Original Article Long noncoding RNA, LINCO0460, as a prognostic biomarker in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)

Ritu Chaudhary¹, Xuefeng Wang², Biwei Cao², Janis De La Iglesia¹, Jude Masannat¹, Feifei Song¹, Juan C Hernandez-Prera³, Nicholas T Gimbrone¹, Robbert JC Slebos¹, Christine H Chung¹

Departments of ¹Head and Neck-Endocrine Oncology, ²Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, ³Pathology, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA

Received August 20, 2019; Accepted January 17, 2020; Epub February 15, 2020; Published February 28, 2020

Abstract: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is an aggressive epithelial malignancy characterized by frequent mutations and metastasis. Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) have been implicated in tumorigenesis and serve as novel prognostic biomarkers in different cancers. To enhance our understanding of IncRNAs that may have biological significance in HNSCC and may serve as prognostic biomarkers, we globally profiled IncRNAs in HNSCC by analyzing the RNA-seq data from The Atlas of Noncoding RNAs in Cancer (TANRIC) database. Of 3576 IncRNAs, we identified 926 (higher-688, lower-238) IncRNAs with a 2-fold abundance difference among the forty HNSCC and paired adjacent normal tissue. We investigated differential abundance of IncRNAs based on TP53 mutation and p16 status. We found 133 IncRNAs to have differential abundance by 2-fold among the mutant vs wild-type TP53 samples, whereas among p16-negative vs positive samples, we identified 710 IncRNAs with the same criteria. Meanwhile, analysis of the 15 most abundant IncRNAs in the tumor samples identified five IncRNAs whose higher abundance was associated with poor overall patient survival. Among these five, higher abundance of LINC00460 associated with poor patient survival in an independent cohort of 82 HNSCC patients. To further evaluate the potential function of LINC00460, we performed IncRNA-mRNAs co-expression analysis and found that higher abundance of LINC00460 associated with cancer-related biological pathways including EMT and other inflammatory response pathways. In summary, we report LINC00460 is more abundant in tumors compared to adjacent normal tissue and that it may serve as a potential prognostic biomarker in HNSCC.

Keywords: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), long noncoding RNAs (IncRNA), RNA-seq, prognostic biomarker, human papillomavirus (HPV)

Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC) represents the sixth most common cancer worldwide and accounts for 4% of all cancers in the United States (https://www.cancer.net/). In 2019, an estimated 65,410 new cases of HNC will develop in US alone, leading to an estimated 14,620 deaths [1]. HNC comprise a broad range of tumors including tumors of the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses and salivary glands [2]. More than 90% of HNC originate from mucosal epithelium and are referred to as head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) [3]. The risk factors for developing HNSCC include smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, and human papillomavi-

rus (HPV) infection [4, 5]. HPV status is an independent prognostic factor for overall survival in HNSCC [6]. Despite considerable advancements in the treatment regimen and increased understanding of the associated risk factors, the clinical outcome for HNSCC remains poor due to tumor recurrence and metastasis [7]. Therefore, a better understanding of molecular alteration and mechanisms underlying HNSCC, as well as identification of prognostic biomarkers, would facilitate improved diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for HNSCC treatment.

To understand the biology and mechanism of HNSCC progression, research has focused on the genetic alterations of protein coding genes including *TP53*, *CDKN2A/B*, *MYC*, *EGFR*,

PIK3CA and PTEN [8-10]. In recent years, micro-RNAs were shown to have a vital role in HNSCC progression [11, 12]. However, the role of an evolving class of noncoding RNAs known as long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) in HNSCC initiation and progression is poorly understood. LncRNAs are transcripts >200 nucleotides long and lack a functional open reading frame. Recent studies have shown that aberrant expression of IncRNAs is associated with different cancer types. Several IncRNAs that have been functionally characterized play key roles in cancer related pathways including proliferation, migration, and genome stability [13, 14]. Functional variability and diverse expression pattern make IncRNAs suitable targets for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes [15, 16]. In HNSCC, biological function and molecular mechanism of only a handful of IncRNAs have been identified, including MALAT1, HOTAIR, FO-XCUT, H19, CCAT1, LINCOO312, NEAT1, TUG1, UCA1, SOX21-AS1 and NKILA, and these Inc-RNAs have been implicated in cancer related pathways including migration, invasion, and metastasis [17-27].

In this study, we investigated IncRNAs abundance changes in HNSCC by utilizing RNA-seq data from The Atlas of Noncoding RNAs in Cancer (TANRIC) database, an open-access resource having expression profiles of IncRNAs in the patient cohort of 20 different cancer types [28]. We integrated expression data with clinical information of HNSCC patients in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) to identify IncRNAs with differential abundance in different clinical cohorts, specifically p16 status as a surrogate marker of HPV infection, presence of TP53 mutation, and smoking history. Additionally, we investigated IncRNAs that can serve as prognostic factors for the survival of HNSCC patients in an independent dataset and further characterized their biological function. We identified LINC00640 as an independent prognostic factor for patient survival, specifically in patients with p16-negative HNSCC.

Material and methods

TANRIC database and clinical information of HNSCC patients

For IncRNA abundance, we downloaded the HNSCC RNA-seq data from The Atlas of Noncoding RNAs in Cancer (TANRIC, https://ibl. mdanderson.org/tanric/_design/basic/index. html), an open-access resource that contains lncRNAs expression data in patient cohort from 20 different cancer types, including TCGA, Broad institute cancer cell line encyclopedia, and others. For our analysis, we utilized TANRIC dataset consisting of lncRNA expression data for about 12,727 genes from 40 tumor-adjacent normal pair and 386 unpaired tumors from the TCGA HNSCC cohort of 426 cases [28]. We included only lncRNAs with the 25thpercentile RPKM value higher than 0 and whose 90th-percentile RPKM value was greater than 0.1, resulting in a lncRNA set of 3576 lncRNAs.

