### Original Article Influence of LncRNA SDHAP1 on multiplication, migration and invasiveness of non-small cell lung carcinoma cells

Li He<sup>1,2</sup>, Long Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Zhi-Bing Lu<sup>4</sup>, Jian-Ping Xiong<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oncology, THe First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang 330006, Jiangxi, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Oncology, The People's Hospital of Xinyu City, Xinyu 338000, Jiangxi, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Xiangtan Central Hospital, Xiangtan 411100, Hunan, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Oncology, The People's Hospital of PingXiang City, PingXiang 337000, Jiangxi, China

Received June 7, 2021; Accepted August 15, 2021; Epub October 15, 2021; Published October 30, 2021

Abstract: Objective: To investigate the effects of IncRNA SDHAP1 on the multiplication, migration and invasiveness of NSCLC cells. Methods: From The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, the clinical data of NSCLC patients were retrieved to analyze the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in LC. In this study, IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC cell lines was regulated, and its expression profiling in non- and cis-platinum (CDDP) resistant NSCLC cell lines was identified by qPCR. The levels of multidrug resistance-related protein 1 (MRP1), p-glycoprotein (P-gp) and glutathione S-transferase-π (GST-π) were measured by Western blotting (WB), the migration and invasion of LC cells were detected by Transwell assay, and the cell multiplication and activity were determined by MTT assays. Results: TCGA database identified upregulated IncRNA SDHAP1 expression in LC. gPCR results revealed that IncRNA SDHAP1 was highly expressed in NSCLC. LncRNA SDHAP1 showed higher expression in patients with stage IV than in those with stage I, II or III, as well as in people aged 21-40 years old. Compared with normal lung epithelial cells, IncRNA SD-HAP1 was upregulated in NSCLC cell lines, especially in those resistant to CDDP. LncRNA SDHAP1 downregulation led to a decrease in multiplication, migration and invasiveness of NSCLC cells, and a reduction in activity, migration and invasiveness of CDDP-resistant NSCLC cell lines. In addition, IncRNA SDHAP1 knockdown down-regulated the expression levels of Multidrug resistance-associated proteins MRP1, P-gp and GST-π. Conclusions: LncRNA SDHAP1 is upregulated in NSCLC and is associated with LC staging and age of patients. Silencing IncRNA SDHAP1 can suppress the multiplication, migration, invasiveness and CDDP resistance of cancer cells. Therefore, IncRNA SDHAP1 may serve as a prognostic biomarker and treatment target for NSCLC.

Keywords: Non-small cell lung carcinoma, LncRNA SDHAP1, Cis-platinum resistance

#### Introduction

Lung carcinoma (LC) has the highest prevalence at present, among which non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) accounts for approximately 85% of primary LC [1]. The majority of patients with NSCLC are at the advanced stage when they seek medical treatment, which is difficult to be cured by surgery and prone to postoperative relapse [2]. Although many new drugs have been developed for LC in recent years, the 5-year survival rate of advanced LC patients remains as low as 15% [3]. Cisplatinum (CDDP) is the most extensively used platinum-based first-line chemotherapeutic drug, which is mainly to inhibit DNA replication, affect cell transcription and translation, and promote tumor cell apoptosis [4, 5]. CDDPresistant is an important factor leading to treatment failure and adversely affects the survival and prognosis of patients [6]. Therefore, studying the molecular mechanism of drug-resistance may help us to understand the proliferation and metastasis ability of tumor cells.

Previous studies on the molecular mechanism of tumorigenesis focused on protein coding genes [7]. With the development of highthroughput transcriptome sequencing technology, researchers have found that more than

90% of human genome transcriptome is consisted of non-coding RNAs, and many microR-NAs (miRNAs) have been shown to be involved in the malignant behavior of LC [8-10]. In addition to miRNAs, long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) have also received close attention, with the functions of modulating chromatin and gene regulation depending on the cell location [11]. LncRNAs act on all aspects of cellular regulation, including transcription regulation, shearing processing, chromatin modification and RNA stability regulation [12]. It has been reported that transcribed at the HOXC site, IncRNA HOTAIR regulates chromatin methylation level of HOXD site by directly binding to PRC2 [13]. Many studies have shown that IncRNAs are closely related to the occurrence and development of various tumors, including LC [14-16]. The correlation between IncRNA SDHAP1 and LC progression has been rarely reported. The purpose of this study was to probe into the impacts of IncRNA SDHAP1 on multiplication, migration and invasiveness of NSCLC cell, so as to understand the molecular pathogenesis and to improve the prognosis of patients with LC.

