Original Article The postoperative analgesic effect of intercostal nerve block and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia on patients undergoing lung cancer surgery

Jinghong Xu^{1*}, Meiting Pu^{2*}, Xia Xu¹, Jingguo Xiang², Xueqin Rong³

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Sanya Central Hospital, Sanya 572000, Hainan, China; ²Department of Anesthesiology, Sanya People's Hospital, Sanya 572000, Hainan, China; ³Department of Pain Spinal Minimally Invasive Centre, Sanya Central Hospital, Sanya 572000, Hainan, China. *Equal contributors and co-first authors.

Received January 27, 2021; Accepted March 16, 2021; Epub August 15, 2021; Published August 30, 2021.

Abstract: Objective: This research investigated the combined analgesic effects of intercostal nerve block and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (IV-PCA) on patients after lung cancer surgery. Methods: 95 patients with thoracoscopic radical resection of lung cancer from April 2017 to July 2020 were enrolled as the research objects, and randomly divided into observation-group (n=50) and control-group (n=45) by random number table. The controlgroup received intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (IV-PCA), and the observation group received combinative treatment of intercostal nerve block and IV-PCA. The changes of VAS scores and Ramsay sedation scores postoperatively, the satisfaction with analgesia of patients, the number of IV-PCA pump compressions and the incidence of postoperative anaesthetic-related adverse reactions were compared between the two groups. Results: The VAS score of the observation-group was markedly lower than that of the control-group 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery (P<0.05). There was no statistically significant difference in Ramsay sedation scores between the two groups 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery (P>0.05). The satisfaction score of analgesia and the times of IV-PCA pump compressions of the observation group were obviously less than those of the control group (P<0.05). The incidences of nausea and emesia, bradycardia and somnolence between the two groups of objects were statistically insignificant (P>0.05). Conclusion: The combinative treatment of intercostal nerve block and IV-PCA is safe and have obviously postoperative analgesic effect on patients undergoing thoracoscopic resection of lung cancer.

Keywords: Intercostal nerve block, intravenous controlled analgesia, lung cancer, analgesic effect

Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors over the world. In recent years, with the continuous development and progress of the varying examination technologies, an increasing number of patients have been diagnosed in early stages [1]. Compared with traditional thoracotomy, the thoracoscopic radical resection has been recognized as the preferred method for the treatment of lung cancer. This surgical method has merits of minimally invasiveness, less postoperative pain and impact on pulmonary and immunizing functions, rapid postoperative recovery and fewer complications [2, 3]. Intercostal nerve block is one of the common methods adopted in postoperative analgesia. It has low risk and failure, and less discomfort in patients compared with epidural block [4, 5]. In addition, the single use of intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (IV-PCA) is prone to cause serious adverse reactions in patients due to the high drug concentration. Such adverse reaction will not only affect the postoperative recovery of patients, but also increases the incidence of postoperative complications and mortality [6, 7]. Therefore, to further improve the analgesic effect of thoracoscopic radical resection of lung cancer, this study investigated and evaluated the combinative treatment of intercostal nerve block and IV-PCA on postoperative analgesic treatment after of lung cancer surgery.

Materials and methods

Research objects

95 patients with thoracoscopic radical resection of lung cancer in our hospital from April 2017 to July 2020 were selected as the research objects, and randomly divided into observation-group (n=50) and control-group (n=45) by random number table. The study was implemented after acquiring approval of the hospital ethics committee.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: (1) Patients aged between 18 to 70 years; (2) Patients that were scheduled for thoracoscopic radical resection; (3) Patients diagnosed by clinical pathology as non-small cell lung cancer; (4) Patients classified as stage I~II by American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grading; (5) Patients without experience of drug allergy or opioid abuse; and (6) Those who were willing to accept the trail and signed the informed consent form.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Patients that allergic to the drugs involved in this study; (2) Patients with abnormal coagulation function; (3) Patients with liver or kidney dysfunction; (4) Patients with experience of mental illness; or (5) Patients with a history of chronic pain.

