Original Article Low KCNQ1 expression is associated with unfavorable outcome and metabolism of gastric cancer

Zhenyu Yang^{1*}, Sensen Duan^{1*}, Xi'e Hu^{1*}, Baishu Dai², Guoqiang Bao¹, Wei Xin¹

¹Department of General Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Air Force Medical University, Xi'an 710038, Shaanxi, China; ²91918 Unit Health Center of Chinese People's Liberation Army, Beijing 100007, China. *Equal contributors.

Received March 14, 2023; Accepted May 12, 2023; Epub June 15, 2023; Published June 30, 2023

Abstract: Background: Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1 (KCNQ1), is implicated in the onset and progression of gastric carcinoma (GC), one of the most common types of stomach malignancies. This research aims to investigate the potential prognostic implications of KCNQ1 mRNA in GC using various databases such as The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), The Human Protein Atlas (HPA), LinkedOmics, TISIDB, ESTIMATE, and TIMER. Methods: We searched the HPA database to obtain information on KCNQ1 levels in human normal tissues, organs, and cell lines as well as in pan-cancer tissues. Then, we used TIMER and UALCAN to comparatively analyze the KCNQ1 mRNA levels in different types of cancers relative to their adjacent normal counterparts. Based on TCGA and Gene Expression Omnibus, the correlation of clinical information with KCNQ1 expression was analyzed using logistic regression model. Univariable and Multivariate Cox analyses were then carried out to compare differences in survival among patients with different clinical characteristics. The multivariate methods, such as Kaplan-Meier plotter and GEPIA survival curves, were further employed to identify the correlation of KCNQ1 expression with overall survival (OS). Besides, LinkedOmics was used to identify differentially expressed genes for functional enrichment analysis. Results: KCNQ1 exhibited tissue-specific imprinting and expression in human normal tissues, organs and cell lines, while it was aberrantly expressed in pan-cancer tissues. Lower KCNQ1 mRNA expression was determined in GC tissue samples versus normal counterparts. In GC cases, elevated KCNQ1 levels were strongly linked to a longer OS and strongly correlated with invasion depth (χ^2 =12.631, P=0.006), TNM stage (χ^2 =8.750, P=0.033), differentiation grade (χ^2 =7.426, P=0.024), and vital status (χ^2 =5.676, P=0.017). Furthermore, KCNQ1 was identified by univariable and multivariate Cox analyses as an independent risk factor for GC. Based on Gene Ontology analysis, digestion as well as tricarboxylic acid metabolic, carbohydrate catabolic, and small molecule catabolic processes were differentially enriched in the up-regulated KCNQ1 phenotypic pathway. While carbon metabolism, fatty acid degradation, peroxisome, and citrate cycle (TCA cycle) were identified by the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes-based analysis as pathways with differential enrichment. Conclusion: Being a prognostic biomarker, KCNQ1 may play an inhibitory role and involve in the metabolic process of GC.

Keywords: KCNQ1, gastric carcinoma (GC), TCGA, bioinformatics, prognosis, metabolic process

Introduction

Gastric carcinoma (GC), a high-incidence gastrointestinal malignancy, is the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. Despite continuous progresses in cancer-related therapies, the outcomes of GC patients remain unsatisfactory, partially due to the unclear pathogenesis, lack of effective prognostic markers, and late diagnosis in most patients. Meanwhile, given the heterogeneity of GC [2, 3], it is challenging to fully elucidate the different prognoses or therapeutic responses of GC based on microsatellite instability (MSI), HER2 mutation, and amplification [4, 5]. Traditional standard chemotherapy and targeted therapy based on precision medicine played an insufficient role in enhancing the overall survival (OS) of GC patients [6]. In recent years, immunotherapy (IT), as a novel therapeutic approach for most malignant tumors, has been widely applied as the first-line treatment for GC. However, nearly all patients eventually experience disease progression due to drug-induced immune escape or resistance in the immune microenvironment [7, 8]. Tumor mutation burden and MSI are considered to be key factors in predicting the response of IT [9, 10]. In addition, key genes driving immune response can be considered as therapeutic targets and effective indicators [11]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify additional reliable indicators and biomarkers to predict the prognosis of GC patients undergoing IT.

Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1 (KCNQ1) is a protein coding gene that encodes voltage-gated potassium channels (VGPCs) required for the repolarization phase of cardiac action potential. It is widely expressed in various tissues, including the heart, inner ear, stomach, colon, and others [11-14]. Exhibiting tissue-specific imprinting, this gene is preferentially expressed from maternal allele in certain tissues and shows biallelic expression in others [15]. KCNQ1 mutations are associated with many diseases, including deafness, diabetes mellitus, Jervell and Lange-Nielsen Syndrome 1, long QT syndrome 1, and familial atrial fibrillation, as well as pathways involved in chemical synapse transmission and circadian entrainment. The annotations of KCNQ1 based on Gene Ontology (GO) are calmodulin and ion channel binding, with KCNQ5 being its important paralog. Aberrantly expressed K+ channels in human cancers have been previously reported [16, 17]. For instance, KCNQ1 has been shown to be an antioncogene in mouse and human colorectal carcinoma [18], and hypermethylated KCNQ1 plays a tumor-inhibiting role in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [19]. However, there is limited research on the functional and molecular events linking KCNQ1 to GC.