#### Methods

#### Data sources and sample collection

In this study, the RNA-seq and clinical data were retrieved from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database for bioinformatics analysis. The R package edgeR was used to analyze the differential expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in paired samples of NSCLC tissues and adjacent tissues (lung adenocarcinoma, LUAD & lung squamous cell carcinoma, LUSC), as well as in patients with different clinical features.

The clinicopathological data of 46 patients with LUAD who underwent surgical resection in our hospital from January 2017 to March 2018 with preserved pathological tissue specimens and complete clinicopathological data were collected. All patients underwent lung cancer resection, and some fresh tumor tissues and normal lung tissues adjacent to the cancer were collected during the operation and preserved in liquid nitrogen. The lung cancer tissue was confirmed by pathological examination, and the distance between the normal tissue and the lesion was >5 cm. There were 27 males and 19 females with an average age of  $(59.76\pm6.93)$  years old. According to TNM staging, there were 13 cases of stage I, 7 cases of stage II, 21 cases of stage III and 5 cases of stage IV. There were 19 cases with lymph node metastasis and 27 cases without lymph node metastasis. Among the patients, 16 cases were well differentiated, 15 cases were moderately differentiated, and 15 cases were poorly differentiated. Patients and their families signed the informed consent, and all patients were followed up until April 30, 2021 to record their survival.

#### Cell cultivation and treatment

BEAS-2B, A549 and A549/CDDP, which were human normal lung epithelial cell line, NSCLC cell line and NSCLC CDDP-resistant cell line respectively, were all purchased from ATCC. All the cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS, 100U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/L streptomycin. The logarithmic growth phase cells were gathered for the subsequent experiment. The experiment consisted of transfection group (si-SDHAP1), nonsense sequence negative control group (si-NC) and blank group (control). The prime sequences of small interfering RNAs were as follows: si-SDHAP1: 5'-GCUGGAAUAAAGAGUAACAUU-3', as well as negative control si-NC: 5'-UUCUCC-GAACGUGUCACGUTT-3'. The cells were inoculated into a 6-well plate at 2×10<sup>5</sup> cells/well 24 h before transfection and then transfected as instructed by the instructions of Lipofectamine RNA imax (Thermo Fisher Scientific) when 50% confluence was confirmed.

#### Qpcr

Total RNA was isolated using the Trizol method and cDNA was synthesized according to the instructions of the reverse transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The cDNA of each group was used as a template for PCR reaction on the ABI 7500 fluorescent quantitative PCR instrument. A 20  $\mu$ L PCR reaction mixture was prepared with 2  $\mu$ L cDNA, 10  $\mu$ L SYBR Premix Ex TaqTM II (2×), 0.8  $\mu$ L Forward Primer (10  $\mu$ M), 0.8  $\mu$ L ReversePrimer (10  $\mu$ M), 0.4  $\mu$ L ROX Reference Dye (50×) and 6  $\mu$ L RNase-Free H<sub>2</sub>0. Primer sequences are detailed in **Table 1** (internal reference: U6). The particularized PCR conditions were: 1) pre-degeneration at The mechanism of action of IncRNA SDHAP1 in non-small cell lung carcinoma cells

Gene	Forward	Reverse
SDHAP	5'-CGACTACAGACGTGTCGGG-3'	5'-GCCCTCTCGTTCCTTCAACA-3'
MRP-1	5'-GTACATTAACATGATCTGGTC-3'	5'-CGTTCATCAGCTTGATCCGAT-3'
P-gp	5'-ATGAAGTTGAATTAGAAAATGCAG-3'	5'-GGAAACTGGAGGTATACTTTCATC-3'
GST-π	5'-AATGGATCCTCCACCATGCCGCCCTACACCGTGGT-3'	5'-GACCTCGAGCTACTGTTTCCCGTTGCCAT-3'
U6	5'-GCTTCGGCAGCACATATACTAAAAT-3'	5'-CGCTTCACGAATTTGCGTGTCAT-3'
β-actin	5'-CATGTACGTTGCTATCCAGGC-3'	5'-CTCCTTAATGTCACGCACGAT-3'

