# Original Article Relationship between serum uric acid and ventricular diastolic dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients

Weihong Chen, Qin Sun, Xinru Shen, Jinlong Zhu, Zhipeng Wang, Yan Peng

Department of Endocrinology, Ma'anshan People's Hospital, Ma'anshan 243000, Anhui, China

Received November 7, 2024; Accepted February 9, 2025; Epub March 15, 2025; Published March 30, 2025

Abstract: Objective: To investigate the correlation of serum uric acid (SUA) levels with ventricular diastolic dysfunction (DD) in the diabetic population. Methods: Clinical data from 702 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), including 394 males and 308 females, were retrospectively analyzed in this study. The data included demographic characteristics, biochemical test results, and echocardiography findings. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the association between SUA and DD. Additionally, the diagnostic efficacies of SUA and the multivariate logistic regression model (Logit P) for DD were evaluated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Results: Compared to T2DM patients with normal diastolic function, those with DD had a higher prevalence of hypertension, older age, longer diabetes duration, elevated levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), total cholesterol (TC), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), SUA, and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), as well as lower levels of 1,5-anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG) and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (P<0.05). As indicated by the Logistic regression analysis, gender, age, and SUA were independent risk factors for DD (P<0.05). Women had a 47.8% lower risk of DD compared to men [95% CI (0.318-0.718)]. The risk of DD increased by 6.8% for each one-year rise in age [OR 1.068, 95% CI (1.051-1.085)] and by 0.5% for each 1 mmol/L increase in SUA [OR 1.005, 95% CI (1.003-1.007)]. The regression model incorporating sex, age, and SUA exhibited an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.753 (95% CI 0.712-0.794) for diagnosing DD, with a sensitivity of 65.65% and specificity of 78.65%. Conclusions: Gender, age, and SUA were independent factors influencing the development of DD in T2DM patients. Among them, SUA is the only modifiable factor. Early and long-term control of SUA levels is essential to reduce the risk of DD in T2DM patients.

Keywords: Cardiac diastolic dysfunction, type 2 diabetes, serum uric acid, logistic regression

#### Introduction

Worldwide, diabetes mellitus (DM) is a significant public health challenge. The prevalence of type 2 DM (T2DM) in China is rising annually. accounting for 11.2% of the global diabetic population [1]. As DM becomes more prevalent, cardiovascular complications secondary to DM have emerged as the leading cause of DM-related mortality, imposing a substantial social and economic burden [2, 3]. Among these complications, diabetic cardiomyopathy (DCM) is one of the most common complications, occurring independently of coronary artery disease (CAD) and hypertension. DCM initially manifests as diastolic cardiac dysfunction and can progress to systolic dysfunction in later stages [4]. Studies indicate that the prevalence of ventricular diastolic dysfunction (DD) in T2DM patients ranges from 40 to 60% [5, 6].

Ventricular DD is characterized by impaired ventricular diastolic functioning and decreased ventricular compliance, resulting in increased ventricular filling pressure. It represents an early clinical manifestation of cardiac insufficiency and is associated with adverse cardiovascular outcome [7]. DD has been identified as a potent predictor of cardiovascular adverse events and sudden heart failure (HF) among older adults [4, 8]. If left untreated, DD can eventually progress to HF with preserved ejection fraction or evolve into HF with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. Disturbed glucose metabolism contributes to structural and functional alterations in the heart. Early risk stratification and timely management of DD in T2DM patients are crucial for impeding the progression of diastolic HF and other complications.

Serum uric acid (SUA), a weak organic acid and the end product of purine metabolism in the human body, is closely associated with cardiovascular risk and events, including hypertension, CAD, and HF [9]. With changess in lifestyle, the prevalence of hyperuricemia has been increasing annually, affecting approximately 8.4%-13.3% of Chinese adults [10, 11]. Persistent high SUA levels can cause cardiac and vascular injuries, inducing hypertension, coronary heart disease, and other cardiovascular diseases (CVD) [12-14]. In addition, clinical and animal studies have shown that reducing SUA levels through xanthine oxidase (XO) inhibitors improves cardiovascular outcomes [15]. Elevated SUA has been associated with structural and functional heart disease in CVD [16]. and hyperuricemia is recognized as an independent risk factor for HF, possibly influencing certain stages of HF [17]. Echocardiographic investigations have demonstrated a positive association between SUA levels and measures of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD) [18, 19]. Many researchers believe that LVDD is a primary manifestation of heart remodeling in diabetic patients [20]. DM and HF exhibit a bidirectional relationship, influencing each other in both causation and outcomes [21]. Reports indicate that 19% of HF patients have T2DM; conversely, the presence of T2DM elevates the risk of HF by two to eight times [22]. However, few studies have reported a relationship between SUA on cardiac DD in T2DM patients, which is the aim of the current study.