Herein, we comprehensively analyzed KCNQ1 levels in pan-cancers and its correlations with the clinicopathological features of GC patients. In addition, the association between KCNQ1 differential expression and prognosis as well as the co-expression interaction (CEI) networks in GC were investigated using sequencing data sets. This study aimed to reveal the prognostic implications of KCNQ1 expression in pan-cancers and its potential role as a prognostic indicator, so that we can have a better understanding of GC progression and treatment resistance mechanisms.

Methods

Gene expression profiles and clinical features in databases

Data were retrieved from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (URL: https://genomecancer.ucsc.edu/), which provides clinic and pathological information on 33 tumor types. The Human Protein Atlas (HPA) project can support proteome analysis based on 26941 antibodies against 17165 unique proteins, from which we collected information on KCNQ1 mRNA levels of normal human tissues, organs and cell lines. Differences in KCNQ1 mRNA between human pan-cancers and the adjacent counterparts were also identified by TIMER (URL: http://cistrome.org/TIMER/) and UALCAN (URL: http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/) as well as the xiantao.love platform (URL: https://www. xiantao.love/products). We collected clinicopathological details and general information of GC cases from the TCGA and GEO based on KCNQ1 expression profiling, and discussed its correlation with clinicopathological characteristics. Quantitative variables, statistically displayed as the mean \pm SD, were analyzed using unpaired t-test. Fisher's exact or Pearson chisquare test was employed to determine the connection between KCNQ1 and clinical characteristics.

Survival and statistical analyses

Patients were categorized as either high or low KCNQ1 expression group based on the median. We used Kaplan-Meier (KM) plotter (URL: http://kmplot.com/analysis/) to evaluate the impact of individual genes on the survival of patients with GC or other cancers. GEPIA [20] (URL: http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/), a web-based instrument with a standard processing pipeline, was utilized to analyze the data retrieved from TCGA and genotype-tissue expression. The correlation of KCNQ1 with OS of GC patients was evaluated using KM plotter for GEO data and GEPIA for TCGA data, respectively.

Furthermore, we calculated the *P*-values as well as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using log-rank tests. A logistic regression analysis was then conducted to identify the association of the clinical characteristics with KCNQ1 expression in different groups. Whether the KCNQ1 gene is an inde-

pendent prognostic factor for GC survival was determined using multivariate Cox analysis. A minimum significance level of *P*-value less than 0.05 was set. The aforementioned analytical methods and the R package were utilized with the R software v4.0.3 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing Platform, 2020).

CEI and protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis

Thirty-two cancer-related multidimensional TC-GA datasets were analyzed using LinkedOmics (URL: http://www.linkedomics.org/login. php) [21], in which the Link-Finder module was used to identify KCNQ1-related differentially expressed genes in GC in TCGA-STAD that were activated between high and low KCNO1 level datasets. Correlations were tested by Pearson correlation coefficients, which are presented in volcano plots and heatmaps. We conducted enrichment analysis using the LinkInterpreter module to identify relevant pathways in both groups, such as Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways and GO biological processes enriched by the top ranked genes. We used STRING database (URL: https://www.string-db.org/) to identify and build PPI networks and SWISS-MODEL (URL: https://swissmodel.expasy.org) [21] to model KCNQ1 protein homology based on its primary sequence.

Results

KCNQ1 expression in normal human tissues and organs

Exhibiting tissue-specific imprinting, KCNQ1 was preferentially expressed in heart, inner ear, pancreas, prostate, kidneys, stomach, small intestine, and peripheral blood white blood cells. It's also named as calmodulin binding protein, as well as voltage gated, ion, and potassium channel, with potassium transport as its main function. The HPA database provided information on KCNQ1 mRNA expression in various tissues, revealing its specific enhancement in the adrenal gland, ductus deferens, and seminal vesicle. However, the expression of KCNQ1 in human brain showed low regional specificity (Figure 1A). The genome-wide differential analysis of cell type specific protein-coding genes indicated that KCNQ1 mRNA expression was notably enhanced in undifferentiated cells and enterocytes (Figure 1B) and was detected in various blood and immune cells, but exhibted low cell type specificity in these cells (**Figure 1C**). Among KCNQ1-expressing cell lines, KCNQ1 mRNA was especially enhanced in HMC-1, OE19, RPMI-8226, U-937, and U-266/70 (**Figure 1D**). Protein expression analysis showed that KCNQ1 protein was mainly expressed in the cytoplasm and membrane of the adrenal and thyroid glands, as well as the stomach.

