Original Article E2F1 transactivates IQGAP3 and promotes proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cells through IQGAP3-mediated PKC-alpha activation

Min Lin¹, Yiming Liu^{4,5}, Xiaokun Ding², Qinjian Ke¹, Jieyao Shi³, Zewei Ma², Hongqian Gu², Haixi Wang^{1,3}, Chuanfeng Zhang⁵, Chenxi Yang¹, Zejun Fang¹, Linfu Zhou⁵, Ming Ye^{1,2}

¹Central Laboratory, ²Department of General Surgery, ³Urinary Surgery, Sanmen People's Hospital of Zhejiang, Sanmen, China; ⁴State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China; ⁵Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Received December 11, 2018; Accepted January 28, 2019; Epub February 1, 2019; Published February 15, 2019

Abstract: For decades, E2F1 has been recognized as a retinoblastoma protein (RB) binding transcription factor that regulates the cell cycle. E2F1 binds preferentially to RB and accelerates the cell cycle in most cancer cells. However, it is thought that E2F1 modulates cell proliferation in other ways as well. Herein, it has been discovered that in pathological tissues derived from hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients, E2F1 correlates positively with IQGAP3 and that both of these factors are highly expressed (N = 164, R = 0.6716). In addition, a high level of E2F1 or IQGAP3 predicted poor survival in HCC patients. Further study determined that E2F1 transactivates IQGAP3, the GTPase binding protein in MHCC-97H cells. Co-immunoprecipitation analysis indicated that IQGAP3 interacts with PKC δ and competitively inhibits the interaction between PKC δ and PKC α , resulting in phosphorylation of PKC α activation and promotion of cell proliferation. This study reveals that highly expressed E2F1 not only transactivates cell-cycle-related factors but also promotes HCC proliferation by activating the phosphorylation of PKC α .

Keywords: E2F1, IQGAP3, hepatocellular carcinoma, PKCα activation, cell proliferation

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide, and it has limited treatment options [1-3]. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections increase the occurrence of HCC, and statistically more than 80% of HCC patients are infected with HBV or HCV [2, 3]. Recurrence and metastasis are the main causes of HCC-related deaths [4]. Generally, the progression of HCC advances rapidly as plentiful vessels exist in pathological tissues, providing cancer cells with energy and promoting cell proliferation [5]. Therefore, to extend the survival time of HCC patients, it is critical to control the proliferation of HCC cells in the early stages of disease [6, 7].

E2F1 is a common transcription co-factor that regulates the cell cycle via the retinoblastoma

protein (RB), a recognized E2F1 binding protein [8, 9]. The CDK4-pRB-E2F1 axis is essential for progression of the cell cycle in normal and cancer cells, and if highly expressed, E2F1 promotes cell proliferation in cancer cells [10]. It is also known that E2F1 is involved in the modulation of apoptosis through p53-dependent or -independent pathways [11]. However, it is though that E2F1, as a vital transcription factor, might regulate the cell cycle or cell proliferation through its transcriptional products.

IQGAP3 is a scaffolding protein that is highly expressed in most cancers [12, 13]. IQGAP3 binds the small GTPases Rac1 and cdc42 through GAP-related domains [14]. It has been reported that IQGAP3 regulates small GTPases by stabilizing their GTP-bound forms. Previous studies indicated that highly expressed IQGAP3 can promote the proliferation of cancer cells through the EGFR-ERK signaling pathway, but how IQGAP3 activates this pathway is poorly understood [15, 16].

In this study, results showed that E2F1 correlated positively with IQGAP3 in HCC pathological tissues. Highly expressed E2F1 transactivated IQGAP3 in HCC cells and IQGAP3, as a potential GTPase-binding protein, competitively bound to PKC δ , which reduced the interaction of PKC δ and PKC α . PKC α was activated while PKC δ dissociated, resulting in activation of the AKT signaling pathway and promotion of cell proliferation. This study revealed that E2F1 and IQGAP3 play critical roles in the regulation of PKC α activity, and that IQGAP3 may serve as a potential target in combating HCC proliferation.

Materials and methods

Reagents and antibodies

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) was purchased from GE Healthcare Inc. (Lafayette, CO, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), Lipofectamine 3000, Lipofectamine RNAi Max, hygromycin and puromycin were purchased from Thermo Fisher (Carlsbad, CA, USA), Antibodies against IQGAP3 were obtained from Novus (Littleton, CO, USA). Antibodies against E2F1, PKCo, p-PKCa (T638), PKCa, p-Akt (S473), Akt, BrdU and rabbit IgG were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Antibodies against β-actin and HRPconjugated secondary antibodies against rabbit IgG and mouse IgG were purchased from EarthOx (San Francisco, CA, USA). Alexa Fluor 568 conjugated secondary antibodies against mouse IgG were obtained from Thermo Fisher.

Cell culture

MHCC-97H cells were purchased from the Liver Cancer Institute (Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, China) and HepG2 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). Cells above were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% FBS in 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cell lines above were authenticated by STR profiling at Cobioer Bioscience Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China) and experiments were performed within <10 passages after authentication.