# Research design and methods

#### Study population

This retrospective study included T2DM patients admitted to the Endocrinology and Cardiology departments of our hospital from March 2020 to September 2021, diagnosed according to the 1999 World Health Organization criteria for diabetes mellitus [10]. Exclusion criteria: long-term glucocorticoid use, treatment with Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, ejection fraction less than 50%, organic heart disease, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 30 ml/ min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, mental disorders and pregnancy. Finally, 702 T2DM patients were screened. The experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee of Southeast University (2017ZD-SYLL006-P), and all procedures were conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Data acquisition

All participants completed a questionnaire providing basic information, including age, history of hypertension, history of CAD, duration of DM, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. A trained professional performed three measurements of each participant's height, weight, systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), as well as heart rate (HR), recording the mean values. Hypertension was defined as a history of hypertension, ongoing medication treatment, or SBP  $\geq$ 140 mmHg and DBP  $\geq$ 90 mmHg.

On the morning after admission, fasting blood samples (minimum 8-hour fast) were collected to assess the following: fasting blood glucose (FBG), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), 1,5-anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), low- (LDL-c) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum creatinine (Scr), SUA, free triiodothyronine (FT3), free thyroxine (FT4), and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH). Among these, HbA1c was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (D-10 Analyzer, Bio-Rad, USA). The 1,5-AG level was determined using an enzymatic method (GlycoMark, Tomen-America, New York, USA), and eGFR was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation [11].

A Philips EPIQ5 color Doppler ultrasound system was employed, using a cardiac ultrasound probe frequency of 2 MHz-5 MHz. During the examination, participants were instructed to maintain smooth breathing and lie on their left side. Echocardiography measurements included early diastolic mitral inflow velocity (E), late diastolic mitral inflow velocity (A), early diastolic mitral annular velocity (E'), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), left ventricular end diastolic diameter (LVID), end diastolic septal thickness (IVST), and left ventricular posterior wall thickness (LVPW).

#### Diagnostic criteria

The diagnostic criteria of cardiac DD were referred to the 2016 American Society of

	Total (n=702)	Disease group (n=524)	Control group (n=178)	χ²/t	P value
Gender (male), N (%)	394	274	120	12.341	<0.001
Age, years	64 (55, 71)	66 (58, 74)	56 (46, 66)	9.483	<0.001
Diabetes duration, years	6.00 (0.02, 14.00)	6.5 (0.00, 15.00)	5 (0.50, 10.00)	3.593	<0.001
Smoking, N (%)	186 (26.50%)	122 (23.28%)	64 (35.96%)	10.961	0.001
Alcohol consumption, N (%)	86 (12.25%)	54 (10.31%)	32 (17.98%)	7.275	0.007
Hypertension, N (%)	486 (69.23%)	380 (72.52%)	106 (59.55%)	10.491	0.001
CAD, N (%)	284 (40.46%)	228 (43.51%)	56 (31.46%)	8.010	0.005
Family history of DD	120 (17.09%)	82 (15.65%)	38 (21.35%)	3.045	0.081
BMI, kg/m²	25.04 (22.78, 27.64)	24.98 (22.86, 27.73)	25.39 (22.70, 26.93)	0.834	0.404
SBP, mmHg	138 (124, 154)	139 (126, 156)	127 (119, 146)	0.605	0.545
DBP, mmHg	77 (71, 86)	76 (70, 86)	77 (74, 87)	1.339	0.181
HR, bpm	80 (73, 89)	80 (72, 89)	80 (76, 92)	2.617	0.009
FT3, pmol/L	4.33 (3.91, 4.74)	4.28 (3.92, 4.73)	4.39 (3.89, 4.77)	0.353	0.724
FT4, pmol/L	17.00 (15.20, 18.70)	16.8 (15.2, 18.7)	17.50 (15.43, 18.83)	0.504	0.614
TSH, ulU/ml	2.01 (1.27, 3.07)	2.01 (1.30, 3.17)	2.02 (1.18, 2.76)	1.088	0.277

Table 1. Comparison of baseline data between disease and control groups

Notes: Data are presented as either number of cases (percentages) or medians (interquartile ranges). CAD: coronary artery disease; BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HR: heart rate; FT3: free triiodothyronine; FT4: free thyroxine; TSH: thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Echocardiography/European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (ASE/EACVI) Recommendations for the Assessment of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography [10] and the 2016 Guidelines for Measurement of Echocardiographic Examination in Chinese Adults. Dual parallel entry checking was performed to ensure data quality.