Clinical data for 426 TCGA HNSCC samples were downloaded from the TCGA Data Portal. Patient *TP53* status was obtained from cBio-Portal (http://www.cbioportal.org/). Patient smoking status was defined as follows: Smokers (smoked more than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and are currently smoking) and nonsmokers (smoked less than 100 cigarettes in lifetime).

Independent cohort clinical information and RNA-seq

HNSCC patients were identified through three IRB approved studies (between 2006 and 2016) at Moffitt Cancer Center: Total Cancer Care (MCC#14690) with a tissue diagnosis of HNSCC, Epidemiology of Head and Neck Cancer Study (MCC#17041) and Evaluation of The Tumor and Its Microenvironment in Head and Neck Cancer Patients (MCC#18754). From these studies, a cohort of 82 cases with p16 immunohistochemical staining (IHC)-negative squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity, pharynx and larynx were included (Table 1). Clinical data was extracted from medical records and included patient demographics, smoking history, treatment history, and disease outcome. Patient smoking status was defined as mentioned above.

RNA-seq on all tumors was performed at the HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology. Total RNA was extracted using Qiagen RNAeasy plus mini kit. RNA from all formalin-fixed paraffinembedded (FFPE) samples was extracted using the Qiagen All prep FFPE DNA/RNA kit. RNA libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Exome protocol and kit reagents

	TCGA	Moffitt Cancer Center
Gender		
Male	311	61
Female	115	21
Age		
<45	33	4
45-65	245	44
66-80	126	31
>80	21	3
Smoking status		
Smoker	207	69
Non-smoker	126	13
Not available	93	0
Tumor site		
Oral cavity	260	56
Oropharynx	61	1
Hypopharynx	6	8
Larynx	99	17
TP53 status		
Mutant	281	Not available
Wild-type	102	Not available
Not available	43	
p16 STATUS		
Positive	24	0
Negative	65	82
Not available	337	0
Total	426	82

Table 1.	Patient characteristics
TUDIC I.	

and further sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 with 100 million total reads per sample (50 million paired reads). Raw count data was normalized and further analyzed using DESeq2 package [29].

Statistical analysis

Principle component analysis (PCA) was performed to assess whether the grouping of samples based on IncRNA gene expression profiles reflects tissue types or whether it reveals potential patient-level batch effects. The input values for PCA consisted log2 transformed RPKM expression values of all IncRNAs retained in the analysis. We extracted the first principal component (PC1) and the second principal component (PC2) from the PCA and then visualized the sample clusters using scatter plot. To identify any significant differentially expressed IncRNA genes (DEGs) between patient groups (defined by *TP53* and p16 status), differential expression analysis of IncRNAs was carried out using ImFit function from the limma R package (version 3.36.5). Differentially expressed genes were selected using fold-change above 2 and a FDR value of <0.05 was used as the cutoff values.

In order to further explore the co-expression relationships between the IncRNA and pathways defined by protein-coding genes, we selected protein-code genes that are correlated with IncRNA biomarkers (based on Spearman's rank correlation analysis). On this list of ranked genes, we performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using Java GSEA software version 3.0 (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea) with default settings and selecting the phenotype label as the permutation type. The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) version 6.2 Hallmark (H) and oncogenic signatures (C6) gene set collections were evaluated in the GSEA. Finally, Kaplan-Meier estimator and log-rank test were used to assess the survival differences between patient groups defined by different IncRNA expression signatures. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.5.1.

Results

Identification of differentially regulated IncRNAs in HNSCC

We evaluated the differential expression profile of the long non-coding RNAs (IncRNA) between HNSCC tumors and adjacent matched normal tissue by performing principal component analysis (PCA). PCA showed that the expression levels of IncRNAs in tumors are considerably different from that of adjacent normal tissue (Figure 1A). To identify deregulated IncRNAs in HNSCC, we explored the IncRNA abundance using RNA-seq data from 40 tumor-normal paired samples in the TAN-RIC database. Statistical analysis using limma t-test revealed a total of 926 differentially expressed IncRNAs (FDR ≤0.05) between HN-SCC and adjacent normal tissue (Figure 1B). Among these, 688 IncRNAs were significantly higher by at least 2-fold with LINC01614 (AC093850, ENSG00000230838.1) to be the most abundant IncRNA (5-fold) and 238 Inc-RNAs were significantly lower by at least 2-fold with LINC02487 (ENSG00000203688.4) to be the least abundant IncRNA (5-fold) (Figure 1B).



Figure 1. Differential IncRNA abundance analysis comparing tumor and normal tissues in HNSCC. A. Principal component analysis (PCA) showing differences in the IncRNA profiles of 40 HNSCC tumors versus paired normal tissue samples from TCGA. B. Volcano plot of differentially expressed IncRNAs comparing tumor and adjacent normal tissues (N=40). C. Gene expression of the ten most abundant IncRNAs in all tumors (N=426) compared to normal tissues (N=42). D. Gene expression of the ten least abundant IncRNAs in all tumors (N=426) compared to normal tissues (N=42).

