## Original Article Exploration of the effect of probiotics supplementation on intestinal microbiota of food allergic mice

Bo Yang<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Liang Xiao<sup>3\*</sup>, Sheng Liu<sup>3\*</sup>, Xiaoyu Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yan Luo<sup>1</sup>, Qiongmei Ji<sup>1</sup>, Pingchang Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhigang Liu<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Allergy and Immunology, School of Medicine, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China; <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Devices and Systems of Ministry of Education and Guangdong Province, College of Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China; <sup>3</sup>BGI Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China. <sup>\*</sup>Equal contributors.

Received July 5, 2016; Accepted December 29, 2016; Epub February 15, 2017; Published February 28, 2017

**Abstract:** Environmental factor-induced alterations in intestinal microbiota have been demonstrated to be associated with increasing prevalence of food allergy. However, it is not clear to what extent oral administration of probiotics can affect gut microbiota composition, thus inhibiting food allergy development. Using ovalbumin (OVA)-sensitized murine model, it was demonstrated that probiotics ameliorated allergic symptoms, including reducing OVA specific-IgE, and -IgG1 levels in the serum, Th2 cytokines release in spleen, and occurrence of diarrhea. Moreover, 16S rRNA analysis showed that the probiotics-mediated protection was conferred by an enrichment of *Coprococcus* and *Rikenella*. The present study supports the theory that probiotics can treat food allergy by modulating specific genera of the gut microbiota.

Keywords: Intestine, microbiota, food allergy, OVA, 16S rRNA

#### Introduction

Food allergy is an adverse immune response to certain kinds of food. It is estimated that food allergy affects about 8% of children and 4% of adults [1, 2]. The rapid increase in the prevalence of food allergy over past several decades cannot be explained by genetic variation alone. In current, avoidance of dietary allergens is the only proven remedy available for food allergic suffers.

Growing evidence suggests that gut microbiota exerts profound influence on immune system maturation and tolerance acquisition. Intestinal microflora alteration, caused by environmental factors (e.g., mode of birth, antibiotics, diet, vaccination, sanitation), has been observed to be associated with many gastrointestinal diseases, including food allergy [3], inflammatory bowel diseases [4], or colorectal cancer [5-8]. Of note, intestinal microflora has been demonstrated to play an important role in maintaining the Th1/Th2 balance [9], which is the key mechanism involved in allergic diseases. The role of probiotics in allergic disease has been highlighted recently. Bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, which are common species of probiotics existing in most people, can affect immune function by various pathways. In many cases, probiotics supplementation was demonstrated to induce TGF-B expression, which ameliorates food allergy by suppressing Th2 response, and inducing Foxp3<sup>+</sup> Treg production [10-15]. A microarray analysis of intestinal epithelial cells from gnotobiotic mice revealed a mechanism that Clostridia facilitated immune cells to produce interleukin-22 (IL-22), regulated innate lymphoid cell function and intestinal epithelial permeability to protect against allergen sensitization [3]. Besides, the suppressive effect of probiotics on Th17 response has been shown both in murine asthma [16] and atopic dermatitis model [17]. However, whether probiotics treatment elicited changes in the composition of the intestinal microbiota, thereby regulating allergic disease remains poorly understood.

The current study investigated the beneficial effect of *Bifidobacterium Infantis* (BB) in a



**Figure 1.** Allergic reactions in the mouse intestine were attenuated by BB. Balb/c mice were treated with PBS (Naïve group), OVA/CT (FA group), OVA/CT+BB (FAPro group). The bars indicate the levels of serum OVA-specific IgE (A), -IgG1 (B), IL-4, -5, and -13 levels in supernatant of splenocytes (C), and number of diarrhea mice (D). Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*\**P* < 0.01 vs naive group; #*P* < 0.05, vs FA group.

murine model of food allergy at the level of commensal microbiota. Sequencing of the V4-V5 regions of 16S rRNA genes revealed that BB could modulate specific genera of intestinal microbiota in mice, which may induce immune responses in gastrointestinal tract to defend against food allergens.

### Materials and methods

### Animals

All the animal experimental procedures were conducted according to the guidelines approved by the Experimental Animal Ethic Committee at Shenzhen University, and were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH publication no. 85-23, revised 1996). 6-8 weeks old female Balb/c mice were housed in a SPF animal facility with a 12 h light-dark cycle and were free to access standard diet and water.

