Review Article Association between oral lichen planus and Candida albicans infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Danhua Ma¹, Xi Yang², Min Wang³

¹Department of Stomatology, Ningbo No. 2 Hospital, Ningbo 315010, Zhejiang, China; ²Department of Endodontics, Stomatological Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400015, China; ³Department of Stomatology, Wangjiang Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, Sichuan, China

Received April 28, 2024; Accepted June 27, 2024; Epub August 15, 2024; Published August 30, 2024

Abstract: This study examines the potential association between Oral Lichen Planus (OLP) and Candida albicans infection, exploring its potential impact on the development of OLP. A meta-analysis of individual case-control studies was performed, estimating odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (Cls). A quality assessment of the literature was conducted using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). Due to considerable heterogeneity in the selected studies, subgroup analyses were performed based on geographical location and recruitment methods. No significant publication bias was detected. A sensitivity analysis validated the robustness of the findings when applying a random-effects model. The meta-analysis included ten studies, comprising 1,124 OLP patients and 1,063 healthy controls. Results indicated a significantly higher detection rate of Candida albicans in OLP patients compared to healthy controls (OR = 1.74, P = 0.003, 95% Cl: 1.20, 2.52). Additionally, an increased risk of Candida albicans infection was observed in erosive OLP (E-OLP) patients compared to healthy controls (OR = 3.97, 95% Cl: 2.31, 6.84, P < 0.00001). These findings suggest a complex interplay between OLP and Candida albicans, highlighting the need for further research to elucidate the varying susceptibilities among different clinical types of OLP. This study provides novel insights for future research directions and clinical treatment strategies in this field.

Keywords: Oral lichen planus, Candida albicans, odds ratio, confidence interval, meta-analysis

Introduction

Oral lichen planus (OLP), a chronic inflammatory mucosal disease affecting skin and mucous membranes, particularly in the oral cavity [1], is characterized by white, thread-like lesions on cheek linings, tongues, gums, and occasionally the oral roof [2]. These lesions can cause significant discomfort, particularly with spicy or acidic foods [3]. Clinically, OLP is categorized as non-erosive or erosive, based on lesion characteristics [4]. Non-erosive OLP (non-E-OLP) presents with white, reticular patterns or plagues, while E-OLP manifests as red, painful erosions or ulcers [5]. Non-E-OLP may be asymptomatic or cause minor discomfort, while erosive OLP often results in significant pain, burning sensations, and difficulties with eating and speaking [6, 7]. Despite research suggesting links between infections, immune compromise, and systemic conditions with OLP development, the precise etiology and mechanisms remain unclear [8]. Consequently, there is a need for further etiological exploration and the development of more effective clinical treatments. Candida albicans, a common fungal species residing in the human body, particularly in the gastrointestinal tract, mouth, and vaginal region [9], is typically a harmless component of the microbiota [10]. However, under conditions such as immunocompromise, hormonal imbalances, or antibiotic use, C. albicans can proliferate unchecked, resulting in infections [11]. Recent research suggests a potential association between C. albicans presence and OLP, attributed to alterations in the oral microbiota or compromised mucosal barriers [12]. The fungus is hypothesized to exacerbate inflammatory responses in OLP lesions, worsening symptoms and discomfort. Conversely, there is evidence indicating that C. albicans in the oral cavity may not always directly trigger OLP onset or progression [13]. Some researchers posit that Candida colonization in OLP patients may be a secondary event stemming from the altered oral environment associated with the disease [14]. To draw objective conclusions that can guide future research and clinical interventions, a comprehensive analysis of domestic and international research data on the OLP-C. albicans relationship is crucial. Therefore, the present study aims to investigate this link through a meta-analysis approach.

Materials and methods

Selection criteria

The selection criteria for this study were as follows: ① Study design: We included Englishlanguage case-control studies that examined the potential correlation between OLP and Candida albicans infection. ② Study population: The study population was comprised of adult patients with a confirmed diagnosis of OLP. The control group consisted of healthy individuals, matched for age and gender, without OLP or systemic diseases. ③ Outcome indicators: The detection rate of Candida albicans in OLP lesions and oral rinse solutions was considered, with adherence to internationally recognized identification methods.

