals [11, 22]. In this study, however, the tissue samples were not pooled. Instead, the tissue samples were analyzed individually.

The down-regulated proteins, such as gelsolin, moesin, annexin A5 and A6, are involved in the formation of cytoskeleton, which may be related to the metastasis of bladder cancer cells. Gelsolin was down-regulated in bladder cancer tissues with a

p-value of 0.039, and a tumor to control ratio of 0.62. Lei et al. also reported that gelsolin was down-regulated in the urine of bladder cancer patients. They use 2-dimensional electrophoresis technique combined with a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer [23]. Gelsolin can mediate the remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton, which leads to high recurrence and mortality rates of bladder cancer by the metastasis of cancer cells [24]. However, the transcription factor activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) can partially up-regulate gelsolin-mediated actin remodeling, resulting in metastasis suppression in bladder cancer [24].

The two up-regulated proteins, the scaffold attachment factor B (SafB) and the RAN binding protein 1 (RanBP1), were first reported as

significantly changed proteins in bladder cancer tissues in this study. Therefore, the function of these two proteins in bladder cancer physiopathology need to be further studied.

SafB was originally identified as a nuclear binding protein that binds specific to matrix attachment regions DNA elements (S/MAR DNA). Recent studies have found that SafB not only is involved as a transcriptional repressor of the small heat shock protein hsp27, but it also acts as a corepressor of estrogen receptor activity in breast cancer [25]. And SafB protein was also reported a key player in tumorigenesis, particularly in breast tumorigenesis [25-28]. The expression of SafB is related to cell growth of tumors and to the survival rate of the patient with breast cancer. For example, overexpression of SafB has been shown to inhibit cell growth; whereas low levels of SafB are associated with poor survival rates for patients with breast tumors [26, 27]. In our study, the quantitative result of the small heat shock protein hsp27 was not changed significantly between normal and bladder cancer tissues. This finding indicates that the up-regulation of SafB in bladder cancer tissue may not affect the hsp27 expression. Therefore, the mechanism of SafB up-regulation in low-grade bladder cancer tissue needs to be further studied, and it can pave a way to understand more the bladder cancer tumorigenesis.

The RanBP1 protein, binds RAN and regulates its interaction with effectors by interacts specifically RAN complexed with GTP-charges but not GDP, have been found to be overexpressed in many types of tumors [29]). The GTPase RAN also regulates multiple processes including the mitotic spindle assembly, spindle pole formation, and mitotic microtubule (MT) dynamics. Therefore, RAN activity plays a very important role in the formation of a functional mitotic apparatus [29]. Rensen et al. reported that down-regulation of RanBP1 can increase apoptotic response to taxol in cancer cells [29]. Their further study demonstrated that the serum- and glucocorticoid-regulated kinase (Sgk1) can increase RanBP1 transcript levels and decrease taxol sensitivity in RKO colon carcinoma cells [30]. Our study, however, is the first one to show the evidence of RanBP1 upregulation steady in clinical bladder cancer tissues. RanBP1 can be selected as a potential biomarker candidate for early bladder cancer diagnosis, and it can be a potential drug target for bladder cancer therapy.

Conclusion

Using eight-plex iTRAQ labeling and nano-LC-MS/MS approaches, 1627 unique proteins were identified and absolutely quantified in human bladder cancer tissues. Among them, 35 proteins were significantly changed between normal and cancer tissues. Our study demonstrates the first application of the eightplex iTRAQ quantitative proteomic approach for the study of bladder cancer tissues. Both mass spectrometry and Western Blot data demonstrate that SafB and RanBP1 were upregulated in bladder cancer specimens. Thus both SafB and RanBP1 can be selected as potential biomarkers and/or drug targets for bladder cancer diagnosis and therapy.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by Shanghai Education Commission Research and Innovation Projects (No. 15ZZ022).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Denglong Wu, Department of Urology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji University, Shanghai 200065, China. E-mail: wudenglongdd@126.com

