Review Article **Metabolic reprogramming in epithelial ovarian cancer**

Chalaithorn Nantasupha¹, Chanisa Thonusin^{2,3,4}, Kittipat Charoenkwan¹, Siriporn Chattipakorn^{3,4,5}, Nipon Chattipakorn^{2,3,4}

¹Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; ²Cardiac Electrophysiology Unit, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; ³Cardiac Electrophysiology Research and Training Center, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; ⁴Center of Excellence in Cardiac Electrophysiology Research, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; ⁵Department of Oral Biology and Diagnostic Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

Received May 1, 2021; Accepted July 12, 2021; Epub September 15, 2021; Published September 30, 2021

Abstract: Cancer cells usually show adaptations to their metabolism that facilitate their growth, invasiveness, and metastasis. Therefore, reprogramming the energy metabolism is one of the current key foci of cancer research and treatment. Although aerobic glycolysis-the Warburg effect-has been thought to be the dominant energy metabolism in cancer, recent data indicate a different possibility, specifically that oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) is the more likely form of energy metabolism in some cancer cells. Due to the heterogeneity of epithelial ovarian cancer, there are different metabolic preferences among cell types, study types (*in vivo/in vitro*), and invasiveness. Current knowledge acknowledges glycolysis to be the main energy provider in ovarian cancer growth, invasion, migration, and viability, so specific agents targeting the glycolysis or OXPHOS pathways have been used in previous studies to attenuate tumor progression and increase chemosensitization. However, chemoresistant cell lines exert various metabolic preferences. This review comprehensively summarizes the information from existing reports which could together provide an in-depth understanding and insights for the development of a novel targeted therapy which can be used as an adjunctive treatment to standard chemotherapy to decelerate tumor progression and decrease the epithelial ovarian cancer mortality rate.

Keywords: chemoresistance, chemosensitivity, epithelial ovarian cancer, glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation

Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is one of the most common causes of cancer death in women for two main reasons: its most frequent presentation occurs at an advanced-stage and its high recurrence rate [1]. Despite the use of chemotherapy and targeted therapy, the ovarian cancer mortality rate remains as high as 70% [2]. The factors that affect the disease prognosis and survival of EOC are its invasiveness, metastatic properties, and treatment response [3, 4]. The extent of its invasiveness and metastatic properties reflect the staging of EOC [2]. As regards the treatment response, several factors can contribute to chemoresistance after a period of treatment for EOC, including an increased elimination of the active form of chemotherapy and the development of drug-resistant genes [5-7]. In the past decade, a new theory called "deregulation of cellular energetics" has been posited that focuses on the metabolic support of the growth, proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of cancer cells, and this has become one of the biological targets of cancer treatment development [8]. The Warburg effect, defined as the preference of cancer cells to use glycolysis even in the presence of oxygen, became one of those targets [9]. Prior studies demonstrated that the Warburg effect might be associated with the resistance of most cancer cells to treatment [10-12]. However, current evidence suggests that not every tumor exhibits the Warburg effect [13, 14]. EOC, a heterogeneous form of cancer, may use another pathway, such as oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) [14, 15].

Apart from the current regimens of chemotherapy and targeted therapy, such as antiangio-

genesis and poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors, a treatment that modulates cancer metabolism has been proposed to improve the treatment response of EOC [16-20]. In this review, we comprehensively summarize studies on the metabolic changes in EOC compared to normal ovarian cells from in vitro, in vivo and clinical studies. Consistencies and controversies from the reports on the metabolic characters that are associated with the invasiveness and chemoresistant properties of EOC, and the effects of metabolic interventions on EOC progression and treatment response are also summarized and discussed. This comprehensive review will enhance the fundamental overarching understanding pertinent to the metabolism of EOC and highlight mechanistic insights for the development of novel drug regimens to target this. Advances in drug therapies may assist in reducing the tumor invasiveness, the tumor metastasis, and the mortality rate of EOC via the metabolic pathways.

Search strategy and selection criteria

The PubMed database was searched using the keywords "ovarian cancers", "glycolysis", and "oxidative" from its inception to September 2020. The search was limited to original articles published in English.

A general consideration of ovarian cancer metabolism regarding glycolysis and the oxidative phosphorylation pathway

The pathways and regulation of glycolysis and OXPHOS are depicted in Figure 1. Under normal physiological conditions, glycolysis consists of a multistep pathway of glucose breakdown, followed by the conversion of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) to pyruvate via the enzyme pyruvate kinase M1 (PKM1). Pyruvate is then moved to the mitochondria and enters the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle via mitochondrial pyruvate carrier 1 (MPC1). This is followed by a respiratory chain consisting of five complexes, resulting in the release of thirty-six ATP molecules per single glucose molecule [21]. In the mitochondria, OXPHOS occurs resulting in the production of ATP, the energy for this production [21]. Under hypoxic conditions, PEP is converted to pyruvate by pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2), pyruvate is subsequently changed into lactate by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and moves out of the cells via monocarboxylate transporter 4 (MCT4) (**Figure 1**) [22].

Even under normoxic conditions, some cancer cells utilize glycolysis without any of the glucose residues entering the TCA cycle [22]. Since glycolysis allows the diversion of glycolytic intermediates into various biosynthetic pathways, glycolytic enzymes also support cell growth [8, 23]. For instance, hexokinase 2 (HK2) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) enzymes can regulate mTOR and apoptosis, while the phosphoglycerate mutase 1 (PGAM1) enzyme can induce the formation of fibrillar actin (F-actin) and also cell migration [23]. In some cancers, F-actin is a crucial structure of cancer cell membrane protrusions (lamellipodia), which facilitate cell migration and the formation of dynamic protrusions (invadopodia), contributing to extracellular matrix penetration [24].

Although many studies have reported a metabolic shift to the aerobic glycolysis of cancer cells in the past decades, there is evidence to show that not all cancer types are dependent on glycolysis [17, 18]. This includes EOC, which is one of the most heterogeneous cancers. It is now known that different histological types of EOC exhibit different kinds of metabolic change according to the specific conditions of this cancer, including chemoresistant vs. chemosensitive, and more aggressive vs. less aggressive.

Metabolic changes in the epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines

Previous *in vitro* studies investigated metabolic changes in the EOC cells and compared them to normal ovarian epithelial cells (**Table 1**). In addition, the metabolic alterations of EOC cells have been compared within a variety of histologic types and also the invasiveness levels in the cells. There is growing evidence to show that metabolic changes in EOC cells mainly involve OXPHOS rather than the glycolytic pathway (**Table 1**).

Various levels of glycolytic activity have been observed among human EOC cell lines when compared to the activity of normal human ovarian cells. Specifically, ES-2, OVCA429, OVCA-433, and TOV-21G reveal higher glycolytic enzyme-related gene expression levels, higher basal extracellular acidification rate ECAR, and



Figure 1. The pathways and regulation of glycolysis, the TCA cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation. The figure shows glucose metabolism from its uptake into the cell, the metabolic pathways, including the glycolytic pathway in the cell cytoplasms, the tricarboxylic acid cycle, and the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, and its regulatory enzymes and metabolites. Abbreviations: 1, 3BPG, 1, 3-bisphosphoglycerate; 2PG, 2-phosphoglycerate; 3PG, 3-phosphoglycerate; 3PPyr, 3 phosphopyruvate; 3PSer, 3 phosphoserine; ECAR, extracellular acidification rate; F1, 6BP, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate; F6P, fructose-6-phosphate; G3P, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; G6P, glucose-6-phosphate; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HIF1, hypoxia-induced transcription factor 1; HK2, hexokinase 2; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MCT4, monocarboxylate transporter 4; MPC1, mitochondrial pyruvate carrier 1; OCR, oxygen consumption rate; PDH, pyruvate dehydrogenase; PDK, pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; PFK1, phosphofructokinase 1; PFKFB3, 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-biphosphatase 3; PGM1, phosphoglucomutase 1; PHG-DH, phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase; PK, pyruvate kinase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; TPI, triosephosphate isomerase.

higher glycolytic capacity than do normal ovarian cells [25, 26]. OVCAR3 shows a higher level of *PFK* and *PKM* [26], but non-significantly different basal ECAR and glycolytic capacity compared to normal ovarian cells [25]. Conversely, the SKOV3 and DOV-13 cell lines show lower phosphofructokinase (PFK) levels and basal ECAR than normal ovarian cells respectively [25, 26]. In mouse EOC cells, the glycolytic activity is lower than it is in normal mouse ovarian cells, as indicated by the lower levels of glucose uptake, basal ECAR, and lactate [27].

When the OXPHOS activity was compared between human EOC cells and normal human ovarian cells, the results showed that cells from high-grade serous adenocarcinoma (HGSC), clear cell carcinoma (CCC), and other ovarian adenocarcinomas displayed higher OXPHOS activity than the OXPHOS activity in normal cells, as indicated by the higher levels of OXPHOS enzymes, the basal oxygen consumption rate (OCR), and the respiratory capacity [25, 26]. In contrast, a previous study on mouse ovarian cells revealed that the OXPHOS activity was lower in mouse EOC cells when compared with the OXPHOS activity of benign mouse ovarian epithelial cells, as indicated by the higher basal OCR and ATP levels [27].

When considering the invasiveness of human EOC cells, the lactate level (the end product of anaerobic glycolysis) is similar between the more invasive EOC cell line, SKOV3, and the less invasive EOC cell line, OVCAR3 [28]. However, the OXPHOS activity was found to be higher in the SKOV3 cell line when compared to the OXPHOS activity of the OVCAR3 cell line, as

indicated by the higher basal OCR and respiratory capacity levels [28].

