Original Article Serum CD26 levels may be correlated with the risk of colorectal cancer among Asian populations: a meta-analysis

Zhu Ouyang, Yugang Jiang, Fang Deng, Baolong Wang

Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha 410011, P.R. China

Received April 18, 2016; Accepted October 31, 2016; Epub May 15, 2017; Published May 30, 2017

Abstract: Objective: This meta-analysis aims to investigate the association between serum CD26 levels and the risk of colorectal cancer (CRC). Methods: Potential relevant studies were searched through electronic databases. Case-control studies were selected for assessing the association between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC, and extracted data were analyzed by using the Comprehensive Meta-analysis (version 2.0) software. A fixed-effect model or random-effect model was used for calculating the standardized mean difference (SMD) with its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) to investigate the association between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC. Results: Seven case-control studies were selected with a total of 1,571 subjects (containing 714 CRC patients and 857 healthy controls). Negative association was found between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC (SMD = -0.334, 95% CI: -2.967~2.299, P = 0.803). Ethnicity-subgroup analysis showed that serum CD26 levels may be related to the risk of CRC among Asian populations (SMD = -3.774, 95% CI: -7.670~0.123, P = 0.058). Conclusion: These results indicate that serum CD26 levels may be related to CRC among Asian populations.

Keywords: CD26, serum level, colorectal cancer, meta-analysis, Asian populations

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains the third leading cause of cancer deaths in both men and women [1]. In 2012, it was estimated that CRC brought about approximately 1,360,000 new diagnoses and about 694,000 deaths worldwide [2]. An estimated 20% of CRC patients are at far advanced stage at the time of diagnosis and have a 5-year survival rate of only 12% [3]. The factors associated with CRC are controversial and complex, and non-genetic risk factors include older age, male gender, high intake of fat, alcohol or red meat, obesity, smoking and a lack of physical exercise [4]. Besides, studies show that intake of cereal fibers is more strongly related with the decrease of CRC risk, and the unhealthy patterns of diet and lifestyle are also regarded as the leading factors for CRC risk [1, 5]. Furthermore, some previous studies demonstrated that genetic factors also affected CRC epidemic [6, 7], and serum CD26 level was reported as an important biomarker involved in the risk of CRC [8].

CD26, a membrane-anchored cell surface peptidase, is also known as a highly glycosylated type II membrane sialoglycoprotein consisting of 766 aminoacids, which is capable of transmitting intracellular signals through a short intracellular tail and usually exists in the circulation as a second smaller soluble form [8]. Generally, CD26 is expressed in various types of haematopoietic cells, such as endothelial cells, fibroblasts, activated B and T lymphocytes and natural killer cells; and it is also frequently observed in epithelial cells of different tissues, including the lung, liver, kidney, intestine, prostate, and placenta [9, 10]. Recently, serum levels of CD26 have been reported to be closely correlated with the etiology of CRC, and CD26 expressed in the neoplastic colon cell

membrane may possess the ability to modulate tumor growth and dissemination [8, 11]. Furthermore, CD26 can interact with other proteins, such as collagen, caveolin-1, and fibronectin, to participate in the process of cell motility and invasion [12, 13]. More importantly, it has been postulated that the interaction of CD26 with an unknown putative ligand might lead to a continuous activation of NF-kappa B, thereby resulting in the uncontrolled growth of colorectal cells [14]. Previous study also revealed that there was strong positive correlation between serum levels of CD26 and the risk of CRC, suggesting that CD26 might be utilized as a serum marker in the diagnosis and prognosis of CRC [15]. However, other epidemiological studies also illustrated contradictory findings with regard to the relationship between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC development [14, 16]. Therefore, the current meta-analysis aggregated reliable data and results from relevant studies in order to identify the possible association between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC.