Immunohistochemistry

For analysis of the correlation between E2F1 and IQGAP3 expression, pathological tissues (N = 164) were freshly obtained from HCC patients undergoing surgery at Sanmen People's Hospital of Zhejiang (Sanmen, China). The Institutional Review Board at Sanmen People's Hospital approved the protocol of this study and all patients were provided with informed consent. Immunohistochemistry was performed and analyzed as described in the previous study [17].

Vectors, siRNAs and construction of stably transfected cells

E2F1 and IQGAP3 expression vectors were purchased from Sinobiological Inc. (Beijing, China). E2F1 and IQGAP3 siRNAs were purchased from Genepharma (Shanghai, China). Vectors containing shRNAs targeting IQGAP3 were constructed based on the pLKO.1-turbo-GFP vector (from Lu Sheming's lab, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China). For construction of stably transfected cells, HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells were seeded onto 24-well plates. HepG2 cells were transfected with pCMV-blank (Beyotime Biotech Inc, Nanjing, China) or pCMV-IQGAP3 vectors and screened using hygromycin (100 µg/ml) for 10 days, and MHCC-97H cells were transfected with pLKO.1-turbo-GFP or pLKO.1turbo-GFP-shIQGAP3 vectors and screened using puromycin (2 µg/ml) for 6 days. Positive colonies were identified by comparison with shV groups using immunoblotting analysis. Stably transfected HepG2 cells were maintained using DMEM containing hygromycin (30 µg/ml), and stably transfected MHCC-97H cells were maintained using DMEM containing puromycin (1 µg/ml). Sequences of siRNAs and shRNAs are listed in Table S1A and S1B.

Immunoblotting analysis

For whole cell protein extraction, the cells were lysed using RIPA lysis buffer (Merck-Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) for 30 minutes on ice and boiled for 5 minutes after centrifugation ($12,000 \times g$, 15 minutes). The samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotting was performed as described previously [17]. Primary antibodies were diluted in 1 × TBST with 5% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as the following ratio: IQGAP3 (1:1000); E2F1,



Figure 1. E2F1 is positively correlated with IQGAP3 in pathological tissues derived from HCC patients. (A) The lead gene, IQGAP3, was significantly reduced in MHCC-97H^{siE2F1} compared with MHCC-97H^{siNC}. (B) The correlation between E2F1 and IQGAPs in HCC pathological tissues (based on the TCGA database, N = 423) is represented using linear correlativity. (C) E2F1 and IQGAPs differentially expressed in pathological HCC tissues vs adjacent liver tissues (N = 50). Red color represents increased expression and green indicates reduced expression. (D) Expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 in HCC based on individual cancer stage (based on TCGA database, N = 390). (E) Overall survival of probability of HCC patients with high/low E2F1 (high: n = 92; low: n = 273) and IQGAP3 (high: n = 91; low: n = 274) expression (based on TCGA database). (F) Immunohistochemical analysis of E2F1 and IQGAP3 in pathological HCC (scale bar: 200 µm). (G) The correlation among concurrent immunostaining scores of E2F1 and IQGAP3 in HCC tissues. (H) The overall survival of HCC patients with low and high expression of E2F1 or IQGAP3 is shown. Data in (D) are mean ± SEM. Two-tailed Student's t test was used for statistical calculation.

PKCδ, p-PKCα (T638), PKCα, p-Akt (S473), Akt (1:2000); β-actin (1:5000).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was performed using the SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kit (Cell Signaling Technology) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNAprotein complexes were immunoprecipitated using a specific antibody against E2F1. Immunoprecipitated DNA fragments and input DNA fragments were used as templates for ChIP-PCR analysis using KOD-Plus polymerase PCR mix (TOYOBO, CO., Japan). Positive binding sites identified in the ChIP-PCR analysis were verified using ChIP-qPCR analysis. The primers used in the ChIP-PCR or ChIP-qPCR analysis are listed in Table S1C.

Luciferase reporter assay

MHCC-97H cells were seeded onto 24-well plates and transfected with pCMV-E2F1 or pCMV-blank vectors. The cells were co-transfected with the pGL4.19-IQGAP3 promoter, binding site mutants (shown in Figure 2F) and the pRL-TK vector 24 hours before harvest. Cells were lysed using 1 × passive lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at room temperature for 15 minutes. Luciferase activity was then analyzed using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total light production (OD 490 nm) was measured with the SpectraMax M3 multimode microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and normalized using renilla light production.

Co-immunoprecipitation

After reaching approximately 70% confluence in 10 cm dishes, MHCC-97H cells were lysed in 500 μ l of RIPA lysis buffer. The samples were

centrifuged to remove insoluble debris after cell lysing, and the supernatant was split into two equal aliquots (20 µl lysate remained as input). Anti-IQGAP3 antibodies and anti-rabbit IgG antibodies were added. Immunoprecipitation was performed using Pierce[™] Protein A/G Magnetic Beads (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

MTS proliferation assay

HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells were seeded onto 96-well plates and transfected with the siRNAs or vectors as described in **Figure 5A**. After transfection, cells were cultured with 100 μ l complete medium for 24, 48 and 72 hours. Next, 100 μ l of MTS solution (Promega Biosciences, San Luis Obispo, CA, USA) mixed with DMEM complete medium (1:9 ratio) was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. Results were quantified using the SpectraMax M3 multimode microplate reader (Molecular Devices) at an absorbance of 490 nm.