In summary, the results from *in vitro* studies reveal that OXPHOS activity is more dominant in human EOC cells than in normal human ovarian cells and similarly, it is more dominant in

| | | | | Major | findings | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------|
| Study models | | Glycolytic-rela | ated findings | | OXPHO | S-relate | ed findings | | - Interpretation | References |
| | Glycolytic enzymes | Basal ECAR | Glycolytic capacity | Others | OXPHOS enzymes | Basal OCR | Respiratory capacity | Others | merpretation | Relefences |
| -Human ovarian HGSC cells (OVCAR3 (S), human OCCC cells (TOV-21G (C)) | <i>↑</i> ↑ <i>PFKP</i> | | | | (S): ↑↑ PDHB, IDH2, IDH3A, OGDHL, ND2, UQCRH ↓↓ CYB | | | | -HGSC and clear cells had an increase in mitochondrial complex I and complex III activity | [26] |
| -Normal ovarian epithelial cells (control) | †† <i>PKM</i> | | | | (C): ↑↑ IDH2, OGDHL, ND2, CYB ↓↓ IDH3A | | | | compared to normal cells. | |
| -Human HGSC cells (OVCAR3) | | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | | | † † | † † | | -Human OCCC had | [25] |
| -Normal ovarian epithelial cells (control) | | | | | | | | | an increase in both | |
| -Human HGSC cells (OVCAR3) | | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | | | 11 | † † | | glycolysis and OXPHOS metabolism compared | |
| -Human ovarian carcinoma cells OVCA420 (control) | | | | | | | | | to other carcinoma and normal cells, | |
| -Human OCCC cells (ES-2, TOV-21G) | | ↑↑ (ES2) | 11 | | | 11 | ↑ (ES2) | | while HGSC had higher | |
| -Normal ovarian epithelial cells (control) | | ↑ (TOV-21G) | | | | | ↑↑ (TOV-21G) | | OXPHOS only when | |
| -Human OCCC cells (ES-2, TOV-21G) | | ↑ (ES2) | $\leftrightarrow (ES2)$ | | | † † | † † | | carcinoma and normal | |
| -Human non-OCCC cells, OVCA420 (control) | | $\leftrightarrow (\text{TOV-21G})$ | ↑↑ (TOV-21G) | | | | | | cells. | |
| -Human ovarian E/OCCC cells (SKOV3) | $\downarrow\downarrow$ PFKP | | | | ↑ PDHB | | | | -Endometrioid or OCCC | [26] |
| -Normal ovarian epithelial cells (control) | †† <i>PKM</i> | | | | ↔ IDH2 †† IDH3A, IDH3B ↔ OGDHL †† ND2, ND5, CYB ↓↓ UQCRH | | | | may have shown gly- colysis impairment. | |
| -Human ovarian carcinoma cells (OVCA420, OVCA429, OVCA433, DOV-13) | | ↓ (DOV13) | 11 * | | | ↑ ↑* | ↓↓ (OVCA420, OVCA433) | | -Most human non- specific adenocarci- noma cells had higher | [25] |
| -Normal ovarian epithelial cells (control) | | | | | | | | | OXPHOS than normal cells. | |
| -More invasive human E/OCCC (SKOV3, SKOV3ip1) | | | | ↔ lactate | | ţ | 1 | ↑ pyruvate uptake | -More invasive human E/OCCC cells had higher OXPHOS com- | [28] |
| -Less invasive human HGSC (OVCAR3) (control) | | | | | | | | ↑ ATP | HGSCs. | |
| Mouse ovarian surface epithelial (MOSE) cells | | Ť | | †† glucose uptake | ↑ PDK1 | Ļ | | ↓ ATP | -Mouse aggressive ovarian cells had higher | [27] |
| -MOSE-L = late-aggressive phenotype | | | | ↑↑ lactate | ↑ CS | | | | glycolysis and lower | |
| -MOSE-E = early benign phenotype (control) | | | | | $\leftrightarrow PDH$ | | | | mouse benign ovarian epithelial cells. | |

Table 1. Metabolic changes in epithelial ovarian cancer: in vitro studies

The superscript *indicates all cell lines except OVCA420. The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding with a *P* value <0.05. The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding with a *P* value <0.05. The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding with a *P* value <0.01. Abbreviations: CS; citrate synthase, CYB; cytochrome b reductase 1, E; endometrioid adenocarcinoma, ECAR; extracellular acidification rate, HGSC; high-grade serous carcinoma, IDH2; isocitrate dehydrogenase [NAD], IDH3A; isocitrate dehydrogenase [NAD] subunit alpha, IDH3B; isocitrate dehydrogenase [NAD] subunit beta, ND; NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase, OCCC; ovarian clear cell carcinoma, OCR; oxygen consumption rate, OGDHL; 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase like, OXPHOS; oxidative phosphorylation, PDH; pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDK1; pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1, PFKP; phosphofructokinase platelet, PKM; pyruvate kinase muscle isozyme, UQCRH; ubiquinoLcytochrome c reductase hinge protein.

more invasive human EOC cells than in less invasive human EOC cells. However, the glycolytic activity of EOC cell lines varies in comparison to normal ovarian cells. The different levels of OXPHOS activity between human EOC cells and mouse EOC cells suggests that the metabolic changes in EOC are species-specific, which implies that they may be mediated by differences in genetic regulation. Further studies are required to identify the exact mechanisms that result in the contradictory findings between human and mouse EOC cells.

Metabolic changes in epithelial ovarian cancer cells: clinical evidence

Clinical evidence from previous studies investigating metabolic changes in ovarian cancer tissues and serum samples from patients is listed in Table 2. All the studies showed that the EOC tissues and serum from EOC patients showed more glycolytic activity than did the normal ovarian tissues and serum from healthy women, as indicated by the higher GLUT expression levels, glycolytic enzymes, and hypoxia-induced transcription factor 1α (HIF1 α), a glycolysis-regulating factor [26, 29-32]. In terms of OXPHOS, a previous study demonstrated an increase in the enzymes involved in the TCA cycle and OXPHOS, including pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH), citrate synthase (CS), and isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) in human EOC tissues when compared to normal human ovarian tissues [26].

When the various histologic types of EOC cells were compared the results showed that HGSC and CCC show more glycolytic activity than other variants, as indicated by their higher GLUT expression levels and glycolytic enzyme levels [33-35]. Also, a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed that the hepatocyte nuclear factor 1β (HNF- 1β) gene, which is strongly associated with anaerobic glucose catabolism, was increased in the CCC group when compared with that of the non-CCC group [33]. As regards OXPHOS, HGSC was more dependent on OXPHOS than were low-grade serous carcinoma tissues (LGSC), as indicated by a higher BioEnergetic Cellular index and a non-dimensional ratio, measures used to express the mitochondrial activity [36].

In summary, clinical evidence indicates an increase in glycolytic activity in EOC tissues when compared to the glycolytic activity in

normal ovarian tissues. Additionally, the more invasive histologic types of EOC, such as HGSC and CCC, display more glycolytic activity than the less invasive EOC types do. These findings suggest that the different histologic types of EOC may each have a unique metabolic sequence from specific regulators. However, there are only a few prior studies comparing the OXPHOS activity between EOC tissue and normal ovarian tissue and between the more invasive EOC and the less invasive EOC. Therefore, further studies with larger sample sizes and more outcome measurements are required to determine the overall changes in the cancer metabolic pathways.

The effects of glycolytic interventions on epithelial ovarian cancer

The impact of glycolytic intervention on the different aspects of EOC, growth, invasion, migration, and apoptosis, has been investigated in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Glycolytic intervention can be classified in three main ways: 1) the knockdown of the glycolytic enzyme genes at the rate-limiting steps, specifically hexokinase (*HK2*) and pyruvate kinase (*PKM2*); 2) miRNAinduced changes in the glycolytic activity of the EOC cells, and 3) substance-induced changes in the glycolytic activity of the EOC cells. The relevant studies are comprehensively summarized in **Table 3** (in vitro reports) and **Table 4** (in vivo reports).

Genetic modification by knocked down glycolytic enzyme genes at the rate-limiting steps, *HK2 and PKM2*, to inhibit the glycolysis pathway has been studied. The *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies showed that glycolytic inhibition disrupted EOC growth as indicated by a decrease in the cell/colony counts, tumor sizes, and tumor weights [37, 38]. Glycolytic inhibition can increase the apoptosis, decrease the invasion and migration, and reduce the VEGF protein expression in EOC cells [37, 38].

Several miRNAs have demonstrated effects on the glycolytic activity of the EOC cells. The overexpression of miR-603, miR-532-3p, and miR-144 by miRNA transfection or treatment with a miRNA upregulator, ginsenoside 20(S)-Rg3 resulted in glycolytic disruption and impaired EOC cell growth, invasion, and migration [18, 39, 40]. Likewise, studies in mice revealed that either the intratumoral injection of miR-603 or the subcutaneous injection of miR-144 trans-

| | | | Major findi | ngs | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------|
| Tumor tissues or sorum complex from human | Glyco | lytic-related | findings | OXPHOS-re | lated findings | Interpretation | Poforonooc |
| | Glut expression | Glycolytic enzymes | Others | OXPHOS enzymes | Others | - interpretation | References |
| -150 EOC tissues (stage I-IV) | | ↑ PKM2 | ↑↑ iNOS, eNOS | | | -Human EOC tissues showed higher glycolytic | [29] |
| -10 normal ovarian tissues (control) | | | | | | shift than normal ovarian tissues. | |
| -38 EOC tissues | 1 | ↑ HK2 | † HIF1α, STAT3 | | | -Tissues and serum of EOC patients showed | [31] |
| -22 normal ovarian tissues (control) | | ↑ LDHA | ↓ SIRT3 | | | higher levels of glycolysis compared to tis- | |
| -44 serum samples from EOC patients | Ť | ↑ HK2 | † HIF1α, STAT3 | | | sues and serum of healthy people. | |
| -35 serum samples from healthy people (control) | | ↑ LDHA | ↓ SIRT3 | | | | |
| -78 EOC tissues | † † | ↑↑ HK2 | ↑↑ FOXM1 | | | -Human EOC tissues had higher glycolysis | [30] |
| -35 normal ovarian tissues (control) | | | | | | compared to normal ovarian tissues. | |
| -7 EOC tissues | | ↑ PFK | | ↑ PDHB, CS, IDH2, OGDHL | ↑ mitochondrial DEPs | -Human EOC tissues had an increase in OX- PHOS compared to normal ovarian tissues. | [26] |
| -11 normal ovarian tissues (control) | | ↑ PKM | | ↑ UQCRH, CYB | | | |
| -282 HGSC TMAs | † † | ↑ HK2 | | | | -HGSC tumor tissues had higher glucose | [35] |
| -98 non-HGSC TMAs (control) | | \leftrightarrow PKM2 | | | | metabolism compared to other histological | |
| | | ↓ LDHA | | | | types. | |
| -139 OCCC microarray dataset | | †† HK1 | | | | -OCCC had higher glycolysis phenotype com- | [33] |
| -24 non-OCCC microarray dataset (control) | | ↑↑ LDHA | | | | pared to other non-OCCC adenocarcinoma. | |
| -8 OCCC and 67 high-grade EOC tissues | Ť | ↑ PKM2 | | | | -High-grade EOCs and OCCC had higher | [34] |
| -8 normal ovarian TMAs and 29 low-grade EOC TMAs (control) | | ↑ LDHA | | | | glycolysis than normal and low-grade EOC tissues. | |
| -32 HGSC tissues | | | | | ↑ BEC index | -HGSC tumor tissues was more dependent | [36] |
| -15 LGSC tissues (control) | | | | | | on OXPHOS than LGSC tissues. | |
| -11 EOC tissues (stage I-III) | | | ↑ G6P* | \leftrightarrow citrate | \leftrightarrow pyruvate | -Human primary EOC and metastatic omen- | [32] |
| -7 MOC tissues in the omentum (stage III-IV) | | | ↑↑ lactate | | | tal tissues had higher glycolysis than normal | |
| -12 normal ovarian tissues (control) | | | | | | ovarian tissues. | |
| -1 tissue from recurrent stage IV PPC | | | | ↑ PDK1 | | -In recurrent stage IV PPC sample had | [60] |
| -1 tissue from stage I EOC (control) | | | | ↓ PDH | | lower OXPHOS shifting enzyme than stage I primary EOC tissue. | |