Next, we evaluated the expression of the top ten and bottom ten most abundant IncRNAs from the tumor-normal paired analysis in all tumors (n=426) versus normal tissue (n=42) using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney rank test. Our analysis confirmed that all ten IncRNAs with higher abundance and ten IncRNAs with lower abundance from the tumor-normal paired analysis were also differentially expressed in all tumors compared to adjacent normal tissue (**Figure 1C** and **1D**).

LncRNAs associated with HPV, smoking, and TP53 mutation

High-risk HPV is associated with a subset of HNSCC [30, 31]. Presence of HPV in the HN-

SCCs was associated with significantly improved response to given treatments and overall patient survival [32]. In HNSCC, the role of HPV on IncRNAs expression is poorly understood; therefore, we evaluated the abundance of IncRNAs with presence or absence of HPV. Using immunohistochemical detection of p16 as a surrogate marker for HPV status obtained from the HNSC TCGA, we performed limma t-test on TANRIC IncRNA expression data consisting of p16-positive (n=24) and p16-negative (n=65) patient samples (Table 1). Using a 2-fold cutoff value and FDR ≤ 0.05 , we identified 710 IncRNAs to be differentially regulated among p16-negative and positive samples (Figure 2A). Among these, 201 IncRNAs had significantly higher and 509 IncRNAs had significantly lower

LncRNAs in HNSCC



Figure 2. Differential abundance of IncRNAs by p16 expression and *TP53* mutational status. A. Volcano plot of differentially expressed IncRNAs comparing p16negative and p16-positive HNSCCs. The bar chart represents the top ten most differentially expressed IncRNAs (both increased and decreased in p16-positive tumors). Red: most abundant in p16-negative tumors. Blue: most abundant in p16-positive tumors. B. Volcano plot of differentially expressed IncRNAs comparing mutant-*TP53* and wild-type-*TP53* HNSCCs. The bar chart represents top ten most differential IncRNAs in mutant versus wild-type p53 (in both directions). Red: most abundant in mutant-*TP53* tumors. Blue: most abundant in wild type-*TP53* tumors. C. Table representing number of common IncRNAs classified on the basis of higher or lower abundance in tumor samples, p16-negative samples and mutant-*TP53* status.

Table 2. LncRNAs common	y deregulated in the co	ontext of tumorigenesis,	HPV and TP53 mutation
-------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------

61 IncRNAs with higher abundance in tumor, p16-negative and mutant-TP53	5 IncRNAs with lower abundance in tumor, p16-negative and mutant-TP53
CTA-520D8.2, RP11-297P16.4, RP5-884M6.1, LINCO0460, CTD-2066L21.2, HOXC-AS5, AC098973.2, RP11-85M11.2, RP11-346D19.1, CTD-2532K18.2, RP11-159F24.6, LINCO0941, RP11-218E20.3, RP11-152P17.2, RP11-417E7.1, CTD-2587H24.5, RP11-445F12.1, RP11- 221N13.3, HS1BP3-IT1, RP11-346D6.6, RP11-493L12.3, CTC-499J9.1, RP11-438N16.1, RP13-463N16.6, CTD-2231H16.1, RP11-25115.3, RP3-460G2.2, RP11-567G11.1, RP11- 328K4.1, AC007879.7, SFTA1P, RP11-462L8.1, LL0XNC01-250H12.3, AC104801.1, RP11- 890B15.2, AC011738.4, AC007879.5, RP11-680H20.2, RP11-4210.1, RP11-812.1, AN01-AS2, RP11-25902.2, RP11-114B7.6, RP11-145A3.1, AC006262.5, RP11-30P6.6, RP11-497E19.1, CTD-2184D3.3, LINC00704, RP11-302J23.1, RP11-395N3.2, RP11-527N22.2, CTD-2033A16.3, AC005330.2, APCDD1L-AS1, RP11-483L5.1, MY016-AS1, RP11-565P22.2, RP11-59D5_B.2, RP11-150012.1, RP11-25902.1	LINC00515, RP5-850015.4, RP11- 513G11.4, RP11-964E11.2, RP4-755D9.1

abundance in p16-negative tumors compared to p16-positive tumors (**Figure 2A**).

Mutations in the tumor suppressor gene, TP53, are the most frequent of all somatic genomic alterations in HNSCC, and these mutations are associated with poor survival and resistance to chemotherapy in HNSCC patients [9, 10, 33]. To identify IncRNAs associated with TP53 mutation, we performed statistical analysis using limma t-test on the TANRIC IncRNA expression data consisting of wild-type (n=102) and mutant-TP53 (n=281) patient samples. Overall, we identified 133 IncRNAs to be differentially regulated among mutant vs wild-type-TP53 samples with significance (fold change 2-fold and FDR ≤0.05) (Figure 2B). Among these, 104 IncRNAs had a significantly higher abundance and 29 IncRNAs were of lower abundance in the mutant-TP53 patient samples (Figure 2B). Our results indicate that mutations in TP53 affect the abundance of IncRNAs and that these IncRNAs may affect the downstream regulatory TP53-pathways.

Smoking increases the risk of HNSCC and is a prognostic factor in HNSCC. The role of smoking on IncRNA expression in HNSCC is not well studied. Hence, we analyzed the differential expression profile between smokers and nonsmokers in the HNSCC tumors in TANRIC database. Overall, we identified four IncRNAs that had higher and 19 IncRNAs that had lower abundance in the non-smokers with a \geq 2 fold-change at FDR \leq 0.05 compared to smokers. The small number of differentially expressed IncRNAs may not be significantly affected by the smoking status.