Table 1. Primer sequences

95°C for 10 min; 2) 40 cycles of degeneration at 95°C for 50 s, annealing at 60°C for 50 s and extension at 72°C for 60 s; and 3) final extension at 72°C for 5 min. All reactions were set with three duplicate wells, and quantitative analysis was carried out by the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method.

#### Cell multiplication and viability by MTT assays

A549 cells and A549/CDDP cells were collected after conventional culture and transfection, digested by trypsin and inoculated into 96-well plates for conventional culture. The culture was resumed after adding 20  $\mu$ L MTT (5 g/L) at 0, 24, 48 and 72, respectively. After the removal of the culture solution, 150  $\mu$ L DMSO was added into each well, and the mixture was shaken at room temperature for 10 min for the final determination of absorbance at 490 nm using a microplate analyzer.

#### Cell invasiveness and migration by transwell

In this experiment, 50 µL diluted Matrigel matrix glue was spread in Transwell chamber for invasiveness test, while Transwell chamber without Matrigel matrix glue was applied for migration test. The rest steps were the same. Cells used in the conventional experiment in each group were suspended in serum-free RPMI1640 medium and starved for 24 h. Then, 100 µL cell suspension (1×10<sup>5</sup>/mL) was inoculated into the apical chamber, which was then put into the 24-well plate added with 600 µL RPMI1640 medium (with 20% FBS) for 48 h of conventional incubation in a cell incubator. The Transwell chamber was taken out and gently rinsed with PBS, and following this, the cells attached to the apical chamber were gently wiped off with cotton swabs, immobilized with 95% ethanol, and finally dyed with crystal violet. Under the inverted microscope, 5 fields of vision were randomly selected to observe the number of cells penetrating the chamber, and the average value was calculated. Each experiment group had 3 replicates, and each test was repeated 3 times.

#### Western blotting

A549/CDDP cells were gathered after conventional culture and transfection, and lysed by cell lysate for 30 min. The supernatant was extracted by centrifugation at 12,000 r/min at 4°C for 10 min. The protein concentration was determined with the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) kit. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed on 50 µg protein sample. After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, sealed with 5% skimmed milk powder for 1 h, and cultured with primary antibodies (multidrug resistance-related protein 1 [MRP1], dilution ratio: 1:1000, Abcam, UK), p-glycoprotein [P-gp], dilution ratio: 1:1000, Abcam, UK), glutathione S-transferase-π [GSTπ], dilution ratio: 1:1000, Abcam, UK), β-actin (dilution ratio: 1:1000, Abcam, UK) overnight at 4°C. After TBST rinsing, the membrane was incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h. ECL luminescence was used for development after membrane washing with TBST. The images of bands were collected utilizing ChemiDocXRS imaging system (Bio-Rad, USA), and were analyzed using Quantity One 4.6.2 software.

#### Statistical processing

All data were statistically analyzed by SPSS 21.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). The normal distributed measurement data were recorded as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; the comparison between groups was made by T test, and that among multiple groups was performed by one-way ANOVA followed with LSD-t post-hoc test. P<0.05 indicate that the difference was statis-



tically significant, and the 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated.

#### Results

#### Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC

In this study, the clinical data of LC were retrieved from TCGA database to compare the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in malignant tumors (n=515) and normal tissues (n=59). The results showed that 1) LncRNA SDHAP1 was up-regulated in LC (P=1.90E-05, Figure 1A); 2) LncRNA SDHAP1 presented higher expression in patients with stage IV than in those with stage I, II or III (P<0.05, Figure 1B); 3) The expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 was higher in people aged 21-40 years old, but the expression in patients aged 41-60 years old and 61-80 years old was also significantly higher than that in healthy people (Figure 1C). The above results indicate that the aberrant expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 may be closely related to the progression of NSCLC. Therefore, this study will focus on the role of IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC.