### Food allergic animal model

Mice were intragastrically administered with 100 mg OVA plus 20 mg cholera toxin (CT) in a final volume of 300 ml using a ball-end mouse feeding tube once a week for 4 consecutive weeks. At the end of sensitization, mice were challenged with 5 mg OVA orally. After 24 h, the mice were killed and serum and splenocytes were collected for the following analysis as reported previously (referred to as FA group) [25].

### BB preparation and supplementation

BB was kindly provided by Shenzhen Kexing Biotech CO., LTD (Shenzhen, China) as lyophilized powder and inoculated before giving to mice. From Day 15 to Day 28, sensitized mice were orally administered with 200 ml/mouse of normal saline containing 10<sup>8</sup> cfu/ml as previously described (referred to as FAPro group) [13]. On day 29, the mice were challenged as described above.

### Probiotics modified intestinal microbiota





Figure 2. The heatmap of correlation between five phenotypes and OTUs profile. Red means positive correlation, while blue represents negative correlation.

### Serum immunoglobulin levels

Serum was collected, and OVA-specific IgE was detected by commercial ELISA kit (Biolegend, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. OVA-specific IgG1 was measured by an in-house ELISA as previously described [26].

### DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

During the process of food allergy model establishment, fecal samples (up to  $\sim$ 1 g) were collected on Day 0, 7, 14, 28, 29, and stored at -80°C. The total DNA from fecal samples was extracted by reported method [27]. The 16S rRNA was amplified and sequenced on the Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine as reported in previous study [28].

### Bioinformatics analysis

The data was treated with in-house pipeline developed based on mothur v.1.33.3 [29]. The community structure was calculated based on the membership and relative abundance of taxonomic groups in the sample. In this study, the Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was used to assess the effect of BB (covariate) on operational taxonomic units (OTUs) profiles. A two-tailed



**Figure 3.** Boxplot of 4 kinds of alpha diversity between FA and FAPro group. Chao, ACE, Shannon, simpson are the four kinds of alpha diversity metrics. FA (n=27), FAPro (n=34). Mean values ± SEM are plotted.

Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used in the profile to identify the different OTUs and KEGG Orthologs (KOs). In addition, we used PICRUSt [30] to produce predicted KOs from the 16S rRNA gene sequence data.

### Statistical analysis

In Figure 1, all values are presented as the means  $\pm$  SEM. Differences between two groups were evaluated with the Student t test, while data among three or more groups were evaluated with one-way ANOVA (Prism version 5, GraphPad Software; CA, USA). A *P* value less than 0.05 was considered to indicate significant differences.

### Results

# BB showed significant protective effect on food allergic mice

Food allergic mice model was established using OVA as allergen, CT as adjuvant. As shown in

**Figure 1A** and **1B**, treatment with BB for two weeks attenuated slgE and slgG1 by 33% and 32% respectively, when compared with FA group. Moreover, spleneocytes were harvested from all the three groups of mice and incubated with OVA for 3 days. The levels of typical Th2-type cytokines in supernatant were determined by commercial ELISA. Intragastrically administered with BB significantly reduced IL-4, -5, and -13 by 31%, 24%, and 50% respectively in FA mice (**Figure 1C**). In addition, after challenge with OVA, the FA mice showed significant diarrhea (**Figure 1D**), which could be ameliorated by BB.

# BB-induced phenotypic improvement was associated with specific OTUs

Next, to investigate the effect of BB on gut microbiome, we carried out metagenomic sequencing of fecal samples from FA and FAPro mice. All sequencing reads were finally classified into 1195 operational taxonomic units



**Figure 4.** The PCoA of OTU profile between FA and FAPro mice. 16S rRNA gene surveys (analyzed by JSD-based PCoA) from mice fed PBS (red) or probiotics (blue) diets are presented in a different clustering pattern. Principal coordinate1 (PC)1 and PC2 are the x axis and y axis, respectively, and have been scaled on the basis of percent variance.