Methods

The control-group underwent IV-PCA therapy: The objects received preoperative intramuscular injection of 0.1 g phenobarbital sodium and subcutaneous injection of 0.5 mg atropine. After the patient entered into the surgery room, we established the venous access for patient, and routinely monitored the vital indicators of blood pressure, heart rate, blood oxygen saturation, etc. The intravenous injection of midazolam (0.04 mg/kg), propofol (1.0~1.5 mg/ kg), fentanyl (0.4 μ g/kg), and vecuronium (0.1 mg/kg) was used as anesthesia induction. After anesthesia induction, target controlled infusion of 2~4 µg/ml propofol was performed to maintain anesthesia. The patients received intravenous infusion of fentanyl and vecuronium intermittently to maintain analgesia state and muscle relaxation. The anesthesia depth BIS of patient was held at 45-55, and blood pressure and heart rate were controlled within ±20% of the baseline value. After surgery, the patients received IV-PCA of Fentanyl (15 µg/kg diluted to 120 ml with 0.9% sodium chloride solution). The infusion speed was set as 2 ml/h, the additional dose was 1.0 ml, and the duration was 30 min. In addition to the treatment implemented in control-group, the observationgroup received nerve block before the end of surgery. We used 5 ml of 0.375% ropivacaine under direct vision of thoracoscopy and placed it in intercostal cavity of the thoracic drainage tube and the surgical incision for nerve block.

Indexes observation

Postoperative pain of the two groups of objects was evaluated and scored 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery by Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). The range of scale was from 0 to 10 points, with 0 point referred as painless and a score of 10 points indicated severe pain. The higher score represented the higher degree of pain.

The sedative effects of the two groups were evaluated by Ramsay Sedation Scale and compared 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery. 1 point referred that the patient was restless and irritable; 2 points referred the patient can be cooperate quietly; a score of 3 indicated that the patient was sleepy and could follow instructions; 4 points indicated the patient was in sleep and could wake up; 5 points referred as sluggish breathing and reaction; and 6 points referred as the patient was in deep sleep and could not be able to awake.

The scores of the two groups of patients on analgesia satisfaction were recorded 48 hours after surgery. 1 point referred that the patient was completely painless and very satisfied; 2 points referred occasionally mild pain and satisfied; 3 points referred mild pain and occasionally moderate pain, with basically satisfied; 4 points referred continuous pain of beyond moderate degree, and was unsatisfied; a score of 5 point indicated that the patient had consistently severe pain and was extremely unsatisfied.

The times of postoperative IV-PCA pump pressing were compared between the two sets of objects.

The incidence of adverse reactions related to postoperative anesthesia, including nausea and emesia, bradycardia and somnolence, were compared between the two groups.

Statistical analysis

Data processing and analysis was conducted via SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp). The comparison of measurement data and enumeration data were

Group	Number of	Number of Gender		Age	BMI	ASA classification	
	cases	Male	Female	$(yd, \overline{x} \pm s)$	(kg/m ² , $\overline{x} \pm s$)	I	II
Observation group	50	31	19	51.28±6.39	23.74±4.20	28	22
Control group	45	30	15	50.97±7.20	24.10±3.79	25	20
t/χ^2	-	0.2	2244	0.2223	0.4368	0.0	019
Р	-	0.6	357	0.8245	0.6633	0.9	652

Table 2. Comparison of postoperative VAS scores between the two groups (points, $\overline{x} \pm s$)

Group	Number of	2 h after	4 h after	8 h after	12 h after	24 h after
	cases	surgery	surgery	surgery	surgery	surgery
Observation group	50	1.75±0.47	2.43±0.72*	2.85±0.93*	3.64±1.20*	3.29±0.92*
Control group	45	3.82±0.94	4.62±1.21*	5.21±1.52*	5.74±1.43*	5.49±1.33*
t	-	13.780	10.845	9.229	7.779	9.453
Р	-	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Note: Compared with 2 h after surgery, *P<0.05.



Figure 1. Comparison of postoperative VAS scores between the two groups. Note: Compared with control group, $^{a}P<0.05$.

by *t*-test and χ^2 test respectively. The statistically significant of difference was fixed by *P*<0.05. The graphic software was Excel 2007.

Results

Clinical data

There was insignificant difference in gender, age, BMI and ASA grade between the two sets of objects (*P*>0.05) (**Table 1**).

Comparison of postoperative VAS scores

The VAS scores in the two groups of patients 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery had a

remarkably rise than those 2 h after surgery (P<0.05); At 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery, and the observation group had dramatically lower score than the control group (P<0.05) (**Table 2** and **Figure 1**).

Comparison of Ramsay Sedation score after surgery

There was no significant change in the Ramsay sedation scores of the two groups of patients at each time point (P>0.05); and there was no statistically significant difference in Ramsay sedation scores between the two groups 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery (P>0.05) (**Table 3**).