BrdU and immunofluorescence analysis

HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells were seeded onto 24-well plates containing circular cover glass and transfected with the siRNAs or vectors as described in Figure 5B. After transfection, cells were cultured with 700 µl complete medium for an extra 48 hours. Cells were treated with complete medium containing BrdU at a final concentration of 0.03 mg/mL and incubated for 20 minutes in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. BrdU-specific immunofluorescence analysis was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions using the BrdU Mouse mAb #5292 (Cell Signaling Technology). Fluorescence was examined using a FV3000 confocal microscope (Olympus Corporation, Japan), and BrdU-positive cells were analyzed using Image-J.



Figure 2. E2F1 transactivates IQGAP3 in HCC cells. (A) Total proteins were extracted from L02, HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells. The level of E2F1 and IQGAP3 was determined by immunoblot. (B) HepG2 cells were transfected with 2 µg pCMV-E2F1 or pCMV vectors. MHCC-97H cells were transfected with E2F1-specific siRNAs or control siRNAs. Samples were harvested 48 hours after transfection. The level of E2F1 and IQGAP3 was determined by immunoblot. (C) Schematic representation of the promoter region of human *IQGAP3*. The predicted E2F1 binding sites are indicated. (D) Products of ChIP-PCR in the input, IgG and ChIP groups were analyzed using agarose gel electrophoresis. It was demonstrated that E2F1 interacted with the promoter of *IQGAP3* at P1. (E) Quantitative PCR analysis of samples in the input, IgG and ChIP groups using P1-specific primers. (F) Luciferase activity was measured after MHCC-97H cells were transfected with pGP4.19-*IQGAP3* promoter / pGP4.19-*IQGAP3* promoter P1-mutant, pRL-TK and vector/c-Myc. Data in (D and E) are mean ± SEM. Two-tailed Student's t test was used for statistical calculation.

Xenograft model and immunohistochemical analysis

After reaching approximately 95% confluence in 15 cm dishes, stably transfected HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells were collected and suspended in 50% Matrigel-1 × PBS solution (Matrigel, Becton Dickinson, Bedford, MA). Male BALB/c nude mice (4 weeks old) were purchased from Slac Laboratories (Shanghai, China). All the animals received care in compliance with guidelines according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The procedures were approved by the Zhejiang University Laboratory Animal Center. Xenograft tumor models were generated by subcutaneously injecting 100 µl of stably transfected HepG2 cells (4 × 10⁶ cell/mouse) or MHCC-97H cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/mouse) into the left fat pads of nude mice. The tumors were examined every 2 days, the length and width measurements were obtained with calipers, and the tumor volumes were calculated. On day 21, the animals were euthanized and the tumors were excised and weighed.

Statistical analysis

A database was created and transferred to SPSS 22.0 and GraphPad Prism 5.0 for Windows. Statistical data analysis was performed using the two-tailed Student's t-test, chi-squared, and ANOVA. Kaplan-Meier plots and logrank tests were used for survival analysis. The Spearman test was used in analyzing the correlation. The results are presented as the mean \pm S.E of three separate experiments. A *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

E2F1 is positively correlated with IQGAP3 in pathological tissues derived from HCC patients

E2F1, as a multi-functional transcription factor, has been reportedly involved in the regulation of the cell cycle and apoptosis through modulation of the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) and p53 signaling pathways [18, 19]. However, it is thought that E2F1 may also directly modulate cell-proliferation-related factors and promote cell proliferation. Herein, to investigate this thesis in HCC, gene expression of MHCC-97H^{siNC} and MHCC-97H^{siE2F1} cells was measured using transcriptome analysis. Notably, IQGAP3

was identified as the foremost significantly downregulated gene in MHCC-97HsiE2F1 cells, compared with MHCC-97H^{siNC} cells (Figure 1A). To further verify the results, the correlation between E2F1 and IQGAP3 was analyzed using the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, which indicated that E2F1 was positively correlated with IQGAP3 with high correlation (N = 423, R = 0.7113). However, the IOGAP3 homogeneous proteins, IQGAP1 and IQGAP2, were not positively correlated with E2F1, demonstrating that only IQGAP3 was highly correlated with E2F1 in HCC cells (Figure 1B). Expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 was analyzed in pathological tissues and adjacent liver tissues derived from HCC patients (n = 50). Results showed that both factors were highly expressed in pathological tissues (Figure 1C). Therefore, it was deemed that both E2F1 and IQGAP3 facilitate tumor progression in HCC patients. Expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 at individual cancer stages and the effect on the probability of survival in HCC patients were analyzed to further prove the hypothesis. Results showed that expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 increased with tumor progression, and highly expressed E2F1 or IQGAP3 reduced the survival probability of HCC patients (Figure 1D and 1E). To further confirm the thesis, specimens of pathological tissues derived from HCC patients were collected (N = 164). Immunohistochemical analysis revealed that E2F1 and IQGAP3 were highly expressed in pathological tissues derived from HCC patients and they were positively correlated with each other (R = 0.6716, Figure 1F and 1G). Clinical relevance analysis showed that the expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 was positively associated with intrahepatic metastasis and distant metastasis (Table 1). The potential association between immunostaining and overall survival (OS) was retrospectively evaluated in these patients. Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that OS was worse in patients with high E2F1 or IQGAP3 staining than in those with low staining (Figure 1H). The above results indicated that E2F1 is positively correlated with IQGAP3 in pathological tissues derived from HCC patients, and that this is involved in HCC development.