#### Statistical methods

For sample size calculation, both  $\delta$  and  $\alpha$  were set to 0.05 for a two-tailed test. The calculation indicated that a minimum of 402 participants was required (as determined using PASS Sample Size Software 15.0, NCSS LLC, Kaysville, Utah, USA). Database establishment was realized using Excel (2010). Data were processed with SPSS 26.0. Non-normally distributed quantitative data were described as medians (interquartile ranges), with inter-group differences determined by non-parametric rank sum tests. For normally distributed data, t-tests were used for inter-group comparisons. Counted data, expressed as percentages or rates, were compared using either  $\chi^2$  tests or Fisher's test as appropriate. Spearman's correlation was used for correlation analysis. Multivariate logistic regression was performed to assess the correlation of SUA with DD, and the diagnostic efficacy of SUA for DD was evaluated using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. A two-sided test was used, with a significance level set at P<0.05.

# Results

# Clinical characteristics of patients

A total of 702 diabetic patients were enrolled in the study, including 394 men and 308 women, with an average age of 64 years. Of these, 74.6% (524/702) developed DD, comprising the disease group. The other 178 non-DD patients were assigned to the control group. Compared to controls, the disease group had a higher prevalence of hypertension, older age, and longer DM duration, as shown in **Table 1**.

# Echocardiographic parameters

The disease group had significantly higher IVST and LVPW but significantly lower E/A and E/E' ratios compared to the control group (P<0.01), as shown in **Table 2**.

#### Glycolipid metabolism indicators

T2DM patients with DD exhibited higher LDL-c and TC and lower 1,5-AG compared to those with normal diastolic function (all P<0.05). No

	Total (n=702)	Disease (n=524)	Control (n=178)	t	P value		
IVST (mm)	10.70 (9.80, 11.70)	11.00 (10.20, 11.90)	9.60 (8.80, 10.38)	12.260	<0.001		
LVPW (mm)	10.80 (9.70, 11.80)	11.10 (10.10, 12.00)	9.70 (8.90, 10.50)	11.580	<0.001		
LVID (mm)	46.20 (43.50,49.30)	46.20 (43.50, 49.23)	46.05 (43.63, 49.60)	0.073	0.942		
LVEF (%)	55.00 (51.50, 58.20)	54.70 (51.38, 58.00)	55.95 (51.63, 59.05)	1.846	0.065		
E/A	0.90 (0.80, 1.00)	0.80 (0.70, 0.90)	1.20 (1.10, 1.40)	34.060	<0.001		
E/E'	7.70 (6.80, 8.60)	7.40 (6.60, 8.20)	8.90 (7.90, 10.40)	14.600	<0.001		

Table 2. Comparison of echocardiographic measures between the disease and control groups

Notes: Data are presented as median (interquartile range). IVST: end diastolic ventricular septal thickness; LVPW: left ventricular posterior wall thickness; LVID: left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; E: early diastolic mitral valve orifice blood flow velocity; A: late diastolic mitral flow velocity; E': early diastolic bicuspid velocity of lobe ring motion.

 Table 3. Comparison of glucose and lipid metabolism indicators between the disease and control groups

	Total (n=702)	Disease (n=524)	Control (n=178)	t	P value
HDL-c, mmol/L	1.23 (1.03, 1.41)	1.21 (1.02, 1.40)	1.25 (1.08, 1.42)	0.526	0.599
LDL-c, mmol/L	2.43 (1.79, 2.91)	2.51 (1.83, 3.05)	2.37 (1.74, 2.91)	2.304	0.022
TC, mmol/L	4.24 (3.38, 5.11)	4.38 (3.40, 5.13)	4.17 (3.38, 5.11)	2.320	0.021
TG, mmol/L	1.40 (0.95, 2.17)	1.34 (0.95, 2.18)	1.42 (0.95, 2.14)	0.789	0.430
FBG, mmol/L	7.19 (5.65, 9.93)	7.45 (5.84, 10.98)	7.00 (5.45, 9.84)	1.837	0.063
1,5-AG, ug/mL	3.50 (1.40, 13.80)	2.90 (1.40, 7.90)	3.70 (1.50, 14.30)	2.971	0.003
HbA1c, %	8.00 (6.12, 9.70)	8.14 (6.38, 9.93)	7.70 (6.06, 9.59)	1.426	0.154

Notes: Data are presented as median (interquartile range). HDL-c: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-c: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; 1,5-AG: 1,5-anhydroglucitol; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c.

significant inter-group differences were noted in HDL-c, TG, FBG, or HbA1c (P>0.05), as shown in **Table 3**.

#### Renal function-related indices

Compared to T2DM patients with normal diastolic function, those with DD had significantly higher Scr, BUN, and SUA and lower eGFR values (all P<0.05), as shown in **Table 4**.

