Original Article Effect of stepwise psychological intervention on improving adverse mood and quality of life after colon cancer surgery

Peng Wang^{1*}, Xin Pang^{2*}, Mingxuan He¹, Jinyan Liu¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine-Oncology, The Second Hospital of Baoding, Baoding 071051, Hebei, China; ²Department of General Practice, The Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University (North House), Baoding 071000, Hebei, China. *Equal contributors.

Received March 16, 2023; Accepted May 13, 2023; Epub June 15, 2023; Published June 30, 2023

Abstract: Objective: To explore the effect of stepwise psychological intervention on adverse mood and quality of life of patients after colon cancer surgery. Methods: The clinical data from 102 patients with colon cancer admitted to the Second Hospital of Baoding from January 2018 to June 2022 were collected and analyzed retrospectively. Based on the intervention measures, 51 patients with the general intervention were regarded as the general group, and 51 patients with the stepwise psychological intervention were considered as the intervention group. Piper Fatigue Scale (PFS) was used to scale the degree of Cancer-related fatigue (CRF); Self-rating anxiety scale (SAS) and Self-rating depression scale (SDS) were applied to measure the negative emotion; Positive and negative emotion scale (PANAS) was used to evaluate the degree of positive negative emotions. In addition, Symptom Checklist 90 (SCL-90), Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) self-assessment scale, and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30) were applied to evaluate the mental state, mental resilience, and quality of life, respectively. The adverse reactions, prognosis, and intervention satisfaction after intervention were compared between the two groups. Results: After intervention, the PFS scores, SAS score, SDS score, and PANAS score in the general group and intervention groups were decreased (all P < 0.05), and these scores decreased more obviously in the intervention group compared with the general group (all P < 0.05); The scores of each dimension in SCL-90 scale were decreased in both groups (P < 0.05), and the SCL-90 scores in the intervention group were lower than those in the general group (P < 0.05); The scores of each dimension in CD-RISC scale improved in both groups (P < 0.05), and the scores were higher in the intervention group compared with the general group (P < 0.05); The scores of EORTC QLQ-C30 scale improved in both groups (P < 0.05), and these scores were higher in the intervention groups compared with the general group (P < 0.05). In addition, the adverse reaction rate was lower, while the prognosis and nursing satisfaction were better in the intervention group than those in the general group (all P < 0.05). Logistic regression analysis showed that the poor emotion and poor life quality were the risk factors of the poor prognosis (all P < 0.05). Conclusions: The stepwise psychological intervention can improve the psychological wellbeing and quality of life in the patients after colon cancer surgery.

Keywords: Stepwise psychological intervention, colon cancer surgery, adverse mood, quality of life

Introduction

Colon cancer is a kind of digestive tract malignancy with a very high clinical incidence, ranking in the forefront of gastrointestinal tumors [1]. Colon cancer is often seen in middle-aged patients, manifested with abdominal distension, abdominal pain, dyspepsia, mucus or bloody stool [2]. According to its morphology, it can be divided into infiltration type, uplift type and ulcer type [3]. Although the pathogenesis of this disease is not clear, it is directly related to the changes in diet and living habits. The disease is not only increasing year by year, but also developing in a younger age, which has attracted great attention from the clinic [4].

Operation is currently the mainstream treatment for colon cancer. Radical resection can remove the lesion [5], but there are individual differences in the post-operative recovery of patients, and those with multiple basic diseas-

es and poor physical resistance have poor recovery and high recurrence rate as well as many post-operative complications, which seriously affect the recovery effect [5]. How to ensure the recovery effect after surgery and keep the patients' physical and mental state stable is the focus of clinical research [6]. Intervention and treatment are equally important, which is a link that cannot be ignored in clinical practice. Clinical research shows that psychological state has a great impact on the recovery progress of patients [7]. Early continuous functional exercise and psychological intervention can alleviate the impact of psychological stress on the condition and promote patients to obtain good recovery results.