The exclusion criteria were: ① Participants with malignancies, diabetes, or systemic diseases; those who had used antibiotics or immunosuppressive agents in the past three months; and OLP patients with concurrent oral infectious diseases. ② Studies with repetitive publications or incomplete data. ③ Quantitative metaanalyses, abstracts, reviews, and commentaries.

This meta-analysis was registered with IN-PLASY (International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Protocols, ID: 202460006).

Search strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted in PubMed, Embase, Medline, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science from their inception through January 31, 2024, to identify relevant case-control studies examining the association between OLP and Candida albicans infection. The search strategy incorporated both free text and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), utilizing terms such as "Candida albicans", "Monilia albicans", "Dematium albicans", "Oral Lichen Planus", "Mucosal Lichen Planus", "Lichen planopilaris", and "Lichen Planus". The search queries were formulated as (((Candida albicans [MeSH]) OR Dematium albicans [MeSH] OR Monilia albicans [MeSH]) AND (Oral Lichen Planus [MeSH] OR "Oral Lichen Planus" [All Fields] OR Lichen Planus [MeSH] OR Mucosal Lichen Planus [MeSH] OR "Lichen planopilaris" [All Fields])).

Literature screening and data extraction

Data from eligible studies were independently extracted by two researchers (DHM and YX) based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The primary data extracted included the first author's name, publication year, country of origin, number of cases and controls, source of participants for both case and control groups, age, gender, method of Candida albicans identification, and outcome measures. Any discrepancies in data extraction were resolved through discussion.

Quality assessment

The methodological quality of the included studies was rigorously assessed by two researchers using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) scoring criteria. The NOS scoring ranges from 0 to 9, with scores of 0-5 indicating low quality, 6-7 indicating moderate quality, and 8-9 indicating high quality. Any discrepancies in the quality ratings were resolved through discussion between the researchers.

Statistical analysis

The odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed for each case-control study using Review Manager 5.3 software. Heterogeneity across the studies was evaluated using the Cochrane's Q test and the I² statistic, with I² values between 25% and 50% considered low, 50% to 75% moderate, and over 75% indicating high heterogeneity. Meta-analyses were conducted using a random-effects model to obtain pooled ORs and 95% CIs, which were presented in a forest plot. To further investigate potential sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were performed considering clinical types,



regional distributions, and detection methods of OLP patients. Sensitivity analysis was performed by sequentially excluding each study to assess the robustness of the findings. Finally, a funnel plot was used to evaluate potential publication bias.

Results

Literature search results

The initial search of electronic databases resulted in 576 publications, as shown in **Figure 1**. After eliminating duplicates and screening titles and abstracts, 227 unique publications remained for detailed evaluation. Following a thorough full-text review, 32 articles were assessed for eligibility, with 22 ultimately excluded. Consequently, the current meta-analysis incorporates data from ten eligible articles. See in **Figure 1**. Basic characteristics of included studies

Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the ten included studies in this metaanalysis. These studies, published between 1996 and 2022, encompass a total of 1,124 patients with OLP and 1,063 healthy controls. With two exceptions, the majority employed the concentrated oral rinse method for detection. The studies originate from various countries, including Romania, Germany, Iran, China, Serbia, Malaysia, Thailand, Brazil, and Spain.

Quality assessment

The quality assessment conducted according to the Cochrane bias risk criteria indicated that all included studies exhibited a high level of methodological rigor and quality (**Figure 2**).

Meta-analysis results

The pooled data from ten studies encompassing 1,124 patients with OLP and 1,063 healthy controls revealed significant differences in the detection rate of Candida albicans. Among OLP patients, 353 cases tested positive for Candida albicans, yielding a detection rate of 31.41%. In contrast, the healthy control group exhibited a lower detection rate of 24.13% (187 positive cases). The meta-analysis showed a statistically significant increase in the detection rate of Candida albicans among OLP patients compared to healthy controls (OR = 1.74, P = 0.003, 95% CI: 1.20, 2.52; see **Figure 3**).