### Correlation of SUA level with echocardiographic measures

The SUA level showed a positive correlation with IVST and LVPW and an inverse association with E/A and E/E' (P<0.01), as shown in **Figure** 1.

# Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of cardiac DD

Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed using the occurrence of DD as the dependent variable, and gender, age, smoking,

alcohol consumption, duration of DM, hypertension, CAD, BMI, SBP, DBP, HDL-c, LDL-c, TC, TG, FBG, 1,5-AG, and HbAlc as independent variables. The results showed that gender, age, duration of DM, FBG, and SUA were significant factors influencing cardiac DD (P<0.05). These factors were assigned values (Table 5) and further incorporated into the multivariate regression analysis. Considering previous studies and clinical experience, BMI [23] and 1,5-AG [24] were also included. As shown in Table 6, gender, age, and SUA were independently associated with the development of cardiac DD in T2DM patients (P<0.05). Compared to men, women had a 47.8% reduced risk of developing DD. Age [OR 1.068, 95% CI (1.051-1.085)] and SUA [OR 1.005, 95% CI (1.003-1.007)] were identified as risk factors, with the DD risk elevated by 6.8% for each one-year rise in age and by 0.5% for each 1 mmol/L rise in SUA.

#### ROC curve analysis

A binary logistic regression model was constructed using the occurrence of cardiac DD as

				-	
	Total (n=702)	Disease group (n=524)	Control group (n=178)	t	P value
Scr, mmol/L	65.00 (55.00, 78.00)	67.00 (56.00, 80.00)	61.00 (53.00, 74.00)	2.418	0.016
eGFR, %	95.72 (79.30, 114.36)	93.10 (76.65, 111.11)	109.91 (93.81, 128.70)	5.695	<0.001
BUN, mmol/L	6.00 (4.90, 7.10)	6.10 (5.10, 7.20)	5.40 (4.68, 6.70)	2.273	0.023
SUA, mmol/L	315 (251, 387)	320 (252, 396)	286 (249.25, 368)	2.925	0.004

Table 4. Comparison of renal function related parameters between the disease and control groups

Notes: Data are presented as median (interquartile range). Scr: serum creatinine; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; SUA: serum uric acid.



**Figure 1.** Correlation of SUA with echocardiographic parameters. A: Correlation between SUA and LVST; B: Correlation of SUA with LVPW; C: Correlation between SUA and E/A; D: Correlation of SUA with E/E'; SUA: serum uric acid; IVST: end diastolic ventricular septal thickness; LVPW: left ventricular posterior wall thickness; E: early diastolic mitral valve orifice blood flow velocity; A: late diastolic mitral flow velocity; E': early diastolic bicuspid velocity of lobe ring motion.

the dependent variable and incorporating gender, age, and SUA as independent variables. The model demonstrated an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.753 (95% CI 0.712-0.794) in predicting diastolic cardiac insufficiency, with sensitivity and specificity being 65.65% and 78.65%, respectively. The AUC of SUA in predicting cardiac diastolic insufficiency was 0.566 (95% CI 0.518-0.614), with sensitivity of 60.69% and specificity of 57.30%, as shown in **Figure 2** and **Table 7**.

#### Discussion

Although many studies have explored the influencing factors for ventricular DD, few have specifically examined the exact role of SUA in the T2DM population. Herein, we identified key risk factors for the development of DD in the T2DM patients, with gender, age, and SUA emerging as independent influences on the onset of cardiac diastolic insufficiency. These findings can help clinicians identify high-risk diabetic indi-

	OR 95% CI		Р
			value
Gender (male), N (%)	0.442	0.266-0.735	0.002
Age, years	1.069	1.046-1.092	0.000
Diabetes duration, years	1.033	1.002-1.065	0.035
Smoking, N (%)	0.918	0.546-1.544	0.747
Drinking, N (%)	0.727	0.386-1.368	0.323
Hypertension, N (%)	1.267	0.814-1.972	0.295
CAD, N (%)	0.910	0.577-1.436	0.685
BMI, kg/m²	1.040	0.983-1.100	0.175
SBP, mmHg	0.999	0.995-1.002	0.443
DBP, mmHg	1.014	0.995-1.034	0.150
HR, bpm	0.992	0.974-1.010	0.372
HDL-c, mmol/L	1.353	0.629-2.909	0.439
LDL-c, mmol/L	1.434	0.671-3.067	0.353
TC, mmol/L	0.747	0.418-1.335	0.325
TG, mmol/L	1.108	0.883-1.389	0.376
FT3, pmol/L	1.203	0.884-1.636	0.239
FT4, pmol/L	0.994	0.926-1.067	0.867
TSH, uIU/mI	0.999	0.952-1.048	0.969
FBG, mmol/L	0.928	0.884-0.973	0.002
1,5-AG, ug/mL	1.030	0.995-1.066	0.097
HbA1c, %	1.120	0.991-1.266	0.069
Scr, mmol/L	1.006	0.998-1.015	0.146
eGFR, %	0.998	0.994-1.003	0.396
BUN, mmol/L	0.917	0.802-1.049	0.208
SUA, mmol/L	1.003	1.001-1.006	0.009