**Figure 1.** Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in lung carcinoma. A. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in normal tissues and cancer tissues; B. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in different stages of NSCLC; C. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in patients with NSCLC. \*\*\*P<0.001.

#### Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC cells

IncRNA SDHAP1 expression in NSCLC wild-type and CDDP-resistant cell lines was investigated. qPCR analysis revealed that IncRNA SDHAP1 was up-regulated in NSCLC compared with normal lung epithelial cells, and was elevated in CDDP-resistant lines as compared to wild-type lines (**Figure 2A**). In addition, SDHAP1 siRNA was used to regulate the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in cell lines. It was found that IncRNA SDHAP1 was evidently downregulated in both A549 cells and A549/CDDP cells transfected with SDHAP1 siRNA (P<0.05, **Figure 2B** and **2C**).

## Impacts of knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 on viability of A549 and A549/CDDP cells

SDHAP1 siRNA was used to down-regulate the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC wild-type and CDDP-resistant cell lines. Further, the cancer cells were intervened by CDDP to observe the alterations of cell activity by MTT assay. Compared with si-NC group, the multiplication of si-SDHAP1 transfected A549 cells



Figure 2. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC cells. A. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in normal lung epithelial cells and NSCLC cells; B. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in transfected A549 cells; C. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in transfected A549/CDDP cells; \*\*P<0.01.



Figure 3. Effect of knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 on the viability of A549 cells and A549/CDDP cells. A. A549 cell viability; B. A549/CDDP cell viability; \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 vs. si-NC group.

was inhibited (P<0.05, **Figure 3A**), as of the viability of si-SDHAP1 transfected A549/CDDP cells (P<0.05, **Figure 3B**). It indicates that IncRNA SDHAP1 knock-down reduces the multiplication capacity of NSCLC cells and inhibits the CDDP tolerance.

# Impacts of knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 on invasiveness and migration of A549 cells

For the purpose of studying the impacts of IncRNA SDHAP1 on NSCLC cell invasiveness and migration, we used SDHAP1 siRNA to down-regulate the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC wild-type and CDDP-resistant cell lines, and continued to verify the influence of IncRNA SDHAP1 knockdown on invasiveness and migration of A549 cells *in vitro* by Transwell experiment. Compared with siRNA NC group, knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC wild-type cells noticeably lowered the invasiveness of A549 cells *in vitro*, and resulted in a decrease in migration number of A549 cells

(Figure 4A, 4B). The above results demonstrate that knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 leads to the reduction of migratory and invasive capacity of NSCLC.

Impacts of knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 on invasiveness and migration of A549/CDDP cells

SDHAP1 siRNA was used to down-regulate the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in A549/CDDP cells, and the cells were further intervened by CDDP for Transwell analysis. Knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 resulted in a lower number of invaded A549/CDDP cells *in vitro* compared with siRNA NC group (**Figure 5A**). Meanwhile, IncRNA SDHAP1 knockdown remarkably reduced the number of migrated A549/CDDP cells *in vitro* compared with siRNA NC group (**Figure 5A**). Meanwhile, IncRNA SDHAP1 knockdown remarkably reduced the number of migrated A549/CDDP cells *in vitro* compared with siRNA NC group (**Figure 5B**). It suggests that knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 leads to the reduction of migratory and invasive capacity of CDDP-resistant NSCLC cell lines.



**Figure 4.** Effect of knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 on invasiveness and migration of A549 cells. A. Number of invaded A549 cells; 8. Number of migrated A549 cells; \*\*P<0.01.

### Knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 down-regulated drug-resistant proteins associated with A549/CDDP cells

The impacts of IncRNA SDHAP1 on multidrug resistance-related proteins in NSCLC cell lines were explored at the molecular level. qPCR and western blotting results showed that compared with si-NC group, MRP1, P-gp and GST- $\pi$  in si-SDHAP1 transfected A549/CDDP cells were all notably downregulated (P<0.05, **Figure 6**).

