Review Article Meta-analysis of risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy

Zhenhe Huang¹, Huaping Zhong², Yunxiang Cai¹

¹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Ganzhou People's Hospital, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China; ²Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Gannan Medical College, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China

Received January 4, 2023; Accepted February 12, 2023; Epub April 15, 2023; Published April 30, 2023

Abstract: Background: To investigate the risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy. Methods: PubMed, Web of Science, CNKI, Medline and Wanfang database were utilized to conduct a systematic literature research. Further, sensitivity and publication bias were analyzed to comprehensively estimate the risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy. Results: Of the 112 studies identified, 25 were included in this analysis. The results showed that age (OR = 0.21, 95% CI 0.11-0.39, P<0.00001), smoking (OR = 3, 95% CI 1.54-5.84, P<0.00001), T-stage (OR = 0.3, 95% CI 0.22-0.4, P<0.00001), previous radiotherapy (OR = 0.31, 95% CI 0.23-0.44, P<0.00001) and preoperative albumin (OR = 0.28, 95% CI 0.16-0.47, P<0.00001) were risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas. Conclusions: This review is a comprehensive analysis of the risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy. Age, smoking, T-stage, previous radiotherapy and preoperative albumin were found to be the risk factors.

Keywords: Pharyngocutaneous fistulas, total laryngectomy, risk factors, meta-analysis

Introduction

Laryngeal cancer is a common malignant tumor of otorhinolaryngology with an incidence of 7.9%-35% in malignant otorhinolaryngological tumors, ranking third after head and neck malignant tumors [1]. According to WHO statistics, the male-female ratio of laryngeal cancer patients in China is 10.5:1, and it is more common in men over 40 years old [2]. At present, patients with laryngeal cancer usually take multidisciplinary comprehensive treatments, which are surgical treatment supplemented with radiotherapy and chemotherapy [3, 4]. Complications after total laryngectomy are common in clinical practice and sometimes unavoidable, including incision bleeding or infection, pharyngeal fistula formation, tracheostomy stenosis, pneumonia, atelectasis, pharyngeal stenosis and so on [5-8].

Pharyngeal fistula, as one of the most common complications after total laryngectomy, is main-

ly manifested by the failure in normal healing of the pharyngeal anastomosis and the accumulation of saliva under the skin, giving bacteria a certain breeding condition [9]. Pharyngeal fistula usually occurs 6-15 days after the surgery [10]. In patients undergoing total laryngectomy, pharyngeal fistula can lead to abnormal mucous membrane in the operation area. Long term stimulation of saliva or food will impact on the healing of the anastomosis. If the fistula is located at the tracheostomy orifice, it will affect the healing of the fistula orifice [11]. Moreover, patients with pharyngeal fistula need to change their dressing after surgery for a long time, which requires close care from their families. This not only prolongs the hospital stay, but also creates pressure on the physical and mental health of patients and their familie members [12].

To reduce the incidence of pharyngeal fistula after total laryngectomy, a large number of studies were conducted to assess the risk factors



of pharyngeal fistula [15-39]. Although some risk factors have been reported, the number of samples in a single study is small and less persuasive, and the incidence of pharyngeal fistula differs largely in different reports. The recognition of some risk factors is still controversial, and more high-quality research work is needed to confirm these findings and to find other potential risk factors.

Currently, a number of single research had shown risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy. However, those results were largely different and less persuasive. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis to comprehensively analyze the risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy.

Methods

Searching strategy

This study was performed according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [13]. This review abided by published guidelines according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Statement [14]. We searched the publication no later than September 2022 in the following electronic databases: Cochrane Library, PubMed, web of science, CN-KI, VIP, Medline, ISI Web of Science, CBMdisc and Wanfang. No limit was set on language. The search keywords were ("Total laryngectomy" or "laryngeal neoplasms") and ("pharyngocutaneous fistulas" or "cutaneous fistula").

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria for the selected studies were as follows: a) Studies met the requirement of total laryngectomy for primary laryngeal cancer and hypopharyngeal cancer; b) Studies reported at

least one risk factor; c) Retrospective study or prospective research.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: a) Animal research, b) Case reports; c) The outcome indicators cannot be extracted or calculated; d) The data were repeatedly published.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two researchers (Zhenhe Huang and Yunxiang Cai) screened the studies respectively, in accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria. When there was a difference, a third researcher was consulted for final determination. The flow chart of literature screening is shown in **Figure 1**. The bias risk assessment tool in Cochrane Handbook for systematic review of interventions (version 5.1.0) was used to evaluate the quality of the included studies. The results of the quality assessment are shown in **Figure 2**.