Table 2. Metabolic changes in epithelial ovarian cancer: clinical studies

The superscript *indicates only in metastatic ovarian cancer tissues. The arrow † or 1 denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding with a *P* value <0.05. The arrow †† or 11 denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding with a *P* value <0.01. Abbreviations: BEC index; BioEnergetic Cellular index, CS; citrate synthase, CYB; cytochrome b reductase, DEPs; differentially expressed proteins, EOC; epithelial ovarian cancer, FOXM1; forkhead box protein M1, G6P; glucose-6-phosphate, Glut; glucose transporter, HGSC; high-grade serous adenocarcinoma, HIF; hypoxia-induced transcription factor, HK; hexokinase, IDH2; isocitrate dehydrogenase 2, LDHA; lactate dehydrogenase A, LGSC; low-grade serous adenocarcinoma, AOC; metastatic ovarian cancer, NOS; nitric oxide synthase, OCCC; ovarian clear cell carcinoma, OGDHL; 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase-like, 0XPHOS; oxidative phosphorylation, PDH; pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDK; pyruvate dehydrogenase, PK; phosphofructokinase, PKM; pyruvate kinase muscle isozyme, PPC; primary peritoneal carcinoma, SIRT3; sirtuin 3, STAT3; signal transducer and activator of transcription factor.

| | | | | | | Major find | lings | | | | _ | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|--|------------|
| Human ovarian carcinoma cells | Intervention (dose, | G | lycolytic-rel | ated findi | ngs | | Ond | cological ou | utcomes | | - Interpretation | References |
| cells | duration) | Glucose uptake | Glycolytic enzymes | Lactate | Others | Growth | Invasion | Migration | Apoptosis | Others | interpretation | References |
| A2780CP, ES-2, OVCAR3, SKOV3 | -HK2 knockdown (shHK2/ siHK2, 48 h) | | ↓ HK2 | ţ | | ţ | ţ | Ļ | | ↓ stemness markers | -Inhibition of glycolytic enzyme, HK2, caused | [38] |
| | -HK2 overexpression (pCMV6-DDK-HK2 trans- fection, 72 h) | | ↑ HK2 | | | 1 | | | | ↓ VEGF | a decrease in cell proliferation, invasion, migration, and stem- ness properties. | |
| OVCAR3, SKOV3 | -PKM2 knockdown (siRNA- PKM2, 24-72 h) | | ↓ PKM2 | | | | Ļ | Ţ | ţ | ↓ viability | -PKM2 knockdown inhibited ovarian cancer cell viability, invasion, and migration. | [37] |
| A2780, SKOV3 | -MiR603 overexpression (mimic miR603 siRNA transfection, 48 h) | ţ | ↓ HK2 ↔ PKM2 | ţ | | ţ | ţ | ţ | | | -miR603 upregula- tion from ginsenoside inhibited glycolysis, cell | [18] |
| | -Ginsenoside (80 μg/ mL (SKOV3)/40 μg/mL (A2780), 48 h) + miR603 inhibitor (inhibitor miR603 siRNA transfection, 48 h) | Ţ | ↑ HK2 | | | 1 | Ť | Î | | | proliferation, invasion, and migration. | |
| | -Ginsenoside (control) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A2780, SKOV3 | -Ginsenoside (80 μg/ mL (SKOV3)/40 μg/mL (A2780), 48 h) OR mimic miR532-3p (siRNA trans- fection 80 nM, 24 h) | ţ | ↓ HK2 ↓ PKM2 | Ţ | | ţ | | | | | -miR532-3p upregula- tion from ginsenoside inhibited glycolysis and cell growth. | [40] |
| | -MiR532-3p inhibitor (siRNA transfection 100 nM, 24 h) followed by ginsenoside | Î | ↑ HK2 ↑ PKM2 | t | | 1 | | | | | | |
| A2780, Hey | -Ginsenoside (control) -Cryptotanshinone (5/10 μmol/L, 48 h) | ţ | ↓ HK2 ↓ LDHA | ţ | ↓ Glut1 | | | | | ↓ viability | -Cryptotanshinone inhibited glycolysis and cell viability. | [31] |
| A2780, SKOV3 | -Resveratrol (25-800 μM, 72 h) | ţ | | Ţ | | | ţ | ţ | Î | ↓ viability | -Resveratrol inhibited glycolysis, cell growth, migration, and invasion. | [42] |
| A2780, Hey | -Bufalin (5/10 nM, 48 h) | ţ | ↓ HK2 ↓ LDHB | ţ | ↓ Glut4 | ţ | | | | ↓ viability | -Bufalin inhibited gly- colysis, cell growth and proliferation. | [61] |
| SKOV3 | -MiR144 overexpression (mimic miR144 siRNA transfection, 36/48 h) | ţ | | ţ | ↓ Glut1 | ţ | | | | ↓ viability | -MiR-144 inhibited gly- colysis and suppressed cell growth and viability. | [39] |

Table 3. The eEffects of glycolytic interventions on epithelial ovarian cancer cell metabolism and invasive properties: in vitro studies

| OVCAR3, SKOV3 | -NOS inhibitor (0.75-10 mM, 24 h) | ţ | | ţ | | | | 1 | ↓ viability | -A low dose of NO pro- moted glycolysis & cell | [29] |
|-------------------------|--|---|-------|---|----------------------|---|---|---|-------------|---|------|
| | -NO donor (0.05-100 μM, 24 h) | 1 | | 1 | | | | ţ | ↑ viability | viability. | |
| | -NO donor (500-1000 μM, 24 h) | ţ | | ţ | | | | | ↓ viability | | |
| CAOV3, OVCA432 | -Lysophosphatidic acid (10 μM, 12 h) +5-3Η glucose | | ↑ HK2 | 1 | ↑ glycolytic flux | | | | | -Lysophosphatidic acid up-regulated glycolysis, which may affect cancer | [62] |
| | -control | | | | | | | | | cell growth. | |
| | -Lysophosphatidic acid +2- DG (1.25 mM (CAOV3)/3 mM (OVCA432)) | | | | ↓ glycolytic flux | ţ | | | | | |
| | -Lysophosphatidic acid alone (control) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ovca429, Ovca433 | -MiR203 overexpression (mimic miR203 transfec- tion) | Î | | 1 | | Î | 1 | | | -MiR-203 promoted glycolysis, cell growth, and migration. | [41] |
| | -Anti-miR-203 | Ļ | | Ļ | | Ļ | Ļ | | | | |
| OVCAR3, SKOV3, SKOV3ip1 | -High concentrated pyru- vate (10 mM, 12 h) | 1 | | 1 | ↑ pyruvate uptake | | 1 | | | -The high pyruvate concentration resulted | [28] |
| | -Original pyruvate concen- tration (1 mM) (control) | | | | | | | | | in higher metabolism, and contributed to the motility in SKOV3 cells. | |

The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes a significant increase or decrease in the glycolytic-related finding and oncological activities with a *P* value <0.05. Abbreviations: HK2; hexokinase 2, LDH; lactate dehydrogenase, miR; microRNA, NO; nitric oxide, NOS; nitric oxide synthase, PKM2; pyruvate kinase M2, VEGF; vascular endothelial growth factor.

| | | | | Major | findings | | | _ | |
|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------|---|------------|
| Study models | Intervention (dose, duration) | Glycoly | tic-related | findings | outcol | Oncolog me-relate | ical d findings | Interpretation | References |
| | | Glucose uptake | Glycolytic enzymes | Others | Growth | Volume/ weight | Others | - | |
| Mice sc injected with ES-2 cells | -SC or ip inj. shHK2 ES-2 (2 × 10 ⁶ cells, once) | | ↓HK2 | | Ļ | ţ | | -HK2 knockdown mice had signifi- cantly lower tumor weight and tumor growth rate than that of control mice. | [38] |
| Mice sc injected with SKOV3 cells | -Intratumoral inj. agomiR603 (5 nM q 3 days × 7 cycles) | ţ | ↓HK2 | | | Ţ | | -miR603 inhibited glycolysis and tumor growth. | [18] |
| | -Intratumoral inj. agomiR-NC (control) | | | | | | | | |
| Mice sc injected with Hey cells | -Cryptotanshinone ip inj. (10 mg/kg, once) | ţ | ↓ HK2, LDHA | ↓ Glut1 ↓ STAT3 ↓ HIF1α | ţ | ţ | | -Cryptotanshinone inhibited glycolysis and tumor growth. | [31] |
| Mice sc injected with SKOV3 cells | -CHIP overexpression | | ↓ PKM2 | | ţ | Ļ | | -CHIP overexpression inhibited | [44] |
| | -CHIP suppression (shCHIP transfec- tion) | | ↑ PKM2 | | 1 | Î | | glycolytic shifting enzyme, PKM2, and tumor growth. | |
| Mice sc injected with SKOV3 cells | -PKM2 inhibitor, shikonin ip inj. (10 mg/kg, 5 days/week × 3 weeks) | | ↓ PKM2 | | ţ | | | -Glycolytic shifting enzyme inhibitor, shikonin, inhibited tumor growth. | [47] |
| Mice sc injected with SKOV3 cells | -Mimic miR144 (miR144 mimics- containing lentivirus transfection, 16 days) | | | ↓ Glut1 | ţ | ţ | | -MiR-144 reduced glucose uptake and suppressed tumor growth. | [39] |
| Mice sc injected with A2780 cells | -Bufalin ip inj. (10 mg/kg, AD) | ţ | ↓ HK2 ↓ LDHB | ↓ Glut4 | ţ | ţ | ↓ ITGB2 ↓ FAK | -Bufalin decreased glycolysis and could inhibit cell growth, tumor volume and weight. | [61] |
| Mice sc injected with ID8 cells | -Lysophosphatidic acid sc inj. (0.4 μmol, AD × 20 days) | | | † HIF1α | | 1 | | -Lysophosphatidic acid-induced HIF10 expression and tumor growth in mice. | [63] |
| Mice sc injected with A2780 and SKOV3 cells | -FOXM1 silencing (shFOXM1 transfection) | ţ | ↓ HK2 | ↓ Glut1 | | ţ | | -Decreased glycolysis by silencing FOXM1 gene could inhibit tumor volume and weight. | [30] |
| Mice intrabursally injected with M909 cells | -JQ1 ip inj. (50 mg/kg/day, 4 weeks) | ţ | ↓ LDHA | ↓ lactate ↓ c-myc | ţ | Ļ | ↑ apoptosis | -JQ1 inhibited glycolysis, tumor growth, and increased apoptosis. | [43] |

Table 4. The effects of glycolytic interventions on epithelial ovarian cancer cell metabolism and its invasive properties: in vivo studies

The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes a significant increase or decrease in the glycolytic-related finding and oncological activities with a *P* value <0.05. Abbreviations: AD; alternate day, CHIP; carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein, FAK; focal adhesion kinase, FOXM1; forkhead box protein M1, HIF; hypoxia-induced transcription factor, HK2; hexokinase 2, inj.; injection, ip; intraperitoneal, ITGB2; integrin beta 2, LDH; lactate dehydrogenase, miR; microRNA, PKM2; pyruvate kinase M2, sc; subcutaneous, STAT3; signal transducer and activator of transcription 3

fected-cancer cells led to reduced glucose uptake in ovarian tumors, limiting ovarian tumor growth, and tumor weight [18, 39]. However, it has been shown that miR-203 promotes glycolysis, cancer cell growth, and migration in the ovca433 and ovca429 cell lines [41]. These findings suggest that miRNAs can modulate glycolysis differently depending on their type, causing either the upregulation or downregulation of glycolysis.