(OTUs). The correlation between food allergic phenotypes and OTUs was calculated. It was found that 61 OTUs were significantly related to slgE, slgG1, IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13. Among them, 45 OTUs were positively correlated with these phenotypes and 16 OTUs were negatively correlated (Figure 2). For instance, Otu0724, annotated to the family S24-7, was significantly positive correlated with allergic phenotypes. On the contrary, Otu0543, annotated to the genus Bacteroides, was significantly negatively correlated. Upregulation or downregulation of the relative abundances of these OTUs could trigger certain immune responses. The results indicated that BB treatment may change immune indexes of food allergy through modulation of these OTUs.

### Treatment with BB shows no effect on alphadiversity of intestinal microflora

Chao [18] and ACE [19] are usually used to compute community richness; the higher score, the more richness. Shannon and Simpson metrics are commonly used to calculate community

diversity [20]. The higher Shannon index indicates the greater community diversity, while the higher Simpson index indicates the lesser community diversity. We used these 4 kinds of alpha diversity parameters to describe the microbiologic species diversity changes between FA group and FAPro group (Figure 3). Student's t-test showed that there were no significant differences of these four indexes (Figure 3). The results indicated that BB was not strong enough to change population diversity and richness of intestinal microbiota.

BB didn't alter intestinal microbiota compositon in mice

In order to investigate whether probiotics treatment change the composition of intestinal microbiota, we used principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) to compare FA and FAPro group. As shown in **Figure 4**, there was no signifi-

cant difference between FA and FAPro group. Thus, it was implied that BB showed no effect on modulation of microbiota composition.

# The taxonomic classification of gut microbiota in mice

We found that Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes were two most prevalent phyla present in food allergic mice treated with or without probiotics, the same as that under physiological status [3]. Furthermore, Lachnospiraceae, S24-7, Rikenellaceae, and Ruminococcaceae accounted for four major components at family levels (Figure 5A). Further analysis revealed that 2-wk of BB treatment resulted in a significant change in fecal microbiota composition at genus level. As shown in Figure 5B, the levels of Coprococcus and Rikenella were significantly increased by 66% and 60% respectively, after BB treatment. Thus, the relative abundances of Coprococcus and Rikenella may be used as microbial biomarkers to diagnose food allergy.



**Figure 5.** A. Taxonomic distributions in gut communities. Values represent the relative abundance of bacteria at family level across all samples within FA group and FAPro group. A small amount of microorganism is unknown. B. Comparision of 12 major genera between FA and FAPro group. Wilcoxon rank test showed there were significant differences in *Coprococcus* and *Rikenella* between the two group. \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01 vs FA group.

# Comparison of OTUs levels between FA and FAPro mice

Next, Wilcoxon rank test showed that 92 OTUs were significantly different between FA group and FAPro group. Among them, 40 OTUs (43.5%) were enriched in FA group. 33 OTUs were picked out through a FDR adjust and make a heatmap with the OTU percentage profile (**Figure 6**). Moreover, we found that probiotics administration could enrich more bacteria assigned to Coprococcus, Rikenella and Bacteroides in the mice gut (**Figure 6**).

### Mice gut microflora changed across time

In order to monitor the change of gut bacteria during the period of probiotics administration, we collected fecal samples at 5 time points: before oral treatment of probiotics (FAPro1), after one week's probiotics administration (FAPro2), after two weeks' administration (FAPro3), 1 h after allergen challenge (FA-Pro4), 24 h after allergen challenge (FAPro5). Intriguingly, we selected 12 most abundant genera and found that at least 6 genera of gut bacteria, including Odoribacter, Bacteroides, Coprococcus, Blautia, Eubacterium, Prevotella changed with time after probiotics treatment (Figure 7). For example, the levels of Odoribacter were significantly increased by 3.3 fold at the time point of 24 h after challenge compared to the time point of 1 h after challenge.

### Metabolic pathways of gut microbiota was altered by BB supplementation

We used PICRUSt to produce predicted metagenomes from 16S rRNA gene sequence database. 143 KOs were found to be significantly different between FA and FAPro mice, using Wilcoxon rank test, p value < 0.05. Among them, only 4 KOs were enriched in FAPro group (**Table** 

**1**). The results implied that BB supplementation significantly modified metabolic pathways of gut microbiota.

### Discussion

Gut microbiota plays an important role in the pathogenesis of food allergy. In this study, we found that oral administration of BB induced significant improvement on allergic symptoms in mice. Furthermore, the results demonstrated that BB conferred a protective effect on food allergic mice through up-regulation of the relative abundance of *Coprococcus* and *Rikenella* at genus level. Furthermore, the genera of gut microflora were presented in a time-dependent pattern after BB treatment.