Therefore, giving postoperative nursing intervention to patients with colon cancer is an effective way to improve their negative psychology after medical treatment [8]. The clinical routine nursing pays attention to improving the patient's pain, but rarely focuses on the negative psychological intervention after operation, so the clinical effect is not ideal [9]. The stepwise psychological intervention is a new type of mental health care service that provides patients with varying degrees of psychological intervention. It strengthens the nursing intensity and the patients' trust in the medical staff through the way of stepwise intervention, which is conducive to the development of nursing work [10]. Stepwise psychological intervention has been applied to the care of diseases and has achieved good results. The objective of this study is to investigate the application effect of stepwise psychological intervention in elderly patients with colon cancer after surgery based on real-world patient data.

Materials and methods

The general data

The clinical data of 102 patients with colon cancer admitted to the Second Hospital of Baoding from January 2018 to June 2022 were collected and analyzed retrospectively. The inclusion criteria: ① Patients who met the diagnostic criteria of colon cancer [11]; ② Patients who received surgical treatment for the first time; ③ Patients with normal cognition and understanding; ④ Patients with complete clinical data. Exclusion criteria: ① Patients with severe central nervous system disease; ② Patients combined with other serious physical diseases; ③ Patients with metastatic colorectal cancer; ④ Patients with esophageal perforation, intestinal perforation or acute intestinal obstruction; ⑤ Patients combined with myocardial infarction or cerebral infarction. Based on the intervention measures, 51 patients received general intervention were regarded as the general group, while the other 51 patients with stepwise psychological intervention were considered as the intervention group. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Baoding.

Intervention measures

Routine intervention: The operation precautions were explained to the patients, health education was strengthened, and the patients were routinely guided in diet, exercise, functional exercise, and prevention and treatment of complications. The intervention continued for 4 weeks.

Stepwise psychological intervention includes the following three steps: ① Through communication with the patients, the medical staff evaluated their compliance behavior and cognition of disease, understood their psychological status and actual needs, expressed understanding of the patients' postoperative adverse emotions, and encouraged the patients with positive words to gain the trust of the patients. By listening and being affirming, the medical staff encouraged patients to actively participate in disease treatment and development of nursing plan. 2 Through one-to-one communication, video interpretation, and distribution of health manuals, medical staff explained the cause, development, treatment and prognosis of rectal cancer and informed the patients to follow treatment and improve their awareness of disease. The purpose is to make patients understand that the disease is not terrible through health education, improve their compliance with medical treatment, and tell patients to pay attention to rest and strengthen nutrition. Medical staff need to master relevant knowledge, and help patients to establish confidence in overcoming the disease with exquisite skills and sincere attitude. At the same time, medical staff should actively contact their family members and encourage them to give more care and warmth to patients. ③ After discharge,

medical staff should strengthen the communication with the patients and their family members, record patients' contact information and home address in detail, formulate targeted plans, and carry out continuous care based on the network platform. The patient's compliance behavior was monitored by telephone followup, family follow-up and other methods, and the patient's condition and psychological changes were observed in time. A WeChat group was established to regularly answer questions and doubts to relieve their negative emotions. The intervention continued for 4 weeks.

Observation indicators and evaluation methods

(1) Before and after the intervention, Piper Fatigue Scale (PFS) [12] were used to measure the degree of Cancer-related fatigue (CRF), including feeling, behavior, emotion, and cognitive . The higher the score of the patient, the higher the degree of CRF.

⁽²⁾ Before and after the intervention, self-rating anxiety scale (SAS) and self-rating depression scale (SDS) [13] were applied to measure the negative emotion. The higher the score of patients, the more serious their anxiety or depression.

⁽³⁾ Before and after the intervention, positive and negative emotion scale (PANAS) [14] was used to evaluate the degree of positive or negative emotions. The total score is 50 points, and the higher the score, the greater the negative emotion of the patient.

④ Before and after the intervention, symptom checklist 90 (SC-90) [15] was used to evaluate the psychological status, including anxiety, depression, terror, antagonism, obsessivecompulsive symptoms, and psychoticism. Each dimension was scored with five grades.

(5) Before and after the intervention, the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) [16] was used to evaluate the psychological resilience of the patients, including three dimensions with a total score of 5 points in each dimension.

⁽⁶⁾ Before and after the intervention, the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30) [17] was used to evaluate the quality of life, including material life, social function, emotional function, cognitive function, mental health, and physiological function with a total score of 100 points in each dimension.