KCNQ1 mRNA differs between human pancarcinomatous tissues and adjacent counterparts

KCNQ1 mRNA expression was compared between diverse pancarcinomatous tissues and paracancerous counterparts in different databases, including HPA, TIMER and UALCAN. We assessed KCNQ1 levels in pancarcinomas using the HPA database and found its moderate cytoplasmic and membranous positivity in thyroid colorectal and carcinomas and in a small number of melanomas, ovarian, stomach, pancreatic and liver carcinomas, but it was weakly stained or negative in the remaining cancers (Figure 2A). KCNQ1-nagative was found in most tumor cells, with only varying levels of cytoplasmic and membranous immunoreactivity seen in colorectal and stomach carcinoma cells (Figure 2B). According to the analysis results of the TIMER database. KCNQ1 was found to be significantly downregulated in breast invasive carcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, thyroid carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, HCC, prostate adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD) in comparison to their corresponding control tissues. By contrast, it showed significantly elevated levels in cholangiocarcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, kidney clear cell carcinoma, head and neck cancer, kidney chromophobe, and rectum adenocarcinoma than those in adjacent counterparts (Figure 2C). Further, we assessed KCNQ1 expression in pancarcinomas using UALCAN data and xiantao.love platform and found similar results (Figure 2D, 2E). Overall, KCNQ1 showed aberrant expression profiling in pancarcinomas.

Decreased expression of KCNQ1 in GC and its association with clinicopathological characteristics

Using TCGA and GEO databases, we found reduced KCNQ1 mRNA expression in GC tissue versus normal stomach tissue samples (**Figure**



Figure 1. KCNQ1 expression levels in human normal tissues by The Human Protein Atlas. A. Expression in specific organs. B. Expression in specific cell types. C. Expression in blood and immune cells. D. Expression in HMC-1, OE19, RPMI-8226, U-266/70, and U-937.

3A), and the difference was even more significant in the matched tissue samples (**Figure 3B**), indicating under-expressed KCNQ1 in GC tissue samples versus normal counterparts.

Meanwhile, HPA database showed that KCNQ1 protein was strongly expressed in normal gastric tissue (Figure 3C) while hardly expressed in cancer tissue (Figure 3D). The clinicopathologi-

Low KCNQ1 expression and gastric cancer





Figure 2. KCNQ1 expression in cancer tissues and tumor cells. A, B. KCNQ1 in different human cancer tissues and tumor cells in The Human Protein Atlas (TCGA). C. KCNQ1 in various cancers from TCGA data analyzed in TIMER. KCNQ1 presented low expression in breast invasive carcinoma (BRCA), kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP), liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC), lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD), skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM), stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD), and thyroid cancer (THCA), and high expression in cholangiocarcinoma (CHOL), kidney clear cell carcinoma (KIRC), colon adenocarcinoma (COAD), head and neck cancer (HNSC), kidney chromophobe (KICH), and rectum adenocarcinoma (READ). D, E. KCNQ1 mRNA in cancerous and normal tissues across UALCAN data and xiantao.love platform (ns, P≥0.05; *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001).



Low KCNQ1 expression and gastric cancer

Figure 3. KCNQ1 is decreased in GC in the TCGA datasets. A. KCNQ1 mRNA in GC and normal gastric tissue specimens; B. KCNQ1 mRNA in GC and matched normal tissue specimens; C. KCNQ1 protein expression in gastric cancer tissue in HPA database; D. KCNQ1 protein expression in gastric normal tissue in HPA database. (*, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001).