 Table 5. Univariate logistic regression analysis of factors associated with cardiac DD

Notes: DD: diastolic dysfunction; CAD: coronary artery disease; BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HR: heart rate; HDL-c: highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-c: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; FT3: free triiodothyronine; FT4: free thyroxine; TSH: thyroid-stimulating hormone; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; 1,5-AG: 1,5-anhydroglucitol; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; Scr: serum creatinine; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; SUA: serum uric acid.

viduals early and facilitate effective prevention and management of cardiac complications.

The incidence of cardiac DD in diabetics ranges from 23% to 75%, increasing significantly with age [25-27]. As the disease progresses, systolic dysfunction can also occur, manifested by ventricular hypertrophy and worsening of HF [28]. This was confirmed by a median age of 64 years and a DD prevalence as high as 74.6% in our study, suggesting a high risk of cardiac disorders among middle-aged and elderly DM patients. Age was identified as an independent risk factor for cardiac insufficiency, with aging contributing to structural and functional changes in the heart, such as increased ventricular arterial stiffness, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial damage, leading to myocardial cell death and impaired endothelium-dependent vasodilation, which are key contributors to diastolic heart failure [27, 29, 30]. Even in patients with well-controlled blood pressure, aging increases left ventricular stiffness and decreases cardiac compliance [31].

In this study, we found that compared to men, the risk of DD in women was lower by 47.8%. However, previous studies had suggested that hyperuricemia exerts a more significant detrimental effect on females with cardiac disorders, possibly attributed to the influence of estrogen levels [32, 33]. Diabetic women have also been shown to be more prone to develop DCM than diabetic men [34]. This difference may be due to the bias introduced by the limited samples included, which require further investigation. In our study, there were 250 female DD patients and 274 male DD patients, with a lower prevalence of DD in women than in men. Moreover, a meta-analysis [18] demonstrated that hyperuricemia predicted an increase in left ventricular mass index in women but not in men. However, unlike in men, hyperuricemia in the female population was associated with age, BMI, and elevated systolic blood pressure. As such, our findings do not support an independent role for SUA in left ventricular remodeling in women. Moreover, we did not classify and compare SUA levels in women before and after menopause. Some researchers have suggested that the estrogen-related increase in renal clearance of urate in premenopausal women may explain the lower SUA levels in women compared to men [35]. Thus, caution should still be exercised, as elevated blood uric acid levels may increase the risk of heart disease in men.

Hyperuricemia is an important component of metabolic disorders and is often associated with obesity and hypertension. Hyperlipidemia may be present concomitantly. The relationship between SUA and CVD mortality has been reported in the general population. The mechanisms underlying this association include: 1) Elevated SUA levels can cause oxidative stress,

Table 6. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of independent
factors influencing the development of cardiac DD

Factor	β	SE	Wald $X^2$	P-value	OR (95% CI)
Gender (male)	-0.739	0.208	12.661	< 0.001	0.478 (0.318, 0.718)
Age, years	0.066	0.008	67.692	<0.001	1.068 (1.051, 1.085)
SUA, mmol/L	0.005	0.001	21.030	<0.001	1.005 (1.003, 1.007)
Constant	-4.050	0.635	40.630	<0.001	0.017

Notes: DD: diastolic dysfunction; SUA: serum uric acid.



**Figure 2.** Predictive significance of serum uric acid and regression model for cardiac DD in type 2 diabetes patients using ROC curve analysis. Note: ROC: receiver operating characteristic; DD: diastolic dysfunction.

Table 7. Predictive value of SUA and regression model for car
diac DD in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients

Indicator	AUC	Optimal cut-off	Specificity	Sensitivity	Youden Index
SUA, mmol/L	0.566	293.50	57.30	60.69	117.99
Age	0.724	57.50	57.30	80.20	0.375
Gender	0.576	-	47.70	67.40	0.151
Regression model	0.753	0.77	78.65	65.65	144.30

Notes: DD: diastolic dysfunction; AUC: area under the curve; SUA: serum uric acid.