There are many substances extracted from herbs, animals, and fruits that can inhibit glycolysis. For example, crypsotanshinone, a Chinese herbal compound found in Danshen (Salvia miltiorrhiza) and bufalin-a substance isolated from the venom of a Chinese toad, have been shown to have a role in glycolytic inhibition and reducing EOC cell growth and viability, ovarian tumor growth, and ovarian tumor weight [29, 31]. Resveratrol, a natural ingredient found in the skin of peanuts, grapes, blueberries, mulberries, and raspberries, may decrease glucose uptake, and lactate levels, and reduce invasion, migration, and increase the apoptosis of the EOC cells [42]. Other substances such as nitric oxide synthase (NOS) inhibitors, nitric oxide, carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein (CHIP), and JO1, a small molecule which selectively inhibits proteins and downregulates c-Myc, have also been found to exhibit glycolytic inhibitory effects [24, 29, 43, 44]. In support of these findings, previous in vitro and in vivo studies reveal that those substances increased the apoptosis of EOC cells and impaired ovarian tumor growth, respectively [29, 43].

In summary, both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies demonstrated that glycolysis has a crucial role in EOC. In fact, when glycolysis is inhibited *in vitro*, EOC cell growth, invasion, migration, and viability are restricted. Likewise, when glycolysis is disrupted *in vivo*, tumor growth or tumor volume decreases significantly. These findings suggest that the modulation of the glycolysis pathway could be beneficial in therapeutic interventions in EOC.

The comparative effects of glycolytic and OXPHOS interventions on epithelial ovarian cancer

When glycolytic and OXPHOS interventions were compared, all the *in vitro* studies showed

that EOC cell growth, invasion and migration depend mainly on glycolysis rather than OXPHOS (**Table 5**). In fact, various glycolytic inhibition methods, including glycolytic enzymerelated gene suppression, glycolytic enzyme inhibition, and glycolytic-related protein silencing resulted in a significant reduction in EOC cell growth, invasion, and migration [34, 44-48].

Two *in vivo* studies support the results of the *in* vitro studies mentioned above, specifically that tumor growth and volume increase occurred mainly as a result of glycolysis (Table 6) [45, 49]. Another study suggested that apart from glycolysis, fatty acid oxidation might also have a role in EOC growth [50]. In that study, mice with HGSC of the ovary were fed with either a low-fat diet (10% of calories derived from fat) or a high-fat diet (60% of calories derived from fat), followed by metformin treatment. The results showed that the tumor weight in the high-fat diet-fed mice was significantly higher than it was in the low-fat diet-fed mice. Metabolomic profiling was also carried out in mice ovarian tumors. Metabolomics revealed that the n-3 and n-6 fatty acid levels were decreased, while several acylcarnitine and dicarboxylic acid levels were increased in the ovarian tumors of the high-fat diet-fed mice. This suggests that the greater fatty acid oxidation occurring in high-fat diet-fed mice than in low-fat diet-fed mice has an impact on tumor growth. Additionally, the ovarian tumors from the high-fat diet-fed mice exhibited an impairment in the succinate dehydrogenase (complex II) activity, consistent with a parallel decrease in lysolipids, fumarate, and malate. Interestingly, the metformin treatment had a more significant effect on the reduction of tumor growth in the high-fat diet-fed mice than it did in the low-fat diet-fed mice [50]. This might be due to the inhibition of mitochondrial complex I by metformin that could potentiate the response in complex II-induced tumor impairment in obese mice [50].

Metabolic characteristics that determine the chemoresistance of epithelial ovarian cancer

The metabolic activities of the chemoresistant and chemosensitive EOC cell lines have been compared, but the results remain inconclusive (**Table 7**). Five studies compared the metabolic activities between the chemoresistant and

| Table 5. The comparative effects of glycolytic and OXPHOS interventions on epithelial ovarian cancer cell metabolism and its invasive properties: |
|---|
| in vitro studies |

| Human | | | | | | Ν | /lajor f | indin | gs | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------|--|---|------------|
| ovarian | Intervention | 0 | lycolytic-re | lated fin | dings | OXPHO | DS-rela | ated f | indings | | Oncologi | cal outcon | nes | Interpretation | Poforonooc |
| carcinoma cells | (dose, duration) | Glucose uptake | Glycolytic enzymes | Lactate | Others | OXPHOS enzymes | OCR | ROS | Other | Growth | Invasion | Migration | Others | - Interpretation | References |
| A2780, SKOV3 | -PTTG suppression (PTTG-shRNA, 25 mmol/L, 48 h) | ţ | ↓ HK ↓ PFK ↓ PKM2 ↓ LDHA | ţ | ↓ Glut1 ↓ c-myc | | Î | Î | ↑ ATP | ţ | | | | -PTTG suppression caused a decrease in glycolysis and an increase in OXPHOS, | [34] |
| | -PTTG-shRNA +2-DG (100 mM, 12-72 h) | | | | ↓ decreased ECAR | | 1 | | | | | | ↑ decreased viability | and resulted in decreased cell prolif- eration. | |
| | -Control-shRNA +2-DG | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -PTTG-shRNA + oligomycin (2 μg/ mL, 12-72 h) | | | | \leftrightarrow ECAR | | ţţ | | | | | | ↓ viability | | |
| | -Control-shRNA + oligomycin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hey, SKOV3 | -JQ1 (500-1000 nM, 24 h) | ţ | ↓ LDHA | Ţ | ↓ c-myc | | | Ţ | ↓ ATP | ţ | | | ↑ apoptosis ↔ necrosis | -JQ1 inhibited c-myc, glycolysis, and cell proliferation. | [43] |
| CP90, 0V90 | -MICU1 silencing (siRNA-MICU1 transfection, 48- 96 h) | | | ţ | | ↓ p-PDH ↑ PDH | Î | ţ | ↑ complex III | ţ | ţ | ţ | ↓ motility | -Silencing MICU1 decreased glycolysis, cell growth, and invasiveness but increased OXPHOS shifting enzymes and OXPHOS metabolism. | [45] |
| OVCAR3, SKOV3 | -MPC1 inhibitor (20 μΜ, 1 week) | ţ | | | ↑ ECAR | | | | ↓ mt- pyruvate ↓ ATP | \leftrightarrow | | Ť | ↑ stemness markers ↑ motility ↑ viability | -MPC1 inhibitor resulted in increased glycolysis, stemness markers, migration, and motility. | [48] |
| A549, SKOV3 | -FAM210B silencing (siRNA transfection, 48 h) | i ↓ | | ţ | ↓ ECAR | ↓ PDK4 ↓ p-PDH | ſ | \leftrightarrow | | | | ţ | ↑ viability ↑ EMT | -Loss of mitochondria protein FAM210B caused an increased OXPHOS and promoted cell aggres- siveness. | [64] |
| OVCAR3, SKOV3 | -NOS inhibitor (10 mM, 48 h) | ţ | ↑ HK2 | Ţ | | | ţ | 1 | ↓ pyruvate uptake | ţ | | | | -NO could induce glycolysis, impaired OXPHOS, and cell | [46] |
| | -NO donor (100 μM, 24 h) | t t | | Î | | | | Ļ | ↓ complex II. III. IV | | | | | growth. | |

| CP70, SKOV3 | -PKM2 inhibitor, shikonin (3 μΜ, 5 h) -Control (7 h) | Ţ | ↓ PKM2 | Ţ | ↓ ECAR | ↔ | ţ | ţ | -Inhibition of PKM2 caused a decrease in glycolysis, cell proliferation, and migration. | [47] |
|-------------|---|---|----------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|------|
| SKOV3 | -Ad-CHIP (CHIP 5 μM, 4 h) | | ↔ HK ↓ PKM2 | | ↓ pyruvate ↓ ECAR ↓ glycolytic capacity | Î | Ţ | | -CHIP decreased gly- colysis and inhibited cell proliferation. | [44] |

The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding or the cells' oncologic activities, i.e. cell growth, invasion, migration and viability, with a *P* value <0.05. The arrow $\uparrow\uparrow$ or $\downarrow\downarrow$ denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding or the cells' oncologic activities, i.e. cell growth, invasion, migration and viability, with a *P* value <0.05. The arrow $\uparrow\uparrow$ or $\downarrow\downarrow$ denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding or the cells' oncologic activities, i.e. cell growth, invasion, migration and viability, with a *P* value <0.05. The arrow $\uparrow\uparrow$ or $\downarrow\downarrow$ denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding or the cells' oncologic activities with a *P* value <0.01. Abbreviations: Ad-; adenovirus infected, CHIP; carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein, ECAR; extracellular acidification rate, EMT; epithelial-mesenchymal transition, HK; hexokinase, LDH; lactate dehydrogenase, MICU1; mitochondrial calcium uptake 1, miR; microRNA, MPC; mitochondrial pyruvate carrier, mt-; mitochondrial, NO; nitric oxide, NOS; nitric oxide synthase, OXPHOS; oxidative phosphorylation, OCR; oxgen consumption rate, p-PDH; phosphorylated-pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDH; pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDK; pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, PFK; phosphofructokinase, PKM2; pyruvate kinase M2, PTTG; pituitary tumor-transforming gene, ROS; reactive oxygen species

Table 6. The comparative effects of glycolytic and OXPHOS interventions on epithelial ovarian cancer cell metabolism and invasive properties: *in vivo* studies

| | | | | | Major findings | | | | | _ | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------|--------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------|
| Study in mouse models | Intervention | Glycoly fin | tic-related dings | OXP | HOS-related finding | gs | outcor | Oncolog ne-relate | ical d findings | Interpretation | References |
| | (dose, duration) | Glycolytic enzymes | Others | OXPHOS enzymes | Metabolites | Others | Growth | Volume /weight | Others | - | |
| Ovaries intrabursally implanted with OV90 | -MICU1 silencing (shMICU1 transfec- tion, 48-72 h) | ↓ LDH | ↓ lactate | ↓ p-PDH ↑ PDH | | | ţ | ţ | ↑ apoptosis ↑ median survival | -Silencing MICU1 caused decreasing glycolysis, tumor growth, and mouse survival. | [45] |
| Serous EOC with somatic deletion of Brca1 and p53 inactivation | -High-fat diet (obese) | | ↓ F6P, pyruvate | | ↑ succinate | | Î | | | -Obese mice had less glycolytic activ- | [50] |
| | -Low-fat diet (control) | | | | ↓ fumarate, malate | | | | | ity but had more tumor growth than | |
| | -Metformin (200 mg/kg, oral gavage × 4 weeks) in obese mice | | ↑ G6P, F6P, FBP, 2,3-DPG, PEP, lactate | | ↑ α-ketoglutarate, fumarate, malate | | ţ | ţ | ↑ caspase-3 ↓ VEGF | -Metformin induced glycolysis, decreased angio- genesis, cell prolif- | |
| | -Metformin (200 mg/kg, oral gavage × 4 weeks) in lean mice (control) | | | | ↓ succinate | | | | ↓ MMP 9 | eration, and invasive properties. | |
| ID8 cells intraperitoneal injection | -SP-/- (SPARC gene) | † HK2 | ↑ G6P, F6P, pyruvate, lactate | ↑ SUCLG1, SUCLG2, ME2, IDH1 | ↑ succinate | ↑ ROS | Î | | | -Absence of SPARC genes increased glycolysis and | [49] |
| | -SP+/+ (control) | ↑ TPI1 ↑ PGK1 ↑ PHGDH | | | ↓ fumarate, citrate ↑ complex I, III, IV, V | | | | | OXPHOS activity and supported tumor growth. | |