Relationship between IncRNA SDHAP1 expression and prognosis in patients with LUAD

PCR results showed that IncRNA SDHAP1 expression was significantly upregulated in

LUAD tissues compared with adjacent tissues (P<0.05). ROC curve results revealed an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.8070, indicating that IncRNA SDHAP1 had a good diagnostic value for LUAD. The results of KM curve showed that the 3-year survival of patients with high SDHAP1 expression was significantly worse than that of patients with low SDHAP1 expression (P<0.05, **Figure 7**).

#### Discussion

Clinically, chemotherapy is the means to prolong the life of patients with advanced NSCLC, in which CDDP has been widely used. However, CDDP resistance of cancer cells during treatment limits the efficacy of CDDP, which leads to



Figure 5. Effect of knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 on invasiveness and migration of A549/CDDP cells. A. Number of invaded A549/CDDP cells; 8. Number of migrated A549/CDDP cells; \*\*P<0.01.

disease recurrence and metastasis [17]. CDDP is a metal complex of platinum, which acts on the inner and inter-strand cross-links of DNA, forming DDP-DNA complex and interfering with DNA replication [18]. Therefore, it is urgent to understand the molecular mechanism of CDDP resistance [19]. LncRNA SDHAP1, located on chromosome 3 with a length of 2591 nucleotides, has been associated with chemotherapy resistance of ovarian cancer [20]. Whereas, its mechanism in NSCLC has not been reported.

In our research, the clinical data of NSCLC were retrieved from TCGA database for bioinformat-

ics analysis, which identified up-regulated Inc-RNA SDHAP1 in NSCLC. Moreover, IncRNA SDHAP1 presented higher expression in patients with stage IV compared with those with stage I, II or III, and was higher in people aged 21-40 years. Then, A549 cells and A549/ CDDP cells were gathered after culture for qPCR analysis, the results of which showed that IncRNA SDHAP1 was upregulated in both cell lines. Subsequently, the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 was inhibited by siRNA transfection to observe the changes in biological behaviors of cells. The results identified that knocking down IncRNA SDHAP1 decreased the multiplication, migration and invasiveness of



**Figure 7.** Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in lung adenocarcinoma and its value in diagnosis and prognosis. A. Expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in the tumor tissues and adjacent normal tissues of patients with lung adenocarcinoma; B. Diagnostic significance of IncRNA SDHAP1 in patients with lung adenocarcinoma; C. Effect of IncRNA SDHAP1 on the prognosis of patients with lung adenocarcinoma; \*\*\*P<0.001.

A549 cells. Different from general multidrug resistance, the mechanism of CDDP resistance in tumors is very complex with multiple triggers, which mainly include the signal axis for maintaining cell growth, the regulatory pathway of apoptosis, and DNA repair system, covering almost every link of cell growth and development [21, 22]. Studies have pointed out [23] that IncRNA MEG3 can enhance the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway induced by chemotherapeutic drugs in human LUAD via activation of P53 and Bcl-x genes. In this research, we found that IncRNA SDHAP1 down-regulation reduced A549/CDDP cell activity and mitigated its migratory and invasive capacity. Recurrence and metastasis bear major responsibility for death in cancer patients [24]. The findings of this research indicate that reducing the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 can reverse the resistance of A549/CDDP cells to CDDP. In addition, IncRNA SDHAP1 down-regulation were found to reduce the levels of MRP1, P-gp and GST- $\pi$ . The development of CDDP resistance involves a wide spectrum of mechanisms, among which drug efflux received by membrane transporters is one of the important mechanisms leading to CDDP resistance. For example, overexpression of P-gp and MRP1

can reduce the toxicity of drugs on LC cells [25, 26]. In other related studies [27], miR-21 knockdown was found to suppress A549/CDDP cell vitality and induce apoptosis, and inhibit the expression of drug resistance related proteins P-gp and GST-π. The results of this study are consistent with the above conclusions. Subsequently, we collected the clinical data of a certain number of patients with LUAD to observe the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in clinical patients and its diagnostic value. The results showed that the expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 in cancer tissues was significantly upregulated compared with that in paracancerous tissues. In addition, ROC curve and KM curve analyses showed that IncRNA SDHAP1 had a certain diagnostic value for LUAD and the high expression of IncRNA SDHAP1 was associated with poor prognosis of patients. Studies have shown that [28] IncRNAs can participate in the biological regulation of tumors and are of great value in the diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of tumors. At the same time, more and more evidence suggest that IncRNA is involved in the occurrence and development of NSCLC. For example, IncRNA MALAT1 has been shown as an independent prognostic indicator for patients with early LUAD [29]. High expression of LncRNA HOTAIR in NSCLC samples is related to the poor prognosis of patients [30]. In this study, we also found that IncRNA SDHAP1 had a certain value in the diagnosis and prognosis of patients with LUAD. Of course, this study still has some limitations. TWe qualitatively analyzed the impacts of IncRNA SDHAP1 on malignant behaviors and CDDP resistance of NSCLC but failed to discuss its downstream regulatory targets. In future studies, we will further discuss the correlation between IncRNA SDHAP1 and the clinicopathology of NSCLC.

