Original Article Risk factors associated with brain metastases in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer after prophylactic cranial irradiation

Guangyuan Lou¹, Xianghui Du², Xia Zhou², Yonglin Ji², Wuan Bao², Yang Yang², Danhong Zhang², Lei Cheng², Ying Chen², Guoqin Qiu²

Departments of ¹Chemotherapy, ²Radiation Therapy, Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou 310022, China

Received September 27, 2016; Accepted December 22, 2016; Epub March 15, 2017; Published March 30, 2017

Abstract: Purpose: Prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) has been the standard of practice for patients with limitedstage small-cell lung cancer after concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT), however, high brain metastasis rate and potential neurotoxicity limit the benefits from PCI. Thus, we conducted a retrospective study to identify the risk factors associated with brain metastases and provide evidence for personalized PCI. Methods: Between 2005 and 2010, 188 patients with limited-stage SCLC were included in the study, chi-square test and Cox proportional hazards analysis were used to assess the risk factors associated with brain metastases. Results: The median follow-up duration was 28 months (from 5 to 99 months), and the median survival time was 28 months. One-year, 2-year overall and 3-year overall survival (OS) rates were 95%, 69%, 55%, respectively. Thirty-one patients were diagnosed with brain metastases. One-year, 2-year and 3-year cumulative incidence of brain metastases were 4%, 15%, 20%, respectively. Univariate analysis showed that stage III before treatment (P=0.044), elevated levels of tumor markers (P=0.037), failure to achieve complete remission after CRT (P=0.005), and local-regional recurrence (P=0.007) were significantly associated with an increased risk of brain metastases. However, multivariate analysis indicated only failure to achieve complete remission after CRT (P=0.003) and local-regional recurrence (P=0.040) were independent factors predicting brain metastases. Conclusions: In conclusion, our study suggests that patients with local-regional recurrence or failed to achieve complete remission after CRT have higher risk of brain metastases. Close follow-up with brain MRI followed by salvage cerebral irradiation may be an alternative to PCI for those patients, which should be verified by random clinical trials.

Keywords: Limited-stage small-cell lung cancer, prophylactic cranial irradiation, brain metastases, risk factors

Introduction

Concurrent chemoradiothery (CRT) is the standard care for limited-stage SCLC (LS-SCLC) [1], however, about 58% patients will develop brain metastases within two years after CRT [2].

In addition to reducing the risk of brain metastases, prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) can improve overall survival. Therefore, PCI has been considered as a standard treatment for patients with LS-SCLC in complete remission following systemic therapy [3]. However, even after PCI, one third of patients will experience intracranial recurrence [3-5]. Such high recurrence rate suggests that quite a number of patients cannot really benefit from PCI which might also induce potential neurocognitive impairment and other adverse effects [6]. Moreover, for those patients who develop brain metastases after PCI, further rescuing treatment strategy is challenging and difficult [7].

With the improvement of imaging techniques, the prevalence of detected brain metastases increases [8]. In addition, with more effective rescuing strategies available [9], the validity of PCI is being constantly challenged [10]. One retrospective study from Japan exploring the possibility of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) followed by stereotactic irradiation (SRI) instead of PCI in patients with LS-SCLC found no difference in median survival time between the two groups [11].