As our analysis indicates that HPV and *TP53* mutation are major factors contributing to dif-

ferential expression of selected IncRNAs, we next investigated if any of the 926 tumor-associated IncRNAs in Figure 1B overlap with the 710 HPV associated and/or 133 TP53 mutation associated IncRNAs. Interestingly, we found that about 9% (61/688) of the IncRNAs with increased abundance in tumors also have increased abundance associated with p16-negative and mutant-TP53 tumors (Figure 2C; Table 2). Although it's a small percentage of IncRNAs, as mentioned before, HPV and TP53 are prognostic factors contributing to HNC progression, and our findings suggests that some of the IncRNAs of high abundance in tumor samples may have an important regulatory role in p16-negative and mutant-TP53 tumor progression.

Association of patient survival with IncRNAs

In order to determine which IncRNAs could be potentially significant in the pathogenesis of HNSCC, we evaluated the association between IncRNA abundance and overall survival using the clinical data from TCGA. We analyzed the abundance of the top 15-upregulated IncRNAs in 426 HNSCC patients and divided them into two groups based on the median abundance value. We found that high abundance of five IncRNAs *LINCO1614*, *CASC9*, *LINCO2081*, *LINC-00460* and *LINCO1980* were associated with poor patient survival under univariate Kaplan-Meier analysis (**Figure 3A**).

It is well-established that HPV status can affect patient prognosis in HNSCC. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of IncRNA regulation on patient survival in p16-positive and p16-negative cohorts respectively, using log-rank test. In the p16-negative patient cohort (n=65), among these five IncRNAs only the high abundance of *LINC00460* was associated with poor overall

LncRNAs in HNSCC



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curves showing correlation of five tumor associated IncRNAs with overall patient survival. A. Higher abundance of IncRNAs including *LINC01614*, *LINC00460*, *LINC02081*, *LINC01980*, and CASC9 was significantly associated with poor overall survival in TCGA dataset (n=426). B. Higher abundance of *LINC00460* in the p16-negative patient cohort was significantly associated with poor overall survival (n=65). C. Higher abundance of *LINC00460* was significantly associated with poor overall survival in an independent cohort consisting of 82 HNSCC cases.

survival (**Figure 3B**). Whereas in the p16-positive patient cohort (n=24), none of the IncRNAs were associated with patient survival, although this comparison had low statistical power due to the small sample size (data not shown).

To further examine the prognostic value associated with IncRNAs, we performed Kaplan-Meier analysis in an independent cohort consisting of 82 p16-negative HNSCC obtained from the Moffitt Cancer Center (**Table 1**). Survival analysis showed that higher abundance of *LINC-*00460 in this independent cohort was also associated in poor overall survival (**Figure 3C**), thus indicating that *LINCO0460* may be a prognostic factor for patient survival in p16-negative HNSCC.

LncRNA-protein co-expression analysis

As our analysis suggests that higher abundance of LINCO0460 is associated with poor patient survival, we became interested to delineate its biological function. To do so, we performed LINC00460-mRNAs co-expression analysis by performing Spearman's rank correlation analysis to select protein-encoding genes associated with this IncRNA. Using gene expression data from TCGA, we compared the ranked gene sets with Gene Set Enrichment Analyses (GSEA) against the Hallmark of Cancer and Oncogenic gene signatures [34]. We found that higher expression of genes correlated with LINC00460 were associated with biological pathways including epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), interferon alpha response $(INF-\alpha)$, angiogenesis, inflammatory response, KRAS signaling and TGF-β signaling (Figure 4A). Among the oncogenic signature pathways, we found that the LINC00460-related genes were associated with upregulation of TBK1 and STK33 and downregulation of RB. More importantly, we were able to validate some of these findings in the independent patient cohort (n=82) using gene expression data from the Moffitt Cancer Center (Figure 4B). In this independent data set, higher abundance of LINC-00460-related genes were associated with increased expression of EMT, STK33 and TBK1 similar to what was observed in the TCGA data. However, the list of pathways did not completely overlap, probably due to the heterogeneity in the tumors and technical variations in these two datasets.

Discussion

Emerging evidence suggests that IncRNAs play a vital role in cancer related pathways and can mediate oncogenic functions by interacting with proteins, DNA, or RNAs, and regulating gene expression transcriptionally or post-transcriptionally [13, 14]. In recent years, IncRNAs have been identified as targets for novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies [15, 16]. Lnc-RNAs have been implicated in tumor progression, invasion, and metastasis of HNSCCs, indicating that they might function as novel biomarkers and/or as therapeutic targets for patient treatment [35-37]. However, in HNSCC there is only a small fraction of IncRNAs with known biological function while the vast majority of IncRNAs are of unknown function. To further enrich our understanding of IncRNA functioning in HNSCC, we analyzed IncRNA expression data from the TANRIC HNSCC database and here report that novel IncRNAs can be potential biomarkers in HNSCC prognosis.