Comparison of patients' satisfaction with analgesia and times of IV-PCA pump compressions

The satisfaction score of analgesia and the times of IV-PCA pump compressions of the observation group were obviously less than those of the control group (P<0.05) (**Table 4**).

Comparison of adverse reactions

The incidences of nausea and emesia, bradycardia and somnolence between the two groups of objects were statistically insignificant (P>0.05) (**Table 5**).

Discussion

Lung cancer is among the most frequently occurred malignancies in the world, of which

		-				
Group	Number of	2 h after	4 h after	8 h after	12 h after	24 h after
	cases	surgery	surgery	surgery	surgery	surgery
Observation group	50	2.39±0.52	2.45±0.33	2.33±0.39	2.26±0.47	2.24±0.60
Control group	45	2.31±0.49	2.38±0.45	2.37±0.48	2.30±0.56	2.21±0.54
t	-	0.769	0.870	0.448	0.378	0.255
Р	-	0.444	0.386	0.656	0.706	0.799

Table 3. Comparison of Ramsay Sedation score between the two groups after surgery (points, $\bar{x}\pm s$)

Table 4. Comparison of patients' satisfaction with analgesia and times of IV-PCA pump compressions ($\overline{x} \pm s$)

Group	Number	Score of analgesic	Times of IV-PCA pump
	of cases	satisfaction	compressions
Observation group	50	1.85±0.64	14.37±4.20
Control group	45	3.02±0.93	27.96±8.49
t	-	7.202	10.040
Р	-	< 0.001	< 0.001

Table 5. Comparison of adverse reactions between the two groups [n (%)]

Group	Number of cases	Nausea and emesia	Bradycardia	Somnolence
Observation group	50	6 (12.00)	3 (6.00)	2 (4.00)
Control group	45	7 (15.56)	1 (2.22)	4 (8.89)
X ²	-	0.254	0.163	0.309
Р	-	0.615	0.686	0.578

non-small cell lung cancer is the most common histological type and accounts for about 80% of all lung cancers [8]. In 2006, National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) included video-assisted thoracoscopy in its guidelines as the standard surgical procedure for lung cancer treatment for the first time. At present, the clinical application of video-assisted thoracoscopic radical resection of lung cancer has gradually been clinically recognized [9, 10]. Video-assisted thoracoscopic radical resection of lung cancer has less surgical trauma and rapid postoperative recovery, which can meet the requirements of modern minimally invasive surgical treatment [11, 12]. However, the postoperative pain of thoracic surgery is more severe, which is about 4 times compared to the surface surgery. Patients are often unable to take deep breath and cough after surgery due to pain. This kind of situation can easily lead to poor expectoration and adverse reactions such as atelectasis, pneumonia, respiratory failure and hypoxemia, poor postoperative healing and

rehabilitation of patients [13-15]. Therefore, good analgesic measures are of great significance to patients after videoassisted thoracoscopy.

The ideal analgesia method should be simple, easy to complete, with high success rate and less complications. Epidural analgesia is one of the commonly adopted methods and is very effective in most patients [16-18]. However, it can cause nausea, emesia, pruritus, uroschesis, headache, and back pain. Epidural block can cause hypotension and critical block in muscle motor function, which leads to delayed postoperative activity [19-21].

In addition, epidural analgesia is accompanied by certain risks, such as epidural perforation, hard hematoma, and infection. Intercostal nerve block is less dangerous, and easy to operate and practice. The previous complications associated with intercostal nerve block, such as anesthesia into the blood, pneumothorax, toxic reactions of local anesthetics, etc., have been well avoided by performing the surgery under direct vision to the chest cavity. Compared with epidural anesthesia, intercostal nerve block does not produce hematoma and rarely produces motion block [22-24]. This study evaluated the postoperative analgesic effect of intercostal nerve block combined with IV-PCA on lung cancer patients.