Statistical analysis

The Review Manager Software (RevMan, version 5.2 from the Cochrane Collaboration) was used for meta analysis. The calculation rate and 95% confidence interval were used to calculate the combined effect amount, confidence

Meta-analysis of risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas



Figure 2. The risk of bias of included in the meta-analysis.

interval and heterogeneity test statistics (P). If $I^2 \leq 50\%$, fixed effect model was applied for quantitative assess, and $I^2 > 50\%$, random effect models used.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was not necessary because our study is a meta-analysis, and which belongs to a form of secondary analysis.

Results

Flow chart of study selection

A total of 656 studies were identified in the initial literature search. A flow diagram of the study selection process is shown in **Figure 1**. After screening, 25 studies [15-39] were included in this meta-analysis.

Characteristics of included studies

The characteristics of included studies are summarized in **Table 1**. Among the 25 studies eligible for the meta-analysis, a total of 6396 subjects were enrolled. Among them, 3086 subjects suffered from pharyngocutaneous fistulas. Two studies were conducted in eastern countries. The duration of therapy ranged from 5 to 180 months. Randomization was performed according to a computer-generated random list or by means of a randomly generated number pattern in the majority of the trials [15-39]. In conclusion, the quality of these studies was moderate to high (**Figure 2**).

Pooled analysis

Nine studies [16, 19, 26, 28, 30, 32, 33, 38] provided data on smoking, including 1793 patients. The results showed that smoking status significantly affected the incidence of pharyngocutaneous fistulas. Smoking patients were more likely to develop pharyngocutaneous fistulas (OR = 3, 95% Cl 1.54-5.84, P<0.00001) (**Figure 3**).

Thirteen studies [15, 18-21, 24, 26, 28, 29, 35-37] provided data on age and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate. The pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate in patients over 60 years old was higher than that in those \leq 60 years old (OR = 0.21, 95% CI 0.11-0.39, P<0.00001) (Figure 4).

All 25 studies [15-39] provided data on T-stage and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate. The pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate was significantly different between the T1/T2 group and the T3/T4 group (OR = 0.3, 95% Cl 0.22-0.4, P<0.00001) (**Figure 5**). Patients at a T-stage of T3/T4 were more likely to develop pharyngocutaneous fistulas.

Twenty-two studies [15-19, 22-30, 32-39] provided data on previous radiotherapy treatments and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate. The pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate was higher in patients with previous radiotherapy than in those without (OR = 0.31, 95% CI 0.23-0.44, P<0.000001) (Figure 6).

Author	Country	Year	Size EG/CG	Age (EG vs. CG) Mean ± SD	Therapy (months)
Maleki 2021 [15]	Iran	2021	15/20	62.07±10.08/63.83±7.22	5
Aires FT 2018 [16]	Brazil	2018	70/71	52.4±11.3/54.98±9.78	72
Busoni 2015 [17]	Italy	2015	86/86	N/A	180
Dedivitis 2007 [18]	Brazil	2007	7/48	N/A	96
Lemaire 2021 [19]	France	2021	328/128	N/A	120
Zou 2021 [20]	China	2021	50/200	55.43±10.67/58.76±13.45	24
Yücel 2020 [21]	Turkey	2020	20/66	62.5±9.9/57.6±12	180
Sumarroca 2019 [22]	Spain	2019	50/50	60.8±7.8/62.7±8.9	180
Benson 2015 [23]	USA	2015	17/42	N/A	132
Akduman 2008 [24]	Turkey	2008	32/293	67.8±9.8 vs. 66.7±8.5	120
Furuta 2008 [25]	Japan	2008	14/86	63.8±11.5 vs. 63.8±11.3	96
Dirven 2009 [26]	Australia	2009	33/152	61.1±1.2 vs. 63.2±1.4	84
Goncalves 2009 [27]	Brazil	2009	13/60	52.7±8.3 vs. 52.8±8.5	60
Patel & Keni 2009 [28]	USA	2009	11/43	60.9±1.6 vs. 61.2±2.1	36
Tsou 2010 [29]	USA	2010	52/160	44.23±11.35/45.23±12.1	84
Calli 2011 [30]	Turkey	2011	27/182	44(21-68)/61(39-75)	43
White 2012 [31]	USA	2012	55/259	53.8±8/58.6±9.5	24
Basheeth 2013 [32]	Ireland	2013	19/74	49.52±12.27/51.87±11.41	72
Patel 2013 [33]	USA	2013	94/359	Unclear	42
Aydin 2014 [34]	Turkey	2014	14/47	72±13/62±16	36
Sayles 2014 [35]	UK	2014	50/171	Unclear	48
Timmermans 2014 [36]	Netherlands	2014	57/217	Unclear	48
Sifrer 2016 [37]	Slovenia	2016	48/158	36.2±9.5/45.3±9.3	36
Aslier 2016 [38]	Turkey	2016	37/183	48.72±1.57/44.58±1.02	60
Hone 2017 [39]	UK	2017	45/199	Unclear	72