Upregulation of E2F1 promotes transactivation of IQGAP3

It has been shown that knockdown of E2F1 downregulated gene expression of *IQGAP3*,



Figure 3. IQGAP3 interacts with PKCδ and competitively inhibits the interaction between PKCδ and PKCα, resulting in phosphorylation and activation of PKCα. (A) HepG2 cells were transfected with 2 μ g pCMV-E2F1, pCMV-IQGAP3 or pCMV vectors. MHCC-97H cells were transfected with E2F1, IQGAP3-specific siRNAs or control siRNAs. Samples were harvested 48 hours after transfection. The level of PKCδ was determined by immunoblot. (B) Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of IQGAP3 and PKCδ in MHCC-97H cells transfected for 48 hours with pCMV-IQGAP3 or pCMV vectors. The proteins were immunoprecipitated using Protein A/G beads conjugated with anti-IQGAP3 or anti-PKCδ antibodies. (C) Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of PKCα and PKCδ in MHCC-97H cells transfected for 48 hours with pCMV-IQGAP3 or anti-PKCδ antibodies. (C) Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of PKCα and PKCδ in MHCC-97H cells transfected for 48 hours with pCMV-IQGAP3 or pCMV vectors. The proteins were immunoprecipitated using Protein A/G beads conjugated with anti-PKCα or anti-PKCδ antibodies. (D) Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of PKCα and PKCδ in MHCC-97H cells transfected for 48 hours with pCMV-IQGAP3 or pCMV vectors. The proteins were immunoprecipitated using Protein A/G beads conjugated with anti-PKCα or anti-PKCδ antibodies. (D) Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of PKCα and PKCδ in MHCC-97H cells transfected for 48 hours with IQGAP3-siRNA or control-siRNA. The proteins were immunoprecipitated using Protein A/G beads conjugated with anti-PKCα antibody. (E) Schematic representation of IQGAP3 - and PKCα, resulting in PKCα activation in HCC cells. Inhibition of IQGAP3 promoted PKCα-PKCδ interaction and activated PKCα. Data in (D) are mean ± SEM. Two-tailed Student's *t* test was used for statistical calculation.

and it is thought that E2F1 may positively modulate IQGAP3 expression. Expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 was measured using immunoblotting in L02, HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells, which demonstrated that E2F1 correlated positively with IQGAP3 in liver and liver cancer cells (Figure 2A). Immunoblotting analysis indicated that expression of IQGAP3 increased in E2F1 overexpressing HepG2 cells and was reduced in MHCC-97H cells transfected with E2F1 targeted siRNAs, proving the hypothesis (Figure 2B). We searched IQGAP3 in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and discovered no link to E2F1 in signaling pathways (Figure S1). Therefore, IQGAP3 was hypothesized to be a potential transcriptional product, since E2F1 is known as a transcription factor. Three potential binding sites of the E2F1 and IQGAP3 promoters were discovered through the JASPAR database, and E2F1-binding DNA fragments were immunoprecipitated using ChIP analysis (Figure 2C). ChIP-PCR and ChIP-qPCR analysis demonstrated that E2F1 interacted with the IQGAP3 promoter at the -347/-341 site (Figure 2D and 2E).

Additionally, luciferase reporter analysis was performed to determine the transcriptional activity. It was demonstrated that the luciferase activity of pGL4.19-containing fragments of the *IQGAP3* promoter was transactivated when MHCC-97H cells were transfected with pCMV-E2F1. However, luciferase was not transactivated when cells were transfected with pGL4.19 containing fragments of the -347/-341 mutant *IQGAP3* promoter. These results in-dicated that E2F1 interacted with the *IQG-AP3* promoter at the -347/-341 site and promoted transactivation of IQGAP3 (**Figure 2E**).

IQGAP3 interacts with PKC δ and competitively inhibits the interaction between PKC δ and PKC α , resulting in phosphorylation and activation of PKC α and AKT

As predicted by the Scansite 4.0 database, IQ-GAP3 contains multiple PKC δ binding domains. PKC δ is known as a tumor suppressor that interacts with PKC α and inhibits phosphorylation of PKC α , resulting in inhibition of the AKT signaling pathway [20-23]. Initially, we modified the expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 in HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells. Immunoblotting analysis revealed that modification of E2F1 or IQGAP3 did not impact PKC δ expression, which indicated that IQGAP3 had little impact on the expression of PKC δ , or that PKC δ expression was stable (**Figure 3A**). To explore the possibility of IQGAP3-PKCδ interaction, MHCC-97H cells were transfected with pCMV-IQGAP3. Cell lysate was prepared and immunoprecipitated with anti-IQGAP3 or anti-PKCδ antibodies. The resulting precipitate was reciprocally analyzed with anti-IQGAP3 or anti-PKCδ antibodies. It was of interest that immunoprecipitation of IQGAP3 was observed with PKCδ, indicating that IQGAP3 interacted with PKCδ on the contrary, immunoprecipitation of PKCδ was observed with IQGAP3 and, significantly, overexpression of IQGAP3 had not impacted expression of PKCδ but enhanced its interaction with PKCδ (**Figure 3B**).