Characteristic Iotal Low (n=187) High (n=188) χ ρ Age 2.313 0.128 ≥ 65 227 106 121 < 65 148 81 67 Sex 0.811 0.368 Male 241 116 125 Female 134 71 63 10.006 T1 23 7 16 11.0.006 T2 113 45 68 62 T4 91 49 42 114 N0 111 46 65 114 N2 85 41 44 114 N3 82 47 35 11 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 147 M0 330 160 170 147 M0 330 160 170 147 M0 330 160 170 147 M0	Characteristic	Tatal	KCNQ1 expression			
Age 2.313 0.128 ≥65 227 106 121 <65 148 81 67 Sex 0.811 0.368 Male 241 116 125 Female 134 71 63 Invasion depth 12.631 0.006 T1 23 7 16 T2 113 45 68 T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 MM1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.75 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IIN 160 88 72 IV 50 30 20 II 160 88 72 IV 50 30 20 III 18 13 </th <th>Total</th> <th>Low (n=187)</th> <th>High (n=188)</th> <th>X-</th> <th>μ</th>		Total	Low (n=187)	High (n=188)	X-	μ
≥65 227 106 121 <65	Age				2.313	0.128
<65	≥65	227	106	121		
Sex 0.811 0.368 Male 241 116 125 Female 134 71 63 Invasion depth 12.631 0.006 T1 23 7 16 T2 113 45 68 T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 2.1 0.131 49 I 54 20 34 I 111 49 62 IN 111 49 62 IN 111 49 62 IN 111 49 62 128 </td <td><65</td> <td>148</td> <td>81</td> <td>67</td> <td></td> <td></td>	<65	148	81	67		
Male 241 116 125 Female 134 71 63 Invasion depth 12.631 0.006 T1 23 7 16 T2 113 45 68 T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 2.1 0.147 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 IG 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 203	Sex				0.811	0.368
Female 134 71 63 Invasion depth 12.631 0.006 T1 23 7 16 T2 113 45 68 T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 35 TNM stage 2.1 0.147 II 140 88 72 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 200 116 0.017 Vital status <	Male	241	116	125		
Invasion depth 12.631 0.006 T1 23 7 16 T2 113 45 68 T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 114 49 62 III 160 88 72 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 203 16 104	Female	134	71	63		
T1 23 7 16 T2 113 45 68 T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 2.1 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 16 III 160 88 72 12 IV 50 30 20 14 G1 18 13 5 62 IV 58 79 63 20 16 G3 20 116 104 44 <td>Invasion depth</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12.631</td> <td>0.006</td>	Invasion depth				12.631	0.006
T2 113 45 68 T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 20 34 1 I 54 20 34 I 111 49 62 IN 111 49 62 IN 160 88 72 IN 160 88 72 IN 160 88 72 IN 160 88 79 G2 137 58 79 G3 200 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 <	T1	23	7	16		
T3 148 86 62 T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	T2	113	45	68		
T4 91 49 42 Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 1 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 200 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	ТЗ	148	86	62		
Lymph node metastasis 5.947 0.114 N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IN 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 200 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	Τ4	91	49	42		
N0 111 46 65 N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IN 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	Lymph node metastasis				5.947	0.114
N1 97 53 44 N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	NO	111	46	65		
N2 85 41 44 N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 200 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128	N1	97	53	44		
N3 82 47 35 Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 IN 111 49 62 IN 160 88 72 IN 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Aive 233 105 128	N2	85	41	44		
Distant metastasis 2.1 0.147 M0 330 160 170 M1 45 27 18 TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 200 116 104 Vital status 200 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Aive 233 105 128	N3	82	47	35		
M0330160170M1452718TNM stage8.7500.033I542034II1114962III1608872IV503020Differentiation grade7.4260.024G118135G21375879G3220116104Vital status5.6760.017Alive233105128Dead1428260	Distant metastasis				2.1	0.147
M1452718TNM stage8.7500.033I542034II1114962III1608872IV503020Differentiation grade7.4260.024G118135G21375879G3220116104Vital status5.6760.017Alive233105128Dead1428260	MO	330	160	170		
TNM stage 8.750 0.033 I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 III 160 88 72 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	M1	45	27	18		
I 54 20 34 II 111 49 62 III 160 88 72 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	TNM stage				8.750	0.033
II 49 62 III 160 88 72 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	I	54	20	34		
III 160 88 72 IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	II	111	49	62		
IV 50 30 20 Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	III	160	88	72		
Differentiation grade 7.426 0.024 G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	IV	50	30	20		
G1 18 13 5 G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	Differentiation grade				7.426	0.024
G2 137 58 79 G3 220 116 104 Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	G1	18	13	5		
G3220116104Vital status5.6760.017Alive233105128Dead1428260	G2	137	58	79		
Vital status 5.676 0.017 Alive 233 105 128 Dead 142 82 60	G3	220	116	104		
Alive233105128Dead1428260	Vital status				5.676	0.017
Dead 142 82 60	Alive	233	105	128		
	Dead	142	82	60		

Table 1. Association of KCNC	1 with the clinicopathological characteristics of gastric cancer of	patients

P<0.05, statistically significant.

cal characteristics of GC patients (age, sex, invasion depth, lymph node/distant metastasis, differentiation grade, tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage, and vital status) were collected from TCGA data through HOME for Researchers platform. A total of 375 GC patients (241 males and 134 females) were assigned to either a low or high KCNQ1 expression group based on the median KCNQ1 mRNA expression. There were 227 patients aged \geq 65 years and 148 patients <65 years. As can be seen from **Table 1**, there was a significant connection between KCNQ1 expression and invasion depth (χ^2 =12.631, P= 0.006), TNM stage (χ^2 =8.750, P=0.033), differentiation grade (χ^2 =7.426, P=0.024), and vital status (χ^2 =5.676, P=0.017) in GC patients. It is indicated that KCNQ1 may play a tumor-suppressing role in GC.



Figure 4. Survival curves of GC patients with high or low KCNQ1 expression. A. By GEPIA2. B. By Kaplan-Meier Plotter.