We investigated differential expression profile of IncRNAs in tumor compared to adjacent. matched normal HNSCC from TCGA. Interestingly, some of the well-studied IncRNAs that have been previously reported to be differentially regulated in HNSCC (for example MALAT1, UCA1, NEAT1, MEG3 and Gas5) were either not found in our analysis or their expression level was not significantly different between the tumor and normal tissues. Similarly, there were other research groups that reported inconsistent findings [38-40]. These discrepancies may arise due to different sequencing approaches being used for analyzing the global IncRNA expression changes, tissue heterogeneity, and/ or heterogeneity and inadequate sample size of different patient cohorts. Moreover, IncRNA expression may vary among tissue locations because many IncRNAs are known to be expressed in a tissue-type specific manner [41]. On the other hand, several IncRNAs that have been previously reported to be of direct relevance in HNSCC were identified in our analysis. For instance, HOTAIR a very well-studied IncRNA in different cancers was more abundant in HNSCC tumor samples than in normal tissue, a finding that was consistent with reports in literature for the different HNSCC subtypes. In laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma, HOTAIR is overexpressed and regulates

LncRNAs in HNSCC



Figure 4. Association of *LINC00460*-linked gene abundances with cancer-related biological pathways. A. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of mRNAs co-expressed with *LINC00460* in the TCGA cohort using Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) version 6.2 Hallmark (H) and oncogenic signatures (C6) gene set collections. B. GSEA of mRNAs co-expressed with *LINC00460* in an independent cohort using MSigDB version 6.2 Hallmark (H) and oncogenic signatures (C6) gene set collections. Bar graphs represent the ten most differential cancer hallmarks and oncogenic signatures in each direction.

PTEN methylation [42]. Similarly, in oral squamous cell carcinoma, *HOTAIR* promotes tumor cell invasion and metastasis by recruiting EZH2 and repressing E-cadherin [18].

Human papillomavirus is reported as an independent prognostic factor in HNSCC and is associated with an improved prognosis in a subset of patients with HNSCC [6, 43]. Here, we report on IncRNAs with differential expression between p16-negative and p16-positive patient samples. Interestingly, several of the IncRNAs with high expression in the p16-negative samples are associated with several dis-

eases including cancer. LINC00162 (also known as PICSAR) was among the top 20 upregulated IncRNAs in p16-negative samples and it has been previously shown to promote the progression of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma by activation of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway and downregulating DUSP6 expression [44]. Similarly, the IncRNAs that were downregulated in p16-negative patients were associated with tumor progression. For instance, among the 20 downregulated IncRNAs, FEZF1-AS1 facilitates tumorigenesis and progression in colorectal, partly through FEZF1 induction [45] while PART1 IncRNA has been shown to function as competitive endogenous RNA by binding to miR-129 and thus facilitates Bcl-2 expression in esophageal squamous cell carcinomas [46]. High levels of serum IncRNA PART1 in exosome are associated with poor patient response to gefitinib treatment. Additionally, IncRNA CDKN2B-AS1 (a.k.a. ANRIL) promotes tumorigenesis in HNSCC [47]. LncRNA CDKN2B-AS1 is antisense to CDKN2B and antisense downstream to CDKN2A (p16) gene, which has a tumor suppressor function. In the future, it will be interesting to investigate if IncRNA CDKN2B-AS1 interferes with biological function of p16 in HNSCC.

Mutations in the TP53 gene are very frequent in HNSCC [10], therefore we investigated the abundance of IncRNAs in the patients with mutant-TP53 versus wild-type-TP53. There were many IncRNAs with differential abundance with respect to TP53 mutational status, and several that had higher abundance in mutant-TP53 patients were reported to have functional significance cancer. For example, in gastric cancer, IncRNA LINCOO668 is activated by E2F1 and acts as a regulator of cell cycle by associating with PRC2. This association results in epigenetic repression of cyclin-dependent protein kinase inhibitors [48]. It has been reported that the TP53 mutations are more frequently associated HPV-negative HNSCC [49]. Nohata et al. [39] reported differential IncRNAs expression in HPV-positive and wild-type-TP53 subsets from TANRIC. They identified 140-upregulated IncRNAs (>1.5-fold change and q< 0.001) in HPV-positive tumors. Applying similar criteria to our dataset, we confirmed 91/140 IncRNAs. Similarly, they reported 30 IncRNAs to be upregulated (>1.5-fold change and q< 0.001) in wild-type-TP53 samples. Applying similar criteria to our dataset, we confirmed

10/30 IncRNAs, indicating consistencies in the two studies.

We next focused on the IncRNAs that were commonly deregulated in the context of tumorigenesis, HPV, and TP53. Interestingly, we identified 61 IncRNAs with higher abundance in p16-negative and mutant-TP53 tumors. Among these, some of the IncRNAs have been functionally characterized in other cancers. For example, LINC00707 promotes cell proliferation and migration in lung adenocarcinoma by regulating Cdc42 [50]. LINC00704 (also called as MANCR) is upregulated in breast cancer and is functionally known to regulate genomic stability by regulating cell proliferation and viability [51]. LncRNA RP11-567G11.1 is up-regulated in pancreatic cancer tissues, and depletion of RP11-567G11.1 increases the sensitivity of pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine [52]. To determine if these IncRNAs can function as a potential biomarker in p16-negative HNSCC patients with TP53 mutation, we need to further investigate their mechanism of action in HNSCC.