Age <50 66 11 0.523 0.727 50-65 104 16 Solo 65 18 4 Gender Men 147 26 0.702 0.281 Women 41 5 Smoking status Smoking 133 21 0.162 0.419 Non-smoking 55 10 ECOG score 0 48 6 1.698 0.601 1 136 25 Veight loss <5% 174 29 0.053 0.585 Stage HII 153 24 0	Characteristics	No. of cases	No. of patients with BM	Chi- square	Ρ					
<50	Age									
50-65 104 16 >65 18 4 Gender	<50	66	11	0.523	0.727					
>65 18 4 Gender	50-65	104	16							
Gender Men 147 26 0.702 0.281 Women 41 5 5 Smoking status 33 21 0.162 0.419 Non-smoking 55 10 10 10 ECOG score 0 48 6 1.698 0.601 1 136 25 2 4 0 10 <td< td=""><td>>65</td><td>18</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	>65	18	4							
Men 147 26 0.702 0.281 Women 41 5 5 Smoking status 33 21 0.162 0.419 Non-smoking 55 10 6 0.49 Non-smoking 55 10 6 0.49 ECOG score 0 48 6 1.698 0.601 1 136 25 2 4 0 7 Veight loss 25 14 29 0.053 0.585 ≥5% 14 2 7 4.640 0.044 III 113 24 7 7 4.640 0.037 Normal 64 7 7 4.640 0.053 0.546 <td>Gender</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Gender									
Women415Smoking statusSmoking133210.1620.419Non-smoking5510 $ECOG score$ $A88$ 61.6980.601113625 2 4 0 $A88$ 6 1.6980.601113625 2 4 0 $A88$ 6 $A98$ 6 $A98$ 6 $A98$ <td< td=""><td>Men</td><td>147</td><td>26</td><td>0.702</td><td>0.281</td></td<>	Men	147	26	0.702	0.281					
Smoking smoking133 13321 10.1620.419 0.419Non-smoking551010101010ECOG score04861.6980.60111362524010Weight loss3174290.0530.585 $\geq 5\%$ 174290.0530.585 $\geq 5\%$ 142330Stage142330I+II7574.6400.044III1132433Tumor markers†13.9450.037Normal64733Normal6473Yes179300.546No913TRT dose (Gy)33<50	Women	41	5							
Smoking133210.1620.419Non-smoking551010ECOG score04861.6980.601113625240240770.0530.585≥5%174290.0530.585≥5%14277Stage142771HI7574.6400.044III1132477Tumor markers†71.01997Felevated80193.9450.037Normal64777Yes179300.5467No9177Yes167287Response to first-line CT70.2010.899≥50167287Response to CRT770.005PR132237PCI dose (Gy)779.174<25	Smoking status		-							
Non-smoking 55 10 Initial Initial ECOG score 0 48 6 1.698 0.601 1 136 25 2 4 0 Weight loss 2 4 0 0.653 0.585 ≥5% 174 29 0.053 0.585 ≥5% 14 2 2 4 0 Stage 14 2 2 4 0.0044 III 113 24 7 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Smoking	133	21	0.162	0.419					
ECOG score 0 48 6 1.698 0.601 1 136 25 2 4 0 Weight loss 2 4 0 0.585 <5%	Non-smoking	55	10							
04861.6980.601113625	FCOG score	00	10							
010010000.0001113625240Weight loss	0	48	6	1 698	0 601					
115023240Weight loss.5%174290.0530.585≥5%142StageI+II7574.6400.044III11324Tumor markers†Elevated80193.9450.037Normal647TRT0.199Yes17930No91TRT dose (Gy)<50	1	136	25	1.000	0.001					
240Weight loss<5%	2	150	20							
veright ross<5%	Z Woight loss	4	0							
< 5.% $1/4$ 29 0.053 0.533 ≥5%142Stage142I+II757 4.640 0.044 III11324Tumor markers†2Elevated8019 3.945 0.037 Normal6477TRT0.199Yes179300.546No917TRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899≥50167287Response to first-line CT70.3360.750PR1322377Response to CRT79.1740.005PR361299.174OLOSE (Gy)25621.959<25.30		17/	20	0.052	0 5 9 5					
25.%142StageI+II7574.6400.044III11324Tumor markers†III11324Tumor markers†Elevated80193.9450.037Normal647T0.1991Yes179300.5460.037No91T0.199Yes179300.546No91TTRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899≥5016728TResponse to first-line CTCR5680.336CR5680.3360.750PR13223TTCR152199.1740.005PR3612TT25621.9590.37625-3015323S30286Time from CT to PCI24 w93181.0970.197≥24 w9513LRR before BMT0.007	~5% ~5%	14	29	0.055	0.565					
StageI+II7574.6400.044III113241Tumor markers†Elevated80193.9450.037Normal6477TRT0.1990.546No911TRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899≥501672823Response to first-line CT0.3360.750PR132230.005PR36120.005PR36120.005PR36120.005PR36120.37625.30153230.37625.30153230.37625.301531.0970.197≥24 w93181.097Yes58167.5010.007	≥5%	14	2							
1+117.574.6400.044III11324Tumor markers†24Elevated80193.9450.037Normal647TRT0.199Yes179300.546No91TRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899 ≥ 50 1672828Response to first-line CT0.3360.750PR132230.005PR132230.005PR36120.005PR36120.005PR36120.37625-30153230.37625-30153230.37625-30153230.37624 w93181.09724 w95131.097LRR before BMYes58167.501Yes58167.5010.007	Slage	75	7	4 6 4 0	0.044					