Growing evidence suggests that the relationship among diet, probiotics, immune system and gut microbiota ecology determines the dis-



**Figure 6.** Heatmap of gut bacteria in FA and FAPro group at OTU level. Blue regions represent relatively low OUT abundance, while red regions means relatively high OTU abundance.

ease susceptibility to allergy [21]. Thus, it is very likely that intragastrical administration of probiotics may treat food allergy by restoring the unbalanced indigenous microbiota and controlling the inflammatory responses. Until now, there is no investigation targeting the direct effect of probiotic supplementation on intestinal microbiota. Although there are more than 1000 species of intestinal bacteria, most of them belong to just a few phyla. Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes phyla dominate the adult intestine. The intestinal microbiota is of high variation from people to people at species-level, but bifidobacteria and lactobacilli are common species existing in most people [22]. Thus, in the present study we chose BB to treat a classical animal model sensitized by OVA. In this study, animals treated with probiotics for two weeks showed improvement in all major indicators of experimental mucosal allergy, in line with the results previously reported [23].

When use traditional culture based techniques to determine the composition of the gut microbiota, there are only ~10% of gut bacteria possibly to be studied since others are not cultur-

able [24]. Therefore, in order to further determine the different components of intestinal microbiota caused by probiotics, we chose state-of-the-art next-generation sequencing method to detect the 16S rRNA of faces samples and determine the frequency of microbes and its metabolic pathway in gastrointestinal tract. We found that there were 12 genera of gut bacteria existing in both FA and FAPro groups. After supplementation with BB for two weeks, each genus changed periodically. Based on their relative abundances, BB administration could up-regulate Rikenlla and down-regulate Eubacterium. These two genera of bacteria have never been highlighted by other related researches. Instead, Stefka [3] et al demonstrated that a Clostridiacontaining microbiota was

associated with innate lymphoid cell function and intestinal epithelial permeability. The divergence may be attributed to that they didn't use a kind of probiotics to treat allergic mice.

In conclusion, this is the first study to explore microbial population changes in food allergic animal model, in case of probiotics administration. Likely, specific gut bacterial changes contributed to disease process altered by probiotics. Still, patients study are warranted in the future to determine whether the findings herein reported can be validated and correlated with the clinical features.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81300292 to B.Y., No. 81271950 to Q.M.J., and 81460252 to X.Y.L.), Guangdong Foreign Scientific Technology Cooperative Project (No. 2013B051000088 to Z.G.L.), Shenzhen Scientific Technology Basic Research Projects (No. 005177 to Q.M.J., JCYJ20140418095735538 to Z.G.L., and JCYJ20130402151227168 to S.G.H.).

### Probiotics modified intestinal microbiota



**Figure 7.** Time-dependent manner of gut bacteria changes at genus level. During the period of probiotics administration, we collected fecal samples at 5 time points: before oral treatment of probiotics (FAPro1), after one week's probiotics administration (FAPro2), after two weeks' administration (FAPro3), 1 h after allergen challenge (FAPro4), 24 h after allergen challenge (FAPro5). The relative abundances of bacteria at genus level are presented. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, PAPro2 vs PAPro1, PAPro3 vs PAPro2, PAPro4 vs PAPro3, PAPro5 vs PAPro4.

	FAPro Mean	FA Mean	FAPro SD	FA SD	multiple	p value*	KEGG description
K13820	1.970588235	0.222222	3.745586	0.423659	8.867647	0.002142	Flagellar biosynthetic protein FliR/FlhB
K09612	1.970588235	0.222222	3.745586	0.423659	8.867647	0.002142	Alkaline phosphatase isozyme conversion protein [EC:3.4.11]
K06956	3.764705882	3.62963	4.465754	8.321188	1.037215	0.013217	None
K11693	2.5	1.666667	3.963852	5.053559	1.5	0.014967	Peptidoglycan pentaglycine glycine transferase (the first glycine) [EC:2.3.2]

\*P value was calculated by Wilcoxon rank test.

