Original Article High expression of SIX1 is an independent predictor of poor prognosis in endometrial cancer

Wenxue Li^{1,2}, Yujing Qin², Ruiqi Zhou¹, Yao Liu¹, Guiyu Zhang¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Ji'nan 250012, Shandong, China; ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Affiliated Weihai Second Municipal Hospital of Qingdao University, Weihai 264200, Shandong, China

Received November 18, 2020; Accepted January 24, 2021; Epub April 15, 2021; Published April 30, 2021

Abstract: Objective: The overexpression of transcription factor Sine oculis homeobox 1 (SIX1) is discovered in various malignant tumors and has been known to be closely associated with tumorigenesis, progression and prognosis. This study aims to determine the role of SIX1 in endometrial cancer (EC). Methods: In this study, we analyzed the SIX1 expression profile and the correlation with the corresponding clinical characteristics of EC samples from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) databases. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied to analyze the difference between tumor group and control group. The potential biological processes or signaling pathways related to SIX1 activity in EC was also assessed. Results: The results showed that SIX1 was overexpressed in EC tissues compared to normal tissues (P=2.029e-15, P=6.25e-6). The SIX1 level was correlated with tumor grade (P=2.91e-4), peritoneal cytology (P=0.005), and the subsequent tumor surgery (P=1.169e-4). SIX1 expression was negatively associated with overall survival rate (P=4.241e-4, P=0.000241) and served as an independent factor that affected EC overall survival rate (P=0.005063), similar to other factors such as age, Figo stage, and tumor (T) stage. SIX1 participates in cancer pathogenesis through gene regulation that involves PI3K/AKT/MTOR signaling, mitotic spindle, G2M checkpoint, E2F targets, NOTCH signaling, glycolysis, cholesterol homeostasis, DNA repair and early estrogen response. Conclusions: Our data demonstrate that SIX1 is overexpressed in EC and associated with adverse clinicopathological outcomes, which can function as an independent factor for EC prognosis.

Keywords: Endometrial cancer, SIX1, clinicopathological factors, prognosis

Introduction

Endometrial carcinoma (EC) represents a type of common malignant disease in women globally [1] and the incidence has been increasing in recent years [2], along with a markedly high mortality. There are approximately 20% of patients who eventually succumb to the devastating disease [2, 3].

Transcription factor Sine oculis homeobox 1 (SIX1) belongs to SIX family [4]. Aberrant expression of SIX1 plays important roles in both occurrence and development of tumor [5, 6]. SIX1 contributes to a cancer-protective factor and modulates the biological activities of tumor cells [7-9]. Also, the overexpression of SIX1 was observed in several kinds of cancers, including endometrial cancer [10], esophageal

squamous cell carcinoma [11], acute myeloid leukemia [12], cervical cancer [13], and thyroid carcinoma [14]. It is believed that SIX1 is associated with malignant tumor progression such as metastasis and poor survival [15]. However, whether SIX1 is involved in EC remains unclear. The purpose of our study was to systematically investigate SIX1 expression and determine its prognostic value in EC patients. In addition, we aimed to explore the possible biological pathways or signaling pathways related to SIX1 in the pathogenesis of EC.

Material and methods

Dataset collection

The gene expression profiles (587 cases, Workflow Type: HTSeq-FPKM) and clinical data

were downloaded from TCGA Genomic Data Commons data portal.

Validation using the gene expression omnibus (GEO) and clinical proteomic tumor analysis consortium (CPTAC) databases

GSE17025 from the GEO database was used to validate SIX1 expression. The dataset included 91 EC patients at stage I and 12 agematched normal endometrial samples. CPTAC integrated genomic and proteome data to identify and describe all kinds of proteins from tumor and adjacent normal tissues to identify possible candidates as biomarkers of tumors. In addition, the expressions of SIX1 protein between normal and EC tissues were compared by using UALCAN [16] from the CPTAC database.