To sum up, IncRNA SDHAP1 is upregulated and correlated with LC staging and age in NSCLC. Silencing IncRNA SDHAP1 can suppress the multiplication, migration, invasiveness and CDDP resistance of cancer cells, indicating the role of IncRNA SDHAP1 as a potential prognostic and therapeutic target in NSCLC.

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Jian-Ping Xiong, Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of

Nanchang University, Nanchang 330006, Jiangxi, China. Tel: +86-0791-88692748; E-mail: cancersci2022@163.com

#### References

- [1] Schabath MB and Cote ML. Cancer progress and priorities: lung cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2019; 28: 1563-1579.
- [2] Bade BC and Dela Cruz CS. Lung cancer 2020: epidemiology, etiology, and prevention. Clin Chest Med 2020; 41: 1-24.
- [3] Arbour KC and Riely GJ. Systemic therapy for locally advanced and metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a review. JAMA 2019; 322: 764-774.
- [4] Teng JP, Yang ZY, Zhu YM, Ni D, Zhu ZJ and Li XQ. Gemcitabine and cisplatin for treatment of lung cancer in vitro and vivo. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2018; 22: 3819-3825.
- [5] Ghosh S. Cisplatin: the first metal based anticancer drug. Bioorg Chem 2019; 88: 102925.
- [6] Wang L, Chunyan Q, Zhou Y, He Q, Ma Y, Ga Y and Wang X. BCAR4 increase cisplatin resistance and predicted poor survival in gastric cancer patients. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2017; 21: 4064-4070.
- [7] Zhang Y, Tao Y, Ji H, Li W, Guo X, Ng DM, Haleem M, Xi Y, Dong C, Zhao J, Zhang L, Zhang X, Xie Y, Dai X and Liao Q. Genome-wide identification of the essential protein-coding genes and long non-coding RNAs for human pan-cancer. Bioinformatics 2019; 35: 4344-4349.
- [8] Jin D, Guo J, Wu Y, Chen W, Du J, Yang L, Wang X, Gong K, Dai J, Miao S, Li X and Su G. Metformin-repressed miR-381-YAP-snail axis activity disrupts NSCLC growth and metastasis. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2020; 39: 6.
- [9] Ma Y, Yuwen D, Chen J, Zheng B, Gao J, Fan M, Xue W, Wang Y, Li W, Shu Y, Xu Q and Shen Y. Exosomal transfer of cisplatin-induced miR-425-3p confers cisplatin resistance In NSCLC through activating autophagy. Int J Nanomedicine 2019; 14: 8121-8132.
- [10] Yang T, Li H, Chen T, Ren H, Shi P and Chen M. LncRNA MALAT1 depressed chemo-sensitivity of NSCLC cells through directly functioning on miR-197-3p/p120 catenin axis. Mol Cells 2019; 42: 270-283.
- [11] Chen LL. Linking long noncoding RNA localization and function. Trends Biochem Sci 2016; 41: 761-772.
- [12] Dykes IM and Emanueli C. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene regulation by long non-coding RNA. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2017; 15: 177-186.
- [13] Meredith EK, Balas MM, Sindy K, Haislop K and Johnson AM. An RNA matchmaker protein regulates the activity of the long noncoding RNA HOTAIR. RNA 2016; 22: 995-1010.