	•		•	
	Univariate		Multivariate	
Characteristics	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р
Age (≥65 vs. <65)	1.620 (1.154-2.276)	0.005	1.681 (1.129-2.504)	0.011
Sex (female vs. male)	1.267 (0.891-1.804)	0.188		
Invasion depth (T3/T4 vs. T1/T2)	1.719 (1.131-2.612)	0.011	1.938 (1.028-3.653)	0.041
LNM (N2/N3 vs. N0/N1)	1.650 (1.182-2.302)	0.003	1.346 (0.782-2.317)	0.284
Distant metastasis (M1 vs. M0)	2.254 (1.295-3.924)	0.004	1.691 (0.810-3.533)	0.162
KCNQ1	0.871 (0.781-0.971)	0.013	0.853 (0.743-0.979)	0.024
TNM stage (III-IV vs. I-II)	1.947 (1.358-2.793)	<0.001	0.980 (0.523-1.834)	0.949
H pylori infection (Yes vs. No)	0.650 (0.279-1.513)	0.317		
PTO (SD/PR/CR vs. PD)	0.241 (0.165-0.352)	<0.001	0.251 (0.168-0.376)	<0.001

Table 2. Cox univariate and multivariate analyses of overall survival of gastric cancer patients

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; LNM, lymph node metastasis; PTO, primary therapy outcome. P<0.05, statistically significant.

KCNQ1 is associated with better prognosis in GC

The correlation of KCNQ1 with OS of GC patients was evaluated using KM plotter for GEO data and GEPIA for TCGA data, respectively. Upregulated KCNQ1 was strongly correlated with longer OS in both GEO data (HR: 0.71, P=0.00031) and TCGA data (HR: 0.69, P=0.021), as shown in **Figure 4A** and **4B**. Additionally, using the Cox model, univariable and multivariate analyses assessed the correlation of clinicopathological characteristics with OS. According to the univariate Cox model, age (P=0.005), invasion depth (P=0.011), LNM (P=0.003), distant metastasis (P=0.004), TNM stage (P<0.001), primary therapy outcome (P<0.001), and KCNQ1 expression (P= 0.013) were factors significantly influencing the survival. Furthermore, T stage (P=0.041), primary therapy outcome (P<0.001), and KCNQ1 (P=0.024) were identified by the multivariate analysis as independent prognostic factors (**Table 2**). Overall, low expression KCNQ1 was an independent risk factor for poor prognosis in GC.

KCNQ1 CEI networks in GC

To more comprehensively clarify the biological role of KCN01, we used Link-Finder to identify the CEI pattern of KCNQ1-related genes in TCGA-STAD that were differentially expressed in GC between high and low KCNQ1 expression datasets. The results revealed 13017 genes (in dark red) and 7208 genes (in dark green) that were positively and negatively associated with KCNQ1, respectively (Figure 5A). The top 50 genes in STAD are presented in heat maps (Figure 5B, 5C). Based on GO term annotations, the co-expressed genes of KCNQ1 were concentrated in various biological processes, such as digestion, carbohydrate derivative transport, peroxisome organization, execution phase of apoptosis, tricarboxylic acid metabolism, carbohydrate catabolism, small molecule catabolism, cofactor biosynthesis, and cellular aldehyde metabolism (Figure 5D). According to KEGG pathway analysis, KCN01 enrichment was primarily found in peroxisome organization, carbon metabolism, citrate cycle (TCA cycle), fatty acid degradation, fructose and mannose metabolism, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, sphingolipid metabolism, aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis, and sulfur metabolism (Figure 5E-G). The above results suggest the extensive influence of KCNQ1 expression networks on the outcome and metabolic process of GC.

KCNQ1 PPI analysis and spatial structure composition

We utilized STRING to identify the PPI networks of KCNQ1 protein, and formed a core network comprised of 11 nodes and 23 edges, with an average node degree of 4.18 and a local clustering coefficient of 0.859 (P=0.0005; **Figure 6A**). The proteins interacted with KCNQ1 were AKAP9, KCNE1, CALM3, KCNE2, KCNE3, CALM2, KCNH2, KCNJ2, KCNE4 and ADCY9. Of these, AKAP9, KCNE4, and CALM2 were at the core of the KCNQ1 protein network. These genes were primarily implicated in potassium ion export and cyclic-nucleotide signaling, and function as activators of adenylate cyclase. All of the above pathological processes play critical roles in the metabolic process of GC cells.

Using Swiss Model's online web-based client, the 3D structure of the KCNQ1 protein was modeled and validated. The original (wild-type) model of the KCNQ1 protein is shown in **Figure** **6B**, where blue and red colors represent the coiled peptide chain from the protein's N-to-C-terminus. This chain by itself has no function but can form a heterotetramer with CaM and has VGPC activity [22] (**Figure 6C**). KCNQ1 can form heteromultimers with CaM and KCNE3, inducing voltage-dependent currents through the rapid activation and slow inactivation of potassium-selective outward currents (**Figure 6D**).