Materials and methods

Data sources and keywords

We thoroughly searched several databases, like PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, China BioMedicine (CBM), Cochrane Library, Wanfang database and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) using a combination of free words and keywords with a retrieval range from the day the database was built to May 30th, 2016 in order to identify studies concerning the correlations between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC. For the keywords used in our search, we selected ("Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4" or "DPP4 protein, human" or "Dipeptidyl-Peptidase IV" or "Dipeptidyl Peptidase IV" or "Dipeptidyl-Peptidase 4" or "CD26 Antigen" or "CD26" or "Adenosine Deaminase Complexing Protein 2" or "CD26 Antigens" or "DPP-IV" or "dipeptidylpeptidase 4" or "ADCP2") for the exposure factors, and ("Colorectal Neoplasms" or "Colonic Neoplasms" or "Rectal Neoplasms" or "Colorectal Neoplasms" or "Colorectal Tumor" or "Colorectal Carcinoma" or "Colorectal Cancer" or "large intestine cancer" or "large intestine cancer" or "large bowel carcinoma" or "large intestine carcinoma" or "large intestinal cancer" or "large intestinal carcinoma" or "large bowel cancer" or "large colon cancer" or "intestinal cancer" or "intestinal carcinoma" or "intestinal neoplasms" or "intestine cancer" or "intestine carcinoma" or "intestine neoplasms" or "bowel carcinoma" or "bowel cancer" or "bowel neoplasms" or "Colonic Neoplasms" or "Colonic Tumor" or "Colonic Carcinoma" or "Colonic Cancer" or "Colon Neoplasms" or "Colon Tumor" or "Colon Carcinoma" or "Colon Cancer" or "Rectal Neoplasms" or "Rectal Tumor" or "Rectal Carcinoma" or "Rectal Cancer" or "Rectum Neoplasms" or "Rectum Tumor" or "Rectum Carcinoma" or "Rectum Cancer") for the outcome factors.

Selection criteria

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) study types: case-control study about the correlation between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC; (2) study subjects: patients diagnosed with CRC and confirmed by pathological examination; (3) outcomes: serum levels of CD26 in CRC patients and healthy controls. Besides, studies would be excluded if: (1) studies only had reviews and/or abstract; (2) studies were animal studies; (2) studies were papers repeatedly published; (3) studies had poor data integrity. If the extracted studies were published by the same authors with the same case data, only the latest one or the largest sample size was included.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Based on a predefined form, two investigators extracted all relevant information independently. The following relevant information were abstracted from all the included studies: first author, publication year, country, ethnicity, source of controls, sample size, gender, age, detection method of serum CD26 levels, and fecal serum levels of CD26. The quality evaluation of enrolled studies was performed by two or more investigators, using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) criteria [17]. The details of NOS criteria containing the following aspects: Whether the case definition adequate or had independent validation (NOS01)? Whether the case had representativeness (NOS02)? Whether the controls were community controls (NOSO3)? Whether the controls had no history of disease or endpoint (NOSO4)? Whether the study controls the most important factor (NOS05)? Whether the study controls any additional factors (NOSO6)? Whether there was

Serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC



secure record for exposure (NOS07)? Whether the blind method was used (NOS08)? Whether the same method was used for ascertainment for cases and controls (NOS09)? Whether the non-response rates were the same in two groups (NOS10)?

Statistical analysis

A fixed-effect model or a random-effect model was used for calculating the standardized mean difference (SMD) with its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) to investigate the correlations between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC. Besides, Z test was conducted to identify the significance of pooled SMDs [18]. Forest plot was also drawn to reflect the SMD and its 95% CI after comparison between two groups. Cochran's Q test was used for testing the heterogeneity [19], and $P_h < 0.05$ presented the existence of the heterogeneity.

Besides, l^2 test (0%~100%, the higher the l^2 value is, the more obvious the heterogeneity will be) was conducted for measuring heterogeneity. If $P_{L} < 0.05$ or $l^{2} > 50\%$, there was great heterogeneity among studies, and a randomeffects model would be conducted; otherwise, a fixed-effects model was used [20]. We also conducted the sensitivity analysis to assess whether the deletion of one single study would change the overall outcomes. Funnel plot, classic fail-safe N and Egger's linear regression test were performed for the assessment of the existence of publication bias to guarantee the reliability of the results [21-23]. A P value of < 0.05 was deemed as statistically significant. Meta regression analysis was conducted for assessing the possible sources of heterogeneity, and Monte Carlo simulation was applied for multiple calibration tests [24-26]. To make sure the credibility and accuracy of the results, Com-