- [14] Shen Y, Peng X and Shen C. Identification and validation of immune-related IncRNA prognostic signature for breast cancer. Genomics 2020; 112: 2640-2646.
- [15] Xing C, Sun SG, Yue ZQ and Bai F. Role of IncRNA LUCAT1 in cancer. Biomed Pharmacother 2021; 134: 111158.
- [16] Pan J, Fang S, Tian H, Zhou C, Zhao X, Tian H, He J, Shen W, Meng X, Jin X and Gong Z. IncRNA JPX/miR-33a-5p/Twist1 axis regulates tumorigenesis and metastasis of lung cancer by activating Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. Mol Cancer 2020; 19: 9.
- [17] Wu DM, Zhang T, Liu YB, Deng SH, Han R, Liu T, Li J and Xu Y. The PAX6-ZEB2 axis promotes metastasis and cisplatin resistance in nonsmall cell lung cancer through PI3K/AKT signaling. Cell Death Dis 2019; 10: 349.
- [18] Terheggen PM, Floot BG, Lempers EL, van Tellingen O, Begg AC and den Engelse L. Antibodies against cisplatin-modified DNA and cisplatin-modified (di)nucleotides. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1991; 28: 185-191.
- [19] Zhan Y, Abuduwaili K, Wang X, Shen Y, Nuerlan S and Liu C. Knockdown of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR suppresses cisplatin resistance, cell proliferation, migration and invasion of DDP-resistant NSCLC cells by targeting miR-149-5p/Doublecortin-like kinase 1 axis. Cancer Manag Res 2020; 12: 7725-7737.
- [20] Zhao H, Wang A and Zhang Z. LncRNA SDHAP1 confers paclitaxel resistance of ovarian cancer by regulating EIF4G2 expression via miR-4465. J Biochem 2020; 168: 171-181.
- [21] Galluzzi L, Senovilla L, Vitale I, Michels J, Martins I, Kepp O, Castedo M and Kroemer G. Molecular mechanisms of cisplatin resistance. Oncogene 2012; 31: 1869-1883.
- [22] Chen SH and Chang JY. New insights into mechanisms of cisplatin resistance: from tumor cell to microenvironment. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20: 17.
- [23] Liu J, Wan L, Lu K, Sun M, Pan X, Zhang P, Lu B, Liu G and Wang Z. The long noncoding RNA MEG3 contributes to cisplatin resistance of human lung adenocarcinoma. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0114586.

- [24] Thompson AK, Kelley BF, Prokop LJ, Murad MH and Baum CL. Risk factors for cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma recurrence, metastasis, and disease-specific death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Dermatol 2016; 152: 419-428.
- [25] Kong W, Ling X, Chen Y, Wu X, Zhao Z, Wang W, Wang S, Lai G and Yu Z. Hesperetin reverses pglycoproteinmediated cisplatin resistance in DDPresistant human lung cancer cells via modulation of the nuclear factorkappaB signaling pathway. Int J Mol Med 2020; 45: 1213-1224.
- [26] Tian LJ, Wu YP, Wang D, Zhou ZH, Xue SB, Zhang DY, Wei YG and Liu W. Upregulation of long noncoding RNA (IncRNA) X-inactive specific transcript (XIST) is associated with cisplatin resistance in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) by downregulating microRNA-144-3p. Med Sci Monit 2019; 25: 8095-8104.
- [27] Dong Z, Ren L, Lin L, Li J, Huang Y and Li J. Effect of microRNA-21 on multidrug resistance reversal in A549/DDP human lung cancer cells. Mol Med Rep 2015; 11: 682-690.
- [28] Jiang MC, Ni JJ, Cui WY, Wang BY and Zhuo W. Emerging roles of IncRNA in cancer and therapeutic opportunities. Am J Cancer Res 2019; 9: 1354-1366.
- [29] Huang NS, Chi YY, Xue JY, Liu MY, Huang S, Mo M, Zhou SI and Wu J. Long non-coding RNA metastasis associated in lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) interacts with estrogen receptor and predicted poor survival in breast cancer. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 37957.
- [30] Liu XH, Liu ZL, Sun M, Liu J, Wang ZX and De W. The long non-coding RNA HOTAIR indicates a poor prognosis and promotes metastasis in non-small cell lung cancer. BMC cancer 2013; 13: 1-10.