A promising field of research has been on Inc-RNAs that may function as prognostic biomarkers in HNSCC progression. The main IncRNA with a prognostic value in both the TCGA and Moffitt patient cohort was LINC00460. In a previous study in HNSCC, LINC00460 was reported as a prognostic predictor of poor patient survival [53]. Our study confirms this role both in the TCGA and Moffitt HNSCC data sets. Therefore, our finding not only corroborates the previous study but further enhances our understanding of the prognostic value of IncRNAs in HNSCC progression. Furthermore, we performed IncRNA-mRNA co-expression analysis using TCGA dataset and found that higher LINC00460 is associated with many oncogenic and cancer related pathways including EMT, IFN-α, TGF-β, NF-KB, and other inflammatory pathways, and we were able to validate some of these pathways in an independent cohort. From this analysis, we speculate that LINC00460 might be interacting with the proteins that are involved in cell development, proliferation, adhesion, tumorigenesis, and other tumor promoting pathways. In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, LINC00460 function as an oncogene and promotes cell growth and apoptosis [54]. Similarly, in lung cancer cells LINC00460 promotes cell migration and invasion and thus induces EMT

[55]. In colorectal cancer cells, it affects cell proliferation and apoptosis by regulating the expression of Krüppel-like factor 2 and cullin 4A [56]. However, to elucidate the mechanism by which *LINCO0460* and other IncRNAs contribute to HNSCC pathogenesis and progression, further experimental validation will be needed.

In summary, we present a comprehensive analysis of IncRNAs abundance in HNSCC in context of p16 status and *TP53* mutation status. Our analysis revealed *LINC00460* as a prognostic marker of survival in patients with p16-negative HNSCC. Further studies are required to understand how *LINC00460* plays a regulatory role in HNSCC contributing to worse prognosis.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by the Moffitt's Total Cancer Care Initiative, Collaborative Data Services, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, and Tissue Core Facilities at the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, an NCIdesignated Comprehensive Cancer Center (P30-CA076292) and the James and Esther King Biomedical Research Grant (7JK02). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Grant Sponsor or the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Christine H Chung, Department of Head and Neck-Endocrine Oncology, Moffitt Cancer Center, 12902 Magnolia Drive, Tampa, FL 33612, USA. E-mail: Christine.Chung@ Moffitt.Org

References

- [1] Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019; 69: 7-34.
- Forastiere A, Koch W, Trotti A and Sidransky D. Head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2001; 345: 1890-1900.
- [3] Vigneswaran N and Williams MD. Epidemiologic trends in head and neck cancer and aids in diagnosis. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2014; 26: 123-141.
- [4] Blot WJ, McLaughlin JK, Winn DM, Austin DF, Greenberg RS, Preston-Martin S, Bernstein L, Schoenberg JB, Stemhagen A and Fraumeni JF

Jr. Smoking and drinking in relation to oral and pharyngeal cancer. Cancer Res 1988; 48: 3282-3287.

- [5] Ragin CC, Modugno F and Gollin SM. The epidemiology and risk factors of head and neck cancer: a focus on human papillomavirus. J Dent Res 2007; 86: 104-114.
- [6] Ang KK, Harris J, Wheeler R, Weber R, Rosenthal DI, Nguyen-Tan PF, Westra WH, Chung CH, Jordan RC, Lu C, Kim H, Axelrod R, Silverman CC, Redmond KP and Gillison ML. Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal cancer. N Engl J Med 2010; 363: 24-35.
- [7] Sacco AG and Cohen EE. Current treatment options for recurrent or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2015; 33: 3305-3313.
- [8] Chung CH, Guthrie VB, Masica DL, Tokheim C, Kang H, Richmon J, Agrawal N, Fakhry C, Quon H, Subramaniam RM, Zuo Z, Seiwert T, Chalmers ZR, Frampton GM, Ali SM, Yelensky R, Stephens PJ, Miller VA, Karchin R and Bishop JA. Genomic alterations in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma determined by cancer gene-targeted sequencing. Ann Oncol 2015; 26: 1216-1223.
- [9] Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature 2015; 517: 576-582.
- [10] Stransky N, Egloff AM, Tward AD, Kostic AD, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, Kryukov GV, Lawrence MS, Sougnez C, McKenna A, Shefler E, Ramos AH, Stojanov P, Carter SL, Voet D, Cortes ML, Auclair D, Berger MF, Saksena G, Guiducci C, Onofrio RC, Parkin M, Romkes M, Weissfeld JL, Seethala RR, Wang L, Rangel-Escareno C, Fernandez-Lopez JC, Hidalgo-Miranda A, Melendez-Zajgla J, Winckler W, Ardlie K, Gabriel SB, Meyerson M, Lander ES, Getz G, Golub TR, Garraway LA and Grandis JR. The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Science 2011; 333: 1157-1160.
- [11] Nagadia R, Pandit P, Coman WB, Cooper-White J and Punyadeera C. miRNAs in head and neck cancer revisited. Cell Oncol 2013; 36: 1-7.
- [12] Hui AB, Lenarduzzi M, Krushel T, Waldron L, Pintilie M, Shi W, Perez-Ordonez B, Jurisica I, O'Sullivan B, Waldron J, Gullane P, Cummings B and Liu FF. Comprehensive MicroRNA profiling for head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16: 1129-1139.
- [13] Prensner JR and Chinnaiyan AM. The emergence of IncRNAs in cancer biology. Cancer Discov 2011; 1: 391-407.
- [14] Schmitt AM and Chang HY. Long noncoding RNAs in cancer pathways. Cancer Cell 2016; 29: 452-463.