 $\ensuremath{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}$ The postoperative complications, including atelectasis, arrhythmia and respiratory tract infection were compared between the two groups

(8) The patients' prognosis was compared between the two groups.

(9) Comparison of nursing satisfaction: a nursing satisfaction evaluation questionnaire, including patient comfort, rehabilitation after treatment, evaluation of nursing staff's service attitude, professional knowledge and skills, and understanding of health education related knowledge, was designed to evaluate the nursing satisfaction of patients. The full score of the questionnaire is 100 points, with a score of \geq 80 indicating very satisfied, \geq 60 indicating basically satisfied, and < 60 indicating dissatisfied. Nursing satisfaction rate = (cases of very satisfied + cases of basically satisfied)/total number of cases × 100%.

(11) The risk factors for poor prognosis were analyzed.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 26.0 software was applied for statistical analysis. The counting data were described in the number of cases and rate (%) and were compared with χ^2 test. The measurement data were represented as mean ± SEM, and the inter-group comparison was conducted by T-test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the risk factors for poor prognosis in colon cancer patients. *P* < 0.05 represented significant difference.

Results

Clinical data

There was no significant difference in the clinical data including gender, age, BMI (body mass index), course of disease, education level, and income level between the general and intervention groups (all P > 0.05) (**Table 1**).

Index	Intervention group (n = 51)	General group (n = 51)	t/χ²	Р		
Gender (male, %)	35 (68.63%)	34 (66.67%)	0.045	0.832		
Age (year)	51.82±8.74	52.22±10.18	-0.209	0.835		
BMI (kg/m²)	20.42±0.57	20.41±0.63	0.137	0.981		
Course of disease (month)	4.37±1.37	4.49±1.43	-0.424	0.673		
Education level (Bachelor's degree or above)	29 (56.86%)	28 (54.90%)	0.04	0.842		
Income level (> 8000 Yuan)	22 (43.14%)	23 (45.10%)	0.04	0.842		

Table 1. The comparison of clinical data between the two groups

Note: BMI, body mass index.





Degree of CRF evaluated by PFS

Before intervention, there was no difference in the PFS score between the two groups (P >0.05). After intervention, the scores of four dimensions in PFS, including feeling, behavior, emotion, and cognition in the general group and intervention group decreased (all P < 0.05), and these scores in the intervention group were significantly lower than those in the general group (all P < 0.05) (**Figure 1**).

Negative emotions measured by SAS and SDS

Before intervention, there was no difference in the SAS and SDS scores between the two groups (P > 0.05). After intervention, SAS score and SDS score in the general group and intervention group decreased (both P < 0.05), and these scores in the intervention group were significantly lower than those in the general group (both P < 0.05) (**Figure 2**).

Positive - negative emotions scaled by PANAS

Before intervention, there was no difference in the PANAS score between the two groups (P > 0.05). After intervention, PANAS score in the general group and intervention group decreased (P < 0.05), and PA-NAS score in the intervention group was significantly lower than that in the general group (P < 0.05) (**Figure 3**).

Mental state evaluated with SCL-90

The SCL-90 scale contains six dimensions, namely, anxiety, depression, terror, antagonism, obsessive-compulsive symptoms, and psychoticism. Before

intervention, there were no differences in the six dimensions of SCL-90 between the two groups (all P > 0.05). After intervention, the scores of six dimensions in the SCL-90 scale in the general group and intervention group decreased (all P < 0.05), and the scores in the intervention group were significantly lower than those in the general group (all P < 0.05) (**Figure 4**).

Mental resilience scaled by CD-RISC

CD-RISC includes three dimensions, namely, tenacity, self-improvement and optimism. Before intervention, there was no difference in the mental resilience between the two groups (all P > 0.05). After intervention, the scores of the three dimensions in the general group and intervention group improved (all P < 0.05), and these scores were significantly higher in the intervention group than those in the general group (all P < 0.05) (**Figure 5**).



Figure 2. The comparison of SAS (A) and SDS (B) between the two groups. Notes: SAS: self-rating anxiety scale; SDS: self-rating depression scale; *, P < 0.05.



Figure 3. The comparison of PANAS between the two groups. Note: PANAS: positive and negative emotion scale; *, P < 0.05.