References

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J and Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin 2010; 60: 277-300.
- [2] Sexton WJ, Wiegand LR, Correa JJ, Politis C, Dickinson SI and Kang LC. Bladder cancer: a review of non-muscle invasive disease. Cancer Control 2010; 17: 256-268.
- [3] Celis JE, Gromova I, Moreira JM, Cabezon T and Gromov P. Impact of proteomics on bladder cancer research. Pharmacogenomics 2004; 5: 381-394.
- [4] Al Hussain TO and Akhtar M. Molecular basis of urinary bladder cancer. Adv Anat Pathol 2013; 20: 53-60.
- [5] Sansom C. Proteomics may aid bladder cancer diagnosis. Lancet Oncol 2000; 1: 5.
- [6] Cheung G, Sahai A, Billia M, Dasgupta P and Khan MS. Recent advances in the diagnosis

and treatment of bladder cancer. BMC Med 2013; 11: 13.

- [7] Grossman HB, Messing E, Soloway M, Tomera K, Katz G, Berger Y and Shen Y. Detection of bladder cancer using a point-of-care proteomic assay. JAMA 2005; 293: 810-816.
- [8] Latosinska A, Frantzi M, Vlahou A and Mischak H. Clinical applications of capillary electrophoresis coupled to mass spectrometry in biomarker discovery: focus on bladder cancer. Proteomics Clin Appl 2013; 7: 779-93.
- [9] Sanchez-Carbayo M, Socci ND, Richstone L, Corton M, Behrendt N, Wulkfuhle J, Bochner B, Petricoin E and Cordon-Cardo C. Genomic and proteomic profiles reveal the association of gelsolin to TP53 status and bladder cancer progression. Am J Pathol 2007; 171: 1650-1658.
- [10] Kageyama S, Isono T, Iwaki H, Wakabayashi Y, Okada Y, Kontani K, Yoshimura K, Terai A, Arai Y and Yoshiki T. Identification by proteomic analysis of calreticulin as a marker for bladder cancer and evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of its detection in urine. Clin Chem 2004; 50: 857-866.
- [11] Chen CL, Lin TS, Tsai CH, Wu CC, Chung T, Chien KY, Wu M, Chang YS, Yu JS and Chen YT. Identification of potential bladder cancer markers in urine by abundant-protein depletion coupled with quantitative proteomics. J Proteomics 2013; 85: 28-43.
- [12] Schiffer E, Vlahou A, Petrolekas A, Stravodimos K, Tauber R, Geschwend JE, Neuhaus J, Stolzenburg JU, Conaway MR, Mischak H and Theodorescu D. Prediction of muscle-invasive bladder cancer using urinary proteomics. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15: 4935-4943.
- [13] Minami S, Sato Y, Matsumoto T, Kageyama T, Kawashima Y, Yoshio K, Ishii J, Matsumoto K, Nagashio R and Okayasu I. Proteomic study of sera from patients with bladder cancer: usefulness of S100A8 and S100A9 proteins. Cancer Genomics Proteomics 2010; 7: 181-189.
- [14] Schwamborn K, Krieg RC, Grosse J, Reulen N, Weiskirchen R, Knuechel R, Jakse G and Henkel C. Serum proteomic profiling in patients with bladder cancer. Eur Urol 2009; 56: 989-996.
- [15] Ross PL, Huang YN, Marchese JN, Williamson B, Parker K, Hattan S, Khainovski N, Pillai S, Dey S, Daniels S, Purkayastha S, Juhasz P, Martin S, Bartlet-Jones M, He F, Jacobson A and Pappin DJ. Multiplexed protein quantitation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae using aminereactive isobaric tagging reagents. Mol Cell Proteomics 2004; 3: 1154-1169.
- [16] DeSouza L, Diehl G, Rodrigues MJ, Guo J, Romaschin AD, Colgan TJ and Siu KW. Search for cancer markers from endometrial tissues using differentially labeled tags iTRAQ and clC-

AT with multidimensional liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry. J Proteome Res 2005; 4: 377-386.