Since PKC δ is known as a PKC α binding factor, a similar interaction between PKC δ and PKC α was revealed as described above. Strikingly, it was observed that overexpression of IQGAP3 reduced the interaction of PKC δ and PKC α (**Figure 3C**), while knockdown of IQGAP3 enhanced the interaction (**Figure 3D**). The above results indicated that IQGAP3 interacted with PKC δ and competitively inhibited the interaction between PKC δ and PKC α , which was hypothesized to activate phosphorylation of PKC α in HCC cells (**Figure 3E**).

To further prove this hypothesis, the expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3 was modified in HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells, and phosphorylation of PKCα was measured. Immunoblotting analysis demonstrated that overexpression of E2F1 or IQGAP3 activated phosphorylation of PKCa at T638 and that p-AKT (S473) was activated. Knockdown of E2F1 or IQGAP3 suppressed PKCα phosphorylation and inhibited the AKT signaling pathway (Figure 4A and 4B). The results proved that IQGAP3 positively regulated the phosphorylation of PKCα at T638 and activated the AKT signaling pathway. The above results indicate that IOGAP3 interacts with PKC δ and competitively inhibits the interaction between PKC_δ and PKC_α, resulting in phosphorylation of PKC α and activation of the AKT signaling pathway in HCC cells.

Upregulation of IQGAP3 promotes cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo

Since it has been proved that overexpression of IQGAP3 activates the AKT signaling pathway in HCC cells, it is thought that highly expressed IQGAP3 might promote HCC proliferation. Therefore, the expression of E2F1 and IQGAP3



Figure 4. Upregulation of IQGAP3 facilitates phosphorylation of PKC α and activates the AKT signaling pathway. (A) HepG2 cells were transfected with 2 µg pCMV-E2F1, pCMV-IQGAP3 or pCMV vectors. MHCC-97H cells were transfected with E2F1, IQGAP3-specific siRNAs or control siRNAs. Samples were harvested 48 hours after transfection. The levels of E2F1, IQGAP3 and factors in the AKT pathway were determined by immunoblot. (B) Relative expression levels of the proteins indicated in (A). Data are mean ± SEM. Two-tailed Student's t test was used for statistical calculation.



Figure 5. Upregulation of IQGAP3 promotes cell proliferation *in vitro*. (A) HepG2 cells were transfected with 0.5 µg pCMV-E2F1, pCMV-IQGAP3 or pCMV vectors. MHCC-97H cells were transfected with E2F1, IQGAP3-specific siRNAs, or control siRNAs. Cell viability was measured using MTS assay 0, 24, 48 and 72 hours after transfection. (B) Cells were treated with vectors and siRNAs as described in (A). Representative immunofluorescence images from a BrdU study of HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells. Total DNA (blue) and DNA under replication (red) are shown (scale bar: 50 µm). (C) Percentage of cells with DNA under replication in (B) was measured using image-J. Data in (A and C) are mean ± SEM. Two-tailed Student's *t* test was used for statistical calculation.

	Coocc	E2F1 expression			IQGAP3 expression		
	Cases	Low cases	High cases	P Value	Low cases	High cases	P Value
	164	107	57		118	46	
Gender				0.6856			0.7207
Male	123	78	45		86	37	
Female	41	29	12		32	9	
Age				0.5327			0.1644
<60	93	61	32		65	28	
≥60	71	46	25		53	18	
Tumor size				0.3544			0.0327*
<5 cm	65	45	20		51	14	
≥5 cm	99	62	37		67	32	
AFP				0.9651			0.4262
≤20 U/L	56	36	20		37	19	
>20 U/L	108	71	37		81	27	
HBsAg				0.1364			0.0245*
Positive	147	99	48		110	37	
Negative	17	8	9		8	9	
Liver cirrhosis				0.1339			0.2842
Yes	121	84	37		92	29	
No	43	23	20		26	17	
TNM				0.2958			0.2252
1/11	69	48	21		52	17	
III/IV	95	59	36		66	29	
Edmondson stage				0.0522			0.3273
1/11	86	63	23		67	19	
III/IV	78	44	34		51	27	
Intrahepatic metastasis				0.0428*			0.0306*
Yes	51	31	20		35	16	
No	113	76	37		83	30	
Distant metastasis				0.0065*			0.0014*
Yes	39	18	21		20	19	
No	125	89	36		98	27	

Table 1. Correlation of the expression of E2F1 and	LICCAR2 with aliniaanathalagiaal faaturaa in UCC
Table 1. Correlation of the expression of EZET and	

AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; *P<0.05.

in HepG2 and MHCC-97H cells was modified using overexpression constructs or siRNAs for 24, 48 and 72 hours. MTS proliferation assay was utilized to measure cell proliferation. Results showed that overexpression of E2F1 or IQGAP3 facilitated cell proliferation in HepG2 cells, and knockdown of E2F1 or IQGAP3 inhibited cell proliferation in MHCC-97H cells, indicating that highly expressed IQGAP3 promoted the proliferation of HCC cells (**Figure 5A**). To further investigate whether IQGAP3 facilitates HCC progression, BrdU and immunofluorescence analyses were performed after the cells were transfected. Results showed that expression of E2F1 or IQGAP3 positively regulated DNA synthesis and mitosis, which was similar to the results shown in **Figure 5A-C**.