The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding or tumor oncologic activities, with a P value <0.05. Abbreviations: 2,3-DPG; 2,3-diphosphoglycerate, EOC; epithelial ovarian cancer, F6P; fructose-6-phosphate, FBP; fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, G6P; glucose-6-phosphate, HK2; hexokinase 2, IDH; isocitrate dehydrogenase, ip; intraperitoneal, LDH; lactate dehydrogenase, ME; malic enzyme, MICU1; mitochondrial calcium uptake 1, MMP; matrix metalloproteinase, OXPHOS; oxidative phosphorylation, p-PDH; phosphorylated-pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDH; pyruvate dehydrogenase, PEP; phosphoenolpyruvate, PGK1; phosphoglycerate kinase 1, PHGDH; phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase, ROS; reactive oxygen species, SPARC; secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine, SUCLG; succinyl-CoA ligase, TP11; triosephosphate isomerase, VEGF; vascular endothelial growth factor.

| | | | | Major | findings | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------|--|---|------------|
| Study Model | Glyce | olytic-rela | ted find | ings | OX | PHOS-re | elated | findings | | Poforoncos |
| Study Model | Glycolytic enzymes | Lactate | Basal ECAR | Others | OXPHOS enzymes | Basal OCR | ROS | Others | | Relefences |
| -Chemoresistant human serous ovarian carcinoma (C200, PE04) | ↔ LDHa | | 1 | ↑ GLUT1 | ↑↑ CoxVb | 1 | 1 | ↑ OCR/ECAR | -Chemoresistant cells had higher metabolic states than chemosensi- | [51] |
| -Chemosensitive human ovarian carcinoma (A2780, PEO1) (control) | | | | ↑ glycolytic capacity | | | | ↑ ATP ↑ respiratory reserve ↑↑ PGC-1α | tive cells. | |
| -Human platinum-resistant HGSC (PEA2) | ↓ PFK | | Ţ | ↓ glycolytic capacity | | \leftrightarrow | Î | ↑ maximal OCR | -Platinum resistant cells had higher OXPHOS but had lower glycolysis than platinum-sensitive cells. | [36] |
| -Human platinum-sensitive HGSC (PEA1) (control) | ↓ GAPDH | | | | | | | ↑ BEC index | | |
| -Cisplatin resistant human ovarian carcinoma (SKOV3/DDP) | ↑ PFK | Ļ | Ļ | ↑ Glut1 | $\leftrightarrow PDK1$ | 1 | 1 | ↑ ATP | -Cisplatin resistant cells had higher | [52] |
| -Cisplatin sensitive human ovarian carcinoma (SKOV3) (control) | ↑ LDHA | | | ↑ glucose uptake | | | | | OXPHOS and glucose metabolism than cisplatin-sensitive cells. | |
| -Chemoresistant human ovarian carcinoma (C13, HeyA8MDR) | ↑ PFK | Î | | ↑ glucose uptake | | ţ | | ↑ ATP | -Chemoresistant cells had higher glycolysis compared to sensitive cells. | [19] |
| -Chemosensitive human ovarian carcinoma (HeyA8, OV2008) (control) | ↑ LDH | | | ↔ F2,6BP | | | | | | |
| -Cisplatin resistant human ovarian carcinoma (A2780/DDP) | ↑ HK2 | Î | | ↑ glucose uptake | | ţ | ţ | ↓ citrate | -Cisplatin resistant cells had higher glycolysis than cisplatin sensitive | [53] |
| -Cisplatin sensitive human ovarian carcinoma (A2780) (control) | ↑ LDH | | | | | | | | cells. | |

Table 7. Characteristics of epithelial ovarian cancer cell metabolism in relation to chemosensitive and chemoresistant cell lines

The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding with a P value <0.05. The arrow $\uparrow\uparrow$ or $\downarrow\downarrow$ denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding with a P value <0.01. Abbreviations: BEC index; BioEnergetic Cellular index, CoxVb; cytochrome c oxidase subunit Vb, ECAR; extracellular acidification rate, F2,6BP; fructose-2,6-bisphosphate, GAPDH; glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, HGSC; high-grade serous adenocarcinoma, HK2; Hexokinase 2, LDH; lactate dehydrogenase, OCR; oxygen consumption rate, OXPHOS; oxidative phosphorylation, PDK; pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, PFK; Phosphofructokinase, PGC-1 α ; peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha, ROS; Reactive oxygen species.

chemosensitive EOC cell lines, the results differing between the cell lines [19, 36, 51-53]. Chemoresistant serous C200 and PEO4 showed higher glycolytic activity and higher OXPHOS activity, with a higher proportion of OXPHOS activity than glycolysis, compared to chemosensitive serous A2780 and PE01 [51]. Platinum-resistant HGSC PEA2 had lower overall glucose metabolism, as indicated by its lower levels of glycolytic enzymes and glycolytic capacity, lower ECAR, but higher OXPHOS than platinum-sensitive HGSC PEA1 [36]. A cisplatin-resistant ovarian adenocarcinoma, SKOV3/ DDP, demonstrated higher glucose uptake and higher levels of glycolytic enzymes but lower ECAR and lactate levels than a cisplatinsensitive cell line, SKOV3 [52]. In addition, SKOV3/DDP displayed higher OXPHOS than SKOV3, as indicated by the greater basal OCR and ROS [52]. These findings suggest that the resistant cell line SKOV3/DDP showed increased glycolysis, resulting in increased OXPHOS via the increased entering of substrates into the TCA cycle. In contrast, the chemoresistant ovarian adenocarcinoma variants C13, HeyA8-MDR, and A2780/DDP had a lower OXPHOS levels, as indicated by the lower basal OCR and ROS, but higher glycolysis, as indicated by the higher glycolytic enzyme levels and the higher glucose uptake and lactate levels than in chemosensitive ovarian adenocarcinoma-OV2008, HeyA8, and A2780 [19, 53].

In summary, the studies on chemoresistant cell lines indicated that they could be classified into three groups according to their dominant metabolic activity: first, cells that show a prevalence of glycolysis, for example C13, HeyA8MDR, and A2780/DDP; second, cells that have a prevalence of OXPHOS, including HGSC PEA2 and SKOV3/DDP; finally, cells that are dominant in both glycolysis and OXPHOS, serous C200 and PEO4. These findings indicate the potential targets for therapeutic strategies via metabolic regulation depending on the type of resistance exhibited by the cells.

Effects of metabolic interventions on epithelial ovarian cancer chemoresistant cell lines

The effects of metabolic interventions, including glycolytic or OXPHOS inhibition, on the alterations of the chemoresistant or chemosensitive properties of the EOC cell lines are summarized in **Table 8**. Compared with the chemosensitive cell lines (A2780, PEA1, and SKOV), the chemoresistant cell lines (C200, HGSC, PEA2, and SKOV/DDP) were more tolerant of glycolytic stress [36, 51, 52]. In other words, these resistant cells could shift the glycolysis toward OXPHOS and maintain viability when they were cultured in glucose-free media or treated with a glycolytic inhibitor: 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG).

The induction of chemosensitization with glycolytic inhibition using a glucose-free medium, and a PFK inhibitor in a chemoresistant cell line, 2-DG, was investigated. Treatment with a combination of a glycolytic inhibitor and conventional chemotherapy resulted in a significant decrease in cell viability when compared to chemotherapy alone in some resistant cell lines such as PEO4 (serous carcinoma), adenocarcinoma C13, and HeyA8MDR [19, 51, 54]. An in vivo study using a combined glycolytic inhibitor (PFK158) and chemotherapy (carboplatin/paclitaxel) package also demonstrated consistent results in HeyA8MDR tumor-bearing mice, the PFK158 inducing chemosensitivity [19]. Nevertheless, that combination did not significantly alter the cell viability in serous carcinoma C200 [51]. Previous clinical studies observed that OCCC also has a low sensitivity to chemotherapy [55, 56]. Its chemoresistant property is possibly due to its higher HNF-1β, leading to more aerobic glycolysis, leading to a reduction in ROS and resulting in the chemoresistant property of the EOC cells [57, 58]. After the knockdown of HNF-1ß in OCCC (RMG2 and JHOC5), a glycolytic shift was inhibited, resulting in a more cisplatin-sensitive property of these EOC cells [33].

The induction of chemosensitization in the chemoresistant cell lines using a combination of chemotherapy and an OXPHOS inhibitor was also investigated. An OXPHOS inhibitor-oligomycin-can induce chemosensitization in the chemoresistant EOC cell lines: serous carcinoma, C200, and PEO4 [51]. Metformin is considered an OXPHOS inhibitor because it can inhibit mitochondrial complex I (NADH dehydrogenase) and subsequently decrease mitochondrial ATP production [16, 59]. The combined treatment of metformin and cisplatin can decrease cell viability and increase apoptosis in the chemoresistant cell line [36]. Another study used a

| | | | | | | Μ | ajor fir | ndings | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|---|------------|
| Human Ovarian | Intervention (Dose/ | Glyc | olytic-rel | ated fi | ndings | OXPH | lOS-rel | lated f | indings | Oncolo | gical outco | nes | Interpretation | Poforonooc |
| Cell Types | Duration) | Glycolytic enzymes | Lactate | Basal ECAR | Others | OXPHOS enzymes | Basal OCR | ROS | Others | Proliferation | Apoptosis | Viability | | References |
| -Chemoresistant ovarian carcinoma (C200) | -Glucose-free media (48 h) | | | <u> </u> | ↑↑ glycolytic capacity | | <u>î</u> | | ↑↑ max. respiration ↑↑ ATP | <u>†</u> † | | | -Chemoresistant cells had higher metabolic state than chemosen- | [51] |
| -Chemosensitive ovarian carcinoma (A2780) (control) | -Glucose-free media + pyruvate (1 mM, 48 h) | | | 11 | ↑↑ glycolytic capacity | | †† | | ↑↑ max. respiration ↑↑ ATP | ↑ ↑ | | | sitive cells, and could shift toward OXPHOS or glycolysis under | |
| | -Glucose supplement (10 mM, 48 h) | | | † † | ↔ glycolytic capacity | | <u>†</u> † | | ↑↑ max. respiration ↑ ATP | | | | stress conditions. | |
| | -2-DG (100-200 mM, 48 h) | | | | | | | | | | | † † | | |
| | -Oligomycin (0.1-2 µM, 48 h) | | | | | | | | | | | \leftrightarrow | | |
| -Chemosensitive ovarian carcinoma | -2-DG (6.25, 25, 100 mM, 48 h) | | | ţţ | ↑↑ glycolytic capacity | | \leftrightarrow | | ↑↑ max. respiration | Ļ | | \leftrightarrow | -Chemosensitive cells might use glycolysis | |
| (A2780) | -Oligomycin (0.31-1.25 μM, 48 h) | | | 11 | | | ţţ | | | | | | as the main pathway and could increase the metabolic state when | |
| | -Cisplatin (1 µM, 24-48 h) | | | 11 | | | <u>†</u> † | | | | | | using non-toxic con- centration of cisplatin. | |
| -Chemoresistant HGSC (PEO4) | -Cisplatin (10 mM, 48 h) + oligomycin (0.1 mM, 48 h) | | | | | | | | | | | ţţ | -Resistant cells had the ability to shift metabolism type, and | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | decreased viability | |
| | -Cisplatin (10 mM, 48 h) + 2-DG (100 mM, 48 h) | | | | | | | | | | | ţţ | combined OXPHOS inhibitor with cisplatin. | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -Chemoresistant serous ovarian carci- noma (C200) | -2-DG (6.25, 25, 100 mM, 48 h) | | | ţţ | | | <u>†</u> † | | | ţ | | | | |
| | -Oligomycin (0.31-1.25 µM, 48 h) | | | 11 | | | ţţ | | | | | | | |
| | -Cisplatin (10 mM, 48 h) + oligomycin (0.1 mM, 48 h) | | | | | | | | | | | ţţ | | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -Cisplatin (10 mM, 48 h) + 2-DG (100 mM, 48 h) | | | | | | | | | | | \leftrightarrow | | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 8. The Effects of the metabolic interventions on the epithelial ovarian cancer chemoresistant cell lines and the metabolic interventions that alter the chemosensitization properties: reports from *in vitro* studies