- [15] Arun G, Diermeier SD and Spector DL. Therapeutic targeting of long non-coding RNAs in cancer. Trends Mol Med 2018; 24: 257-277.
- [16] Bolha L, Ravnik-Glavac M and Glavac D. Long noncoding RNAs as biomarkers in cancer. Dis Markers 2017; 2017: 7243968.
- [17] Zhou X, Liu S, Cai G, Kong L, Zhang T, Ren Y, Wu Y, Mei M, Zhang L and Wang X. Long non coding RNA MALAT1 promotes tumor growth and metastasis by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 15972.
- [18] Wu Y, Zhang L, Zhang L, Wang Y, Li H, Ren X, Wei F, Yu W, Liu T, Wang X, Zhou X, Yu J and Hao X. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR promotes tumor cell invasion and metastasis by recruiting EZH2 and repressing E-cadherin in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Oncol 2015; 46: 2586-2594.
- [19] Xu YZ, Chen FF, Zhang Y, Zhao QF, Guan XL, Wang HY, Li A, Lv X, Song SS, Zhou Y and Li XJ. The long noncoding RNA FOXCUT promotes proliferation and migration by targeting FOXC1 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Tumour Biol 2017; 39: 1010428317706054.
- [20] Zhang Y and Hu H. Long non-coding RNA CCAT1/miR-218/ZFX axis modulates the progression of laryngeal squamous cell cancer. Tumour Biol 2017; 39: 1010428317699417.
- [21] Wu T, Qu L, He G, Tian L, Li L, Zhou H, Jin Q, Ren J, Wang Y, Wang J, Kan X, Liu M, Shen J, Guo M and Sun Y. Regulation of laryngeal squamous cell cancer progression by the IncRNA H19/miR-148a-3p/DNMT1 axis. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 11553-11566.
- [22] Zhang W, Huang C, Gong Z, Zhao Y, Tang K, Li X, Fan S, Shi L, Li X, Zhang P, Zhou Y, Huang D, Liang F, Zhang X, Wu M, Cao L, Wang J, Li Y, Xiong W, Zeng Z and Li G. Expression of LINC00312, a long intergenic non-coding RNA, is negatively correlated with tumor size but positively correlated with lymph node metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Mol Histol 2013; 44: 545-554.
- [23] Li JH, Zhang SQ, Qiu XG, Zhang SJ, Zheng SH and Zhang DH. Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 promotes malignant progression of thyroid carcinoma by regulating miRNA-214. Int J Oncol 2017; 50: 708-716.
- [24] Liang S, Zhang S, Wang P, Yang C, Shang C, Yang J and Wang J. LncRNA, TUG1 regulates the oral squamous cell carcinoma progression possibly via interacting with Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. Gene 2017; 608: 49-57.
- [25] Yang YT, Wang YF, Lai JY, Shen SY, Wang F, Kong J, Zhang W and Yang HY. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 contributes to the progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma by regulating the WNT/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Cancer Sci 2016; 107: 1581-1589.

- [26] Yang CM, Wang TH, Chen HC, Li SC, Lee MC, Liou HH, Liu PF, Tseng YK, Shiue YL, Ger LP and Tsai KW. Aberrant DNA hypermethylationsilenced SOX21-AS1 gene expression and its clinical importance in oral cancer. Clin Epigenetics 2016; 8: 129.
- [27] Huang W, Cui X, Chen J, Feng Y, Song E, Li J and Liu Y. Long non-coding RNA NKILA inhibits migration and invasion of tongue squamous cell carcinoma cells via suppressing epithelialmesenchymal transition. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 62520-62532.
- [28] Li J, Han L, Roebuck P, Diao L, Liu L, Yuan Y, Weinstein JN and Liang H. TANRIC: an interactive open platform to explore the function of IncRNAs in cancer. Cancer Res 2015; 75: 3728-3737.
- [29] Love MI, Huber W and Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014; 15: 550.
- [30] Gillison ML. Human papillomavirus and prognosis of oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: implications for clinical research in head and neck cancers. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 5623-5625.
- [31] Strati K and Lambert PF. Human papillomavirus association with head and neck cancers: understanding virus biology and using it in the development of cancer diagnostics. Expert Opin Med Diagn 2008; 2: 11-20.
- [32] Ragin CC and Taioli E. Survival of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in relation to human papillomavirus infection: review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2007; 121: 1813-1820.
- [33] Zhou G, Liu Z and Myers JN. TP53 mutations in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and their impact on disease progression and treatment response. J Cell Biochem 2016; 117: 2682-2692.
- [34] Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES and Mesirov JP. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102: 15545-15550.
- [35] Luo X, Qiu Y, Jiang Y, Chen F, Jiang L, Zhou Y, Dan H, Zeng X, Lei YL and Chen Q. Long noncoding RNA implicated in the invasion and metastasis of head and neck cancer: possible function and mechanisms. Mol Cancer 2018; 17: 14.
- [36] Li X, Cao Y, Gong X and Li H. Long noncoding RNAs in head and neck cancer. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 10726-10740.
- [37] Kolenda T, Guglas K, Rys M, Bogaczynska M, Teresiak A, Blizniak R, Lasinska I, Mackiewicz J and Lamperska KM. Biological role of long

non-coding RNA in head and neck cancers. Rep Pract Oncol Radiother 2017; 22: 378-388.