To further explore the function of IAGAP3 *in vivo*, HepG2 cells stably overexpressing IQG-AP3 and MHCC-97H cells with IQGAP3 stably knocked down were constructed and utilized. HepG2^{vector}, HepG2^{IQGAP3}, MHCC-97H^{shV} and MHCC-97H^{shIQGAP3} cells were injected subcutaneously into nude mice to generate xenografts model. It was demonstrated that IQGAP3 positively regulated tumor proliferation *in vivo* (**Figure 6A** and **6B**). Additionally, immunohisto-



Figure 6. Upregulation of IQGAP3 promotes cell proliferation *in vivo.* (A) HepG2^{Vector}, HepG2^{IQGAP3}, MHCC-97H^{shV} and MHCC-97H^{shIQGAP3} cells were injected subcutaneously into nude mice to generate xenograft models. Three weeks after injection, the animals were sacrificed and xenografts were obtained. (B) Tumor weights of xenografts. (C) Immunohistochemical analysis of E2F1 and IQGAP3 in xenografts (scale bar: 200 μ m). Data in (B) are mean ± SEM. Two-tailed Student's t test was used for statistical calculation.

chemical analysis confirmed that expression of IQGAP3 in each group did not change during of tumor growth (**Figure 6C**).

The above data indicate that E2F1 transactivated IQGAP3 and promoted the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* through IQGAP3-mediated PKC-alpha activation, showing that IQGAP3 may serve as a potential target against HCC proliferation (**Figure 7**).

Discussion

HCC is the most common type of liver cancer and the most common cause of death in individuals with cirrhosis [24]. HCC is most closely linked to HBV/HCV infection or exposure to alcohol [3, 25, 26]. Oxygen supply is sufficient due to plentiful vessels in liver and HCC tissues, which provides a proper microenvironment for tumor proliferation [27]. Therefore, it is critical to identify strategies to inhibit HCC



Figure 7. Overview of IQGAP3-mediated signaling pathways in HCC cells. E2F1 transactivates IQGAP3, and IQGAP3 competitively inhibits the interaction between PKC δ and PKC α , resulting in phosphorylation and activation of PKC α and promotion of cell proliferation in HCC cells.

proliferation. E2F1 is known as a vital transcription factor that modulates cell cycle and cell proliferation, is often upregulated in HCC cells and promotes cell proliferation. Most studies have indicated that the CDK4-pRB-E2F1 axis facilitates cell proliferation [28]. However, as a transcription factor, it is thought that E2F1 might promote cell proliferation through its transcriptional products. To investigate the potential transcriptional products of endogenous E2F1, gene expression was measured after MHCC-97H cells were transfected with E2F1-specific siRNAs. Strikingly, IQGAP3, a protein highly expressed in cancer cells, was sharply downregulated after E2F1 silencing. Since it has been reported that highly expressed IQGAP3 facilitated cell proliferation in cancer cells, it is thought that E2F1 might facilitate cell proliferation through IQGAP3 activation [29]. We have discovered that IQGAP3 competitively interacted with the PKCa binding protein PKCδ and promoted the dissociation of PKC_δ and PKCα. The dissociation of PKCδ and PKCα promoted phosphorylation of PKCa at T638 and facilitated the activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathways, resulting in the promotion of cell proliferation in HCC cells.

It is known that PKCα is highly phosphorylated in cancer cells, and PKC δ is a PKC α binding regulator that inhibits the phosphorylation of PKCa and suppresses the activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathways [20, 21]. PKCo is stably expressed in normal and cancer cells; however, few studies have focused on the regulation of PKCα-PKCδ interaction. In this study, results indicated that IOGAP3. as a PKC_δ binding factor, competitively bound to PKCδ in HCC cells, reducing PKCα-PKCδ interaction and promoting PKCa phosphorylation. Therefore, IQGAP3 has been identified as a regulator that modulates the activity of PKCα, supplying a new approach to the activation of PKC α phosphorylation.

It has been discovered that IQGAP3, as a GTPase binding

factor, binds to the small GTPases Rac1 and cdc42 through GAP-related domains and regulates small GTPases by stabilizing their GTPbound forms. Theoretically, IQGAP3 might function in the regulation of cell proliferation and cell metabolism, but the mechanism remains poorly understood. Additionally, this study has also shown that E2F1 positively correlates with IQGAP3 in pathological tissues derived from HCC patients. Because these factors are cell proliferation related and closely related to each other, it is hypothesized that they may serve as potential indicators in HCC staging.