IIIII324Tumor markers†Elevated80193.9450.037Normal6477TRT0.1991Yes179300.546No917TRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899≥50167287Response to first-line CT77CR5680.3360.750PR132237Response to CRT79.1740.005PR361277CR152199.1740.005PR361277PCI dose (Gy)71.9590.37625-301532330286Time from CT to PCI724 w93181.097≥24 w9513131.0970.197≥24 w95131.0970.197≥24 w95131.0970.197≥24 w58167.5010.007	1+11	10	1	4.640	0.044					
Itimor markers TElevated8019 3.945 0.037 Normal6477TRT 0.199 1Yes17930 0.546 No911TRT dose (Gy)2 0.201 0.899 ≥ 50 1672828Response to first-line CT CR 568 0.336 0.750 PR1322323 0.005 10 0.005 PR3612 0.005 0.376 0.376 2562 1.959 0.376 0.376 25-3015323 0.376 0.376 25-3015323 0.376 0.197 ≥ 24 w9318 1.097 0.197 ≥ 24 w9513 1.097 0.197 ≥ 24 w5816 7.501 0.007	III Turra an incarducing d	113	24							
Elevated8019 3.945 0.037 Normal647TRT 0.199 Yes17930 0.546 No91TRT dose (Gy) < 2 0.201 0.899 ≥5016728Response to first-line CT CR 568 0.336 0.750 PR13223 23 0.750 PR 36 12 PR3612 9 9.174 0.005 PR 25 62 1.959 0.376 $25-30$ 153 23 >30286 23 30 28 6 23 Time from CT to PCI < 24 w 93 18 1.097 0.197 ≥ 24 w9513 16 7.501 0.007	Tumor markers†	00	10	0.045	0.007					
Normal647TRT0.199Yes179300.546No911TRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899≥50167282Response to first-line CT0.3360.750PR132230Response to CRT152199.174CR152199.1740.005PR361212PCI dose (Gy)233028<25	Elevated	80	19	3.945	0.037					
TRT0.199Yes179300.546No911TRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899≥501672828Response to first-line CT0.3360.750PR132230Response to CRT0.0050.005PR3612PCI dose (Gy)1.9590.376<25	Normal	64	7							
Yes179300.546No91TRT dose (Gy)20.2010.899≥501672828Response to first-line CT230.3360.750PR1322323Response to CRT79.1740.005PR36129PCI dose (Gy)25621.959<25	TRT			0.199						
No91TRT dose (Gy)0.2010.899≥501220.2010.899≥5016728Response to first-line CTCR5680.3360.750PR13223Response to CRTCR152199.1740.005PR3612PCI dose (Gy)<25	Yes	179	30		0.546					
TRT dose (Gy) <50	No	9	1							
	TRT dose (Gy)									
≥5016728Response to first-line CTCR5680.3360.750PR1322323Response to CRTCR152199.1740.005PR36129PCI dose (Gy)<25	<50	12	2	0.201	0.899					
Response to first-line CTCR5680.3360.750PR1322323Response to CRT99.1740.005PR36129PCI dose (Gy)9<25	≥50	167	28							
CR568 0.336 0.750 PR1322323Response to CRT15219 9.174 0.005 PR361212PCI dose (Gy)2562 1.959 0.376 25-30153232330286Time from CT to PCI24 w9318 1.097 0.197 ≥ 24 w951313LRR before BM 7.501 0.007	Response to first-lin	e CT								
PR13223Response to CRT	CR	56	8	0.336	0.750					
Response to CRTCR152199.1740.005PR36129PCI dose (Gy) 12 195 0.37625-3015323 23 30 28625-30286 195 136 1097 $24 w$ $224 w$ 9318 1.097 0.197 $\geq 24 w$ 9513 1097 1007 LRR before BM 1007 1007 1007	PR	132	23							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Response to CRT									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } & PR & 36 & 12 \\ \hline PCI \ dose \ (Gy) & & & \\ <25 & 6 & 2 & 1.959 & 0.376 \\ 25-30 & 153 & 23 & & \\ >30 & 28 & 6 & & \\ \hline Time \ from \ CT \ to \ PCI & & \\ <24 \ w & 93 & 18 & 1.097 & 0.197 \\ \ge24 \ w & 95 & 13 & & \\ \hline LRR \ before \ BM & & & \\ \hline Yes & 58 & 16 & 7.501 & 0.007 \end{array}$	CR	152	19	9.174	0.005					
PCI dose (Gy) <25	PR	36	12							
<25621.9590.37625-3015323>30286Time from CT to PCI $<24 \text{ w}$ 93181.0970.197 $\geq 24 \text{ w}$ 951313LRR before BM <7501 0.007	PCI dose (Gv)									
25-30 153 23 >30 28 6 Time from CT to PCI $<24 \text{ w}$ 93 18 1.097 0.197 ≥24 w 95 13 $<$ LRR before BM $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$	<25	6	2	1.959	0.376					
>30 28 6 Time from CT to PCI <24 w	25-30	153	23							
Time from CT to PCI $<24 \text{ w}$ 93 18 1.097 0.197 $\geq 24 \text{ w}$ 95 13 13 13 LRR before BM Yes 58 16 7.501 0.007	>30	28	6							
	Time from CT to PCI		Ū							
≥24 w 95 13 LRR before BM Yes 58 16 7.501 0.007	<24 w	93	18	1 097	0 1 9 7					
LRR before BM Yes 58 16 7.501 0.007	>24 w	95	13	1.001	0.201					
Yes 58 16 7.501 0.007	LRR before RM	00	10							
		58	16	7 501	0.007					
No 130 15	No	130	15	1.001	0.001					