Table 1. Characteristics of the 25 studies in the meta-analysis

Note: EG/CG: Experimental group/Control group.

	Smok	ing	Non-Smo	oking		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Aires FT 2018	43	70	22	71	12.7%	3.55 [1.77, 7.12]	
Aslier 2016	30	37	33	183	11.6%	19.48 [7.88, 48.15]	
Basheeth 2013	17	19	20	74	8.2%	22.95 [4.86, 108.39]	
Calli 2011	28	182	10	180	12.4%	3.09 [1.45, 6.57]	
Dirven 2009	39	152	22	160	13.2%	2.16 [1.21, 3.86]	
Goncalves 2009	10	60	13	60	11.5%	0.72 [0.29, 1.81]	
Lemaire 2021	100	328	30	128	13.7%	1.43 [0.89, 2.30]	
Patel & Keni 2009	10	15	15	20	8.5%	0.67 [0.15, 2.92]	
Patel 2013	4	11	5	43	8.2%	4.34 [0.93, 20.30]	
Total (95% CI)		874		919	100.0%	3.00 [1.54, 5.84]	•
Total events	281		170				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.78; Ch	i² = 45.	= 82%				
Test for overall effect	Z= 3.24	(P = 0.0	001)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Smoking Non-Smoking

Figure 3. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of smoking and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate.

Thirteen studies [15, 17-19, 23, 25-28, 30, 32, 33, 39] provided data on preoperative albumin and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate. The pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate was higher in the low albumin level group than that

in the normal albumin level group (OR = 0.28, 95% Cl 0.16-0.47, P<0.000001) (Figure 7). Patients with low albumin level were more likely to develop pharyngocutaneous fistulas.

Meta-analysis of risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas

	<60)	>60)		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Akduman 2008	10	321	200	293	7.8%	0.01 [0.01, 0.03]	←	
Dedivitis 2007	7	48	20	48	7.1%	0.24 [0.09, 0.64]		
Dirven 2009	27	328	86	128	8.1%	0.04 [0.03, 0.08]		
Lemaire 2021	5	20	15	20	5.9%	0.11 [0.03, 0.46]		
Maleki 2021	11	43	31	43	7.2%	0.13 [0.05, 0.35]		
Patel & Keni 2009	10	43	21	43	7.2%	0.32 [0.13, 0.80]		
Sayles 2014	20	170	50	171	8.0%	0.32 [0.18, 0.57]	- -	
Sifrer 2016	50	158	70	158	8.2%	0.58 [0.37, 0.92]		
Sumarroca 2019	33	217	57	217	8.2%	0.50 [0.31, 0.81]		
Timmermans 2014	34	160	50	160	8.2%	0.59 [0.36, 0.98]		
Tsou 2010	45	160	70	160	8.2%	0.50 [0.32, 0.80]		
Yücel 2020	20	66	44	66	7.7%	0.22 [0.10, 0.45]	_ —	
Zou 2021	20	200	60	210	8.1%	0.28 [0.16, 0.48]		
Total (95% CI)		1934		1717	100.0%	0.21 [0.11, 0.39]	◆	
Total events	292		774					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.17; Chi	i ² = 154	.59, df =	12 (P <	0.00001)); I ² = 92%		
Test for overall effect:	Z= 4.90 ((P < 0.0	0.01 0.1 1 10 60 >60	100				

Figure 4	. Forest plot for	r the meta-analysis	of age and ph	arvngocutaneous	fistulas rate.
i igui e i	n 01000 piot 101	the meta analysis	or age and pri	iaiyiigooatancoas	noturus rute.