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

#### Authors' contribution

B.Y., L.X. and S.L. performed experiments and analyzed data. B.Y. wrote the manuscript. X.Y.L. and Y.L. performed experiments. Q.M.J, P.C.Y. and Z.G.L. organized the project and supervised the experiments. P.C.Y. revised the manuscript.

Address correspondence to: Pingchang Yang and Zhigang Liu, Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Devices and Systems of Ministry of Education and Guangdong Province, College of Optoelectronic Engineering, Rm722, Medicine School Building, Shenzhen University, Nan Hai Ave 3688, Shenzhen, China. Tel: +86-755-86671907; Fax: +86-755-86671906; E-mail: pcy2356@163.com (PCY); Izg195910@126.com (ZGL)

#### References

- [1] Gupta R, Sheikh A, Strachan DP and Anderson HR. Time trends in allergic disorders in the UK. Thorax 2007; 62: 91-96.
- [2] Liew WK, Williamson E and Tang ML. Anaphylaxis fatalities and admissions in Australia. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009; 123: 434-442.
- [3] Stefka AT, Feehley T, Tripathi P, Qiu J, McCoy K, Mazmanian SK, Tjota MY, Seo GY, Cao S, Theriault BR, Antonopoulos DA, Zhou L, Chang EB, Fu YX and Nagler CR. Commensal bacteria protect against food allergen sensitization.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111: 13145-13150.

- [4] Kim MH, Kang SG, Park JH, Yanagisawa M and Kim CH. Short-chain fatty acids activate GPR41 and GPR43 on intestinal epithelial cells to promote inflammatory responses in mice. Gastroenterology 2013; 145: 396-406 e391-310.
- [5] Tojo R, Suarez A, Clemente MG, de los Reyes-Gavilan CG, Margolles A, Gueimonde M and Ruas-Madiedo P. Intestinal microbiota in health and disease: role of bifidobacteria in gut homeostasis. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 15163-15176.
- [6] Wills-Karp M, Santeliz J and Karp CL. The germless theory of allergic disease: revisiting the hygiene hypothesis. Nat Rev Immunol 2001; 1: 69-75.
- [7] Prioult G and Nagler-Anderson C. Mucosal immunity and allergic responses: lack of regulation and/or lack of microbial stimulation? Immunol Rev 2005; 206: 204-218.
- [8] Cho I and Blaser MJ. The human microbiome: at the interface of health and disease. Nat Rev Genet 2012; 13: 260-270.
- [9] Gigante G, Tortora A, Ianiro G, Ojetti V, Purchiaroni F, Campanale M, Cesario V, Scarpellini E and Gasbarrini A. Role of gut microbiota in food tolerance and allergies. Dig Dis 2011; 29: 540-549.
- [10] Barletta B, Rossi G, Schiavi E, Butteroni C, Corinti S, Boirivant M and Di Felice G. Probiotic VSL#3-induced TGF-beta ameliorates food allergy inflammation in a mouse model of peanut sensitization through the induction of regulatory T cells in the gut mucosa. Mol Nutr Food Res 2013; 57: 2233-2244.
- [11] Lyons A, O'Mahony D, O'Brien F, MacSharry J, Sheil B, Ceddia M, Russell WM, Forsythe P, Bienenstock J, Kiely B, Shanahan F and O'Mahony L. Bacterial strain-specific induction of Foxp3+ T regulatory cells is protective in murine allergy models. Clin Exp Allergy 2010; 40: 811-819.
- [12] Toomer OT, Ferguson M, Pereira M, Do A, Bigley E, Gaines D and Williams K. Maternal and postnatal dietary probiotic supplementation enhances splenic regulatory T helper cell population and reduces ovalbumin allergen-induced hypersensitivity responses in mice. Immunobiology 2014; 219: 367-376.
- [13] Zhang LL, Chen X, Zheng PY, Luo Y, Lu GF, Liu ZQ, Huang H and Yang PC. Oral Bifidobacterium modulates intestinal immune inflammation in mice with food allergy. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010; 25: 928-934.
- [14] Yoshida T, Fujiwara W, Enomoto M, Nakayama S, Matsuda H, Sugiyama H, Shimojoh M, Okada S and Hattori M. An increased number

of CD4+CD25+ cells induced by an oral administration of Lactobacillus plantarum NRIC0380 are involved in antiallergic activity. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2013; 162: 283-289.