Table 1. Univariate analysis of the risk factorsassociated with brain metastases in patientswith LS-SCLC

Abbreviations: CR, complete remission; PR, partial remission; BM, brain metastases; PCI, prophylactic cranial irradiation; CT, chemotherapy; CRT, chemo (radio) therapy. †Tumor makers included CEA, NSE, Ca125 and Ca199, they were considered as be elevated, if one of them was higher at least.

5204

Therefore, personalized PCI is the direction of future studies, especially for those patients with higher rate of brain metastases after PCI, close follow-up with brain MRI followed by salvage cerebral irradiation may be an alternative choice. To explore the risk factors associated with brain metastases after PCI and provide the foundation for personalized PCI, we reviewed the patients with LS-SCLC undergoing PCI in Zhejiang Cancer Hospital from March 2005 to December 2010.

Patients and methods

Study population

A total of 479 patents with LS-SCLC were treated in Zhejiang Cancer Hospital from March 2005 to December 2010, and 188 cases were included in our analysis. The eligible criteria were: 1) patients with stage I-III SCLC (according to the 7th edition AJCC staging system) confirmed by pathological examinations; 2) patients with a complete remission (CR) or partial response (PR) after chemo (radio) therapy; 3) patients underwent PCI after chemo (radio) therapy. Patients underwent staging evaluation before the initiation of chemotherapy. Staging evaluation included computed tomography (CT) of the chest and upper abdomen, ultrasonography of neck and upper abdomen. MRI or computed tomography of the head, radionuclide bone scan. Elevated levels of tumor markers were considered if one of them (CEA, NSE, Ca125 and Ca199) was higher. The response evaluation of chemo (radio) therapy was completed in one month after treatment. The characteristics of patients were listed in Table 1.