| -Platinum resistant HGSC (PEA2) | -PEA2 and PEA1 in low-glucose medium (glucose 1 g/L, 72 h) | | | | | | | Î | -Platinum-resistant cells were more tolerate to glucose deprivation. | [36] |
|--|---|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|------|
| -Platinum sensi- tive HGSC (PEA1) (control) | | | | | | | | | | |
| -Platinum sensitive HGSC (PEA1) | -TRAP1 silencing (siRNA transfection, 72 h) | ↔ PFK | \leftrightarrow | ↔ glycolytic capacity | ↑ ↑ | ↑ BEC index | | | -Increasing OXPHOS by TRAP1 silencing or OXPHOS inducer | |
| | -Cisplatin (20 µM, 24 h) | | | | | | <u>†</u> † | ţ | could induce platinum resistant in platinum | |
| | -Cisplatin + OXPHOS inducer (FCCP 1.2 μM, 24 h) | | | | | | ţ | † † | sensitive HGSC. | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) -Cisplatin + TRAP1 silencing | | | | | | Ļ | î | | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) -Cisplatin + TRAP1 silencing + metformin | | | | | | | ţ | | |
| | -Cisplatin + TRAP1 silencing (control) | | | | | | | | | |
| -Platinum resistant HGSC (PEA2) | -TRAP1 silencing (siRNA transfection, 72 h) | | | | | ↔ BEC index | | | -Using complex I inhibi- tor, metformin, could induce chemosen- | |
| | -Cisplatin (40 µM, 24 h) | | | | | | 1 | ţ | sitivity in platinum resistant HGSC. | |
| | -Metformin (10 mM, 24 h) | | | | | | \leftrightarrow | ţ | | |
| | -Cisplatin + metformin | | | | | | 1 | ↓↓ | | |
| -Cisplatin resistant carcinoma (SKOV3/ DDP) | -2-DG (10 mM, 24 h) | | | | | | | ſ | -Cisplatin resistant cells had higher OXPHOS, were less | [52] |
| -Cisplatin sensitive carcinoma (SKOV3) (control) | -OXPHOS inducer (FCCP 2.5 µM, 24 h) | | | | Î | ↑ respira- tory reserve | | | sensitive to glucose deprivation, but use of glycolysis or anti- | |
| -Cisplatin sensitive ovarian carcinoma | -Glucose-free media (24 h) | | | | | | | ţ | apoptotic inhibitors could increase cell apoptosis | |
| (SKOV3) | -Bcl-2 inhibitor (ABT737 10 µM, 24 h) | | | ↓ HIF-1α | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow ATP | \leftrightarrow | | apop.0000. | |
| | -Cisplatin (6 µg/mL, 24 h) | | | | ↓↓ | ↓↓ ATP | † † | | | |
| | -Bcl-2 inhibitor + cisplatin | | | | ţ | ↓↓ ATP | † † | | | |

| -Cisplatin resistant ovarian carcinoma | -Glucose deprivation (glucose-free medium) | | | | | | | | | ţ | | |
|---|--|---|---|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------|---|---|------|
| (SKOV3/DDP) | -Glucose deprivation + 2-DG (10 mM, 24 h) | | | | | | | | | ţ | | |
| | -Glucose deprivation (control) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -Bcl-2 inhibitor (ABT737 10 μΜ, 24 h) | | | ↓ HIF-1α | | Ļ | | ↓↓ ATP | † † | | | |
| | -Cisplatin (6 µg/mL, 24 h) | | | | | \leftrightarrow | | ↓↓ ATP | † † | | | |
| | -Bcl-2 inhibitor + cisplatin | | | | | ţţ | | ↓↓ ATP | † † | | | |
| | -Bcl-2 inhibitor + 2-DG ↓ HK2 | | | ↓ Glut1 ↓ HIF-1α | ↓ PDHB ↓ IDH1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| -Chemosensitive ovarian carcinoma (HeyA8, OV2008) | -PFKFB3 inhibitor (PFK158, 10-15 μM, 30 min) | ţ | | ↓ glucose uptake | | | | | | | -Inhibition of PFKFB3 could resensitize che- moresistant cells. | [19] |
| | -PFKFB3 inhibitor (PFK158, 10 μM, 24 h) | | | | | | | | \leftrightarrow | | | |
| | -CBP (77 μM, 24 h) or PTX (0.2 μM, 24 h) | | | | | | | | \leftrightarrow | | | |
| | -PFKFB3 inhibitor + CBP or PTX | | | | | | | | † † | | | |
| -Chemoresistant ovarian carcinoma | -PFKFB3 inhibitor (PFK158, 10-15 μM, 30 min) | Ţ | | ↓ glucose uptake | | | | | | | | |
| | -PFKFB3 inhibitor (PFK158, 10 μM, 24 h) | | | | | | | | \leftrightarrow | | | |
| | -CBP (453 μM, 24 h) or PTX (3.59 μM, 24 h) | | | | | | | | \leftrightarrow | | | |
| | -PFKFB3 inhibitor + CBP or PTX | | | | | | | | †† | | | |
| -Ovarian carcinoma (SKOV3, OVCAR3) | -MPC1 inhibitor (20 μM, 1 week | | Î | ↑ glucose uptake | | | | ↓ mt- pyruvate ↓ ATP | | 1 | -MPC1 knockout pro- moted glycolysis and induced chemoresis- | [48] |
| | -MPC1 inhibitor + docetaxel (10 nM, 2 weeks) | | | | | | | | | Î | tance. | |

| -Ovarian carcinoma (SKOV3, COC1) | -BM-MSC-CM (miR- 1180 abundant 24 h) | ↑ HK2 | | Î | | ↑ PDK1 | | | ↑ ATP | Î | | 1 | -MiR-1180 induced glycolysis and chemo- | [17] |
|--|--|--|-------------------|---|----------|---------|---|---|------------------|---|---|---|--|------|
| | -Standard medium (control) | ↑ PKM2 ↑ LDHA | | | | | | | | | | | resistance. | |
| | -Glycolytic inducer (oligomycin 2 mg/mL, 24 h) | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -BM-MSC-CM + cispla- tin (0.5 mg/L, 24 h) | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -Cisplatin (0.5 mg/L, 24 h) + oligomycin (2 mg/mL, 24 h) | | | | | | | | | Î | | 1 | | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -Anti-miR-1180 in BM- MSC-CM + cisplatin (0.5 mg/L, 40 min for ECAR, 5 days for proliferation) | | | Ţ | | | | | ↓ ATP | Ţ | | Ţ | | |
| | -control miRNA in BM- MSC-CM + cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -Ovarian carci- noma (433, A2780, SKOV3, OVCAR3) | -Pim1 overexpression (72 h) | ↓ PGK1, PGAM1, ENO1, PKM, LDHA | † | Î | ↓ GLUT1 | | ţ | | | Î | | Ť | -Inhibition of Pim1 reduced glycolysis and induced chemosensiti- zation to cisplatin. | [65] |
| | -Pim1 silencing (shPim1/siPim1 trans- fection, 72 h) | | ţ | ţ | ↔ HIF-1α | | Î | | | ţ | | ţ | | |
| | -Pim1 inhibitor (4 nM) + cisplatin (1 µM), 48 h | | | | | | | | | | | ţ | | |
| | -Cisplatin alone (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -Ovarian carcinoma (CP90, OV90) | -MICU1 silencing (siRNA transfection, 48-96 h) | | ţ | | | ↓ p-PDH | 1 | Î | ↑ complex III | ţ | Î | ţ | -Silencing MICU1 induced 0XPH0S and enhanced cytotoxic effects of cisplatin in ovarian cancer cells. | [45] |
| | -MICU1 silencing + cisplatin (10-20 $\mu M)/$ topotecan (5-10 $\mu M)/$ PTX (10-25 $\mu M)/$ doxorubicin (1-2 $\mu M),$ 48 h | | \leftrightarrow | | | ↑ PDH | | ţ | | ţ | | | | |
| | -Cisplatin/topotecan/ paclitaxel/doxorubicin (control) | | | | | ↑ PDH | | | | | | | | |