First author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Publish Language	Source of controls	Number		Gender (M/F)		Age (years)		
						Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control	
Wang XG-a	2012	China	Asians	Chinese	Health sample	59	41	36/23	20/21	56.0 ± 13.0	58.0 ± 12.0	
Wang XG-b	2012	China	Asians	Chinese	Benign sample	59	51	36/23	22/29	56.0 ± 13.0	54.0 ± 14.0	
Tao S-a	2012	Germany	Caucasians	English	Health sample	179	225	98/81	100/125	-	-	
Tao S-b	2012	Germany	Caucasians	English	Benign sample	179	193	98/81	124/69	-	-	
Bunger S	2012	Germany	Caucasians	English	Health sample	164	119	96/68	52/67	69.59 (40.5~99.1)	62.41 (19.5~90.8)	
Guo LW	2011	China	Asians	Chinese	Health sample	120	60	72/48	40/20	48.2	43.6	
Wu HP	2010	China	Asians	Chinese	Health sample	60	30	36/24	20/10	47.2 (22~70)	42.6 (21~55)	
De Chiara	2010	Spain	Caucasians	English	Health sample	33	68	-	-	18~93	18~93	
De la Haba-Rodriguez J	2002	Spain	Caucasians	English	Health sample	99	70	61/38	40/30	62 (34~78)	58 (33~71)	

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included studies

M: male; F: female.



present study were healthy samples and/or benign samples. The only method used to detect serum CD26 levels in this current meta-analysis was Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). Additionally, as for screening, a flow chart of the selection process of all the enrolled studies is displayed in Figure 1. The baseline characteristics of included studies are shown in Table 1 and the methodological quality of the extracted studies is displayed in Figure 2.

Primary results of metaanalysis

Figure 2. The assessment of the methodological quality of seven case-control studies was carried out using the NOS scores. As shown in Figure 3, the major results of the current meta-analysis revealed a ne-

prehensive Meta-analysis 2.0 (Biostat Inc., Englewood, New Jersey, USA) software was adopted for statistical analysis.

Results

Included studies

A total of 100 articles regarding the correlation of serum CD26 levels with the risk of CRC were initially selected through screening the titles and key words. Totally 37 studies were removed for the following reasons: 3 for duplicates, 7 for reviews, letters, or meta-analysis, 11 for nonhuman studies, as well as 16 for not related to research topics. The left 63 studies were further reviewed and 12 studies were excluded for not case-control studies, 17 for not relevant to serum CD26 levels and 24 for not relevant to CRC. Finally, 7 papers were enrolled in our meta-analysis and 3 studies were removed for not supplying enough information. These 7 studies were 3 in Asian populations and 4 in Caucasian populations, including 1,571 subjects altogether (containing 714 patients with CRC and 857 healthy controls), and the publication time of the enrolled studies were between 2002 and 2012 [8, 14, 15, 27-30]. Among those 7 studies, 3 studies were performed in China, 2 studies in Germany and 2 studies in Spain. Sources of controls in our

gative association between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC (SMD = -0.334, 95% CI: $-2.967 \sim 2.299$, P = 0.803). Subgroup analysis based on ethnicity implied that serum CD26 levels might be the primary risk factor for CRC in Asian populations (SMD = 3.939, 95% CI: 1.553~6.325, P = 0.001), while no significant difference was found in Caucasian populations (SMD = -3.774, 95% Cl: -7.670~ 0.123, P = 0.058) (Figure 4A). Further subgroup analyses based on sources of controls showed that serum CD26 levels were negatively correlated with the risk of CRC both in benign samples and healthy samples (benign sample: SMD = -1.598, 95% CI: -15.081~11.884, P = 0.816; health sample: SMD = 0.023, 95% CI: $-2.521 \sim 2.567$, P = 0.986) (Figure 4B). Subgroup analyses based on published language were also conducted. The results showed that serum CD26 levels were correlated with risk factor of CRC in the Chinese studies (SMD = 3.939, 95% CI: 1.553~6.325, P = 0.001), while no significant correlation was found in the English studies (SMD = -3.774, 95% CI: -7.670~0.123, *P* = 0.058) (**Figure 4C**).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

The results of sensitivity analysis showed no influence on the polled SMD in the correlation between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC (**Figure 5**). No asymmetry was observed in