Patients were excluded from analysis for the following reasons: 1) second malignancy; 2) contralateral supraclavicular lymph node metastasis; 3) \leq 2 cycles of first-line chemotherapy; 4) <40 Gy of thoracic radiotherapy; 5) mixed with squamous cell carcinoma or adenocarcinoma; 6) progressive disease during chemo (radio) therapy.

Treatment

More than 3 cycles of cisplatin-based regimens were administered. Thoracic radiotherapy (TRT) was performed concurrently or sequentially or alternatively with chemotherapy. TRT was administered with 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT) or intensity-modulated



radiotherapy (IMRT) technique. The target volume included all gross disease, the ipsilateral hilum, and the mediastinum. Supraclavicular fossa was not irradiated routinely if there were no enlarged lymph nodes in these sites. A total dose of 40-60 Gy was administered with 1.8-2.0 Gy per fraction. Patients received PCI that involved 4-6-MV photons delivered with opposed lateral portals with at least normal tissue sparing of the lens. Fields had to include at least a 1-cm margin on the calvarium. PCI was performed after primary chemo (radio) therapy.

Response evaluation and follow-up

Response assessment at the end of primary chemo (radio) therapy was based on the results of chest CT, brain MRI or CT, CT of the upper abdomen. CR was defined as the disappearance of all target lesions. PR was defined as at least a 50% decrease in the sum of the longest diameter of target lesions. Chest CT, abdomen CT and ultrasonography of neck and upper abdomen were repeated every 3 months for 2 years after the end of treatment, and every 6 months thereafter. Patients had MRI of the head if they had headache or neurologic symptoms suggestive of brain metastases (BM), a bone scan if they had bone pain.

Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 13.0 software. Intracranial progression-free survival was defined as the time from the start of treatment to the diagnosis of brain metastases. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate the incidence rate of brain metastases. Univariate (Pearson chisquare test) and multivariate analysis (Cox proportional hazards regression model) were performed to determine the risk factors associated with brain metastases (BM). Covariates included in the analysis were age (<50 y, 50-65 y, >65 y), sex (male, female), smoking status (smoking, non-smoking), ECOG score (0, 1, 2), weight loss $(<5\%, \geq 5\%)$, clinical stage

(I+II, III), tumor markers (elevated, normal), TRT (yes, no), TRT dose (<50 Gy, >50 Gy), response to first-line chemotherapy (CR, PR), response to chemo (radio) therapy (CR, PR), PCI dose (<25 Gy, 25-30 Gy, >30 Gy), time from chemotherapy to PCI (<6 months, \geq 6 months) and locoregional recurrence (LRR) before brain metastases (yes, no). P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

The follow-up deadline was March 31, 2015, the median follow-up time was 28 months (5-99 months), and 83 patients were still alive. The median survival time was 28 months, and 1, 2, 3-year survival rates were 95%, 69%, 55%, respectively.

31 of 188 patients (16.5%) developed brain metastases. The median time from the first-line chemotherapy to brain metastases was 26 months, and the median time from the start of PCI to brain metastases was 11 months. The 1, 2, 3-year cumulative incidences of brain metastases were 4%, 15%, 20%, respectively (**Figure 1**).

In univariate analysis, patients with stage III (P=0.044), elevated tumor markers (P=0.037),



 Table 2. Multivariate analysis of the risk factors associated with

 brain metastases in patients with LS-SCLC

Characteristic	В	SE	Wald	Sig	Exp (B)
Tumor markers	0.487	0.519	0.877	0.349	1.627
Stage	0.785	0.505	2.414	0.120	2.191
Response to chemo (radio) therapy	1.438	0.481	8.935	0.003	4.211
LRR	0.961	0.467	4.231	0.040	2.614

partial response to chem (radio) therapy (P=0.005) or LRR (P=0.007) had a significantly higher incidence rate of brain metastases (**Table 1**). The 1, 2, 3-year cumulative incidence of brain metastases were 3%, 11%, 15% in CR group and 11%, 31%, 48% in PR group, respectively. The 1, 2, 3-year cumulative incidence of brain metastases were 3%, 20%, 34% in LRR group and 5%, 12%, 14% in no LRR group, respectively (**Figure 2**). Cox multivariate regression analysis further revealed only partial response to CRT (P=0.003) and LRR (P=0.040) were significantly associated with increased risk of brain metastases (**Table 2**).