	T1/T	2	Т3/Т	4		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Aires FT 2018	20	70	50	71	3.9%	0.17 [0.08, 0.35]	_ _
Akduman 2008	44	321	76	293	4.6%	0.45 [0.30, 0.68]	
Aslier 2016	14	47	33	47	3.5%	0.18 [0.07, 0.44]	
Aydin 2014	19	74	65	74	3.5%	0.05 [0.02, 0.11]	[
Basheeth 2013	50	86	44	86	4.2%	1.33 [0.73, 2.42]	
Benson 2015	10	87	50	87	3.7%	0.10 [0.04, 0.21]	
Busoni 2015	17	42	24	42	3.5%	0.51 [0.21, 1.21]	
Calli 2011	7	48	32	48	3.2%	0.09 [0.03, 0.23]	
Dedivitis 2007	33	152	69	152	4.4%	0.33 [0.20, 0.55]	_ _
Dirven 2009	14	86	47	86	3.9%	0.16 [0.08, 0.33]	(
Furuta 2008	15	86	50	86	3.9%	0.15 [0.08, 0.31]	_ _
Goncalves 2009	27	182	54	182	4.4%	0.41 [0.25, 0.69]	
Hone 2017	45	199	57	200	4.5%	0.73 [0.47, 1.15]	
Lemaire 2021	66	328	76	128	4.5%	0.17 [0.11, 0.27]	
Maleki 2021	5	20	15	20	2.3%	0.11 [0.03, 0.46]	
Patel & Keni 2009	11	43	35	43	3.1%	0.08 [0.03, 0.22]	
Patel 2013	50	171	65	173	4.5%	0.69 [0.44, 1.08]	
Sayles 2014	48	158	97	158	4.5%	0.27 [0.17, 0.44]	
Sifrer 2016	56	158	87	158	4.5%	0.45 [0.29, 0.70]	
Sumarroca 2019	29	50	37	50	3.6%	0.49 [0.21, 1.13]	
Timmermans 2014	17	217	65	217	4.2%	0.20 [0.11, 0.35]	—
Tsou 2010	52	160	62	160	4.5%	0.76 [0.48, 1.20]	
White 2012	55	259	78	259	4.6%	0.63 [0.42, 0.93]	
Yücel 2020	20	66	40	66	3.9%	0.28 [0.14, 0.58]	_ _
Zou 2021	50	200	70	200	4.6%	0.62 [0.40, 0.95]	
Total (95% CI)		3310		3086	100.0%	0.30 [0.22, 0.40]	•
Total events	774		1378				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.44; Chi	² =146	.46, df=	24 (P <	0.00001)	; I ² = 84%	
Test for overall effect:						-	0.01 0.1 1 10 10 T1/T2 T3/T4

Figure 5. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of T-stage and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate.

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis revealed that removal of any one study from the analysis did not subvert the results of the pooled analysis (SMD = 0.5, 95% CI = [0.29, 0.71, P<0.00001). Furthermore, we constructed funnel plots to evaluate publication bias. The funnel plots (**Figure 8**) showed no publication bias.

Discussion

In the clinical treatment of patients with laryngeal cancer, total laryngectomy is a common

Meta-analysis of risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas

	previou	s RT	non-previous R	T group		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Aires FT 2018	20	70	50	71	4.5%	0.17 [0.08, 0.35]	
Akduman 2008	44	321	76	293	5.2%	0.45 [0.30, 0.68]	- -
Aslier 2016	14	47	33	47	4.0%	0.18 [0.07, 0.44]	———
Aydin 2014	19	74	65	74	4.1%	0.05 [0.02, 0.11]	<u> </u>
Basheeth 2013	50	86	44	86	4.8%	1.33 [0.73, 2.42]	+
Benson 2015	10	87	45	87	4.3%	0.12 [0.06, 0.26]	
Busoni 2015	17	42	22	42	4.1%	0.62 [0.26, 1.47]	
Calli 2011	7	48	32	48	3.7%	0.09 [0.03, 0.23]	
Dedivitis 2007	33	152	56	152	5.0%	0.48 [0.29, 0.79]	
Dirven 2009	14	86	43	86	4.5%	0.19 [0.10, 0.40]	
Furuta 2008	15	86	40	86	4.5%	0.24 [0.12, 0.49]	<u> </u>
Goncalves 2009	27	182	51	182	5.0%	0.45 [0.27, 0.75]	- -
Hone 2017	45	199	47	200	5.1%	0.95 [0.60, 1.52]	-
Lemaire 2021	66	328	66	128	5.2%	0.24 [0.15, 0.37]	
Maleki 2021	5	20	15	20	2.7%	0.11 [0.03, 0.46]	
Patel & Keni 2009	11	43	38	43	3.4%	0.05 [0.01, 0.14]	
Patel 2013	50	171	65	173	5.2%	0.69 [0.44, 1.08]	
Sayles 2014	48	158	89	158	5.1%	0.34 [0.21, 0.54]	
Sifrer 2016	56	158	80	158	5.2%	0.54 [0.34, 0.84]	
Sumarroca 2019	29	50	30	50	4.3%	0.92 [0.41, 2.04]	
Timmermans 2014	17	217	65	217	4.9%	0.20 [0.11, 0.35]	
Tsou 2010	52	160	60	160	5.1%	0.80 [0.51, 1.27]	-+
Total (95% Cl)		2785		2561	100.0%	0.31 [0.23, 0.44]	◆
Total events	649		1112				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.49; Chi ^a	² = 134.	50, df = 21 (P < 0	.00001); I ²	= 84%		
Test for overall effect			, ,				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 previous RT non-previous RT group