Discussion

Expressed in multiple tissues throughout the body, KCNQ1 potassium channels can modulate many key physiological functions. Due to its tissue-specific imprinting, KCNQ1 is essential in various tissues, including the heart, inner ear, stomach and colon [11, 12]. The differential KCNQ1 mRNA levels also play a vital part in pan-cancer progression. To better clarify the role of KCNQ1 in humans, we comprehensively examined KCNQ1 in normal human tissues, organs and cell lines based on the HPA data. We confirmed the differences in KCNQ1 mRNA levels between 33 different human tumors and their corresponding adjacent counterparts based on HPA, TIMER and UALCAN databases.

Previously, the expression pattern of KCNQ1 was measured in many tissues and found to be enhanced in adrenal gland, ductus deferens and seminal vesicle but had low brain region specificity in human. Meanwhile, KCNQ1 mRNA expression was found to be specifically enhanced in undifferentiated cells and enterocytes. Moreover, among cell lines expressing KCNQ1, KCNQ1 mRNA was observed to be particularly enhanced in HMC-1, RPMI-8226, OE19, U-937, and U-266/70. We also used three different databases to perform analysis of differential expression in varying tumors and all the results revealed the aberrant expression of KCNQ1 in pan-cancers. Therefore, KCNQ1 expression in GC was further assessed using TCGA and GEO databases and was determined to be lower in GC tissue samples versus normal counterparts.

Since this study was primarily intended to investigate the role of KCNQ1 in GC, we further analyzed the association of KCNQ1 with the clinicopathological characteristics of patients using TCGA. KCNQ1 was determined to be significantly associated with invasion depth, TNM



Figure 5. KCNQ1 co-expressed genes in GC from LinkedOmics. A. Significantly KCNQ1-associated genes in STAD cohort distinguished by the Pearson test. B, C. Heatmap of the top 50 genes most positively (red) and negatively (blue) related to KCNQ1. D-G. GO annotations and KEGG pathway analysis of KCNQ1 in STAD cohort.



Figure 6. KCNQ1 protein-protein interaction (PPI) analysis and spatial structure composition. A. The core KCNQ1associated PPI networks in gastric cancer, consisting 11 nodes (represent proteins) and 23 edges (represent interactions between proteins in the network) with a mean node degree of 4, were built by STRING database. KCNQ1, Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 1; AKAP9, A-kinase anchor protein 9; KCNE1, Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily E member 1; CALM3, Calmodulin-1; Calmodulin 3 (phosphorylase kinase, delta); KCNE2, Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily E member 2; KCNE3, Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily E member 3; CALM2, Calmodulin 2 (phosphorylase kinase, delta); KCNH2 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily H member 2; KCNJ2, Inward rectifier potassium channel 2; KCNE4, Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily E member 4; ADCY9, Adenylate cyclase type 9; B. The original model of KCNQ1 protein; C. Human KCNQ1 protein in complex with CaM; D. Structure of human KCNQ1-KCNE3-CaM complex.

stage, differentiation grade, and vital status in GC patients, suggesting a possible inhibitory role of KCNQ1 in GC procession. Additionally, we also found that higher level of KCNQ1 was significantly related to longer OS. Multivariate analysis further revealed that T stage, primary therapy outcome and KCNQ1 expression were independent prognostic factors for OS. Overall, the under-expressed KCNQ1 gene in GC is associated with unfavorable outcomes and malignant phenotypes in GC patients [23]. KCNQ1 acts as an anti-oncogene in inhibiting tumor progression through modulating meta-

bolic process in GC. Studies have shown that people carrying the KCNQ1 germline mutation can develop a range of disorders, including hearing loss, gastrin elevation, gastric hyperplasia and, in some cases, tumors [19, 24, 25]. Recently, KCNQ1 has been suggested to play a critical part in colorectal carcinoma cell growth, EMT, invasion, and tumorigenesis, with an association with adverse disease-free survival via regulating the Wnt/ β -catenin axis [26, 27]. In addition, KCNQ1 functions as an anti-oncogene in mouse and human carcinomas of the digestive tract [18]. Such phenotypes have also been modeled in KCNQ1 knockout mice. As a result, the animals developed inner ear defects, chronic gastritis, imbalances, as well as gastric hyperplasia and metaplasia [28], demonstrating the relationship between KCNQ1 and the carcinogenesis and progression of GC.

Subsequently, we explored the CEI network of KCNQ1 in GC using the LinkedOmics portal to test KCNQ1's biological role in GC. KCNQ1 is a protein coding gene that can generate heteromultimers with KCNE1 and KCNE3, two potassium channel proteins [29]. Its role in human cancers has also been established [30]. This study demonstrated the extensive impact of KCNQ1 expression networks on the metabolic processes and outcomes of GC, including tricarboxylic acid metabolism, digestion, carbohydrate catabolism, peroxisome, carbon metabolism, and the citrate cycle (TCA cycle), as validated by GO term annotation and KEGG pathway analyses. These findings provide insight into the potential anti-oncogene role of KCNQ1 in GC cells. To explore the function of KCNQ1, we constructed its PPI networks and homologous function model, and found that KCNE3 and CaM were the functional subunits of KCNQ1. However, the pathway mechanisms and homology modeling involved still need further in vitro and in vivo validation.