increase the generation of oxygen free radicals, and cause vascular endothelial injury, platelet activation, and subsequent endothelial dysfunction [36]; 2) Hyperuricemia can damage mitochondria and lysosomes, leading to cardiomyocyte apoptosis through activation of the renin-angiotensin system, reduction of nitric oxide production, vascular smooth muscle cell remodeling, promotion of inflammatory responses, and related metabolic dysfunction [37, 38]. Hyperuricemia is often linked to enhanced XO activity in purine metabolism, which may promote excessive production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), reduce nitric oxide bioavailability, contributing to inflammatory states, endothelial dysfunction, myocardial fibrosis, and ultimately LVDD [39]. The present study identified SUA as an independent risk factor for DD in T2DM population. For every 1 mmol/L increase in SUA, the prevalence of DD increased by 0.5%. Notably, compared with other independent risk factors, SUA was the only controllable factor. Stepwise multiple regression analysis showed that HbA1c, SBP, DBP and other common risk factors for DD were not statistically significant. This may be because our study participants, most of whom were inpatients on long-term use of hypoglycemic and antihypertensive medications, had less variability in blood pressure and glucose levels. This would cause a lack of significant differences between the disease and control groups. However, in daily clinical work, patients' awareness of hyperuricemia and the management of uric acid levels often fall short of recommended standards. This highlights the importance

of monitoring SUA levels in T2DM patients, alongside blood glucose and blood pressure, and emphasizes the need for better education and management strategies for hyperuricemia.

Regardless of the presence of typical risk factors such as aging, metabolic syndrome, and high uric acid levels, DM itself can significantly distort diastolic function, triggering cascade of events that may lead to severe cardiac complications, such as HF. People with T2DM may develop underlying CVD without experiencing obvious symptoms, often until the condition has progressed too far. Recent advances have highlighted the importance of early screening in asymptomatic diabetics [40, 41]. For example, the concept of "unrecognized diabetic cardiac damage" includes not only atypical and asymptomatic manifestations visible on the rest electrocardiogram but also LVDD [42]. If not identified early, LVDD may progress to HF with preserved ejection fraction, posing significant risk to patient survival. These findings underscore the need for early detection measures, which recent guidelines suggest may become a routine part of clinical practice [43]. Early screening of DM patients for subclinical manifestations holds clinical significance, since the long-term benefits of timely intervention outweigh concerns about the cost-effectiveness of such programs.

Despite these valuable insights, this study has several limitations. First, it was a cross-sectional, observational, single-center study, and longterm follow-up is needed to evaluate the impact of SUA levels on patient outcomes. Second, the effect of treatment modalities was not considered. Finally, the selection of hospitalized patients and the relatively small sample size may have introduced selection bias, affecting the statistical validity of the findings.

#### Conclusions

Diabetic patients are at a higher risk of developing ventricular diastolic dysfunction (DD), with gender, age and SUA identified as independent risk factors. Among these, SUA is the only controllable factor. Therefore, SUA represents an important therapeutic target for alleviating diastolic insufficiency in patients with T2DM. In clinical practice, early control of SUA levels is essential to reduce the risk of diastolic dysfunction in T2DM patients.

# Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Weihong Chen, Department of Endocrinology, Ma'anshan People's Hospital, Ma'anshan 243000, Anhui, China. Tel: +86-13855500568; E-mail: cwh828828@163.com

#### References

- [1] Ogurtsova K, Guariguata L, Barengo NC, Ruiz PL, Sacre JW, Karuranga S, Sun H, Boyko EJ and Magliano DJ. IDF diabetes Atlas: global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults for 2021. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2022; 183: 109118.
- [2] Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, Hadden D, Turner RC and Holman RR. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ 2000; 321: 405-412.
- [3] Hayes A, Arima H, Woodward M, Chalmers J, Poulter N, Hamet P and Clarke P. Changes in quality of life associated with complications of diabetes: results from the ADVANCE study. Value Health 2016; 19: 36-41.
- [4] Murarka S and Movahed MR. Diabetic cardiomyopathy. J Card Fail 2010; 16: 971-979.
- [5] Shindler DM, Kostis JB, Yusuf S, Quinones MA, Pitt B, Stewart D, Pinkett T, Ghali JK and Wilson AC. Diabetes mellitus, a predictor of morbidity and mortality in the Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) trials and registry. Am J Cardiol 1996; 77: 1017-1020.
- [6] Poirier P, Bogaty P, Garneau C, Marois L and Dumesnil JG. Diastolic dysfunction in normotensive men with well-controlled type 2 diabetes: importance of maneuvers in echocardiographic screening for preclinical diabetic cardiomyopathy. Diabetes Care 2001; 24: 5-10.
- [7] Kuznetsova T, Herbots L, López B, Jin Y, Richart T, Thijs L, González A, Herregods MC, Fagard RH, Díez J and Staessen JA. Prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in a general population. Circ Heart Fail 2009; 2: 105-112.
- [8] Wan SH, Vogel MW and Chen HH. Pre-clinical diastolic dysfunction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63: 407-416.
- [9] Kim IY, Ye BM, Kim MJ, Kim SR, Lee DW, Kim HJ, Rhee H, Song SH, Seong EY and Lee SB. Association between serum uric acid and left ventricular hypertrophy/left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with chronic kidney disease. PLoS One 2021; 16: e0251333.
- [10] Liu H, Zhang XM, Wang YL and Liu BC. Prevalence of hyperuricemia among Chinese adults: a national cross-sectional survey using multistage, stratified sampling. J Nephrol 2014; 27: 653-658.