Of the ten studies, five performed a clinical classification of OLP patients. These studies revealed a significantly higher risk of Candida albicans infection in patients with e E-OLP compared to healthy controls (OR = 3.97, 95% CI: 2.31, 6.84, P < 0.00001; see Figure 4). However, no significant difference was observed in

Authors	Year	Country	OLP (n)	Controls	Oral candidiasis (n)	Clinical Type of OLP	Detection method	Detection indicators
Parlatescu et al. [25]	2021	Romania	203	94	75	E-0LP:17; NE-0LP:58	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
Molkenthin et al. [14]	2022	Germany	160	97	117	E-0LP:56; NE-0LP:61	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
Rezazadeh et al. [26]	2022	Iran	40	32	32	NA	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
He et al. [27]	2020	China	149	101	28	E-0LP:53; NE-0LP:96	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
Zeng et al. [28]	2008	China	300	128	86	E-OLP:140; NE-OLP:160	Concentrated oral rinse method	Culture positive number
Bokor et al. [29]	2013	Serbia	90	90	36	NA	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
Arora et al. [30]	2016	Malaysia	80	80	26	E-0LP:16; NE-0LP:64	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
Jainkittivong et al. [31]	2007	Thailand	30	30	21	NA	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
Artico et al. [32]	2014	Brazil	38	28	11	NA	Mucus swab method	Culture positive number
Lipperheide et al. [33]	1996	Spain	34	95	17	NA	Concentrated oral rinse method	Culture positive number

Table 1. Characteristics of included publications

OLP: oral lichen planus; NE-OLP: non-erosive OLP; E-OLP: erosive OLP; NA: Not Available.



Figure 2. Bias risk assessment.



Figure 3. The forest plot of the association between OLP and Candida albicans infection. OLP: oral lichen planus.

the detection rate of Candida albicans between non-erosive OLP patients and normal controls (P = 0.06, Figure 4).

Subgroup analysis

Given the substantial heterogeneity observed among studies ($I^2 = 53\%$, P = 0.02), a subgroup analysis was undertaken to identify the underlying causes. The geographical distribution of the study populations revealed a significantly elevated risk of Candida albicans infection in OLP patients in both Asian (OR = 2.96, 95% CI: 1.43, 6.13, P = 0.004) and non-Asian regions (OR = 1.29, 95% CI: 0.94, 1.77, P = 0.11; Figure 5). Additionally, the subgroup analysis based on sample collection methods demonstrated a significant association between Candida albicans infection and OLP, regardless of whether mucosal swab culture (OR = 2.03, 95% CI 0.68, 2.26, P = 0.003) or rinse culture (OR = 1.24, 95% Cl 1.46-2.58, P = 0.047) was used (Figure 6).

Publication bias analysis

A funnel plot analysis was performed to assess potential publication bias among the included studies. As depicted in **Figure 7**, the studies exhibited symmetry, indicating no significant publication bias across the ten studies.

Sensitivity analysis

The stability of the random-effects model selection was confirmed through sensitivity analysis. The results demonstrated low sensitivity and robust stability, with the data from all publications distributed evenly away from the center line, indicating no significant devia-

	OLP		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% C	M-H. Random, 95% Cl		
2.1.1 E-OLP									
Arora et al. 2016	8	16	0	80	2.7%	161.00 [8.52, 3040.75]	\longrightarrow		
He et al. 2020	23	53	19	101	11.7%	3.31 [1.58, 6.92]			
Molkenthin et al. 2022	29	56	25	97	12.0%	3.09 [1.55, 6.19]			
Parlatescu et al. 2021	10	17	18	94	9.2%	6.03 [2.02, 18.01]			
Zeng et al. 2008	62	140	26	128	13.0%	3.12 [1.81, 5.38]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		282		500	48.7%	3.97 [2.31, 6.84]	•		
Total events	132		88						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	17; Chi² =	7.87, 0	df = 4 (P =	= 0.10);	l² = 49%				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 4.98 (P	< 0.000	001)						
2.1.2 NE-OLP									
Arora et al. 2016	18	64	0	80	2.9%	64.05 [3.77, 1087.72]			
He et al. 2020	35	96	29	101	12.6%	1.42 [0.78, 2.59]	+		
Molkenthin et al. 2022	22	61	15	97	11.5%	3.08 [1.44, 6.59]			
Parlatescu et al. 2021	16	58	25	94	11.7%	1.05 [0.50, 2.19]	_ _		
Zeng et al. 2008	24	140	26	128	12.5%	0.81 [0.44, 1.50]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		419		500	51.3%	1.68 [0.82, 3.45]	◆		
Total events	115		95						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.45; Chi ² = 15.72, df = 4 (P = 0.003); l ² = 75%									
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.42 (P	= 0.15)							
Total (95% CI)		701		1000	100.0%	2.73 [1.59, 4.67]	•		
Total events	247		183						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.50; Chi ² = 37.91, df = 9 (P < 0.0001); l ² = 76%									
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.66 (P	= 0.000	03)				Eavours [experimental] Eavours [control]		
Test for subgroup differe	nces: Chi	² = 3.49	9. df = 1 (l	P = 0.0	6). I² = 71	.4%			

Figure 4. The forest plot of the correlation between clinical OLP and Candida albicans infection subtypes. OLP: oral lichen planus.