Quality of life evaluated by EORTC QLQ-C30

EORTC QLQ-C30 includes six dimensions, namely material life, social function, emotional function, cognitive function, mental health, and physiological function. Before intervention, there was no difference in the six dimensions of EORTC QLQ-C30 between the two groups (all P> 0.05). After intervention, the scores of the six dimensions of EORTC QLQ-C30 improved obviously (all P < 0.05), and these scores were significantly higher in the intervention group than those in the general group (P < 0.05) (**Figure 6**).

Adverse reactions in the two groups

In the intervention group, arrhythmia occurred in 3 cases and respiratory tract infection in 3 cases. There were 7 cases of arrhythmia, 5 case of atelectasis and 6 cases of respiratory tract infection in the general group. The total incidence in the intervention group was significantly lower than that in the general group (χ^2 = 7.846, *P* < 0.05) (**Table 2**).

Prognosis in the two groups

As shown in **Table 3**, the incidences of postoperative complications, local recurrence, and distant metastasis in the intervention group were lower than those in the general group

(all P < 0.05), indicating that the prognosis was better in the intervention group than the general group.

Satisfaction in the two groups

As shown in **Table 4**, the nursing satisfaction rate in the intervention group was higher than that in the general group (P < 0.05).

Risk factors of prognosis

Based on the occurrence of adverse reactions, 78 patients without adverse reactions were classified into the good prognosis group, while the other 24 patients with adverse reactions were classified into the poor prognosis group. **Table 5** shows that the anxiety emotion, depression emotion, negative emotion, and poor quality of life were risk factors for poor prognosis of colon cancer patients (all P < 0.05).

Discussion

Surgery is the mainstream means for the treatment of rectal cancer, which is useful in reducing the degree of pain to a certain extent [18]. However, due to the particularity of the tumor, postoperative pain of rectal cancer, artificial anus and other factors, patients are prone to having negative emotions after surgery, affecting the effect of surgical treatment [19, 20]. Severe mood fluctuations can cause neuroimmune endocrine disorders, reduce immunity, induce tumor cell metastasis or aggravate disease [21]. A stable psychological state enables patients to maintain a high level of hope and better manage their emotions [22]. Therefore, good psychological intervention is an important



Figure 4. The comparison of SCL-90 between the two groups. Note: A. Anxiety; B. Depression; C. Terror; D. Antagonism; E. Obsessive-compulsive symptoms; F. Psychoticism; SCL-90: symptom checklist 90; *, P < 0.05.



Figure 5. The comparison of CD-RISC self-assessment scale between the two groups. Notes: A. Tenacity; B. Selfimprovement; C. Optimism; CD-RISC: Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; *, *P* < 0.05.

measure to alleviate the negative emotions of rectal cancer patients after surgery.

The conventional intervention mode pays attention to improving the treatment effect and survival rate, but often neglects the psychological state of patients and therefore affects the compliance of patients to a certain extent, which is not conducive to postoperative recovery [23]. Stepwise psychological intervention can maximize the allocation of resources, gradually enhance the intensity of nursing and maximize the therapeutic effect of the nursing [24]. Results showed that after the intervention, the PFS score, SAS score, SDS score, and PANAS score in the general group and intervention groups were all decreased, and these scores decreased more significantly in the intervention group compared with the general group; the scores of all dimensions in the SCL-90 scale decreased and reduced more in the intervention group. The scores of all dimensions in the CD-RISC and EORTC QLQ-C30 scale improved after intervention, and the scores improved more significantly in the intervention group. The adverse reaction rate was lower, while the prognosis and nursing satisfaction were better in the intervention group than those in the general group.

The first step of the stepwise psychological intervention is to gain the trust of patients to



Figure 6. The comparison of EORTC QLQ-C30 between the two groups. Notes: A. Material life; B. Social function; C. Emotional function; D. Cognitive function; E. Mental health; F. Physiological function; EORTC QLQ-C30: European organization for research and treatment of cancer quality of life questionnaire core 30; *, P < 0.05.