- [11] Liu R, Han C, Wu D, Xia X, Gu J, Guan H, Shan Z and Teng W. Prevalence of hyperuricemia and gout in mainland china from 2000 to 2014: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Biomed Res Int 2015; 2015: 762820.
- [12] Høieggen A, Alderman MH, Kjeldsen SE, Julius S, Devereux RB, De Faire U, Fyhrquist F, Ibsen H, Kristianson K, Lederballe-Pedersen O, Lindholm LH, Nieminen MS, Omvik P, Oparil S, Wedel H, Chen C and Dahlöf B. The impact of serum uric acid on cardiovascular outcomes in the LIFE study. Kidney Int 2004; 65: 1041-1049.
- [13] Ndrepepa G. Uric acid and cardiovascular disease. Clin Chim Acta 2018; 484: 150-163.
- [14] Feig DI, Kang DH and Johnson RJ. Uric acid and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med 2008; 359: 1811-1821.
- [15] Aroor AR, Jia G, Habibi J, Sun Z, Ramirez-Perez Fl, Brady B, Chen D, Martinez-Lemus LA, Manrique C, Nistala R, Whaley-Connell AT, Demarco VG, Meininger GA and Sowers JR. Uric acid promotes vascular stiffness, maladaptive inflammatory responses and proteinuria in western diet fed mice. Metabolism 2017; 74: 32-40.
- [16] Cicoira M, Zanolla L, Rossi A, Golia G, Franceschini L, Brighetti G, Zeni P and Zardini P. Elevated serum uric acid levels are associated with diastolic dysfunction in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. Am Heart J 2002; 143: 1107-1111.
- [17] Ambrosio G, Leiro MGC, Lund LH, Coiro S, Cardona A, Filippatos G, Ferrari R, Piepoli MF, Coats AJS, Anker SD, Laroche C, Almenar-Bonet L, Poder P, Valero DB, Frisinghelli A and Maggioni AP. Serum uric acid and outcomes in patients with chronic heart failure through the whole spectrum of ejection fraction phenotypes: analysis of the ESC-EORP Heart Failure Long-Term (HF LT) registry. Eur J Intern Med 2021; 89: 65-75.
- [18] Georgiopoulos G, Tsioufis C, Kalos T, Magkas N, Roussos D, Chrysohoou C, Sarri G, Syrmali K, Georgakopoulos P and Tousoulis D. Serum uric acid is independently associated with diastolic dysfunction in apparently healthy subjects with essential hypertension. Curr Vasc Pharmacol 2019; 17: 99-106.
- [19] Sung KT, Lo CI, Lai YH, Tsai JP, Yun CH, Hsiao CC, Kuo JY, Hou CJ, Hung TC, Su CH, Hung CL and Yeh HI. Associations of serum uric acid level and gout with cardiac structure, function and sex differences from large scale asymptomatic Asians. PLoS One 2020; 15: e0236173.
- [20] Agarwal SK, Chambless LE, Ballantyne CM, Astor B, Bertoni AG, Chang PP, Folsom AR, He M, Hoogeveen RC, Ni H, Quibrera PM, Rosamond WD, Russell SD, Shahar E and Heiss G. Predic-

tion of incident heart failure in general practice: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Circ Heart Fail 2012; 5: 422-429.