Figure 6. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of previous radiotherapy treatments and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate.

	normal albumi	n level	low albumir	1 level		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Basheeth 2013	50	86	34	86	8.2%	2.12 [1.16, 3.90]	- - -
Benson 2015	10	87	51	87	7.7%	0.09 [0.04, 0.20]	
Busoni 2015	17	42	22	42	7.4%	0.62 [0.26, 1.47]	
Calli 2011	7	48	32	48	7.0%	0.09 [0.03, 0.23]	
Dedivitis 2007	33	152	69	152	8.5%	0.33 [0.20, 0.55]	
Dirven 2009	14	86	44	86	7.9%	0.19 [0.09, 0.38]	
Furuta 2008	15	86	45	86	7.9%	0.19 [0.10, 0.39]	
Goncalves 2009	27	182	34	182	8.3%	0.76 [0.44, 1.32]	
Hone 2017	45	199	68	200	8.6%	0.57 [0.36, 0.88]	
Lemaire 2021	66	328	80	128	8.6%	0.15 [0.10, 0.24]	- -
Maleki 2021	5	20	15	20	5.6%	0.11 [0.03, 0.46]	
Patel & Keni 2009	11	43	40	43	5.8%	0.03 [0.01, 0.10]	← ↓
Patel 2013	50	171	66	173	8.6%	0.67 [0.43, 1.05]	
Total (95% CI)		1530		1333	100.0%	0.28 [0.16, 0.47]	◆
Total events	350		600				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.84; Chi ² = 110	.08, df =					
Test for overall effect			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 normal albumin level low albumin level				

Figure 7. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of preoperative albumin and pharyngocutaneous fistulas rate.

method, and pharyngocutaneous fistula is one of the common postoperative complications. According to relevant statistics [40], the incidence of pharyngocutaneous fistulas after total laryngectomy is 13.67% to 39.08%. In this meta analysis, the results suggested that age, smoking, T-stage, previous radiotherapy and preoperative albumin were risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistulas.

Many studies have shown that surgery combined with radiotherapy is the main treatment to improve the prognosis of patients with laryngeal cancer. For patients at an advanced stage, preoperative radiotherapy can effectively inhibit the tumor growth, shrink the tumor body and facilitate surgical resection [41]. For tumors with a wide range of invasion, preoperative radiotherapy can effectively reduce the tumor volume and the scope of resection to increase the chances of surgery for patients at an advanced stage, further preserving the function and improving the quality of life. Yoshizaki et al. [42] believed that the incidence of postoperative pharyngocutaneous fistulas in patients receiving preoperative radiotherapy was higher



Figure 8. The funnel plots.

than that in patients without preoperative radiotherapy, with a statistically significant difference. In addition, the shorter the interval between surgery and preoperative radiotherapy, the higher the incidence of postoperative pharyngocutaneous fistulas. The greater the preoperative radiotherapy dose, the greater the probability of postoperative pharyngocutaneous fistulas. Its occurrence may be due to the fact that preoperative radiotherapy can destroy the microvascular circulation in the surgical area, resulting in blood supply disorders in local tissues. In addition, local tissue sclerosis, edema and tissue fibrosis after radiotherapy lead to decreased tissue repair ability and prolonged wound healing time after surgery [43]. Some scholars also believed that the dose of preoperative preventive radiotherapy should not exceed 40 Gy, and large dose of radiotherapy could increase the incidence of postoperative pharyngocutaneous fistulas [44, 45]. This is consistent with the results of this study.