Table 2. The comparison of adverse reactions between the two groups

	Arrhythmia	Atelectasis	Respiratory tract infection	Sum
General group	7 (13.73%)	5 (9.80%)	6 (11.76%)	18 (35.29%)
Intervention group	3 (5.88%)	0 (0%)	3 (5.88%)	6 (11.76%)
X ²				7.846
Р				0.05

	Postoperative complications	Local recurrence	Distant metastasis
General group	19 (38%)	12 (23.53%)	10 (19.61%)
Intervention group	7 (13.73%)	3 (5.77%)	2 (3.92%)
X ²	7.433	6.331	6.044
Р	0.006	0.012	0.014

	Very satisfied	Basically satisfied	Unsatisfied	Total satisfaction rate
General group	20 (39.22%)	15 (29.41%)	16 (31.37%)	35 (68.63%)
Intervention group	30 (58.82%)	16 (31.37%)	5 (9.80%)	46 (90.20%)
X ²				7.256
Р				0.007

smoothly carry out nursing work [25]. According to the different psychological states of patients, different levels of nursing intervention can effectively alleviate the negative psychology of patients. Family support is very important for cancer patients. Good family support can improve patients' confidence in treatment, and thus improve prognosis [26, 27]. The second

patiento						
Index	β	SE	Wald	Р	EXP (B)	95% CI
Anxiety emotion	2.456	0.574	18.307	0.000	11.658	3.785~35.911
Depression emotion	1.329	0.571	5.413	0.020	3.776	1.233~11.586
Negative emotion	1.707	0.569	8.985	0.003	5.510	1.805~16.819
Poor life quality	2.955	0.610	23.491	0.000	19.196	5.812~63.404
Constant	-4.484	0.722	38.542	0.000	0.011	

 Table 5. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for poor prognosis of colon cancer

 patients

step of the intervention focuses on improving the patients' awareness of the disease through one-to-one communication, video explanation, distribution of health manuals and other ways, alleviating patients' fear and improving their compliance to the treatment [28]. In addition, while strengthening the training of professional knowledge, medical staff also continuously learn the knowledge of medical psychology and medical ethics, improve their own quality, think from the perspective of patients, and improve the treatment compliance of patients [29]. The third step of the intervention focuses on followup care after discharge. Medical staff assisted patients in formulating post-operative treatment plans, monitored patients' behaviors through various ways to timely detect both the physical and psychological changes in patients and give effective intervention [30]. The development of medical technology can prolong the survival period of cancer patients. Active and effective psychological intervention can improve the psychological wellbeing of patients, improve self-efficacy, and increase the quality of life [31]. However, the number of patients selected in our study was not big and the research time interval was short, and further in-depth research with multi-center, large sample and long-time span or multiple time periods is required.

Conclusion

To sum up, stepwise psychological intervention can improve the psychological wellbeing of rectal cancer patients after operation, improve psychological resilience and quality of life of patients, and reduce the occurrence of complications.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Baoding Science and Technology Project (2041ZF205).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Peng Wang, Department of Internal Medicine-Oncology, The Second Hospital of Baoding, No. 338, Dongfeng West Road, Jingxiu District, Baoding 071051, Hebei, China. Tel: +86-0312-3099722; E-mail: wangpengdo@yeah. net

References

- [1] Fidelle M, Yonekura S, Picard M, Cogdill A, Hollebecque A, Roberti MP and Zitvogel L. Resolving the paradox of colon cancer through the integration of genetics, immunology, and the microbiota. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 600886.
- [2] Lannagan TR, Jackstadt R, Leedham SJ and Sansom OJ. Advances in colon cancer research: in vitro and animal models. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2021; 66: 50-56.
- [3] Jahanafrooz Z, Mosafer J, Akbari M, Hashemzaei M, Mokhtarzadeh A and Baradaran B. Colon cancer therapy by focusing on colon cancer stem cells and their tumor microenvironment. J Cell Physiol 2020; 235: 4153-4166.
- [4] Cappell MS. Pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and management of colon cancer. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2008; 37: 1-24, v.
- [5] Farinha P, Pinho JO, Matias M and Gaspar MM. Nanomedicines in the treatment of colon cancer: a focus on metallodrugs. Drug Deliv Transl Res 2022; 12: 49-66.
- [6] Itzkowitz SH, Winawer SJ, Krauskopf M, Carlesimo M, Schnoll-Sussman FH, Huang K, Weber TK and Jandorf L. New York citywide colon cancer control coalition: a public health effort to increase colon cancer screening and address health disparities. Cancer 2016; 122: 269-277.
- [7] Vadaparampil ST, Ropka M and Stefanek ME. Measurement of psychological factors associated with genetic testing for hereditary breast, ovarian and colon cancers. Fam Cancer 2005; 4: 195-206.