| -Normal ovarian epi- thelial cells (OSE) | -MICU overexpression (1 µg) | | ↑ | | ↑ p-PDH | ţ | | | Î | | | -MICU overexpression induced glycolysis and | [45] |
|---|---|---------------|---|---|---------|---|-------------------|---|---|-------------------|----|---|------|
| | -MICU overexpression (1 μg) + cisplatin (2.5 μM), 48 h | | | | ↓ PDH | | | | | | | chemoresistance in normal ovarian cells. | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -OCCC (RMG2, JHOC5) | -Knockdown HNF1ß (sh-HNF1ß transfec- tion) | ↓HK1 ↓LDHA | Ţ | † 3-PG † PEP † pyruvate ↓ MCT4 | | | ţ | ↑ citrate, 2-oxogluta- rate ↔ ATP ↓ fumarate, malate | | Ť | | -Silencing HNF-1ß caused OCCC cells impaired adaptation to hypoxic conditions, increased resistance to glucose depriva- tion, and enhanced cytotoxicity of cisplatin in hypoxic conditions. | [33] |
| | -Hypoxic condition (2% 02, 24/48 h) | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | -Knockdown HNF-1ß + Hypoxic condition | | | | | | | | | | ţ | | |
| | -Control + hypoxic condition | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -Knockdown HNF-1ß + glucose-free medium (24/48 h) | | | | | | | | | | Î | | |
| | -Cisplatin (20-80 µM + sh-HNF1ß in hypoxic condition | | | | | | | | | | ţţ | | |
| | -Cisplatin treated in control cells in hypoxic condition | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -Cisplatin sensitive serous carcinoma (A2780, PE01) | -Cisplatin (50 µM, 24 h) | | | ↓HIF-1α | | | Î | | | 1 | Î | -Cisplatin down- regulated HIF-1 α and | [54] |
| | -NAC (5 mM, 24 h) + cisplatin (20 µM, 72 h) | | | | | | | | | ţ | | induced apoptosis only in cisplatin sensi- tive cells. -HIF-1α knockdown | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | could resensitize | |
| -Cisplatin resistant serous carcinoma | -Cisplatin (50 μM, 24 h) | ↓ LDHA | | ↔ HIF-1α | | | \leftrightarrow | | | \leftrightarrow | ţ | cisplatin-resistant cells. | |
| (A2780/CP, PE04) | -HIF-1 α silencing (siHIF1 transfection, 72 h) + cisplatin (20 μ M, 24 h) | | | | | | Î | | | Î | | | |
| | -Cisplatin (control) | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The arrow \uparrow or \downarrow denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding or oncological activities with a *P* value <0.05. The arrow $\uparrow\uparrow$ or $\downarrow\downarrow$ denotes a significant increase or decrease in the metabolic-related finding or oncological activities with a *P* value <0.01. Abbreviations: 3-PG; 3-phosphoglycerate, BEC index; BioEnergetic Cellular index, BM-MSC-CM; Condition media of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells, CBP; carboplatin, ECAR; extracellular acidification rate, ENO; enclase, FCCP; carbonilcyanide p-triflouromethoxyphenylhydrazone, HGSC; high grade serous adenocarcinoma, HIF-10; hypoxia-induced transcription factor, HK2; Hexokinase 2, HNF-18; Hepatocyte nuclear factor 18, IDH; isocitrate dehydrogenase, LDH; lactate dehydrogenase, MCT4; Monocarboxylate transporter 4, MICU1; Mitochondrial calcium uptake 1, miR; microRNA, MPC; mitochondrial pyruvate carrier, mt-; mitochondrial, NAC; N-acetyl cysteine, OCCC; Ovarian clear cell carcinoma, OCR; oxygen consumption rate, OXPHOS; oxidative phosphorylated-pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDH; pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDK; pyruvate dehydrogenase, PEP; phospho-enolpyruvate, PFK; Phosphofructokinase, PFK; PB3; 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-biphosphatase 3, PGAM; phosphoglycerate mutase, PGK; phosphoglycerate kinase, PKB2; Pyruvate kinase M2, PTX; paclitaxel, ROS; Reactive oxygen species, TRAP-1; Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated protein 1.

combination of cisplatin and a Bcl-2 inhibitor, which mimics the OXPHOS inhibitor. The results showed that the Bcl-2 inhibitor enhances the cisplatin-sensitive property of SKOV3/DDP, as indicated by the increased apoptosis of the pertinent cells [52].

In summary, the diminution of the dominant metabolic pathway used by chemoresistant EOC cells can enhance chemotherapy cytotoxicity, i.e. the increased chemosensitive property of the cells. This is indicated by the increased cell apoptosis, as well as the decreased cell proliferation and viability.

Conclusion

EOC preferentially uses aerobic glycolysis and mitochondrial OXPHOS to provide sufficient energy to the cancer cells and to promote cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis. The primary dominant metabolic pathway used by EOCs can differ depending on the histological cell type, the tumor aggressiveness, and the tumor microenvironment. To overcome any chemoresistant properties, a potential strategic intervention is to attenuate the preferred primary metabolism of the EOC cells. Future studies are needed to determine the clinical usefulness of these strategies in EOC patients.

Future directions and clinical applications

Although there is a wealth of evidence from previous studies regarding the metabolic changes in EOC cells and the impact of the dominant metabolic pathway of EOC cells on their invasiveness and chemoresistance, most studies have had to interpret the results from either few metabolites or few metabolic enzymes in a single aspect. To increase the knowledge surrounding this complex area further, comprehensive studies investigating the whole network of the potential metabolic pathways, glycolysis, the TCA cycle, OXPHOS, lipid oxidation, and amino acid metabolism are required. Also, several prior studies by necessity were conducted solely in in vitro scenarios, so only a few chemotherapy regimens were investigated. Therefore, future investigations into the efficacy of a range of chemotherapy regimens are needed to improve our fundamental understanding regarding their effects on the metabolic changes in cancer cells. In addition, a better understanding of the metabolic reprogramming of EOC cells could lead to the development of novel targeted therapies which may be used as alternatives to the standard chemotherapy options to slow down tumor progression and decrease the EOC mortality rate.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Thailand Science Research and Innovation grant no. MRG62-80014 (C.T.), by a Senior Research Scholar grant from the National Research Council of Thailand (S.C.), by a NSTDA Research Chair grant from the National Science and Technology Development Agency Thailand (N.C.), and by a Chiang Mai University Center of Excellence Award (N.C.).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Drs. Nipon Chattipakorn and Chanisa Thonusin, Cardiac Electrophysiology Research and Training Center, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand. Tel: +66-53-935329; Fax: +66-53-935-368; E-mail: nchattip@gmail.com (NC); cthonusin@ gmail.com (CT)

References

- [1] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA and Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424.
- [2] Chi DSB AD, Don S, Yashar and Catheryn M. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Principles and Practice of Gynecologic Oncology. 7th. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2017. pp. 611-675.
- [3] Heintz AP, Odicino F, Maisonneuve P, Quinn MA, Benedet JL, Creasman WT, Ngan HY, Pecorelli S and Beller U. Carcinoma of the ovary. FIGO 26th annual report on the results of treatment in gynecological cancer. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2006; 95 Suppl 1: S161-192.
- [4] Fung-Kee-Fung M, Oliver T, Elit L, Oza A, Hirte HW and Bryson P. Optimal chemotherapy treatment for women with recurrent ovarian cancer. Curr Oncol 2007; 14: 195-208.
- [5] Ali AY, Farrand L, Kim JY, Byun S, Suh JY, Lee HJ and Tsang BK. Molecular determinants of ovarian cancer chemoresistance: new insights into

an old conundrum. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2012; 1271: 58-67.

- [6] Crawford S. Is it time for a new paradigm for systemic cancer treatment? Lessons from a century of cancer chemotherapy. Front Pharmacol 2013; 4: 68.
- [7] Zahreddine H and Borden KL. Mechanisms and insights into drug resistance in cancer. Front Pharmacol 2013; 4: 28.
- [8] Hanahan D and Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011; 144: 646-674.
- [9] Warburg O. On the origin of cancer cells. Science 1956; 123: 309-314.
- [10] Bhattacharya B, Mohd Omar MF and Soong R. The Warburg effect and drug resistance. Br J Pharmacol 2016; 173: 970-979.
- [11] Hirschhaeuser F, Sattler UG and Mueller-Klieser W. Lactate: a metabolic key player in cancer. Cancer Res 2011; 71: 6921-6925.
- [12] Gatenby RA and Gillies RJ. Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis? Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4: 891-899.
- [13] Kozlovski I, Siegfried Z, Amar-Schwartz A and Karni R. The role of RNA alternative splicing in regulating cancer metabolism. Hum Genet 2017; 136: 1113-1127.
- [14] Suh DH, Kim HS, Kim B and Song YS. Metabolic orchestration between cancer cells and tumor microenvironment as a co-evolutionary source of chemoresistance in ovarian cancer: a therapeutic implication. Biochem Pharmacol 2014; 92: 43-54.
- [15] Nayak AP, Kapur A, Barroilhet L and Patankar MS. Oxidative phosphorylation: a target for novel therapeutic strategies against ovarian cancer. Cancers (Basel) 2018; 10: 337.
- [16] Gentric G, Kieffer Y, Mieulet V, Goundiam O, Bonneau C, Nemati F, Hurbain I, Raposo G, Popova T, Stern MH, Lallemand-Breitenbach V, Müller S, Cañeque T, Rodriguez R, Vincent-Salomon A, de Thé H, Rossignol R and Mechta-Grigoriou F. PML-regulated mitochondrial metabolism enhances chemosensitivity in human ovarian cancers. Cell Metab 2019; 29: 156-173, e110.
- [17] Gu ZW, He YF, Wang WJ, Tian Q and Di W. MiR-1180 from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells induces glycolysis and chemoresistance in ovarian cancer cells by upregulating the Wnt signaling pathway. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2019; 20: 219-237.
- [18] Lu J, Wang L, Chen W, Wang Y, Zhen S, Chen H, Cheng J, Zhou Y, Li X and Zhao L. miR-603 targeted hexokinase-2 to inhibit the malignancy of ovarian cancer cells. Arch Biochem Biophys 2019; 661: 1-9.
- [19] Mondal S, Roy D, Sarkar Bhattacharya S, Jin L, Jung D, Zhang S, Kalogera E, Staub J, Wang Y, Xuyang W, Khurana A, Chien J, Telang S,

Chesney J, Tapolsky G, Petras D and Shridhar V. Therapeutic targeting of PFKFB3 with a novel glycolytic inhibitor PFK158 promotes lipophagy and chemosensitivity in gynecologic cancers. Int J Cancer 2019; 144: 178-189.

- [20] Nagao A, Kobayashi M, Koyasu S, Chow CCT and Harada H. HIF-1-Dependent reprogramming of glucose metabolic pathway of cancer cells and its therapeutic significance. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20: 238.
- [21] Apte S and Sarangarajan R. Cellular respiration and carcinogenesis. Humana Press; 2008.
- [22] Weinberg RA. Cancer cells exhibit an altered energy metabolism. In: Zayatz E, editor. The biology of Cancer. New York, USA: Garland Science, Taylor & Francis Group; 2014. pp. 53-55.
- [23] Snaebjornsson MT and Schulze A. Non-canonical functions of enzymes facilitate cross-talk between cell metabolic and regulatory pathways. Exp Mol Med 2018; 50: 1-16.
- [24] Izdebska M, Zielińska W, Grzanka D and Gagat M. The role of actin dynamics and actin-binding proteins expression in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and its association with cancer progression and evaluation of possible therapeutic targets. Biomed Res Int 2018; 2018: 4578373.
- [25] Dier U, Shin DH, Hemachandra LP, Uusitalo LM and Hempel N. Bioenergetic analysis of ovarian cancer cell lines: profiling of histological subtypes and identification of a mitochondriadefective cell line. PLoS One 2014; 9: e98479.
- [26] Li N, Zhan X and Zhan X. The IncRNA SNHG3 regulates energy metabolism of ovarian cancer by an analysis of mitochondrial proteomes. Gynecol Oncol 2018; 150: 343-354.
- [27] Anderson AS, Roberts PC, Frisard MI, McMillan RP, Brown TJ, Lawless MH, Hulver MW and Schmelz EM. Metabolic changes during ovarian cancer progression as targets for sphingosine treatment. Exp Cell Res 2013; 319: 1431-1442.
- [28] Caneba CA, Bellance N, Yang L, Pabst L and Nagrath D. Pyruvate uptake is increased in highly invasive ovarian cancer cells under anoikis conditions for anaplerosis, mitochondrial function, and migration. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2012; 303: E1036-1052.
- [29] Li L, Zhu L, Hao B, Gao W, Wang Q, Li K, Wang M, Huang M, Liu Z, Yang Q, Li X, Zhong Z, Huang W, Xiao G, Xu Y, Yao K and Liu Q. NOS-derived nitric oxide promotes glycolysis by inducing pyruvate kinase M2 nuclear translocation in ovarian cancer. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 33047-33063.
- [30] Wang Y, Yun Y, Wu B, Wen L, Wen M, Yang H, Zhao L, Liu W, Huang S, Wen N and Li Y. FOXM1 promotes reprogramming of glucose metabolism in epithelial ovarian cancer cells via acti-

vation of GLUT1 and HK2 transcription. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 47985-47997.