Tumor stage is a commonly acknowledged related factor of pharyngocutaneous fistulas. Milisavljevic et al. [46] indicated in their study that patients with high tumor stage have a higher probability of pharyngocutaneous fistulas after surgery. The patients at T3 and T4 stages have a large range of lesions, which need to be removed during surgery. Therefore, there are few normal point membranes left after tumor resection, and the suture tension is relatively large. Generally, if the defect was large after tumor resection, the transfer of skin flap repair

could reduce the occurrence of pharyngocutaneous fistulas [47]. According to the statistics of the research results of this group, T stage is a relevant factor of postoperative pharyngocutaneous fistulas, and T stage is an independent risk factor of postoperative pharyngocutaneous fistulas.

Preoperative hemoglobin and albumin levels reflect the nutritional status of the body. Some scholars have verified that postoperative hemoglobin and albumin were the risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistulas [48-50]. This is consis-

tent with our results (P<0.01). Preoperative comprehensive assessment of the patient's overall nutritional status and improvement of the perioperative nutritional support can reduce the incidence of postoperative pharyngocutaneous fistulas. Wang et al. [51] reported that enteral and parenteral nutrition support for 7 consecutive days before surgery could reduce the incidence of pharyngocutaneous fistulas.

The primary limitation of current meta-analysis is the limited number of studies analyzed. We only included 25 studies, which were not randomized controlled trial. In addition, we did not analyze the severity of pharyngocutaneous fistulas in subgroup. Moreover, other measurements such as obesity, drinking status and other lifestyle factors should be considered confounding factors, because the results of our study were based on unadjusted estimates. Finally, this review included small sample-size, single-center studies with clinical heterogeneity and variable patient backgrounds, which could result in low statistical power and inconsistent results. Therefore, large sample-size clinical trials should be carried out to further verify the risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas after total laryngectomy.

In conclusion, this review is a comprehensive analysis of the risk factors associated with pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy. It showed that age, smoking, T-stage, previous radiotherapy and preoperative albumin were risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistulas after total laryngectomy.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Yunxiang Cai, Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Ganzhou People's Hospital, 16 Meiguan Avenue, Ganzhou 341000, Jiangxi, China. Tel: +86-0797-5889852; E-mail: caiyunxiangx@163.com

References

- Williamson JS, Biggs TC and Ingrams D. Laryngeal cancer: an overview. Trends in Urology & Men's Health 2012; 3: 14-17.
- [2] Jones TM, De M, Foran B, Harrington K and Mortimore S. Laryngeal cancer: United Kingdom national multidisciplinary guidelines. J Laryngol Otol 2016; 130: S75-S82.
- [3] Obid R, Redlich M and Tomeh C. The treatment of laryngeal cancer. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2019; 31: 1-11.
- [4] Jenckel F and Knecht R. State of the art in the treatment of laryngeal cancer. Anticancer Res 2013; 33: 4701-4710.
- [5] Ceachir O, Hainarosie R and Zainea V. Total laryngectomy-past, present, future. Maedica 2014; 9: 210.
- [6] Stanković M, Milisavljević D, Stojanov D, Zivić M, Zivaljević S, Stanković I and Petrović S. Influential factors, complications and survival rate of primary and salvage total laryngectomy for advanced laryngeal cancer. Coll Antropol 2012; 36 Suppl 2: 7-12.
- [7] D'Cruz AK, Sharma S and Pai PS. Current status of near-total laryngectomy: review. J Laryngol Otol 2012; 126: 556-62.
- [8] Spriano G, Mercante G, Pellini R and Ferreli F. Total laryngectomy: a new lateral cervical approach. Clin Otolaryngol 2018; 43: 784-785.
- [9] Cavalot AL, Gervasio CF, Nazionale G, Albera R, Bussi M, Staffieri A, Ferrero V and Cortesina G. Pharyngocutaneous fistula as a complication of total laryngectomy: review of the literature and analysis of case records. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2000; 123: 587-592.
- [10] Mclean JN, Nicholas C, Duggal P, Chen A, Grist WG, Losken A and Carlson GW. Surgical management of pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy. Ann Plast Surg 2012; 68: 442-445.
- [11] Busoni M, Deganello A and Gallo O. Pharyngocutaneous fistula following total laryngectomy: analysis of risk factors, prognosis and treatment modalities. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2015; 35: 400-5.