	OLP	•	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
3.1.1 Asian									
Arora et al. 2016	19	80	0	80	1.6%	51.05 [3.02, 862.17]			
He et al. 2020	26	149	8	101	10.2%	2.46 [1.06, 5.67]			
Jainkittivong et al. 2007	17	30	5	30	6.5%	6.54 [1.97, 21.74]			
Rezazadeh et al. 2022	33	40	22	32	7.3%	2.14 [0.71, 6.48]			
Zeng et al. 2008	86	300	26	128	15.3%	1.58 [0.96, 2.59]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		599		371	40.9%	2.96 [1.43, 6.13]	\bullet		
Total events	181		61						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.38	B; Chi ² = 1	10.30, c	lf = 4 (P =	= 0.04);	l² = 61%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.91 (P =	0.004)							
3.1.2 Non Asian									
Artico et al. 2014	10	38	6	28	6.9%	1.31 [0.41, 4.16]			
Bokor et al. 2013	36	90	21	90	12.9%	2.19 [1.15, 4.18]	-		
Lipperheide et al. 1996	15	34	46	95	10.8%	0.84 [0.38, 1.85]			
Molkenthin et al. 2022	56	160	33	97	14.7%	1.04 [0.61, 1.78]	_ _		
Parlatescu et al. 2021	55	203	20	94	13.9%	1.38 [0.77, 2.46]	+		
Subtotal (95% CI)		525		404	59.1%	1.29 [0.94, 1.77]	◆		
Total events	172		126						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² = 4.37, df = 4 (P = 0.36); l ² = 9%									
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.61 (P =	0.11)							
Total (95% CI)		1124		775	100.0%	1.74 [1.20, 2.52]	\blacksquare		
Total events	353		187						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.1	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.17; Chi ² = 19.13, df = 9 (P = 0.02); l ² = 53%								
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.93 (P =	0.003)	Eavours [experimental] Eavours [control]						
Test for subgroup differen	ces: Chi ²	= 4.15.	df = 1 (P	= 0.04). ² = 75.9	1%			

Figure 5. The forest plot shows the correlation between regional OLP and Candida albicans infection. OLP: oral lichen planus.

tion. This suggests that no single publication significantly influenced the collective findings. A p-value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Discussion

OLP, an idiopathic chronic inflammatory condition affecting the oral mucosa [15], has recent-

	OLP	•	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	I M-H, Random, 95% CI		
4.1.1 Mucus swab method									
Arora et al. 2016	19	80	0	80	1.6%	51.05 [3.02, 862.17]	\longrightarrow		
Artico et al. 2014	10	38	6	28	6.9%	1.31 [0.41, 4.16]			
Bokor et al. 2013	36	90	21	90	12.9%	2.19 [1.15, 4.18]			
He et al. 2020	26	149	8	101	10.2%	2.46 [1.06, 5.67]			
Jainkittivong et al. 2007	17	30	5	30	6.5%	6.54 [1.97, 21.74]			
Molkenthin et al. 2022	56	160	33	97	14.7%	1.04 [0.61, 1.78]	_ _		
Parlatescu et al. 2021	55	203	20	94	13.9%	1.38 [0.77, 2.46]			
Rezazadeh et al. 2022	33	40	22	32	7.3%	2.14 [0.71, 6.48]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		790		552	73.9%	2.03 [1.26, 3.26]	\bullet		
Total events	252		115						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.24	4; Chi² = 1	6.43, 0	if = 7 (P =	= 0.02);	l² = 57%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.92 (P =	0.003)							
4.1.2 Concentrated oral I	rinse met	hod							
Lipperheide et al. 1996	15	34	46	95	10.8%	0.84 [0.38, 1.85]			
Zeng et al. 2008	86	300	26	128	15.3%	1.58 [0.96, 2.59]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		334		223	26.1%	1.24 [0.68, 2.26]	•		
Total events	101		72						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08; Chi ² = 1.75, df = 1 (P = 0.19); l ² = 43%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.72 (P = 0.047)									
Total (95% CI)		1124		775	100.0%	1.74 [1.20, 2.52]	◆		
Total events	353		187						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.17: Chi ² = 19.13. df = 9 (P = 0.02): l ² = 53%									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.93$ (P = 0.003) 0.01 0.1 1 10 100									
Test for subaroup differen	ces: Chi ²	= 1.58.	df = 1 (P	= 0.21). I ² = 36.9	%	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		
103101300900000000000000000000000000000									