- [8] Roslan NH, Makpol S and Mohd Yusof YA. A review on dietary intervention in obesity associated colon cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2019; 20: 1309-1319.
- [9] Amaro-Gahete FJ, Jurado J, Cisneros A, Corres P, Marmol-Perez A, Osuna-Prieto FJ, Fernández-Escabias M, Salcedo E, Hermán-Sánchez N, Gahete MD, Aparicio VA, González-Callejas C, Mirón Pozo B, R Ruiz J, Nestares T and Carneiro-Barrera A. Multidisciplinary prehabilitation and postoperative rehabilitation for avoiding complications in patients undergoing resection of colon cancer: rationale, design, and methodology of the ONCOFIT study. Nutrients 2022; 14: 4647.
- [10] Gonzalez-Saenz de Tejada M, Bilbao A, Baré M, Briones E, Sarasqueta C, Quintana JM and Escobar A; CARESS-CCR Group. Association between social support, functional status, and change in health-related quality of life and changes in anxiety and depression in colorectal cancer patients. Psychooncology 2017; 26: 1263-1269.
- [11] Otani K, Kawai K, Hata K, Tanaka T, Nishikawa T, Sasaki K, Kaneko M, Murono K, Emoto S and Nozawa H. Colon cancer with perforation. Surg Today 2019; 49: 15-20.
- [12] Berardi A, Graziosi G, Ferrazzano G, Casagrande Conti L, Grasso MG, Tramontano M, Conte A and Galeoto G. Evaluation of the psychometric properties of the revised piper fatigue scale in patients with multiple sclerosis. Healthcare (Basel) 2022; 10: 985.
- [13] Yue T, Li Q, Wang R, Liu Z, Guo M, Bai F, Zhang Z, Wang W, Cheng Y and Wang H. Comparison of hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS) and Zung self-rating anxiety/depression scale (SAS/SDS) in evaluating anxiety and depression in patients with psoriatic arthritis. Dermatology 2020; 236: 170-178.
- [14] Savalli C, Albuquerque N, Vasconcellos AS, Ramos D, de Mello FT and Mills DS. Assessment of emotional predisposition in dogs using PANAS (positive and negative activation scale) and associated relationships in a sample of dogs from Brazil. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 18386.
- [15] Dang W, Xu Y, Ji J, Wang K, Zhao S, Yu B, Liu J, Feng C, Yu H, Wang W, Yu X, Dong W and Ma Y. Study of the SCL-90 scale and changes in the Chinese norms. Front Psychiatry 2020; 11: 524395.
- [16] Wollny Al and Jacobs I. Validity and reliability of the German versions of the CD-RISC-10 and CD-RISC-2. Curr Psychol 2023; 42: 3437-3448.
- [17] Hagiwara Y, Shiroiwa T, Taira N, Kawahara T, Konomura K, Noto S, Fukuda T and Shimozuma K. Mapping EORTC QLQ-C30 and FACT-G onto EQ-5D-5L index for patients with cancer. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2020; 18: 354.