Clinicopathological features investigation

All samples from TCGA were sub-grouped as high and low level based on the median SIX1 level.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

GSEA [17], is a computationally efficient algorithm designated for gene study. EC samples obtained from TCGA were separated into SIX1 high expression group and SIX1 low expression group as mentioned above followed by statistical analysis of relevant indicators using GSEA v3.0.

Statistical method

Data in this study were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) 19.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data processing. T test was used for the comparison between two groups, and continuous data from multiple groups were analyzed by using oneway ANOVA, with the Tukey's post hoc test. Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test was used to determine the survival difference between two groups. Kruskal test and the logistic regression test evaluated the association using R software (V.3.6.2). Clinical factors related to overall survival rate of EC patients were identified by Cox regression assay and Kaplan-Meier method. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

SIX1 level in EC patients

SIX1 expressions in EC and normal samples were evaluated from TCGA (Tumor =552, Normal =35) and the result showed that SIX1 level was significantly elevated in EC compared to that in normal control (P=2.029e-15) (Figure 1A). Similar statistical difference was also detected in the paired tumor and its adjacent normal tissue (P=1.354e-5) (Figure 1B). The significantly higher SIX1 expression was also assessed in EC tissues obtained from GEO study GSE17025 as presented in Figure 1C (P=6.25e-6). In addition, SIX1 protein expression was also significantly higher in EC tissues than that in normal tissues from CPTAC database as shown in Figure 1D (P=4.033e-5), confirming our findings at the mRNA level.

The association of SIX1 expression with EC clinical characteristics

The clinical characteristics of EC patients, the clinical data of 548 patients from TCGA were collected and grouped based on Figo stage, TNM stage, tumor grade, histology, menopause status, peritoneal cytology, surgical approach and the characteristics of the surgery (tumorfree). The Kruskal test results revealed that the SIX1 level was associated with tumor grade (P=2.91e-4), peritoneal cytology (P=0.005), and the characteristics of the surgery (P= 1.169e-4) (Figure 2). Notably, the increase of SIX1 was related to the elevation of tumor grade. The SIX1 expression of positive ascites cytology was found higher than that of negative ascites cytology. The SIX1 expression of patients with surgical residual was markedly higher than those with free tumor. Meanwhile, our data revealed no significant correlation between the Figo stage, TNM stage and histology, and the level of SIX1 expression (Figure 3). SIX1 level was related to adverse prognostic variables (Table 1). High SIX1 level in EC was statistically associated with tumor grade (P=0.001143322 for G3 vs G1: P=0.006300469 for G3 vs G2), peritoneal cytology (P=0.00216459 for positive vs negative), and the characteristics of the surgery (P=0.001500783 for with tumor vs tumor free) (Table 1). These findings revealed associ-



Figure 1. SIX1 is highly expressed in EC tissues. A. SIX1 expression between EC and normal tissues from TCGA database (normal =35, tumor =552). B. SIX1 expression between matched EC and APC tissue from TCGA database (normal =35, tumor =35). C. SIX1 expression between EC and normal tissues from GEO database (normal =12, tumor =91). D. SIX1 expression between EC and normal tissues from CPTAC database (normal =31, tumor =100). SIX1, Sine oculis homeobox 1; EC, endometrial cancer; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; APC, adjacent para-cancer; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; CPTAC, Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium.

ation of high SIX1 level with poor prognosis of EC.

The correlation of SIX1 level with EC prognosis

The correlation between the prognosis of endometrial cancer patients and the SIX1 expression levels was explored using Kaplan-Meier analysis. As illustrated in **Figure 3**, the survival rate of SIX1 high level group (5-year survival: 68.4%) was significantly lower than the low level group (85.2%) (P=4.241e-4).