Discussion

PCI has been widely used in clinical practice, however, few studies reported the relevant risk

factors associated with intracranial relapse after PCI. In present study, the 3-year cumulative incidence of brain metastases was 20%, which was consistent with the result reported by Manapov et al. [12], and lower than the results found in previous meta-analysis [3]. The possible explanation was that brain CT or MRI was routinely performed in our study before PCI to exclude the possibility of brain metastases. Our results revealed that failure to achieve CR after chemo (radio) therapy or LRR was significantly associated with intracranial recurrence after PCI. Although univariate analysis showed that elevated tumor markers or advanced stages were significantly correlated with brain metastases after PCI, these two factors were not further confirmed by multivariate analysis.

PCI is widely accepted and justified by the premise that existing intracranial subclinical metastases before PCI are difficult to be eliminated thoroughly by chemotherapy

due to the blood-brain barrier and become the resources of intracranial relapse. Accordingly, the dose of 25 Gy for PCI used in clinical practice may be insufficient to eradicate the subclinical metastases, and the rate of intracranial relapse can be reduced dramatically by increasing PCI dose. However, One randomized trial showed that no significant reduction in the total incidence of brain metastases was observed after higher-dose PCI [4]. The present study indicated that failure to achieve complete remission after CRT or local-regional recurrence was significantly associated with brain metastases after PCI. In univariate analysis, advanced diseases, which were more likely to develop local-regional recurrence, were also found to have a higher risk of intracranial recurrence. It's well known that residual or recurrent tumor cells after CRT have a stronger ability of

invasiveness and metastasis, so secondary hematogenous spread of these tumor cells might be the main cause of intracranial relapse after PCI. For those patients, upfront PCI can not reduce the incidence rate of brain metastases and even cause potential damage to cognitive function. Therefore, close follow-up with brain MRI followed by rescuing cerebral irradiation may be preferred choice. In addition, good locoregional disease control is important for reducing the incidence rate of BM after PCI [8-11]. Since our results come from a singlecenter retrospective study, the findings should be interpreted carefully and verified by randomized clinical trials.

Early studies have shown that the superiority of PCI is limited to those patients who achieved complete remission after induction therapy [3. 13]. In one randomized trial evaluating the early and late timing of thoracic radiotherapy in patients with LS-SCLC, significant improvements in PFS and OS for "early" arm and "late" arm was observed, and the proportion of brain metastases in the "late" arm (28%) was significantly higher than in the "early" arm (18%) (P=.0425, Fishers' exact test) [14, 15]. Another phase III study from Japan evaluating the optimal timing of thoracic radiotherapy also confirmed that concurrent radiotherapy not only yielded better CR, PFS and OS than sequential radiotherapy but also experienced lower occurrence of brain metastases [1]. In addition, the largest international multicenter study published in 2009 failed to find a significant reduction in the total incidence of brain metastases with higher dose (36 Gy), compared to the standard dose for PCI (25 Gy), the potential benefits brought by higher dose might be offset by secondary brain metastases caused by an increased rate of thoracic relapses. These results suggest that good locoregional disease control might be the main contributor for lower incidence of intracranial metastases. The study by Manapov et al. also showed that primary tumor response to chemoradiotherapy in LS-SCLC correlated with duration of brain-metastasis free survival [12]. These results mentioned above are in accordance with the findings in our study, indicating the importance of good localregional control in reducing the recurrence rate of BM after PCI.

There is still no standard methods to evaluate the efficacy of CRT in patients with LS SCLC.