- [15] Kim HJ, Kim YJ, Lee SH, Yu J, Jeong SK and Hong SJ. Effects of Lactobacillus rhamnosus on allergic march model by suppressing Th2, Th17, and TSLP responses via CD4(+)CD25(+) Foxp3(+) Tregs. Clin Immunol 2014; 153: 178-186.
- [16] Kwon HK, Lee CG, So JS, Chae CS, Hwang JS, Sahoo A, Nam JH, Rhee JH, Hwang KC and Im SH. Generation of regulatory dendritic cells and CD4+Foxp3+ T cells by probiotics administration suppresses immune disorders. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010; 107: 2159-2164.
- [17] Kim HJ, Kim HY, Lee SY, Seo JH, Lee E and Hong SJ. Clinical efficacy and mechanism of probiotics in allergic diseases. Korean J Pediatr 2013; 56: 369-376.
- [18] Chiu CH, Wang YT, Walther BA and Chao A. An improved nonparametric lower bound of species richness via a modified good-turing frequency formula. Biometrics 2014; 70: 671-682.
- [19] Chao A and Bunge J. Estimating the number of species in a stochastic abundance model. Biometrics 2002; 58: 531-539.
- [20] Xu H, Hao W, Zhou Q, Wang W, Xia Z, Liu C, Chen X, Qin M and Chen F. Plaque bacterial microbiome diversity in children younger than 30 months with or without caries prior to eruption of second primary molars. PLoS One 2014; 9: e89269.
- [21] Berni Canani R, Gilbert JA and Nagler CR. The role of the commensal microbiota in the regulation of tolerance to dietary allergens. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 2015; 15: 243-249.
- [22] Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK and Knight R. Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. Nature 2012; 489: 220-230.
- [23] Vernocchi P, Del Chierico F, Fiocchi AG, El Hachem M, Dallapiccola B, Rossi P and Putignani L. Understanding probiotics' role in allergic children: the clue of gut microbiota profiling. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 2015; 15: 495-503.
- [24] Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, Gill SR, Nelson KE and Relman DA. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 2005; 308: 1635-1638.
- [25] Ganeshan K, Neilsen CV, Hadsaitong A, Schleimer RP, Luo X and Bryce PJ. Impairing oral tolerance promotes allergy and anaphylaxis: a new murine food allergy model. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009; 123: 231-238, e234.

- [26] Jiang C, Fan X, Li M, Xing P, Liu X, Wu Y, Zhang M, Yang P and Liu Z. Characterization of Der f 29, a new allergen from dermatophagoides farinae. Am J Transl Res 2015; 7: 1303-1313.
- [27] Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, Mende DR, Li J, Xu J, Li S, Li D, Cao J, Wang B, Liang H, Zheng H, Xie Y, Tap J, Lepage P, Bertalan M, Batto JM, Hansen T, Le Paslier D, Linneberg A, Nielsen HB, Pelletier E, Renault P, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Turner K, Zhu H, Yu C, Jian M, Zhou Y, Li Y, Zhang X, Qin N, Yang H, Wang J, Brunak S, Dore J, Guarner F, Kristiansen K, Pedersen O, Parkhill J, Weissenbach J, Bork P and Ehrlich SD. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 2010; 464: 59-65.
- [28] Junemann S, Prior K, Szczepanowski R, Harks I, Ehmke B, Goesmann A, Stoye J and Harmsen D. Bacterial community shift in treated periodontitis patients revealed by ion torrent 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. PLoS One 2012; 7: e41606.
- [29] Schloss PD. A high-throughput DNA sequence aligner for microbial ecology studies. PLoS One 2009; 4: e8230.
- [30] Langille MG, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, Clemente JC, Burkepile DE, Vega Thurber RL, Knight R, Beiko RG and Huttenhower C. Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nat Biotechnol 2013; 31: 814-821.