Cox analyses of OS among EC patients

Univariate Cox analysis showed a statistical association of high SIX1 level with poor overall survival rate [hazard ratio (HR): 1.354607; 95% CI: 1.151992-1.592858; P=0.000241]. We also identified some other clinical factors that might be associated with relatively low survival rate, including age, Figo stage, histology, tumor grade and TNM stage (**Table 2**). Multivariate Cox analysis demonstrated high SIX1 level to be an independent risk factor for overall survival rate among EC patients with a HR of 1.255019 (95% Cl: 1.070705-1.47106, P=0.005063) age (HR: 1.023688, 95% Cl: 1.000126-1.047805, P=0.04877), Figo and T stage (HR: 1.786826, 95% Cl: 1.279625-2.495065, P=0.000656), and tumor grade (HR: 0.023641, 95% Cl: 1.075222-2.748215, P=0.023641) (Table 2).

Biological processes or pathways associated with SIX1 expression

To identify the SIX1-related biological processes or pathways involved in the pathogenesis of EC, GSEA assay was conducted and the result showed that SIX1 associated biological processes or signaling pathways include 'PI3K/AKT/MTOR signaling', 'mitotic spindle', 'G2M checkpoint', 'E2F targets', 'NOTCH signaling', 'glycolysis', 'cholesterol homeostasis',



Figure 2. Association of SIX1 expression with clinical variables in EC from TCGA database. A. Tumor grade. B. Ascites cytology status. C. Whether the operation is tumor-free. D. Figo stage. E. T stage. F. Distant metastasis. G. Lymph node metastasis. H. Histology subtype. SIX1, Sine oculis homeobox 1; EC, endometrial cancer; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.

'DNA repair' and 'early estrogen response'. These biological processes and signaling pathways were changed with aberrant SIX1 level (Table 3 and Figure 4). The above results suggested the involvement of SIX1 in the development of EC.



Figure 3. Prognostic significance of SIX1 in EC, assessed by Kaplan-Meier plotter. SIX1, Sine oculis homeobox 1; EC, endometrial cancer.

Discussion

Endometrial cancer represents a common type of gynecological malignancies in developed countries [18]. Furthermore, its incidence has been rapidly increasing in the USA recently [19]. Early diagnosis facilitates the prognosis of the disease [20]. Up to date, there have been no reliable methods for early diagnosis and effective therapy against this disease.

SIX1 belongs to the sine oculis homeobox family [21] and plays a well-established role in tumorigenesis [15]. For example, Six1 overexpression can affect the proliferation of colorectal cancer cells by activating Wnt/ β -catenin signaling [22]. Additionally, SIX1 promoted osteosarcoma cell growth by activating PI3K/ AKT signaling [23]. However, whether SIX1 is involved in the progression of EC remains unknown. We found significant upregulation of SIX1 in endometrial cancer tissues compared to normal endometrial tissues and the paracancer tissues, in agreement with the results of GEO study GSE17025. SIX1 level in EC tissues was also significantly elevated. Similar results were also found in breast, cervical and ovarian carcinomas [24-26]. SIX1 was also upregulated in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma samples [27].

Further, we demonstrated that SIX1 level was significantly associated with tumor grade, peritoneal cytology status and the characteristics of EC surgery (tumorfree). The present results indicated that SIX1 expression in EC was implicated in tumor progression. The aberrant elevation of SIX1 in glioma tissues was found along with high malignancy grades, which was in line with our results [28]. Our result unraveled an association of high SIX1 level with EC poor outcomes which was in accordance with previous studies in osteosarcoma [29], esoph-

ageal cancer [30], hepatocellular carcinoma [31], prostate cancer [32] and colorectal cancer [33]. All these studies demonstrated that SIX1 overexpression was a prognostic factor for poor survival. Moreover, it is noted that SIX1 knockdown could suppress DDP resistance in non-small-cell lung cancer cells (NS-CLSs) [34] and enhance paclitaxel sensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma cells [35]. Therefore, it is proposed that SIX1 may also be a potential target for treating EC.