The results showed that the VAS score of observation-group was remarkably lower than that of control-group 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h, and 24 h after surgery; and the satisfaction of analgesia and the times of IV-PCA pump compressions in observation group were substantially lower

than those in the control group. These results are consistent with those reported in previous studies [25, 26], indicating that the intercostal nerve block combined with IV-PCA can evidently reduce the postoperative pain in patients undergoing video-assisted thoracoscopic radical resection of lung cancer, which can effectively improve their satisfaction with postoperative analgesia, and reduce the times of IV-PCA pump compressions. In addition, there was statistically insignificant difference in Ramsay Sedation Scale 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h postoperatively between the two groups; and the incidences of nausea and emesis, bradycardia and somnolence between the two groups were statistically insignificant. This suggested the combinative application of intercostal nerve block and IV-PCA will not have any impact on the depth of sedation in patients, and would not increase the incidence of postoperative adverse reactions. The results of this study are similar to those reported in related studies [27, 28], according to the analysis of its possible mechanism, ropivacaine, the local anesthetic drug for nerve block, can delay the conduction of nerve impulse by increasing the threshold of nerve action potential in patients, and thus reducing the increased rate of cellular action potential in body. Ultimately, the generation and transmission of nerve impulses can be blocked.

Due to the small quantity of subjects included in this study and the lack of in-depth discussion and analysis on the specific mechanism, it is suggested to further expand the sample size in subsequent studies in order to obtain more reliable clinical research results.

In conclusion, the combined treatment of intercostal nerve block and IV-PCA is safe and has obviously postoperative analgesic effect on patients undergoing thoracoscopic resection of lung cancer.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Xueqin Rong, Department of Pain Spinal Minimally Invasive Centre, Sanya Central Hospital, No. 1154 Jiefang Road, Sanya 572000, Hainan, China. Tel: +86-0898-88021142; E-mail: 13912048078@163.com

References

- [1] Zheng Y, Wang H, Ma X, Cheng Z, Cao W and Shao D. Comparison of the effect of ultrasound-guided thoracic paravertebral nerve block and intercostal nerve block for video-assisted thoracic surgery under spontaneousventilating anesthesia. Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992) 2020; 66: 452-457.
- [2] Altıparmak B, Korkmaz Toker M, Uysal AI, Dere Ö and Uğur B. Evaluation of ultrasound-guided rhomboid intercostal nerve block for postoperative analgesia in breast cancer surgery: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2020; 45: 277-282.
- [3] Sheets NW, Davis JW, Dirks RC, Pang AW, Kwok AM, Wolfe MM and Sue LP. Intercostal nerve block with liposomal bupivacaine vs epidural analgesia for the treatment of traumatic rib fracture. J Am Coll Surg 2020; 231: 150-154.
- [4] Hacıbeyoğlu G, Arıcan Ş, Ulukaya SO, Yılmaz R, Reisli R and Tuncer Uzun S. Evaluation of the efficacy of erector spinae plane block and intercostal nerve block in the postherpetic neuralgia. Agri 2020; 32: 208-218.
- [5] Boccara D, Picard F, Chaouat M, Mimoun M and Serror K. Postoperative pain control by intercostal nerve block after augmentation mammoplasty. Aesthetic Plast Surg 2018; 42: 338-339.
- [6] Kang CM, Kim WJ, Yoon SH, Cho CB and Shim JS. Postoperative pain control by intercostal nerve block after augmentation mammoplasty. Aesthetic Plast Surg 2017; 41: 1031-1036.
- [7] Huan S, Deng Y, Wang J, Ji Y and Yin G. Efficacy and safety of paravertebral block versus intercostal nerve block in thoracic surgery and breast surgery: a systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS One 2020; 15: e0237363.
- [8] Park JW, Kim JH and Woo KJ. Intraoperative intercostal nerve block for postoperative pain control in pre-pectoral versus subpectoral direct-to-implant breast reconstruction: a retrospective study. Medicina (Kaunas) 2020; 56: 325.
- [9] Mogahed MM and Elkahwagy MS. Paravertebral block versus intercostal nerve block in non-intubated uniportal video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery: a randomised controlled trial. Heart Lung Circ 2020; 29: 800-807.
- [10] Zhu M, Gu Y, Sun X, Liu X, Chen W and Miao C. Ultrasound-guided intercostal nerve block following esophagectomy for acute postoperative pain relief in the postanesthesia care unit. Pain Pract 2018; 18: 879-883.
- [11] Liu X, Zheng B, Huang C, Wu Q and Zhan L. Comment on "Comparison of the effect of ultrasound-guided thoracic paravertebral nerve block and intercostal nerve block for video-as-

sisted thoracic surgery under spontaneousventilating anesthesia". Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992) 2020; 66: 1009.