None in our study underwent fiberoptic bronchoscopy and few received PET-CT scan, however, all were evaluated by brain CT or MRI, chest and abdomen enhanced CT. CR is defined as complete disappearance of all target lesions, and PR as more than 50% decrease in the sum of the longest diameter of target lesions. Although the evaluation criteria above may not accurately reflect pathologic changes. they are proved to be significantly associated with locoregional recurrence (Fisher's exact test, P=0.088). In some early studies, chest CT scan was not routinely used for response evaluation, therefore, CR rates might be overestimated or underestimated, which in turn could interfere with objective evaluation of the relationship between therapeutic efficacy and brain metastases [3, 16, 17]. Therefore, a more comprehensive therapeutic effect evaluation system should be established and more sensitive methods are needed to detect early LRR [18].

Conclusions

Our results indicate that those patients with LS-SCLC who fail to achieve complete remission after chemo (radio) therapy or experience locoregional recurrence still have higher risk of developing intracranial relapse after PCI.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the fund of Zhejiang Province Medical and Health Science and Technology Project (2015KYA034 and 2016-RCB002).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Guoqin Qiu, Department of Radiation Therapy, Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, China; Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, NO. 38 of Guangji Road, Hangzhou 310022, China. Tel: +86-571-88128182; E-mail: qiuguoqinzjcc@163.com

References

 Takada M, Fukuoka M, Kawahara M, Sugiura T, Yokoyama A, Yokota S, Nishiwaki Y, Watanabe K, Noda K, Tamura T, Fukuda H and Saijo N. Phase III study of concurrent versus sequential thoracic radiotherapy in combination with cisplatin and etoposide for limited-stage smallcell lung cancer: results of the Japan clinical oncology group study 9104. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 3054-3060.

- [2] Komaki R, Cox JD and Whitson W. Risk of brain metastasis from small cell carcinoma of the lung related to length of survival and prophylactic irradiation. Cancer Treat Rep 1981; 65: 811-814.
- [3] Auperin A, Arriagada R, Pignon JP, Le Pechoux C, Gregor A, Stephens RJ, Kristjansen PE, Johnson BE, Ueoka H, Wagner H and Aisner J. Prophylactic cranial irradiation for patients with small-cell lung cancer in complete remission. Prophylactic cranial irradiation overview collaborative group. N Engl J Med 1999; 341: 476-484.
- [4] Le Péchoux C, Dunant A, Senan S, Wolfson A, Quoix E, Faivre-Finn C, Ciuleanu T, Arriagada R, Jones R, Wanders R, Lerouge D, Laplanche A; Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation (PCI) Collaborative Group. Standard-dose versus higher-dose prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer in complete remission after chemotherapy and thoracic radiotherapy (PCI 99-01, EORTC 22003-08004, RTOG 0212, and IFCT 99-01): a randomised clinical trial. Lancet Oncol 2009; 10: 467-474.
- [5] Baas P, Belderbos JS, Senan S, Kwa HB, van Bochove A, van Tinteren H, Burgers JA and van Meerbeeck JP. Concurrent chemotherapy (carboplatin, paclitaxel, etoposide) and involvedfield radiotherapy in limited stage small cell lung cancer: a Dutch multicenter phase II study. Br J Cancer 2006; 94: 625-630.
- [6] Lishner M, Feld R, Payne DG, Sagman U, Sculier JP, Pringle JF, Yeoh JL, Evans WK, Shepherd FA, Maki E, et al. Late neurological complications after prophylactic cranial irradiation in patients with small-cell lung cancer: the Toronto experience. J Clin Oncol 1990; 8: 215-221.
- [7] Wong WW, Schild SE, Sawyer TE and Shaw EG. Analysis of outcome in patients reirradiated for brain metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1996; 34: 585-590.
- [8] Expert Panel on Radiation Oncology-Brain Metastases, Lo SS, Gore EM, Bradley JD, Buatti JM, Germano I, Ghafoori AP, Henderson MA, Murad GJ, Patchell RA, Patel SH, Robbins JR, Robins HI, Vassil AD, Wippold FJ 2nd, Yunes MJ, Videtic GM. ACR appropriateness criteria® pre-irradiation evaluation and management of brain metastases. J Palliat Med 2014; 17: 880-886.
- [9] Yamamoto M, Serizawa T, Shuto T, Akabane A, Higuchi Y, Kawagishi J, Yamanaka K, Sato Y, Jokura H, Yomo S, Nagano O, Kenai H, Moriki A, Suzuki S, Kida Y, Iwai Y, Hayashi M, Onishi H,