- [21] Jia G, DeMarco VG and Sowers JR. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia in diabetic cardiomyopathy. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2016; 12: 144-153.
- [22] Wang TJ, Evans JC, Benjamin EJ, Levy D, LeRoy EC and Vasan RS. Natural history of asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction in the community. Circulation 2003; 108: 977-982.
- [23] Zuo X, Liu X, Chen R, Ou H, Lai J, Zhang Y and Yan D. An in-depth analysis of glycosylated haemoglobin level, body mass index and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes. BMC Endocr Disord 2019; 19: 88.
- [24] Migała M, Chałubińska-Fendler J and Zielińska M. 1,5-anhydroglucitol as a marker of acute hyperglycemia in cardiovascular events. Rev Diabet Stud 2022; 18: 68-75.
- [25] Brooks BA, Franjic B, Ban CR, Swaraj K, Yue DK, Celermajer DS and Twigg SM. Diastolic dysfunction and abnormalities of the microcirculation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008; 10: 739-746.
- [26] Boyer JK, Thanigaraj S, Schechtman KB and Pérez JE. Prevalence of ventricular diastolic dysfunction in asymptomatic, normotensive patients with diabetes mellitus. Am J Cardiol 2004; 93: 870-875.
- [27] From AM, Scott CG and Chen HH. The development of heart failure in patients with diabetes mellitus and pre-clinical diastolic dysfunction a population-based study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010; 55: 300-305.
- [28] Fonarow GC and Srikanthan P. Diabetic cardiomyopathy. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2006; 35: 575-599, ix.
- [29] Poulsen MK, Henriksen JE, Dahl J, Johansen A, Gerke O, Vach W, Haghfelt T, Høilund-Carlsen PF, Beck-Nielsen H and Møller JE. Left ventricular diastolic function in type 2 diabetes mellitus: prevalence and association with myocardial and vascular disease. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2010; 3: 24-31.
- [30] From AM, Scott CG and Chen HH. Changes in diastolic dysfunction in diabetes mellitus over time. Am J Cardiol 2009; 103: 1463-1466.
- [31] Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, Falk V, González-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GMC, Ruilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH and van der Meer P. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 2016; 69: 1167.

- [32] Kim SY, Guevara JP, Kim KM, Choi HK, Heitjan DF and Albert DA. Hyperuricemia and coronary heart disease: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2010; 62: 170-180.
- [33] Zhang JW, He LJ, Cao SJ, Yang Q, Yang SW and Zhou YJ. Association of serum uric acid and coronary artery disease in premenopausal women. PLoS One 2014; 9: e106130.
- [34] Norhammar A and Schenck-Gustafsson K. Type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in women. Diabetologia 2013; 56: 1-9.
- [35] Viazzi F, Parodi D, Leoncini G, Parodi A, Falqui V, Ratto E, Vettoretti S, Bezante GP, Del Sette M, Deferrari G and Pontremoli R. Serum uric acid and target organ damage in primary hypertension. Hypertension 2005; 45: 991-996.
- [36] Wu SH, Shu XO, Milne G, Xiang YB, Zhang X, Cai Q, Fazio S, Linton MF, Chen H, Purdue M, Rothman N, Gao YT, Zheng W and Yang G. Uric acid correlates to oxidation and inflammation in opposite directions in women. Biomarkers 2015; 20: 225-231.
- [37] Corry DB, Eslami P, Yamamoto K, Nyby MD, Makino H and Tuck ML. Uric acid stimulates vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and oxidative stress via the vascular renin-angiotensin system. J Hypertens 2008; 26: 269-275.
- [38] Rock KL, Kataoka H and Lai JJ. Uric acid as a danger signal in gout and its comorbidities. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2013; 9: 13-23.
- [39] Yang CD, Feng S, Chen JW, Aihemaiti M, Shu XY, Quan JW, Ding FH, Lu L, Shen WF, Zhang RY and Wang XQ. Serum uric acid is associated with the progression of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in apparently healthy subjects. Dis Markers 2022; 2022: 9927254.

- [40] Schernthaner G, Lotan C, Baltadzhieva-Trendafilova E, Ceponis J, Clodi M, Ducena K, Goncalvesova E, Guja C, Honka M, Janež A, Lalić N, Lehmann R, Nyolczas N, Pauklin P, Rynkiewicz A, Sergienko I and Duvnjak LS. Unrecognised cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes: is it time to act earlier? Cardiovasc Diabetol 2018; 17: 145.
- [41] Fox CS, Golden SH, Anderson C, Bray GA, Burke LE, de Boer IH, Deedwania P, Eckel RH, Ershow AG, Fradkin J, Inzucchi SE, Kosiborod M, Nelson RG, Patel MJ, Pignone M, Quinn L, Schauer PR, Selvin E and Vafiadis DK. Update on prevention of cardiovascular disease in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus in light of recent evidence: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association and the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2015; 38: 1777-1803.
- [42] American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. 10. Cardiovascular disease and risk management: standards of care in diabetes-2024. Diabetes Care 2024; 47 Suppl 1: S179-S218.
- [43] Atherton JJ, Sindone A, De Pasquale CG, Driscoll A, MacDonald PS, Hopper I, Kistler PM, Briffa T, Wong J, Abhayaratna W, Thomas L, Audehm R, Newton P, O'Loughlin J, Branagan M and Connell C. National Heart Foundation of Australia and Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand: guidelines for the prevention, detection, and management of heart failure in Australia 2018. Heart Lung Circ 2018; 27: 1123-1208.