- [31] Yang Y, Cao Y, Chen L, Liu F, Qi Z, Cheng X and Wang Z. Cryptotanshinone suppresses cell proliferation and glucose metabolism via STAT3/SIRT3 signaling pathway in ovarian cancer cells. Cancer Med 2018; 7: 4610-4618.
- [32] Fong MY, McDunn J and Kakar SS. Identification of metabolites in the normal ovary and their transformation in primary and metastatic ovarian cancer. PLoS One 2011; 6: e19963.
- [33] Amano Y, Mandai M, Yamaguchi K, Matsumura N, Kharma B, Baba T, Abiko K, Hamanishi J, Yoshioka Y and Konishi I. Metabolic alterations caused by HNF1beta expression in ovarian clear cell carcinoma contribute to cell survival. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 26002-26017.
- [34] Wang X, Duan W, Li X, Liu J, Li D, Ye L, Qian L, Yang A, Xu Q, Liu H, Fu Q, Wu E, Ma Q and Shen X. PTTG regulates the metabolic switch of ovarian cancer cells via the c-myc pathway. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 40959-40969.
- [35] Xintaropoulou C, Ward C, Wise A, Queckborner S, Turnbull A, Michie CO, Williams ARW, Rye T, Gourley C and Langdon SP. Expression of glycolytic enzymes in ovarian cancers and evaluation of the glycolytic pathway as a strategy for ovarian cancer treatment. BMC Cancer 2018; 18: 636.
- [36] Matassa DS, Amoroso MR, Lu H, Avolio R, Arzeni D, Procaccini C, Faicchia D, Maddalena F, Simeon V, Agliarulo I, Zanini E, Mazzoccoli C, Recchi C, Stronach E, Marone G, Gabra H, Matarese G, Landriscina M and Esposito F. Oxidative metabolism drives inflammation-induced platinum resistance in human ovarian cancer. Cell Death Differ 2016; 23: 1542-1554.
- [37] Miao Y, Lu M, Yan Q, Li S and Feng Y. Inhibition of proliferation, migration, and invasion by knockdown of Pyruvate Kinase-M2 (PKM2) in ovarian cancer SKOV3 and OVCAR3 cells. Oncol Res 2016; 24: 463-475.
- [38] Siu MKY, Jiang YX, Wang JJ, Leung THY, Han CY, Tsang BK, Cheung ANY, Ngan HYS and Chan KKL. Hexokinase 2 regulates ovarian cancer cell migration, invasion and stemness via FAK/ERK1/2/MMP9/NANOG/SOX9 signaling cascades. Cancers (Basel) 2019; 11: 813.
- [39] Fan JY, Yang Y, Xie JY, Lu YL, Shi K and Huang YQ. MicroRNA-144 mediates metabolic shift in ovarian cancer cells by directly targeting Glut1. Tumour Biol 2016; 37: 6855-6860.
- [40] Zhou Y, Zheng X, Lu J, Chen W, Li X and Zhao L. Ginsenoside 20(S)-Rg3 inhibits the Warburg effect via modulating DNMT3A/MiR-532-3p/ HK2 pathway in ovarian cancer cells. Cell Physiol Biochem 2018; 45: 2548-2559.
- [41] Xiaohong Z, Lichun F, Na X, Kejian Z, Xiaolan X and Shaosheng W. MiR-203 promotes the growth and migration of ovarian cancer cells by

enhancing glycolytic pathway. Tumour Biol 2016; 37: 14989-14997.

- [42] Liu Y, Tong L, Luo Y, Li X, Chen G and Wang Y. Resveratrol inhibits the proliferation and induces the apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells via inhibiting glycolysis and targeting AMPK/mTOR signaling pathway. J Cell Biochem 2018; 119: 6162-6172.
- [43] Qiu H, Jackson AL, Kilgore JE, Zhong Y, Chan LL, Gehrig PA, Zhou C and Bae-Jump VL. JQ1 suppresses tumor growth through downregulating LDHA in ovarian cancer. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 6915-6930.
- [44] Shang Y, He J, Wang Y, Feng Q, Zhang Y, Guo J, Li J, Li S, Wang Y, Yan G, Ren F, Shi Y, Xu J, Zeps N, Zhai Y, He D and Chang Z. CHIP/Stub1 regulates the Warburg effect by promoting degradation of PKM2 in ovarian carcinoma. Oncogene 2017; 36: 4191-4200.
- [45] Chakraborty PK, Mustafi SB, Xiong X, Dwivedi SKD, Nesin V, Saha S, Zhang M, Dhanasekaran D, Jayaraman M, Mannel R, Moore K, Mc-Meekin S, Yang D, Zuna R, Ding K, Tsiokas L, Bhattacharya R and Mukherjee P. MICU1 drives glycolysis and chemoresistance in ovarian cancer. Nat Commun 2017; 8: 14634.
- [46] Caneba CA, Yang L, Baddour J, Curtis R, Win J, Hartig S, Marini J and Nagrath D. Nitric oxide is a positive regulator of the Warburg effect in ovarian cancer cells. Cell Death Dis 2014; 5: e1302.
- [47] Chao TK, Huang TS, Liao YP, Huang RL, Su PH, Shen HY, Lai HC and Wang YC. Pyruvate kinase M2 is a poor prognostic marker of and a therapeutic target in ovarian cancer. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0182166.
- [48] Li X, Han G, Li X, Kan Q, Fan Z, Li Y, Ji Y, Zhao J, Zhang M, Grigalavicius M, Berge V, Goscinski MA, Nesland JM and Suo Z. Mitochondrial pyruvate carrier function determines cell stemness and metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 46363-46380.
- [49] Naczki C, John B, Patel C, Lafferty A, Ghoneum A, Afify H, White M, Davis A, Jin G, Kridel S and Said N. SPARC inhibits metabolic plasticity in ovarian cancer. Cancers (Basel) 2018; 10: 385.
- [50] Han J, Wysham WZ, Zhong Y, Guo H, Zhang L, Malloy KM, Dickens HK, Huh G, Lee D, Makowski L, Zhou C and Bae-Jump VL. Increased efficacy of metformin corresponds to differential metabolic effects in the ovarian tumors from obese versus lean mice. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 110965-110982.
- [51] Dar S, Chhina J, Mert I, Chitale D, Buekers T, Kaur H, Giri S, Munkarah A and Rattan R. Bioenergetic adaptations in chemoresistant ovarian cancer cells. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 8760.
- [52] Xu Y, Gao W, Zhang Y, Wu S, Liu Y, Deng X, Xie L, Yang J, Yu H, Su J and Sun L. ABT737 reverses cisplatin resistance by targeting glu-

cose metabolism of human ovarian cancer cells. Int J Oncol 2018; 53: 1055-1068.

- [53] Zhou L, Liu L, Chai W, Zhao T, Jin X, Guo X, Han L and Yuan C. Dichloroacetic acid upregulates apoptosis of ovarian cancer cells by regulating mitochondrial function. Onco Targets Ther 2019; 12: 1729-1739.
- [54] Ai Z, Lu Y, Qiu S and Fan Z. Overcoming cisplatin resistance of ovarian cancer cells by targeting HIF-1-regulated cancer metabolism. Cancer Lett 2016; 373: 36-44.
- [55] Goff BA, Sainz de la Cuesta R, Muntz HG, Fleischhacker D, Ek M, Rice LW, Nikrui N, Tamimi HK, Cain JM, Greer BE and Fuller AF Jr. Clear cell carcinoma of the ovary: a distinct histologic type with poor prognosis and resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy in stage III disease. Gynecol Oncol 1996; 60: 412-417.
- [56] Sugiyama T, Kamura T, Kigawa J, Terakawa N, Kikuchi Y, Kita T, Suzuki M, Sato I and Taguchi K. Clinical characteristics of clear cell carcinoma of the ovary: a distinct histologic type with poor prognosis and resistance to platinumbased chemotherapy. Cancer 2000; 88: 2584-2589.
- [57] Mandai M, Amano Y, Yamaguchi K, Matsumura N, Baba T and Konishi I. Ovarian clear cell carcinoma meets metabolism; HNF-1beta confers survival benefits through the Warburg effect and ROS reduction. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 30704-30714.
- [58] Amano T, Chano T, Yoshino F, Kimura F and Murakami T. Current Position of the molecular therapeutic targets for ovarian clear cell carcinoma: a literature review. Healthcare (Basel) 2019; 7: 94.
- [59] Wheaton WW, Weinberg SE, Hamanaka RB, Soberanes S, Sullivan LB, Anso E, Glasauer A, Dufour E, Mutlu GM, Budigner GS and Chandel NS. Metformin inhibits mitochondrial complex I of cancer cells to reduce tumorigenesis. Elife 2014; 3: e02242.

- [60] Lim HY, Ho QS, Low J, Choolani M and Wong KP. Respiratory competent mitochondria in human ovarian and peritoneal cancer. Mitochondrion 2011; 11: 437-443.
- [61] Li H, Hu S, Pang Y, Li M, Chen L, Liu F, Liu M, Wang Z and Cheng X. Bufalin inhibits glycolysis-induced cell growth and proliferation through the suppression of Integrin beta2/FAK signaling pathway in ovarian cancer. Am J Cancer Res 2018; 8: 1288-1296.
- [62] Mukherjee A, Ma Y, Yuan F, Gong Y, Fang Z, Mohamed EM, Berrios E, Shao H and Fang X. Lysophosphatidic acid up-regulates hexokinase II and glycolysis to promote proliferation of ovarian cancer cells. Neoplasia 2015; 17: 723-734.
- [63] Ray U, Roy Chowdhury S, Vasudevan M, Bankar K, Roychoudhury S and Roy SS. Gene regulatory networking reveals the molecular cue to lysophosphatidic acid-induced metabolic adaptations in ovarian cancer cells. Mol Oncol 2017; 11: 491-516.
- [64] Sun S, Liu J, Zhao M, Han Y, Chen P, Mo Q, Wang B, Chen G, Fang Y, Tian Y, Zhou J, Ma D, Gao Q and Wu P. Loss of the novel mitochondrial protein FAM210B promotes metastasis via PDK4-dependent metabolic reprogramming. Cell Death Dis 2017; 8: e2870.
- [65] Wu Y, Deng Y, Zhu J, Duan Y, Weng W and Wu X. Pim1 promotes cell proliferation and regulates glycolysis via interaction with MYC in ovarian cancer. Onco Targets Ther 2018; 11: 6647-6656.