Author	Statistics for each study								Std diff	in means and 9	5% CI		Weight (Random)	Residual (Random
	Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value						Relative weight	Std Residual
Wang XG-a(2012)	8.440	0.630	0.398	7.204	9.676	13.387	0.000		ï			>	10.92	2.29
Wang XG-b(2012)	5.283	0.404	0.163	4.490	6.075	13.068	0.000					>	11.08	1.48
ao S-a(2012)	-10.814	0.393	0.155	-11.585	-10.043	-27.489	0.000	<	ï	ii ii		ii.	11.08	-2.75
Tao S-b(2012)	-8.475	0.328	0.107	-9.117	-7.833	-25.872	0.000	<					11.12	-2.14
lunger S(2012)	-1.882	0.144	0.021	-2.164	-1.599	-13.061	0.000						11.18	-0.41
Guo LW(2011)	1.159	0.170	0.029	0.827	1.491	6.837	0.000						11.17	0.39
Vu HP(2010)	1.159	0.240	0.057	0.689	1.629	4.835	0.000						11.15	0.39
e Chiara(2010)	-0.936	0.222	0.049	-1.371	-0.501	-4.213	0.000		ii —	-	ü	ü	11.16	-0.16
e la Haba-Rodriguez J(2002)	3.185	0.233	0.054	2.728	3.642	13.656	0.000						11.15	0.93
	-0.334	1.343	1.805	-2.967	2.299	-0.249	0.803	8			u u			
								-4.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	4.00		
Heterogeneity test (I = 99 Z test (Z = -0.249									Case		Control			

Serum CD26 level(Case vs. Control)

Figure 3. Forest plots of seven case-control studies on the difference of serum CD26 levels between colorectal cancer and healthy subjects.

А

Serum CD26 level (Ethnicity: Case vs. Control)



Serum CD26 level(Source of controls: Case vs. Control)



С

В

Serum CD26 level(Language: Case vs. Control)



Figure 4. Subgroup analyses of seven case-control studies on the difference of serum CD26 levels between colorectal cancer and healthy subjects.



Figure 5. Sensitivity analyses of seven case-control studies on the difference of serum CD26 levels between colorectal cancer and healthy subjects.

the funnel plots, and the results showed no existence of publication bias in this present meta-analysis. Additionally, classic fail-safe N analysis together with Egger's test further proved no existence of publication bias in our meta-analysis (all P > 0.05) (Figure 6). Meta regression analysis results showed that ethnicity, sources of control, language, country, sample size and publish year were not the main sources of heterogeneity and were not the key factors influencing total effect value (all P > 0.05) (Figure 7).

Discussion

In this meta-analysis, we intended to investigate the association between the serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC. The main results of this meta-analysis revealed that negative association was found between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC, while ethnicity-subgroup analysis showed that serum CD26 levels may be related to the risk of CRC in Asian populations, implying that serum CD26 levels may be closely connected with the progression of CRC, and also the CD26 levels can act as a potential serum marker for the early diagnosis of CRC. CD26 is considered to be a highly glycosylated type II transmembrane glycoprotein, which is composed of two homologous subunits and has totally 766 amino acids [31]. Furthermore, CD26 is regarded as a multi-functional protein that is mainly expressed in the epithelial cells, endothelial cells and lymphocytes and it can also act as a soluble protein released into cytoplasm [32]. It is worthwhile to note that CD26 may be expressed in various hematopoietic

cells, such as activated T lymphocytes, natural killer cells, fibroblasts and other cells, which are involved in the migration process [9]. CD26 has been implicated in the immunological regulation, signal transduction and cell apoptosis, and also plays a vital role in the development/ progression of neoplasms [33]. Besides the regulatory role in the progression and neoplastic transformation process of CRC, CD26 also plays a crucial role in tumor migration and tumor metastasis due to its ability to combine extracellular matrix proteins [34]. In line with our study results, Lam et al. have showed the development of CRC has close relationship to the serum CD26 levels [35]. A pervious study carried out by De Chiara et al. has revealed that serum CD26 levels can serve as a useful serum marker for the progression of CRC [8].

Additionally, stratified analyses on the basis of the ethnicity, sources of controls and publish language were performed. Subgroup analysis based on ethnicity showed that serum CD26 level has a positive association with the progression of CRC among Asian populations, while the serum CD26 levels were negatively related to the progression and prognosis of CRC among Caucasian populations, implying that ethnicity difference may be one of the potential heterogeneity sources of these results. These diametrically opposite outcomes in different ethnicity may be caused by the interaction among different geographical environment, genetic differences, and different risk factors of fundamental lifestyle.



Figure 6. Funnel plots, Classic fail-safe N analysis and Egger's test of publication biases on the difference of serum CD26 levels between colorectal cancer and healthy subjects.



Figure 7. Meta regression analysis based on ethnicity, sources of control, language, country, sample size and publish year.