- [18] Benson AB, Venook AP, Al-Hawary MM, Cederquist L, Chen YJ, Ciombor KK, Cohen S, Cooper HS, Deming D, Engstrom PF, Garrido-Laguna I, Grem JL, Grothey A, Hochster HS, Hoffe S, Hunt S, Kamel A, Kirilcuk N, Krishnamurthi S, Messersmith WA, Meyerhardt J, Miller ED, Mulcahy MF, Murphy JD, Nurkin S, Saltz L, Sharma S, Shibata D, Skibber JM, Sofocleous CT, Stoffel EM, Stotsky-Himelfarb E, Willett CG, Wuthrick E, Gregory KM and Freedman-Cass DA. NCCN guidelines insights: colon cancer, version 2.2018. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2018; 16: 359-369.
- [19] Kotronoulas G, Papadopoulou C, Burns-Cunningham K, Simpson M and Maguire R. A systematic review of the supportive care needs of people living with and beyond cancer of the colon and/or rectum. Eur J Oncol Nurs 2017; 29: 60-70.
- [20] McGettigan M, Cardwell CR, Cantwell MM and Tully MA. Physical activity interventions for disease-related physical and mental health during and following treatment in people with non-advanced colorectal cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020; 5: CD012864.
- [21] Stulz A, Favez N and Flahault C. Emotional and sexual adaptation to colon cancer: perceptual congruence of dyadic coping among couples. Front Psychol 2022; 13: 802603.
- [22] Lin C, Diao Y, Dong Z, Song J and Bao C. The effect of attention and interpretation therapy on psychological resilience, cancer-related fatigue, and negative emotions of patients after colon cancer surgery. Ann Palliat Med 2020; 9: 3261-3270.
- [23] van den Berg I, van de Weerd S, Roodhart JML, Vink GR, van den Braak RRJC, Jimenez CR, Elias SG, van Vliet D, Koelink M, Hong E, van Grevenstein WMU, van Oijen MGH, Beets-Tan RGH, van Krieken JHJM, IJzermans JNM, Medema JP and Koopman M; CONNECTIONstudy group. Improving clinical management of colon cancer through CONNECTION, a nationwide colon cancer registry and stratification effort (CONNECTION II trial): rationale and protocol of a single arm intervention study. BMC Cancer 2020; 20: 776.
- [24] Zhu XH, Lang HD, Wang XL, Hui SC, Zhou M, Kang C, Yi L, Mi MT and Zhang Y. Synergy between dihydromyricetin intervention and irinotecan chemotherapy delays the progression of colon cancer in mouse models. Food Funct 2019; 10: 2040-2049.
- [25] Rawl SM, Given BA, Given CW, Champion VL, Kozachik SL, Kozachik SL, Barton D, Emsley CL and Williams SD. Intervention to improve psychological functioning for newly diagnosed patients with cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum 2002; 29: 967-975.

- [26] Zaman ACGNM, Tytgat KMAJ, Klinkenbijl JHG, Boer FCD, Brink MA, Brinkhuis JC, Bruinvels DJ, Dol LCM, van Duijvendijk P, Hemmer PHJ, Lamme B, Loosveld OJL, Mok MM, Rejda T, Rutten H, Schoorlemmer A, Sonneveld DJ, Stassen LPS, Veenstra RP, van de Ven A, Velzing ER, Frings-Dresen MHW and de Boer AGEM. Effectiveness of a tailored work-related support intervention for patients diagnosed with gastrointestinal cancer: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. J Occup Rehabil 2021; 31: 323-338.
- [27] Kang BM, Lee YS, Kim JH, Kim HJ, Lee SC, Kim CW, Lim SW, Kim JG and Lee SH. Quality of life and patient satisfaction after single- and multiport laparoscopic surgery in colon cancer: a multicentre randomised controlled trial (Simple Trial). Surg Endosc 2021; 35: 6278-6290.
- [28] Lim SH, Chan SWC, Lai JH and He HG. A qualitative evaluation of the STOMA psychosocial intervention programme for colorectal cancer patients with stoma. J Adv Nurs 2019; 75: 108-118.

- [29] Duraes LC, Steele SR, Valente MA, Lavryk OA, Connelly TM and Kessler H. Right colon, left colon, and rectal cancer have different oncologic and quality of life outcomes. Int J Colorectal Dis 2022; 37: 939-948.
- [30] Gonzalez-Saenz de Tejada M, Bilbao A, Baré M, Briones E, Sarasqueta C, Quintana JM and Escobar A. Association of social support, functional status, and psychological variables with changes in health-related quality of life outcomes in patients with colorectal cancer. Psychooncology 2016; 25: 891-897.
- [31] Beaubrun En Famille Diant L, Sordes F and Chaubard T. Psychological impact of ostomy on the quality of life of colorectal cancer patients: role of body image, self-esteem and anxiety. Bull Cancer 2018; 105: 573-580.