- [17] Ow SY, Cardona T, Taton A, Magnuson A, Lindblad P, Stensjo K and Wright PC. Quantitative shotgun proteomics of enriched heterocysts from Nostoc sp. PCC 7120 using 8-plex isobaric peptide tags. J Proteome Res 2008; 7: 1615-1628.
- [18] Glen A, Evans CA, Gan CS, Cross SS, Hamdy FC, Gibbins J, Lippitt J, Eaton CL, Noirel J, Wright PC and Rehman I. Eight-plex iTRAQ analysis of variant metastatic human prostate cancer cells identifies candidate biomarkers of progression: an exploratory study. Prostate 2010; 70: 1313-1332.
- [19] Zhu G, Sun L, Keithley RB and Dovichi NJ. Capillary isoelectric focusing-tandem mass spectrometry and reversed-phase liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for quantitative proteomic analysis of differentiating PC12 cells by eight-plex isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification. Anal Chem 2013; 85: 7221-7229.
- [20] Wisniewski JR, Zougman A, Nagaraj N and Mann M. Universal sample preparation method for proteome analysis. Nat Methods 2009; 6: 359-362.
- [21] Nielsen PA, Olsen JV, Podtelejnikov AV, Andersen JR, Mann M and Wisniewski JR. Proteomic mapping of brain plasma membrane proteins. Mol Cell Proteomics 2005; 4: 402-408.
- [22] Chen YT, Chen CL, Chen HW, Chung T, Wu CC, Chen CD, Hsu CW, Chen MC, Tsui KH, Chang PL, Chang YS and Yu JS. Discovery of novel bladder cancer biomarkers by comparative urine proteomics using iTRAQ technology. J Proteome Res 2010; 9: 5803-5815.
- [23] Lei T, Zhao X, Jin S, Meng Q, Zhou H and Zhang M. Discovery of potential bladder cancer biomarkers by comparative urine proteomics and analysis. Clin Genitourin Cancer 2013; 11: 56-62.
- [24] Yuan X, Yu L, Li J, Xie G, Rong T, Zhang L, Chen J, Meng Q, Irving AT, Wang D, Williams ED, Liu JP, Sadler AJ, Williams BR, Shen L and Xu D. ATF3 suppresses metastasis of bladder cancer by regulating gelsolin-mediated remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton. Cancer Res 2013; 73: 3625-3637.
- [25] Townson SM, Sullivan T, Zhang Q, Clark GM, Osborne CK, Lee AV and Oesterreich S. HET/ SAF-B overexpression causes growth arrest and multinuclearity and is associated with aneuploidy in human breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2000; 6: 3788-3796.
- [26] Hong EA, Gautrey HL, Elliott DJ and Tyson-Capper AJ. SAFB1- and SAFB2-mediated transcriptional repression: relevance to cancer. Biochem Soc Trans 2012; 40: 826-830.

- [27] Hammerich-Hille S, Bardout VJ, Hilsenbeck SG, Osborne CK and Oesterreich S. Low SAFB levels are associated with worse outcome in breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010; 121: 503-509.
- [28] Garee JP and Oesterreich S. SAFB1's multiple functions in biological control-lots still to be done! J Cell Biochem 2010; 109: 312-319.
- [29] Rensen WM, Roscioli E, Tedeschi A, Mangiacasale R, Ciciarello M, Di Gioia SA and Lavia P. RanBP1 downregulation sensitizes cancer cells to taxol in a caspase-3-dependent manner. Oncogene 2009; 28: 1748-1758.
- [30] Amato R, Scumaci D, D'Antona L, Iuliano R, Menniti M, Di Sanzo M, Faniello MC, Colao E, Malatesta P, Zingone A, Agosti V, Costanzo FS, Mileo AM, Paggi MG, Lang F, Cuda G, Lavia P and Perrotti N. Sgk1 enhances RANBP1 transcript levels and decreases taxol sensitivity in RKO colon carcinoma cells. Oncogene 2013; 32: 4572-4578.