- [12] Liang JW, Li ZD, Li SC, Fang FQ, Zhao YJ and Li YG. Pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy: a systematic review and metaanalysis of risk factors. Auris Nasus Larynx 2015; 42: 353-359.
- [13] Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ and Welch VA. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. John Wiley & Sons 2019.
- [14] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J and Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009; 6: e1000097.
- [15] Maleki Delarestaghi M, Ahmadi A, Dehghani Firouzabadi F, Roomiani M, Dehghani Firouzabadi M and Faham Z. Effect of low-pressure drainage suction on pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2021; 130: 32-37.
- [16] Aires FT, Dedivitis RA, Kulcsar MAV, Ramos DM and Cernea CR. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic factor for pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2018; 38: 31-37.
- [17] Busoni M, Deganello A and Gallo O. Pharyngocutaneous fistula following total laryngectomy: analysis of risk factors, prognosis and treatment modalities. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2015; 35: 400-5.
- [18] Dedivitis RA, Ribeiro KC, Castro MA and Nascimento PC. Pharyngocutaneous fistula following total laryngectomy. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2007; 27: 2-5.
- [19] Lemaire E, Schultz P, Vergez S, Debry C, Sarini J, Vairel B, de Bonnecaze G, Takeda-Raguin C, Cabarrou B and Dupret-Bories A. Risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistula after total pharyngolaryngectomy. Ear Nose Throat J 2021; 100 Suppl: 746S-752S.
- [20] Zou P, Liu YH and Hu GW. Multivariate analysis of pharyngeal fistula in patients with laryngeal cancer after total laryngectomy. Xinjiang Medicine 2021; 9: 1030-1032.
- [21] Yücel A, Yücel H, Aydemir F, Mutaf M, Eryılmaz MA and Arbağ H. Development of pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy: the predictive value of c-reactive protein/albumin ratio. Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove) 2020; 63: 159-163.
- [22] Sumarroca A, Rodríguez-Bauzà E, Lop-Gros J, García J, López M, Quer M and León X. Repair of post-laryngectomy pharyngocutaneous fistulas using a pectoralis major flap. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol 2019; 85: 351-356.
- [23] Benson EM, Hirata RM, Thompson CB, Ha PK, Fakhry C, Saunders JR, Califano JA, Arnaoutakis D, Levine M, Tang M, Neuner G, Messing BP and Blanco RG. Pharyngocutaneous fistula af-

ter total laryngectomy: a single-institution experience, 2001-2012. Am J Otolaryngol 2015; 36: 24-31.

- [24] Akduman D, Naiboğlu B, Uslu C, Oysu C, Tek A, Sürmeli M, Kiliçarslan Y and Yanilmaz M. Pharyngocutaneous fstula after total laryngectomy: incidence, predisposing factors, and treatment. Kulak Burun Bogaz Ihtis Derg 2008; 18: 349-354.
- [25] Furuta Y, Homma A, Oridate N, Suzuki F, Hatakeyama H, Suzuki K, Nishioka T, Shirato H and Fukuda S. Surgical complications of salvage total laryngectomy following concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Int J Clin Oncol 2008; 13: 521-527.
- [26] Dirven R, Swinson BD, Gao K and Clark JR. The assessment of pharyngocutaneous fstula rate in patients treated primarily with defnitive radiotherapy followed by salvage surgery of the larynx and hypopharynx. Laryngoscope 2009; 119: 1691-1695.
- [27] Gonçalves AJ, de Souza JA Jr, Menezes MB, Kavabata NK, Suehara AB and Lehn CN. Pharyngocutaneous fstulae following total laryngectomy comparison between manual and mechanical sutures. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2009; 266: 1793-1798.
- [28] Patel UA and Keni SP. Pectoralis myofascial FAP during salvage laryngectomy prevents pharyngocutaneous fstula. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009; 141: 190-195.
- [29] Tsou YA, Hua CH, Lin MH, Tseng HC, Tsai MH and Shaha A. Comparison of pharyngocutaneous fistula between patients followed by primary laryngopharyngectomy and salvage laryngopharyngectomy for advanced hypopharyngeal cancer. Head Neck 2010; 32: 1494-1500.
- [30] Calli C, Pinar E and Oncel S. Pharyngocutaneous fstula after total laryngectomy: less common with mechanical stapler closure. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2012; 120: 339-344.
- [31] White HN, Golden B, Sweeny L, Carroll WR, Magnuson JS and Rosenthal EL. Assessment and incidence of salivary leak following laryngectomy. Laryngoscope 2012; 122: 1796-1799.
- [32] Basheeth N, Leary G and Sheahan P. Pharyngocutaneous fstula after salvage laryngectomy: impact of interval between radiotherapy and surgery, and performance of bilateral neck dissection. Head Neck 2013; 36: 580-584.
- [33] Patel UA, Moore BA, Wax M, Rosenthal E, Sweeny L, Militsakh ON, Califano JA, Lin AC, Hasney CP, Butcher RB, Flohr J, Arnaoutakis D, Huddle M and Richmon JD. Impact of pharyngeal closure technique on fstula after salvage laryngectomy. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013; 139: 1156-1162.