SIX1 contributes to the regulation of cancer cells proliferation [36]. Additionally, by regulating ERK, SIX1 regulates tumor cell invasion [37]. SIX1 can influence tumor microenvironment, as well as promote tumor development and progression [9]. Upregulated SIX1 can also promote HCC cell growth and invasion by regulating MMP-9 [8]. We performed GSEA using TCGA data to further evaluate the roles of SIX1 in EC, and as a result, SIX1 may participate in regulating the proliferation of tumor cells such as mitotic spindle and G2M checkpoint. Moreover, our data suggested that SIX1 is closely related to multiple signaling pathways such as PI3K/AKT/MTOR signaling, NOTCH sig-

characteristics	Total (N)	Odds ratio for SIX1	95% CI	P-value
Figo/T stage				
(II vs I)	390	1.026032	0.5673105-1.852573	0.9318377
(III vs I)	463	1.102059	0.7300798-1.664599	0.643452
(IV vs I)	368	2.027439	0.9340816-4.66036	0.08135141
Grade				
(G2 vs G1)	218	1.409091	0.8182054-2.442822	0.2182168
(G3 vs G1)	423	0.2182168	1.366031-3.472236	0.001143322
(G3 vs G2)	445	1.812057	1.186491-2.788371	0.006300469
M stage				
(M1 vs M0)	543	1.079799	0.5084594-2.306641	0.8406878
N stage				
(N1+N2 vs N0)	535	1.062827	0.666531-1.69686	1.69686
Histology				
(serous vs endometroid)	537	0.8760947	0.5933435-1.291668	0.5044681
Menopause status				
(post vs peri)	461	1.135181	0.4265455-3.074648	0.7978767
(pre vs peri)	52	1.0625	0.331042-3.448223	0.9184371
(pre vs post)	479	0.9444444	0.4709182-1.886675	0.8707381
Peritoneal cytology				
(Positive vs negative)	407	2.535787	1.41898-4.691813	0.00216459
With tumor vs tumor free	462	2.290503	1.385172-3.868607	0.001500783
Surgical approach				
(open vs mini-invisive)	519	0.8044139	0.5641882-1.145442	0.2279554

 Table 1. Association between SIX1 expression and clinical pathological characteristics of the EC patients

SIX1, Sine oculis homeobox 1; EC, endometrial cancer; CI, confidence interval; Post, prior bilateral ovariectomy or >12 months since last menstrual period with no prior hysterectomy; Pre, <6 months since last menstrual period and no prior bilateral ovariectomy and not on estrogen replacement; Peri, 6-12 months since last menstrual period; *P*-values in bold print indicate statistically significant differences.

Table 2.	Univariable a	and multivariable	Cox analyses of	of overall survival	of EC patients
----------	---------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------------	----------------

Parameter	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
(N=527)	HR	95% CI	P-value	HR	95% CI	P-value
Age	1.031969	1.009505-1.054934	0.005073	1.023688	1.000126-1.047805	0.048771
Grade	2.622629	1.704185-4.036053	1.17e-05	1.718994	1.075222-2.748215	0.023641
Figo stage	1.985491	1.616599-2.43856	6.15e-11	1.786826	1.279625-2.495065	0.000656
T stage	1.985491	1.616599-2.43856	6.15e-11	1.786826	1.279625-2.495065	0.000656
M stage	4.388336	2.25142-8.553486	1.40e-05	0.842492	0.335826-2.113576	0.714946
N stage	3.218735	1.98479-5.219823	2.15e-06	1.117473	0.602219-2.073573	0.724735
Histology	2.780334	1.777736-4.348374	7.42e-06	1.275626	0.771201-2.109982	0.343075
SIX1	1.354607	1.151992-1.592858	0.000241	1.255019	1.070705-1.47106	0.005063

SIX1, Sine oculis homeobox 1; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; *P*-values in bold print indicate statistically significant differences.

naling, E2F targets, DNA repair and early estrogen response. The biological processes related to glycolysis and cholesterol homeostasis can affect the material metabolism of tumor cells and promote tumor cell growth. While PI3K/ AKT/MTOR signaling was reported as a key factor in EC development [38], AKT inhibitor significantly decreased SIX1's effect on cell growth