Figure 6. The forest plot shows the correlation between different collection methods for OLP and Candida albicans infection. OLP: oral lichen planus.



Figure 7. Publication bias analysis among the ten included studies.

ly garnered attention due to the high detection rate of Candida albicans in these patients [16]. The clinical manifestations of OLP and oral Candida albicans infection overlap, presenting as mucosal atrophy, erosion, and pain [17]. Research has shown that OLP patients exhibit epithelial defects in the oral mucosa, which compromise cell integrity, increase tissue fluid exudation, and decrease resistance to Candida albicans, predisposing them to opportunistic infections [18]. Furthermore, antifungal therapy has been reported to alleviate symptoms in OLP patients, particularly in refractory cases [19]. This meta-analysis's findings support the hypothesis of an association between OLP and Candida albicans infection, further substantiated by the subgroup analysis results [20].

This study integrates data from ten published case-control studies to assess the correlation between OLP and Candida albicans infection. It examines how various OLP clinical manifestations impact the risk of Candida albicans infec-

tion. The results reveal a substantial association between OLP and Candida albicans infection, particularly among patients with erosive OLP. However, this correlation was not significant in non-erosive OLP patients. These findings imply that different OLP types exhibit distinct susceptibility to Candida albicans, a crucial factor contributing to clinical heterogeneity. Previous studies suggest that erosive OLP has significantly higher apoptosis levels and thinner oral epithelium compared to nonerosive OLP, facilitating Candida albicans' transition from a commensal to a pathogenic fungus. Furthermore, molecular alterations in OLP patients' oral mucosa, including aberrant gene expression of toll-like receptors and defensins, may contribute to their varying susceptibility to Candida albicans [21-24].

In this meta-analysis, heterogeneity tests indicated significant variability among the studies. To elucidate the underlying sources of heterogeneity, a subgroup analysis was conducted, focusing on geographical distribution and sampling methods. However, neither geographical distribution nor sampling methods fully accounted for the observed heterogeneity.

Notably, the subgroup analysis based on geographical distribution revealed a notable disparity in the risk of candidiasis infection among OLP patients. Specifically, the Asian group exhibited a significantly increased risk compared to the non-Asian group (Asia: OR 2.96, 95% CI: 1.43-6.13, P = 0.004 vs. non-Asia: OR 1.29, 95% CI: 0.94-1.77, P = 0.11). This finding indicates that OLP patients in Asian regions may be more susceptible to candidiasis infection than those in non-Asian regions, who appear to have a relatively lower risk.

Importantly, the *P*-value for the non-Asian group is corrected to 0.11, as the originally reported *P*-value of 0.011 does not align with the given 95% confidence interval, which suggests a nonsignificant trend. This correction ensures consistency in the interpretation of the statistical results.

Our sensitivity analysis and assessment for publication bias indicate that the study's results are highly reliable and robust. However, this meta-analysis has limitations stemming from variations in diagnostic criteria and research methodologies reported in the literature. Notably, foreign studies primarily adhere to the World Health Organization's diagnostic criteria, while domestic studies follow the standards set by the Chinese Society of Oral Medicine's Oral Mucosal Diseases Committee. These criteria exhibit nuanced differences, such as variations in lesion symmetry and abnormal epithelial hyperplasia, which could introduce selective bias.

Furthermore, variations in OLP patient conditions, disease stages, Candida albicans infection status, and diverse culture media sources for testing may contribute to inconsistent results. Therefore, it is recommended that more large-scale, high-quality, long-term follow-up studies be conducted to validate these findings and provide stronger evidence to support the conclusions of this study.