In summary, this study indicates that E2F1 transactivates IQGAP3, and IQGAP3 competitively inhibits the interaction between PKC δ and PKC α , resulting in phosphorylation and activation of PKC α and promotion of cell proliferation in HCC cells. This work has explained the mechanism of regulation of PKC α activity, and IQGAP3 has been deemed a potential target in HCC therapeutics.

Acknowledgements

This work was mainly supported by Zhejiang Medical and Health Science and Technology

Plan (2018ZD055) and Taizhou Science and Technology Plan (1702KY77). It was also supported by the Project from Department of Science and Technology of Zhejiang Province (2015c33262, 2013C14011), Zhejiang Medical and Health Science and Technology Plan (2018KY920, 2019RC317), Zhejiang Medical Association Clinical Research Fund (2018ZYC-A158) and Science and Technology Program of Sanmen County Public Technology Social Development Project (16312).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Ming Ye, Department of General Surgery, Sanmen People's Hospital of Zhejiang, No. 171 Renmin Road, Sanmen 317100, China. Tel: +86-576-83361503; Fax: +86-576-83-361503; E-mail: yemingsm@163.com; Linfu Zhou, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, No. 866 Yuhangtang Road, Hangzhou 310058, China. Tel: +86-571-88208238; Fax: +86-571-882082-38; E-mail: 239zlf@zju.edu.cn; Zejun Fang, Central Laboratory, Sanmen People's Hospital of Zhejiang, No. 171 Renmin Road, Sanmen 317100, China. Tel: +86-576-83378395; Fax: +86-576-83378395; E-mail: fang_zj@126.com

References

- [1] Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 7-30.
- [2] Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, Jemal A, Yu XQ and He J. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2016; 66: 115-132.
- [3] Chan SL, Wong VW, Qin S and Chan HL. Infection and cancer: the case of hepatitis B. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34: 83-90.
- [4] Nguyen DX, Bos PD and Massague J. Metastasis: from dissemination to organ-specific colonization. Nat Rev Cancer 2009; 9: 274-284.
- [5] Zhu AX, Duda DG, Sahani DV and Jain RK. HCC and angiogenesis: possible targets and future directions. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2011; 8: 292-301.
- [6] Greten TF, Wang XW and Korangy F. Current concepts of immune based treatments for patients with HCC: from basic science to novel treatment approaches. Gut 2015; 64: 842-848.
- [7] Forner A, Gilabert M, Bruix J and Raoul JL. Treatment of intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2014; 11: 525-535.

- [8] La Thangue NB. The yin and yang of E2F-1: balancing life and death. Nat Cell Biol 2003; 5: 587-589.
- [9] Flowers S, Xu F and Moran E. Cooperative activation of tissue-specific genes by pRB and E2F1. Cancer Res 2013; 73: 2150-2158.
- [10] Annicotte JS, Blanchet E, Chavey C, Iankova I, Costes S, Assou S, Teyssier J, Dalle S, Sardet C and Fajas L. The CDK4-pRB-E2F1 pathway controls insulin secretion. Nat Cell Biol 2009; 11: 1017-1023.
- [11] Polager S and Ginsberg D. p53 and E2f: partners in life and death. Nat Rev Cancer 2009; 9: 738-748.
- [12] Kim WT, Kim YH, Jeong P, Seo SP, Kang HW, Kim YJ, Yun SJ, Lee SC, Moon SK, Choi YH, Lee GT, Kim IY and Kim WJ. Urinary cell-free nucleic acid IQGAP3: a new non-invasive diagnostic marker for bladder cancer. Oncotarget 2018; 9: 14354-14365.
- [13] Qian EN, Han SY, Ding SZ and Lv X. Expression and diagnostic value of CCT3 and IQGAP3 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Cell Int 2016; 16: 55.
- [14] Xu W, Xu B, Yao Y, Yu X, Cao H, Zhang J, Liu J and Sheng H. Overexpression and biological function of IQGAP3 in human pancreatic cancer. Am J Transl Res 2016; 8: 5421-5432.
- [15] Nojima H, Adachi M, Matsui T, Okawa K, Tsukita S and Tsukita S. IQGAP3 regulates cell proliferation through the Ras/ERK signalling cascade. Nat Cell Biol 2008; 10: 971-978.
- [16] Yang Y, Zhao W, Xu QW, Wang XS, Zhang Y and Zhang J. IQGAP3 promotes EGFR-ERK signaling and the growth and metastasis of lung cancer cells. PLoS One 2014; 9: e97578.
- [17] Gu H, Fang Z, Cai X, Song R, Lin M, Ye J, Ding X, Ke Q, Chen H, Gong C and Ye M. Highly expressed histone deacetylase 5 promotes the growth of hepatocellular carcinoma cells by inhibiting the TAp63-maspin pathway. Am J Cancer Res 2018; 8: 462-475.
- [18] Jung JK, Arora P, Pagano JS and Jang KL. Expression of DNA methyltransferase 1 is activated by hepatitis B virus X protein via a regulatory circuit involving the p16INK4a-cyclin D1-CDK 4/6-pRb-E2F1 pathway. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 5771-5778.
- [19] Aubrecht TG, Faden AI, Sabirzhanov B, Glaser EP, Roelofs BA, Polster BM, Makarevich O and Stoica BA. Comparing effects of CDK inhibition and E2F1/2 ablation on neuronal cell death pathways in vitro and after traumatic brain injury. Cell Death Dis 2018; 9: 1121.
- [20] Lee JM, Kim IS, Kim H, Lee JS, Kim K, Yim HY, Jeong J, Kim JH, Kim JY, Lee H, Seo SB, Kim H, Rosenfeld MG, Kim KI and Baek SH. RORalpha attenuates Wnt/beta-catenin signaling by PK-Calpha-dependent phosphorylation in colon cancer. Mol Cell 2010; 37: 183-195.