Several limitations of this study remain to be noted. While KCNQ1 has been suggested to be crucial in GC and a candidate therapeutic target, the conclusions are obtained by analyzing data from public databases without validation using experiments. In the present study, KCNQ1 mRNA expression was found to be associated with the survival in GC patients, and KCNQ1 protein levels were correlated with OS. But based on the conflicting results of ID1, KCNQ1 has been suggested to be essential in GC onset and development. Hence, it is necessary to further investigate its specific role in GC tumorigenesis and progression to gain a better understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms of KCN01.

Conclusion

This study is the first to reveal the prognostic implications of the expression patterns and biological role of KCNQ1 in GC and its promising prognostic significance, contributing to a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying GC recurrence and metastasis. KCNQ1 was downregulated in GC tissue, and low KCNQ1 expression is associated with adverse prognosis. KCNQ1 channels are expected to be a feasible prognostic index and a candidate target for GC therapy.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to xiantao. love platform (https://www.xiantao.love/). This study was funded by the Second Affiliated Hospital of Air Force Medical University Recruitment Assistance Scheme (2021SHRC055) and the program for XKPT Construction of Tangdu Hospital (2020XKPT013).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Wei Xin and Guoqiang Bao, Department of General Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Air Force Medical University, Xi'an 710038, Shaanxi, China. Tel: +86-13679280082; E-mail: wy2442770420@126.com (WX); Tel: +86-13891430409; E-mail: guoqiang@ fmmu.edu.cn (GQB)

References

- [1] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A and Bray F. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021; 71: 209-249.
- [2] Miller KD, Nogueira L, Mariotto AB, Rowland JH, Yabroff KR, Alfano CM, Jemal A, Kramer JL and Siegel RL. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019; 69: 363-385.
- [3] Yan HHN, Siu HC, Law S, Ho SL, Yue SSK, Tsui WY, Chan D, Chan AS, Ma S, Lam KO, Bartfeld S, Man AHY, Lee BCH, Chan ASY, Wong JWH, Cheng PSW, Chan AKW, Zhang J, Shi J, Fan X, Kwong DLW, Mak TW, Yuen ST, Clevers H and Leung SY. A comprehensive human gastric cancer organoid biobank captures tumor subtype heterogeneity and enables therapeutic screening. Cell Stem Cell 2018; 23: 882-897, e11.
- [4] Pietrantonio F, Miceli R, Raimondi A, Kim YW, Kang WK, Langley RE, Choi YY, Kim KM, Nankivell MG, Morano F, Wotherspoon A, Valeri N, Kook MC, An JY, Grabsch HI, Fucà G, Noh SH, Sohn TS, Kim S, Di Bartolomeo M,

Cunningham D, Lee J, Cheong JH and Smyth EC. Individual patient data meta-analysis of the value of microsatellite instability as a biomarker in gastric cancer. J Clin Oncol 2019; 37: 3392-3400.

- [5] Smyth EC. Chemotherapy for resectable microsatellite instability-high gastric cancer? Lancet Oncol 2020; 21: 204.
- [6] Hu X, Yang Z, Chen S, Xue J, Duan S, Yang L, Yang P, Peng S, Dong Y, Yuan L, He X and Bao G. Development and external validation of a prognostic nomogram for patients with gastric cancer after radical gastrectomy. Ann Transl Med 2021; 9: 1742.
- [7] Zhang Y, Xu J, Zhang N, Chen M, Wang H and Zhu D. Targeting the tumour immune microenvironment for cancer therapy in human gastrointestinal malignancies. Cancer Lett 2019; 458: 123-135.
- [8] Qiu Y, Chen T, Hu R, Zhu R, Li C, Ruan Y, Xie X and Li Y. Next frontier in tumor immunotherapy: macrophage-mediated immune evasion. Biomark Res 2021; 9: 72.
- [9] Chang L, Chang M, Chang HM and Chang F. Microsatellite instability: a predictive biomarker for cancer immunotherapy. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2018; 26: e15-e21.
- [10] Chan TA, Yarchoan M, Jaffee E, Swanton C, Quezada SA, Stenzinger A and Peters S. Development of tumor mutation burden as an immunotherapy biomarker: utility for the oncology clinic. Ann Oncol 2019; 30: 44-56.
- [11] Li W, Zhou K, Li M, Hu Q, Wei W, Liu L and Zhao Q. Identification of SCN7A as the key gene associated with tumor mutation burden in gastric cancer. BMC Gastroenterol 2022; 22: 45.
- [12] Schroeder BC, Waldegger S, Fehr S, Bleich M, Warth R, Greger R and Jentsch TJ. A constitutively open potassium channel formed by KCNQ1 and KCNE3. Nature 2000; 403: 196-199.
- [13] Sachyani D, Dvir M, Strulovich R, Tria G, Tobelaim W, Peretz A, Pongs O, Svergun D, Attali B and Hirsch JA. Structural basis of a Kv7.1 potassium channel gating module: studies of the intracellular c-terminal domain in complex with calmodulin. Structure 2014; 22: 1582-1594.
- [14] Lee CH and MacKinnon R. Activation mechanism of a human SK-calmodulin channel complex elucidated by cryo-EM structures. Science 2018; 360: 508-513.
- [15] Sun J and MacKinnon R. Structural basis of human KCNQ1 modulation and gating. Cell 2020; 180: 340-347, e9.
- [16] Peroz D, Rodriguez N, Choveau F, Baró I, Mérot J and Loussouarn G. Kv7.1 (KCNQ1) properties and channelopathies. J Physiol 2008; 586: 1785-1789.