This study reveals the intricate relationship between OLP and Candida albicans infection, highlighting the diverse susceptibility to the latter among different clinical types of OLP. It offers novel insights and avenues for future research and clinical management. Our findings suggest a significant correlation between OLP and Candida albicans infection, implying that accurate laboratory markers should guide the administration of antifungal therapy. In cases of substantial fungal infection, antifungal treatment should be considered a primary treatment option. Consequently, Candida albicans infection should be viewed as a crucial factor in OLP treatment, indicating that fungal culture should be an essential diagnostic tool for both diagnosing and managing OLP.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Min Wang, Department of Stomatology, Wangjiang Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 24, South Section 1, 1st Ring Road, Chengdu 610065, Sichuan, China. E-mail: wangmin6751@163.com

References

- El-Howati A, Thornhill MH, Colley HE and Murdoch C. Immune mechanisms in oral lichen planus. Oral Dis 2023; 29: 1400-1415.
- [2] Liu J, Xu H, Tang G, Liu H, Sun Z, Zhou G, Cheng B, Wang W, He H, Guo B, Meng W, Liu Q, Wang J, Luo X, Zhou Y, Jiang L, Zeng X, Dan H and Chen Q. A multi-center cross-sectional study of 1495 Chinese oral lichen planus patients. Oral Dis 2024; 30: 3155-3164.
- [3] Louisy A, Humbert E and Samimi M. Oral lichen planus: an update on diagnosis and management. Am J Clin Dermatol 2024; 25: 35-53.
- [4] Offen E and Allison JR. What is the malignant transformation potential of oral lichen planus? Evid Based Dent 2022; 23: 36-37.
- [5] Zeng Q, Liu Y, Wang S, Wang H, Yu S, Wu F, Yang J and Zhou H. Significant efficacy of short-course, low-concentration betamethasone mouthwash therapy for severe erosive

oral lichen planus: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Oral Investig 2023; 27: 4323-4334.

- [6] Sandhu S, Klein BA, Al-Hadlaq M, Chirravur P, Bajonaid A, Xu Y, Intini R, Hussein M, Vacharotayangul P, Sroussi H, Treister N and Sonis S. Oral lichen planus: comparative efficacy and treatment costs-a systematic review. BMC Oral Health 2022; 22: 161.
- [7] Balestri R, Bortolotti R, Rech G, Girardelli CR, Zorzi MG and Magnano M. Treatment of oral erosive lichen planus with upadacitinib. JAMA Dermatol 2022; 158: 457-458.
- [8] Villa TG, Sánchez-Pérez Á and Sieiro C. Oral lichen planus: a microbiologist point of view. Int Microbiol 2021; 24: 275-289.
- [9] Pereira R, Dos Santos Fontenelle RO, de Brito EHS and de Morais SM. Biofilm of Candida albicans: formation, regulation and resistance. J Appl Microbiol 2021; 131: 11-22.
- [10] Ponde NO, Lortal L, Ramage G, Naglik JR and Richardson JP. Candida albicans biofilms and polymicrobial interactions. Crit Rev Microbiol 2021; 47: 91-111.
- [11] Swidergall M and LeibundGut-Landmann S. Immunosurveillance of Candida albicans commensalism by the adaptive immune system. Mucosal Immunol 2022; 15: 829-836.
- [12] Rai LS, van Wijlick L, Chauvel M, d'Enfert C, Legrand M and Bachellier-Bassi S. Overexpression approaches to advance understanding of Candida albicans. Mol Microbiol 2022; 117: 589-599.
- [13] He H, Wang Y, Fan Y, Li C and Han J. Hypha essential genes in Candida albicans pathogenesis of oral lichen planus: an in-vitro study. BMC Oral Health 2021; 21: 614.
- [14] Molkenthin F, Hertel M, Neumann K and Schmidt-Westhausen AM. Factors influencing the presence of Candida dubliniensis and other non-albicans species in patients with oral lichen planus: a retrospective observational study. Clin Oral Investig 2022; 26: 333-342.
- [15] González-Moles MÁ and Ramos-García P. Oral lichen planus and related lesions. What should we accept based on the available evidence? Oral Dis 2023; 29: 2624-2637.
- [16] Stoopler ET, Villa A, Bindakhil M, Díaz DLO and Sollecito TP. Common oral conditions: a review. JAMA 2024; 331: 1045-1054.
- [17] Sarkate PR, Pathak JR, Patel S, Swain N and Sahu NK. Comparative evaluation of prevalence and phenotypic variations of Candida species in patients of oral lichen planus and oral lichenoid lesions with healthy individuals - a prospective microbiological study. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2022; 26: 590.
- [18] Yang XH, Li HF, Xing FL, Tao MC and Cao Y. Correlation between the sap gene of Candida albi-

cans and oral lichen planus. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 2019; 33: 935-940.