- [12] Lee HJ, Park HS, Moon HI and Yoon SY. Effect of ultrasound-guided intercostal nerve block versus fluoroscopy-guided epidural nerve block in patients with thoracic herpes zoster: a comparative study. J Ultrasound Med 2019; 38: 725-731.
- [13] Singh I, Yadav OK and Gupta S. Efficacy of intercostal nerve block with 0.25% bupivacaine in percutaneous nephrolithotomy: a prospective randomized clinical trial. Urol Ann 2019; 11: 363-368.
- [14] Ohgoshi Y, Usui Y, Terada S, Takeda Y, Ohtsuka A, Matsuno K and Okuda Y. Visualization of injectate spread of intercostal nerve block: a cadaveric study. JA Clin Rep 2018; 4: 65.
- [15] Ahmed Z, Samad K and Ullah H. Role of intercostal nerve block in reducing postoperative pain following video-assisted thoracoscopy: a randomized controlled trial. Saudi J Anaesth 2017; 11: 54-57.
- [16] Wang W, Song W, Yang C, Sun Q, Chen H, Zhang L, Bu X, Zhan L and Xia Z. Ultrasoundguided pectoral nerve block I and serratus-intercostal plane block alleviate postoperative pain in patients undergoing modified radical mastectomy. Pain Physician 2019; 22: E315-E323.
- [17] Wang Y, Cheng J, Yang L, Wang J, Liu H and Lv Z. Ropivacaine for intercostal nerve block improves early postoperative cognitive dysfunction in patients following thoracotomy for esophageal cancer. Med Sci Monit 2019; 25: 460-465.
- [18] Bijkerk E, Cornelissen AJM, Sommer M, Van Der Hulst RRWJ, Lataster A and Tuinder SMH. Intercostal nerve block of the anterior cutaneous branches and the sensibility of the female breast. Clin Anat 2020; 33: 1025-1032.
- [19] Abu Elyazed MM, Abdelghany MS and Mostafa SF. The analgesic efficacy of pecto-intercostal fascial block combined with pectoral nerve block in modified radical mastectomy: a prospective randomized trial. Pain Physician 2020; 23: 485-493.
- [20] Fiorelli S, Leopizzi G, Menna C, Teodonio L, Ibrahim M, Rendina EA, Ricci A, De Blasi RA, Rocco M and Massullo D. Ultrasound-guided erector spinae plane block versus intercostal nerve block for post-minithoracotomy acute pain management: a randomized controlled trial. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2020; 34: 2421-2429.

- [21] Kaushal B, Chauhan S, Saini K, Bhoi D, Bisoi AK, Sangdup T and Khan MA. Comparison of the efficacy of ultrasound-guided serratus anterior plane block, pectoral nerves II block, and intercostal nerve block for the management of postoperative thoracotomy pain after pediatric cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2019; 33: 418-425.
- [22] Lei D, Sha Y and He L. Is ultrasound-guided thoracic paravertebral nerve block better than intercostal nerve block for video-assisted thoracic surgery under spontaneous-ventilating anesthesia? Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992) 2020; 66: 568.
- [23] Matchett G. Intercostal nerve block and neurolysis for intractable cancer pain. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother 2016; 32: 114-117.
- [24] Pedoto A and Amar D. Liposomal bupivacaine for intercostal nerve block: pricey or priceless? Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2017; 29: 538-539.
- [25] Chen N, Qiao Q, Chen R, Xu Q, Zhang Y and Tian Y. The effect of ultrasound-guided intercostal nerve block, single-injection erector spinae plane block and multiple-injection paravertebral block on postoperative analgesia in thoracoscopic surgery: a randomized, doubleblinded, clinical trial. J Clin Anesth 2020; 59: 106-111
- [26] Zhan Y, Chen G, Huang J, Hou B, Liu W and Chen S. Effect of intercostal nerve block combined with general anesthesia on the stress response in patients undergoing minimally invasive mitral valve surgery. Exp Ther Med 2017; 14: 3259-3264.
- [27] Yao F, Xu S, Zhang W, Xiong H, Han J and Zhu A. Impacts of different administration modes of dexmedetomidine with 0.5% ropivacaine on intercostal nerve block. Ann Palliat Med 2020; 9: 447-450.
- [28] Song WQ, Wang W, Yang YC, Sun Q, Chen H, Zhang L, Bu XS, Zhan LY and Xia ZY. Parasternal intercostal block complementation contributes to postoperative pain relief in modified radical mastectomy employing pectoral nerve block I and serratus-intercostal block: a randomized trial. J Pain Res 2020; 13: 865-871.