- [38] Zou AE, Zheng H, Saad MA, Rahimy M, Ku J, Kuo SZ, Honda TK, Wang-Rodriguez J, Xuan Y, Korrapati A, Yu V, Singh P, Grandis JR, King CC, Lippman SM, Wang XQ, Hinton A and Ongkeko WM. The non-coding landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 51211-51222.
- [39] Nohata N, Abba MC and Gutkind JS. Unraveling the oral cancer IncRNAome: identification of novel IncRNAs associated with malignant progression and HPV infection. Oral Oncol 2016; 59: 58-66.
- [40] Zou AE, Ku J, Honda TK, Yu V, Kuo SZ, Zheng H, Xuan Y, Saad MA, Hinton A, Brumund KT, Lin JH, Wang-Rodriguez J and Ongkeko WM. Transcriptome sequencing uncovers novel long noncoding and small nucleolar RNAs dysregulated in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. RNA 2015; 21: 1122-1134.
- [41] Cabili MN, Trapnell C, Goff L, Koziol M, Tazon-Vega B, Regev A and Rinn JL. Integrative annotation of human large intergenic noncoding RNAs reveals global properties and specific subclasses. Genes Dev 2011; 25: 1915-1927.
- [42] Li D, Feng J, Wu T, Wang Y, Sun Y, Ren J and Liu M. Long intergenic noncoding RNA HOTAIR is overexpressed and regulates PTEN methylation in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Am J Pathol 2013; 182: 64-70.
- [43] Jo S, Juhasz A, Zhang K, Ruel C, Loera S, Wilczynski SP, Yen Y, Liu X, Ellenhorn J, Lim D, Paz B, Somlo G, Vora N and Shibata S. Human papillomavirus infection as a prognostic factor in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas treated in a prospective phase II clinical trial. Anticancer Res 2009; 29: 1467-1474.
- [44] Piipponen M, Nissinen L, Farshchian M, Riihila P, Kivisaari A, Kallajoki M, Peltonen J, Peltonen S and Kahari VM. Long noncoding RNA PICSAR promotes growth of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma by regulating ERK1/2 activity. J Invest Dermatol 2016; 136: 1701-1710.
- [45] Chen N, Guo D, Xu Q, Yang M, Wang D, Peng M, Ding Y, Wang S and Zhou J. Long non-coding RNA FEZF1-AS1 facilitates cell proliferation and migration in colorectal carcinoma. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 11271-11283.
- [46] Kang M, Ren M, Li Y, Fu Y, Deng M and Li C. Exosome-mediated transfer of IncRNA PART1 induces gefitinib resistance in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma via functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2018; 37: 171.
- [47] Zhang LM, Ju HY, Wu YT, Guo W, Mao L, Ma HL, Xia WY, Hu JZ and Ren GX. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL promotes tumorgenesis through regulation of FGFR1 expression by sponging miR-125a-3p in head and neck squamous cell

carcinoma. Am J Cancer Res 2018; 8: 2296-2310.

- [48] Zhang E, Yin D, Han L, He X, Si X, Chen W, Xia R, Xu T, Gu D, De W, Guo R, Xu Z and Chen J. E2F1-induced upregulation of long noncoding RNA LINC00668 predicts a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and promotes cell proliferation through epigenetically silencing of CKIs. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 23212-23226.
- [49] Agrawal N, Frederick MJ, Pickering CR, Bettegowda C, Chang K, Li RJ, Fakhry C, Xie TX, Zhang J, Wang J, Zhang N, El-Naggar AK, Jasser SA, Weinstein JN, Trevino L, Drummond JA, Muzny DM, Wu Y, Wood LD, Hruban RH, Westra WH, Koch WM, Califano JA, Gibbs RA, Sidransky D, Vogelstein B, Velculescu VE, Papadopoulos N, Wheeler DA, Kinzler KW and Myers JN. Exome sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma reveals inactivating mutations in NOTCH1. Science 2011; 333: 1154-1157.
- [50] Ma T, Ma H, Zou Z, He X, Liu Y, Shuai Y, Xie M and Zhang Z. The long intergenic noncoding RNA 00707 promotes lung adenocarcinoma cell proliferation and migration by regulating Cdc42. Cell Physiol Biochem 2018; 45: 1566-1580.
- [51] Tracy KM, Tye CE, Ghule PN, Malaby HLH, Stumpff J, Stein JL, Stein GS and Lian JB. Mitotically-associated IncRNA (MANCR) affects genomic stability and cell division in aggressive breast cancer. Mol Cancer Res 2018; 16: 587-598.
- [52] Huang R, Nie W, Yao K and Chou J. Depletion of the IncRNA RP11-567G11.1 inhibits pancreatic cancer progression. Biomed Pharmacother 2019; 112: 108685.
- [53] Cao W, Liu JN, Liu Z, Wang X, Han ZG, Ji T, Chen WT and Zou X. A three-IncRNA signature derived from the Atlas of ncRNA in cancer (TAN-RIC) database predicts the survival of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol 2017; 65: 94-101.
- [54] Liang Y, Wu Y, Chen X, Zhang S, Wang K, Guan X, Yang K, Li J and Bai Y. A novel long noncoding RNA linc00460 up-regulated by CBP/P300 promotes carcinogenesis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Biosci Rep 2017; 37.
- [55] Li K, Sun D, Gou Q, Ke X, Gong Y, Zuo Y, Zhou JK, Guo C, Xia Z, Liu L, Li Q, Dai L and Peng Y. Long non-coding RNA linc00460 promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cell migration in lung cancer cells. Cancer Lett 2018; 420: 80-90.
- [56] Lian Y, Yan C, Xu H, Yang J, Yu Y, Zhou J, Shi Y, Ren J, Ji G and Wang K. A novel IncRNA, LINC00460, affects cell proliferation and apoptosis by regulating KLF2 and CUL4A expression in colorectal cancer. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 2018; 12: 684-697.