- [17] Zhang P, Yang X, Yin Q, Yi J, Shen W, Zhao L, Zhu Z and Liu J. Inhibition of SK4 potassium channels suppresses cell proliferation, migration and the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in triple-negative breast cancer cells. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0154471.
- [18] Innamaa A, Jackson L, Asher V, van Schalkwyk G, Warren A, Keightley A, Hay D, Bali A, Sowter H and Khan R. Expression and effects of modulation of the K2P potassium channels TREK-1 (KCNK2) and TREK-2 (KCNK10) in the normal human ovary and epithelial ovarian cancer. Clin Transl Oncol 2013; 15: 910-918.
- [19] Than BL, Goos JA, Sarver AL, O'Sullivan MG, Rod A, Starr TK, Fijneman RJ, Meijer GA, Zhao L, Zhang Y, Largaespada DA, Scott PM and Cormier RT. The role of KCNQ1 in mouse and human gastrointestinal cancers. Oncogene 2014; 33: 3861-3868.
- [20] Fan H, Zhang M and Liu W. Hypermethylated KCNQ1 acts as a tumor suppressor in hepatocellular carcinoma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2018; 503: 3100-3107.
- [21] He M, Han Y, Cai C, Liu P, Chen Y, Shen H, Xu X and Zeng S. CLEC10A is a prognostic biomarker and correlated with clinical pathologic features and immune infiltrates in lung adenocarcinoma. J Cell Mol Med 2021; 25: 3391-3399.
- [22] Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de Beer TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, Lepore R and Schwede T. SWISS-model: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res 2018; 46: W296-W303.
- [23] Yang Z, Yuan L, Yang L, Peng S, Yang P, He X and Bao G. Association study between KCNQ1 and KCNQ10T1 genetic polymorphisms and gastric cancer susceptibility and survival in a Chinese Han population: a case-control study. Ann Transl Med 2021; 9: 156.
- [24] Winbo A, Sandström O, Palmqvist R and Rydberg A. Iron-deficiency anaemia, gastric hyperplasia, and elevated gastrin levels due to potassium channel dysfunction in the Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome. Cardiol Young 2013; 23: 325-334.
- [25] Asad-Ur-Rahman FN, Hughes L, Talha Khan M, Khalid Hasan M and Inayat I. Long QT syndrome and duodenal ampullary adenoma: a new association. ACG Case Rep J 2016; 3: e163.
- [26] den Uil SH, Coupé VM, Linnekamp JF, van den Broek E, Goos JA, Delis-van Diemen PM, Belt EJ, van Grieken NC, Scott PM, Vermeulen L, Medema JP, Bril H, Stockmann HB, Cormier RT, Meijer GA and Fijneman RJ. Loss of KCNQ1 expression in stage II and stage III colon cancer is a strong prognostic factor for disease recurrence. Br J Cancer 2016; 115: 1565-1574.

- [27] Rapetti-Mauss R, Bustos V, Thomas W, McBryan J, Harvey H, Lajczak N, Madden SF, Pellissier B, Borgese F, Soriani O and Harvey BJ. Bidirectional KCNQ1:β-catenin interaction drives colorectal cancer cell differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017; 114: 4159-4164.
- [28] Lee MP, Ravenel JD, Hu RJ, Lustig LR, Tomaselli G, Berger RD, Brandenburg SA, Litzi TJ, Bunton TE, Limb C, Francis H, Gorelikow M, Gu H, Washington K, Argani P, Goldenring JR, Coffey RJ and Feinberg AP. Targeted disruption of the Kvlqt1 gene causes deafness and gastric hyperplasia in mice. J Clin Invest 2000; 106: 1447-1455.
- [29] Robbins J. KCNQ potassium channels: physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacology. Pharmacol Ther 2001; 90: 1-19.
- [30] Roura-Ferrer M, Solé L, Oliveras A, Dahan R, Bielanska J, Villarroel A, Comes N and Felipe A. Impact of KCNE subunits on KCNQ1 (Kv7.1) channel membrane surface targeting. J Cell Physiol 2010; 225: 692-700.