Gene set	ES	NES	NOM P-value	FDR q-value
PI3k/AKT/MTOR signaling	-0.50862	-1.85568	0.004	0.044654
Mitotic spindle	-0.60529	-2.06665	0.004024	0.022918
G2M checkpoint	-0.72909	-2.05715	0.008032	0.01855
E2F targets	-0.75283	-1.96647	0.014	0.03592
NOTCH signaling	-0.55541	-1.8054	0.01417	0.055925
Glycolysis	-0.45734	-1.68532	0.024242	0.064034
Cholesterol homeostasis	-0.48201	-1.63903	0.027944	0.073193
DNA repair	-0.53234	-1.72811	0.041257	0.082555
Estrogen response early	-0.41188765	-1.5964891	0.04499	0.080924

Table 3. Biological processes or signaling pathways associated with SIX1 expression

SIX1, Sine oculis homeobox 1; ES, enrichment score; NES, normalized enrichment score; NOM P-val, nominal P value; FDR q-val, false discovery rate q value.



Figure 4. GSEA analysis. The possible biological processes or signaling pathways of SIX1 as determined by GSEA. GSEA, Gene set enrichment analysis; SIX1, Sine oculis homeobox 1.

rate in endometrial cancer cells [10]. Abnormal endometrial epithelial cells with high expression of SIX1 can be found in the newborn mice model of endometrial cancer induced by synthetic estrogen [39], indicating that PI3K/AKT/MTOR signaling and early estrogen response are closely related to EC. However, further experiments are required to confirm our findings in the future. The limitation in the study still exists that our preliminary finding needs further validation in future clinical tests and the role of SIX1, along with the potential mechanisms, requires exploration by in vivo assays. In conclusion, our data demonstrate that high expression of SIX1 functions as an independent predictor of poor prognosis in endometrial cancer, which provides insights for the diagnosis and treatment against EC in the future.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Guiyu Zhang, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, 107 West Wenhua Road, Ji'nan 250012, Shandong, China. Tel: +86-0531-821691-

14; Fax: +86-0531-82169114; E-mail: qlzgy5566@ 163.com

References

- [1] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA and Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424.
- [2] Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019; 69: 7-34.
- [3] Vogel RI, Pulver T, Heilmann W, Mooneyham A, Mullany S, Zhao X, Shahi M, Richter J, Klein M, Chen L, Ding R, Konecny G, Kommoss S, Win-

terhoff B, Ghebre R and Bazzaro M. USP14 is a predictor of recurrence in endometrial cancer and a molecular target for endometrial cancer treatment. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 30962-30976.

- [4] Kawakami K, Sato S, Ozaki H and Ikeda K. Six family genes–structure and function as transcription factors and their roles in development. Bioessays 2000; 22: 616-26.
- [5] Towers CG, Guarnieri AL, Micalizzi DS, Harrell JC, Gillen AE, Kim J, Wang CA, Oliphant MUJ, Drasin DJ, Guney MA, Kabos P, Sartorius CA, Tan AC, Perou CM, Espinosa JM and Ford HL. The Six1 oncoprotein downregulates p53 via concomitant regulation of RPL26 and microR-NA-27a-3p. Nat Commun 2015; 6: 10077.
- [6] Li L, Liang Y, Kang L, Liu Y, Gao S, Chen S, Li Y, You W, Dong Q, Hong T, Yan Z, Jin S, Wang T, Zhao W, Mai H, Huang J, Han X, Ji Q, Song Q, Yang C, Zhao S, Xu X and Ye Q. Transcriptional regulation of the warburg effect in cancer by SIX1. Cancer Cell 2018; 33: 368-385, e367.
- [7] Zhu Z, Rong Z, Luo Z, Yu Z, Zhang J, Qiu Z and Huang C. Circular RNA circNHSL1 promotes gastric cancer progression through the miR-1306-3p/SIX1/vimentin axis. Mol Cancer 2019; 18: 126.
- [8] Zhang Y, Wang S, Liu Z, Yang L, Liu J and Xiu M. Increased Six1 expression in macrophages promotes hepatocellular carcinoma growth and invasion by regulating MMP-9. J Cell Mol Med 2019; 23: 4523-4533.
- [9] Xu H, Zhang Y, Pena MM, Pirisi L and Creek KE. Six1 promotes colorectal cancer growth and metastasis by stimulating angiogenesis and recruiting tumor-associated macrophages. Carcinogenesis 2017; 38: 281-292.
- [10] Xin X, Li Y and Yang X. SIX1 is overexpressed in endometrial carcinoma and promotes the malignant behavior of cancer cells through ERK and AKT signaling. Oncol Lett 2016; 12: 3435-3440.
- [11] He Z, Li G, Tang L and Li Y. SIX1 overexpression predicts poor prognosis and induces radioresistance through AKT signaling in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Onco Targets Ther 2017; 10: 1071-1079.
- [12] Chu Y, Chen Y, Li M, Shi D, Wang B, Lian Y, Cheng X, Wang X, Xu M, Cheng T, Shi J and Yuan W. Six1 regulates leukemia stem cell maintenance in acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Sci 2019; 110: 2200-2210.
- [13] Li YM, Li XJ, Yang HL, Zhang YB and Li JC. MicroRNA-23b suppresses cervical cancer biological progression by directly targeting six1 and affecting epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and AKT/mTOR signaling pathway. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2019; 23: 4688-4697.
- [14] Kong D, Li A, Liu Y, Cui Q, Wang K, Zhang D, Tang J, Du Y, Liu Z, Wu G and Wu K. SIX1 acti-