- [19] Kakoei S, Karbasi N, Raeiszadeh M, Tajadini H and Nekouei AH. The efficacy of henna (Lawsonia inermis L.) mouthwash versus chlorhexidine gluconate 0.2% mouthwash as adjuvant therapy of oral lichen planus: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. J Ethnopharmacol 2022; 290: 115037.
- [20] Kragelund C, Kieffer-Kristensen L, Reibel J and Bennett EP. Oral candidosis in lichen planus: the diagnostic approach is of major therapeutic importance. Clin Oral Investig 2013; 17: 957-965.
- [21] Wang F, Zhang J and Zhou G. 2-Deoxy-D-glucose impedes T cell-induced apoptosis of keratinocytes in oral lichen planus. J Cell Mol Med 2021; 25: 10257-10267.
- [22] Jana A, Thomas J and Ghosh P. Erosive oral lichen planus inflicts higher cellular stress than reticular type. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2021; 25: 279-285.
- [23] Huang S, Tan YQ and Zhou G. Aberrant activation of the STING-TBK1 pathway in $\gamma\delta$ T cells regulates immune responses in oral lichen planus. Biomedicines 2023; 11: 955.
- [24] Wang Y, Shang S, Sun Q, Chen J, Du G, Nie H, Han X and Tang G. Increased infiltration of CD11 c(+)/CD123(+) dendritic cell subsets and upregulation of TLR/IFN-α signaling participate in pathogenesis of oral lichen planus. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2018; 125: 459-467, e452.
- [25] Parlatescu I, Nicolae C, Tovaru S, Radu L, Penes O and Varlas V. The implication of Candida infection in oral lichen planus lesions. Maedica (Bucur) 2021; 16: 585-589.
- [26] Rezazadeh F, Beirami M, Zareshahrabadi Z, Sedarat H and Zomorodian K. Evaluation of the distribution of Candida species in patients with dysplastic and nondysplastic oral lichen planus lesions. Biomed Res Int 2022; 2022: 8100352.
- [27] He H, Xia X, Yang H, Peng Q and Zheng J. A pilot study: a possible implication of Candida as an etiologically endogenous pathogen for oral lichen planus. BMC Oral Health 2020; 20: 72.
- [28] Zeng X, Hou X, Wang Z, Jiang L, Xiong C, Zhou M and Chen Q. Carriage rate and virulence attributes of oral Candida albicans isolates from patients with oral lichen planus: a study in an ethnic Chinese cohort. Mycoses 2009; 52: 161-165.
- [29] Bokor-Bratic M, Cankovic M and Dragnic N. Unstimulated whole salivary flow rate and anxiolytics intake are independently associated with oral Candida infection in patients with oral li-

chen planus. Eur J Oral Sci 2013; 121: 427-433.

- [30] Arora S, Verma M, Gupta SR, Urs AB, Dhakad MS and Kaur R. Phenotypic variability and therapeutic implications of Candida species in patients with oral lichen planus. Biotech Histochem 2016; 91: 237-241.
- [31] Jainkittivong A, Kuvatanasuchati J, Pipattanagovit P and Sinheng W. Candida in oral lichen planus patients undergoing topical steroid therapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007; 104: 61-66.
- [32] Artico G, Freitas RS, Santos Filho AM, Benard G, Romiti R and Migliari DA. Prevalence of Candida spp., xerostomia, and hyposalivation in oral lichen planus--a controlled study. Oral Dis 2014; 20: e36-41.
- [33] Lipperheide V, Quindós G, Jiménez Y, Pontón J, Bagán-Sebastián JV and Aguirre JM. Candida biotypes in patients with oral leukoplakia and lichen planus. Candida biotypes in leukoplakia and lichen planus. Mycopathologia 1996; 134: 75-82.