- [21] Hsu AH, Lum MA, Shim KS, Frederick PJ, Morrison CD, Chen B, Lele SM, Sheinin YM, Daikoku T, Dey SK, Leone G, Black AR and Black JD. Crosstalk between PKCalpha and PI3K/ AKT signaling is tumor suppressive in the endometrium. Cell Rep 2018; 24: 655-669.
- [22] Sokolova O, Vieth M and Naumann M. Protein kinase C isozymes regulate matrix metalloproteinase-1 expression and cell invasion in Helicobacter pylori infection. Gut 2013; 62: 358-367.
- [23] Chen X, Wu Q, Depeille P, Chen P, Thornton S, Kalirai H, Coupland SE, Roose JP and Bastian BC. RasGRP3 mediates MAPK pathway activation in GNAQ mutant uveal melanoma. Cancer Cell 2017; 31: 685-696, e686.
- [24] Maluccio M and Covey A. Recent progress in understanding, diagnosing, and treating hepatocellular carcinoma. CA Cancer J Clin 2012; 62: 394-399.

- [25] Arzumanyan A, Reis HM and Feitelson MA. Pathogenic mechanisms in HBV- and HCV-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Cancer 2013; 13: 123-135.
- [26] Marengo A, Rosso C and Bugianesi E. Liver cancer: connections with obesity, fatty liver, and cirrhosis. Annu Rev Med 2016; 67: 103-117.
- [27] Xiong XX, Qiu XY, Hu DX and Chen XQ. Advances in hypoxia-mediated mechanisms in hepatocellular carcinoma. Mol Pharmacol 2017; 92: 246-255.
- [28] Blanchet E, Annicotte JS and Fajas L. Cell cycle regulators in the control of metabolism. Cell Cycle 2009; 8: 4029-4031.
- [29] Hu G, Xu Y, Chen W, Wang J, Zhao C and Wang M. RNA interference of IQ motif containing GT-Pase-activating protein 3 (IQGAP3) inhibits cell proliferation and invasion in breast carcinoma cells. Oncol Res 2016; 24: 455-461.

Table S1A. Sequences of siRNAs		
Targets	Sense and antisense chains (5'-3'*)	
siE2F1#1	S: CGCUAUGAGACCUCACUGATT	
	A: UCAGUGAGGUCUCAUAGCGTT	
siE2F1#2	S: GACAUCACCAACGUCCUUGTT	
	A: CAAGGACGUUGGUGAUGUCTT	
silQGAP3#1	S: GCGGCAGAAUGUUGCCUAUTT	
	A: AUAGGCAACAUUCUGCCGCTT	
silQGAP3#2	S: GCUGAAAUCCAGGGCAAUATT	
	A: UAUUGCCCUGGAUUUCAGCTT	
siNC	S: GCGACGAUCUGCCUAAGAUTT	
	A: AUCUUAGGCAGAUCGUCGCTT	

*Sequences of siRNAs were based on shRNA sequences targeting specific target shown on the website of Sigma-Alderich.

Primers	Sequences (5'-3'*)
shIQGAP3#1	F: GAATTCCCGGGCCAAAGTCAATGTCAACCTTCTCGAGAAGGTTGACATTGACTTTGGCTTTTTGACCGGT
shIQGAP3#2	F: GAATTCCCGGCCTCGCCATGACTGATAAGTTCTCGAGAACTTATCAGTCATGGCGAGGTTTTTGACCGGT
shV	Empty pLK0.1-turbo-GFP vector

 $\ast Sequences of shRNAs were from the website of Sigma-Alderich.$

Table S1C. Primers for ChIP analysis

Primers	Sequences (5'-3')
IQGAP3 promoter (-347/-341)	F: TCACTTGTGCCAGAACAGCA
	R: CCTATGTGTTCCCGAGTCCG
IQGAP3 promoter (-151/-147)	F: CGAGGAACTGCTGTAAGGGG
	R: TGGGATTTGTAGTTCCCGGC
IQGAP3 promoter (-21/-15)	F: CTACAAATCCCAGGATTCTCAGC
	R: CAGGTTTGAATCTCCCGCCG



04810 7/10/18 (c) Kanehisa Laboratories

Figure S1. IQGAP3 involves in regulation of actin cytoskeleton. IQGAP3 has been known as a protein involves in regulation of actin cytoskeleton and has not be identified as a E2F1 related protein.