Gondo M, Sato M, Akimitsu T, Kubo K, Kikuchi Y, Shibasaki T, Goto T, Takanashi M, Mori Y, Takakura K, Saeki N, Kunieda E, Aoyama H, Momoshima S and Tsuchiya K. Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLGK0901): a multi-institutional prospective observational study. Lancet Oncol 2014; 15: 387-395.

- [10] Seto T and Takahashi T. Prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) has a detrimental effect on the overall survival (OS) of patients (pts) with extensive disease small cell lung cancer (ED-SCLC). Journal of Clinical Oncology 2014.
- [11] Ozawa Y, Omae M, Fujii M, Matsui T, Kato M, Sagisaka S, Asada K, Karayama M, Shirai T and Yasuda K. Management of brain metastasis with magnetic resonance imaging and stereotactic irradiation attenuated benefits of prophylactic cranial irradiation in patients with limited-stage small cell lung cancer. BMC Cancer 2015; 15: 1-7.
- [12] Manapov F, Klöcking S, Niyazi M, Levitskiy V, Belka C, Hildebrandt G, Fietkau R and Klautke G. Primary tumor response to chemoradiotherapy in limited-disease small-cell lung cancer correlates with duration of brain-metastasis free survival. J Neurooncol 2012; 109: 309-314.
- [13] Rosen ST, Makuch RW, Lichter AS, Ihde DC, Matthews MJ, Minna JD, Glatstein E, Bunn PA Jr. Role of prophylactic cranial irradiation in prevention of central nervous system metastases in small cell lung cancer. Potential benefit restricted to patients with complete response. Am J Med 1983; 74: 615-624.
- [14] Murray N, Coy P, Pater JL, Hodson I, Arnold A, Zee BC, Payne D, Kostashuk EC, Evans WK and Dixon P. Importance of timing for thoracic irradiation in the combined modality treatment of limited-stage small-cell lung cancer. The national cancer institute of Canada clinical trials group. J Clin Oncol 1993; 11: 336-344.
- [15] Coy P, Hodson DI, Murray N, Pater JL, Payne DG, Arnold A, Kostashuk E, Dixon P, Evans WK, Zee B, et al. Patterns of failure following locoregional radiotherapy in the treatment of limited stage small cell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1994; 28: 355-362.
- [16] Skarlos DV, Samantas E, Briassoulis E, Panoussaki E, Pavlidis N, Kalofonos HP, Kardamakis D, Tsiakopoulos E, Kosmidis P, Tsavdaridis D, Tzitzikas J, Tsekeris P, Kouvatseas G, Zamboglou N, Fountzilas G. Randomized comparison of early versus late hyperfractionated thoracic irradiation concurrently with chemotherapy in limited disease small-cell lung cancer: a randomized phase II study of the Hellenic cooperative oncology group (HeCOG). Ann Oncol 2001; 12: 1231-1238.

- [17] Spiro SG, James LE, Rudd RM, Trask CW, Tobias JS, Snee M, Gilligan D, Murray PA, Ruiz de Elvira MC, O'Donnell KM, Gower NH, Harper PG, Hackshaw AK; London Lung Cancer Group. Early compared with late radiotherapy in combined modality treatment for limited disease small-cell lung cancer: a London lung cancer group multicenter randomized clinical trial and meta-analysis. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 3823-3830.
- [18] Zhang J, Wang HT and Li BG. Prognostic significance of circulating tumor cells in small-cell lung cancer patients: a meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014; 15: 8429-8433.