- [34] Aydin S, Taskin U, Orhan I, Altas B, Ege SS, Yucebas K and Oktay MF. The impact of pharyngeal repair time and suture frequency on the development of pharyngocutaneous fstula after total laryngectomy. J Craniofac Surg 2014; 25: 775-779.
- [35] Sayles M, Koonce SL, Harrison L, Beasley N, McRae AR and Grant DG. Pharyngo-cutaneous fstula complicating laryngectomy in the chemo-radiotherapy organ-preservation epoch. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2014; 271: 1765-1769.
- [36] Timmermans Adriana J, Lansaat L, Theunissen EA, Hamming-Vrieze O, Hilgers FJ and van den Brekel Michiel WM. Predictive factors for pharyngocutaneous fstulization after total laryngectomy. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2014; 123: 153-161.
- [37] Šifrer R, Aničin A, Pohar MP, Žargi M, Pukl P, Soklič T and Strojan P. Pharyngocutaneous fstula: the incidence and the risk factors. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2016; 273: 3393-3399.
- [38] Aslier NGY, Doğan E, Aslier M and İkiz AÖ. Pharyngocutaneous fstula after total laryngectomy: risk factors with emphasis on previous radiotherapy and heavy smoking. Turk Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2016; 54: 91-98.
- [39] Hone RWA, Rahman E, Wong G, Annan Y, Alexander V, Al-Lami A, Varadharajan K, Parker M, Simo R, Pitkin L, Mace A, Ofo E, Balfour A and Nixon IJ. Do salivary bypass tubes lower the incidence of pharyngocutaneous fstula following total laryngectomy? A retrospective analysis of predictive factors using multivariate analysis. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2017; 274: 1983-1991.
- [40] Locatello LG, Licci G, Maggiore G and Gallo O. Non-surgical strategies for assisting closure of pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy: a systematic review of the literature. J Clin Med 2021; 11: 100.
- [41] Deng K, Yao J, Zeng S, Wen M, Huang J, Zhu T and Zuo J. The effect of surgery plus intensitymodulated radiotherapy on treatment in laryngeal cancer: a clinical retrospective study. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2022; 148: 517-525.
- [42] Yoshizaki T, Kondo S, Wakisaka N, Murono S, Kitagawa N, Tsuji A, Nakashima M, Sanada J and Matsui O. Concurrent intra-arterial chemotherapy and radiotherapy for advanced laryngeal cancer. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2009; 118: 172-178.
- [43] Nagpal A, Fehl J, Bickelhaupt B, Eckmann MS, Boies B and Benfield J. Cancer rehabilitation. In essentials of interventional cancer pain management. Springer: Cham; 2019. pp. 363-373.
- [44] Markou KD, Vlachtsis KC, Nikolaou AC, Petridis DG, Kouloulas AI and Daniilidis IC. Incidence

and predisposing factors of pharyngocutaneous fistula formation after total laryngectomy. Is there a relationship with tumor recurrence? Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2004; 261: 61-67.

- [45] Qureshi SS, Chaturvedi P, Pai PS, Chaukar DA, Deshpande MS, Pathak KA and D'cruz AK. A prospective study of pharyngocutaneous fistulas following total laryngectomy. J Cancer Res Ther 2005; 1: 51-6.
- [46] Milisavljevic D, Stankovic M, Zivic M, Popovic M and Radovanović Z. Factors affecting results of treatment of Hypopharyngeal Carcinoma. Hippokratia 2009; 13: 154.
- [47] Chan YW, Ng RW, Liu LH, Chung HP and Wei WI. Reconstruction of circumferential pharyngeal defects after tumour resection: reference or preference. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2011; 64: 1022-1028.
- [48] Mattioli F, Bettini M, Molteni G, Piccinini A, Valoriani F, Gabriele S and Presutti L. Analysis of risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy with particular focus on nutritional status. Acta Otorhinolaryngologica Italica 2015; 35: 243.

- [49] Boscolo-Rizzo P, De Cillis G, Marchiori C, Carpenè S and Da Mosto MC. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2008; 265: 929-936.
- [50] Erdag MA, Arslanoglu S, Onal K, Songu M and Tuylu AO. Pharyngocutaneous fistula following total laryngectomy: multivariate analysis of risk factors. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2013; 270: 173-179.
- [51] Wang M, Xun Y, Wang K, Lu L, Yu A, Guan B and Yu C. Risk factors of pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2020; 277: 585-599.