vates STAT3 signaling to promote the proliferation of thyroid carcinoma via EYA1. Front Oncol 2019; 9: 1450.

- [15] Wu W, Ren Z, Li P, Yu D, Chen J, Huang R and Liu H. Six1: a critical transcription factor in tumorigenesis. Int J Cancer 2015; 136: 1245-1253.
- [16] Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Balasubramanya SAH, Creighton CJ, Ponce-Rodriguez I, Chakravarthi BVSK and Varambally S. UALCAN: a portal for facilitating tumor subgroup gene expression and survival analyses. Neoplasia 2017; 19: 649-658.
- [17] Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES and Mesirov JP. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102: 15545-15550.
- [18] Colombo N, Creutzberg C, Amant F, Bosse T, González-Martín A, Ledermann J, Marth C, Nout R, Querleu D, Mirza MR and Sessa C; ESMO-ESGO-ESTRO Endometrial Consensus Conference Working Group. ESMO-ESGO-ES-TRO consensus conference on endometrial cancer: diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2016; 26: 2-30.
- [19] Lortet-Tieulent J, Ferlay J, Bray F and Jemal A. International patterns and trends in endometrial cancer incidence, 1978-2013. J Natl Cancer Inst 2018; 110: 354-361.
- [20] Makker V, Green AK, Wenham RM, Mutch D, Davidson B and Miller DS. New therapies for advanced, recurrent, and metastatic endometrial cancers. Gynecol Oncol Res Pract 2017; 4: 19.
- [21] Kumar JP. The sine oculis homeobox (SIX) family of transcription factors as regulators of development and disease. Cell Mol Life Sci 2009; 66: 565-583.
- [22] Song W, Ma J, Lei B, Yuan X, Cheng B, Yang H, Wang M, Feng Z and Wang L. Sine oculis homeobox 1 promotes proliferation and migration of human colorectal cancer cells through activation of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. Cancer Sci 2019; 110: 608-616.
- [23] Yu C, Zhang B, Li YL and Yu XR. SIX1 reduces the expression of PTEN via activating PI3K/ AKT signal to promote cell proliferation and tumorigenesis in osteosarcoma. Biomed Pharmacother 2018; 105: 10-17.
- [24] Radisky DC. Defining a role for the homeoprotein Six1 in EMT and mammary tumorigenesis. J Clin Invest 2009; 119: 2528-2531.
- [25] Zheng XH, Liang PH, Guo JX, Zheng YR, Han J, Yu LL, Zhou YG and Li L. Expression and clinical implications of homeobox gene Six1 in cervical cancer cell lines and cervical epithelial

tissues. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2010; 20: 1587-1592.

- [26] Behbakht K, Qamar L, Aldridge CS, Coletta RD, Davidson SA, Thorburn A and Ford HL. Six1 overexpression in ovarian carcinoma causes resistance to TRAIL-mediated apoptosis and is associated with poor survival. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 3036-3042.
- [27] Jin A, Xu Y, Liu S, Jin T, Li Z, Jin H, Lin L and Lin Z. Sineoculis homeobox homolog 1 protein overexpression as an independent biomarker for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Exp Mol Pathol 2014; 96: 4.
- [28] Zhang X and Xu R. Six1 expression is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with glioma. Oncol Lett 2017; 13: 1293-1298.
- [29] Chao L, Liu J and Zhao D. Increased Six1 expression is associated with poor prognosis in patients with osteosarcoma. Oncol Lett 2017; 13: 2891-2896.
- [30] Nishimura T, Tamaoki M, Komatsuzaki R, Oue N, Taniguchi H, Komatsu M, Aoyagi K, Minashi K, Chiwaki F, Shinohara H, Tachimori Y, Yasui W, Muto M, Yoshida T, Sakai Y and Sasaki H. SIX1 maintains tumor basal cells via transforming growth factor-beta pathway and associates with poor prognosis in esophageal cancer. Cancer Sci 2017; 108: 216-225.
- [31] Chen K, Wei H, Pan J, Chen Z, Pan D, Gao T, Huang J, Huang M, Ou M and Zhong W. Six1 is negatively correlated with poor prognosis and reduces 5-fluorouracil sensitivity via attenuating the stemness of hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Eur J Pharmacol 2019; 861: 172599.
- [32] Zeng J, Shi R, Cai CX, Liu XR, Song YB, Wei M and Ma WL. Increased expression of Six1 correlates with progression and prognosis of prostate cancer. Cancer Cell Int 2015; 15: 63.
- [33] Kahlert C, Lerbs T, Pecqueux M, Herpel E, Hoffmeister M, Jansen L, Brenner H, Chang-Claude J, Blaker H, Kloor M, Roth W, Pilarsky C, Rahbari NN, Scholch S, Bork U, Reissfelder C, Weitz J, Aust D and Koch M. Overexpression of SIX1 is an independent prognostic marker in stage I-III colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 2015; 137: 2104-2113.

- [34] Liu X, Zhou X, Chen Y, Huang Y, He J and Luo H. miR-186-5p targeting SIX1 inhibits cisplatin resistance in non-small-cell lung cancer cells (NSCLCs). Neoplasma 2020; 67: 147-157.
- [35] Li B, Zhao S, Geng R, Huo Z and Zhang H. The sineoculis homeobox homolog 1 (SIX1) gene regulates paclitaxel resistance by affecting reactive oxygen species and autophagy in human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2. Med Sci Monit 2018; 24: 2271-2279.
- [36] Kingsbury TJ, Kim M and Civin CI. Regulation of cancer stem cell properties by SIX1, a member of the PAX-SIX-EYA-DACH network. Adv Cancer Res 2019; 141: 1-42.
- [37] Xie Y, Jin P, Sun X, Jiao T, Zhang Y, Li Y and Sun M. SIX1 is upregulated in gastric cancer and regulates proliferation and invasion by targeting the ERK pathway and promoting epithelialmesenchymal transition. Cell Biochem Funct 2018; 36: 6.
- [38] Barra F, Evangelisti G, Ferro Desideri L, Di Domenico S, Ferraioli D, Vellone VG, De Cian F and Ferrero S. Investigational PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors in development for endometrial cancer. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2019; 28: 131-142.
- [39] Suen AA, Jefferson WN, Williams CJ and Wood CE. Differentiation patterns of uterine carcinomas and precursor lesions induced by neonatal estrogen exposure in mice. Toxicol Pathol 2018; 46: 574-596.