The major results of the meta-analysis revealed a negative association between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC, which is different from the results obtained from other studies. The negative results might be caused by the following limitations: Firstly, only 7 papers concerning our topic were enrolled, which might cause bias for the final outcomes; secondly, all participants involved in this study might undergo no uniform diagnostic criteria for CRC, such as colonoscopy, which would have a negative effect on our results; thirdly, some of these included studies were recruited with a relatively small numbers of CRC patients, which would influence the assessment of test sensitivity for detecting CRC. Furthermore, serum CD26 levels were measured not qualitatively in this study (high or decrease; positive or negative), which may be another limitation ignoring the exploration of quantitative data that restrict the present results. All of the mentioned limitations above could eventually cause an inconsistent outcome.

Taken together, our results show that serum CD26 levels may be related to the risk of CRC in Asian populations. The potential use of CD26 and other blood-based tests together with more markers might deserve further attention. However, due to the limitations in the sample size and study design of enrolled studies, future detailed studies with more specific data, information and larger populations are required for a comprehensive analysis of the association between serum CD26 levels and the risk of CRC.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to reviewers for critical comments to the manuscript. They also thank those authors who made additional data available from their published papers.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Yugang Jiang, Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, No. 139, Renmin Middle Road, Changsha 410011, Hunan Province, P.R. China. Tel: +86-0731-85295888; Fax: +86-0731-85295888; E-mail: jiangyg_163vv@163.com

References

- [1] Kyro C, Olsen A, Landberg R, Skeie G, Loft S, Aman P, Leenders M, Dik VK, Siersema PD, Pischon T, Christensen J, Overvad K, Boutron-Ruault MC, Fagherazzi G, Cottet V, Kuhn T, Chang-Claude J, Boeing H, Trichopoulou A, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D, Palli D, Krogh V, Tumino R, Vineis P, Panico S, Peeters PH, Weiderpass E, Bakken T, Asli LA, Arguelles M, Jakszyn P, Sanchez MJ, Amiano P, Huerta JM, Barricarte A, Ljuslinder I, Palmgvist R, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Key TJ, Travis RC, Ferrari P, Freisling H. Jenab M. Gunter MJ, Murphy N, Riboli E, Tjonneland A and Bueno-de-Mesquita HB. Plasma alkylresorcinols, biomarkers of whole-grain wheat and rye intake, and incidence of colorectal cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2014; 106: djt352.
- [2] Liu S, Zheng R, Meng Z, Zhang S, Sun X and Chen W. Incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer in China, 2011. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research 2015; 27: 22-8.
- [3] Nedrebo BS, Soreide K, Eriksen MT, Kvaloy JT, Soreide JA and Korner H. Excess mortality after curative surgery for colorectal cancer changes over time and differs for patients with colon versus rectal cancer. Acta Oncol 2013; 52: 933-940.
- [4] Cunningham D, Atkin W, Lenz HJ, Lynch HT, Minsky B, Nordlinger B and Starling N. Colorectal cancer. Lancet 2010; 375: 1030-1047.
- [5] Kyro C, Skeie G, Loft S, Overvad K, Christensen J, Tjonneland A and Olsen A. Adherence to a healthy Nordic food index is associated with a lower incidence of colorectal cancer in women: the Diet, Cancer and Health cohort study. Br J Nutr 2013; 109: 920-927.
- [6] Phelan CM, Iqbal J, Lynch HT, Lubinski J, Gronwald J, Moller P, Ghadirian P, Foulkes WD, Armel S, Eisen A, Neuhausen SL, Senter L, Singer CF, Ainsworth P, Kim-Sing C, Tung N, Llacuachaqui M, Chornokur G, Ping S, Narod SA; Hereditary Breast Cancer Study G. Incidence of colorectal cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: results from a followup study. Br J Cancer 2014; 110: 530-534.
- [7] Touvier M, Chan DS, Lau R, Aune D, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, Riboli E, Hercberg S and Norat T. Meta-analyses of vitamin D intake, 25-hydroxyvitamin D status, vitamin D receptor polymorphisms, and colorectal cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2011; 20: 1003-1016.
- [8] De Chiara L, Rodriguez-Pineiro AM, Rodriguez-Berrocal FJ, Cordero OJ, Martinez-Ares D and Paez de la Cadena M. Serum CD26 is related to histopathological polyp traits and behaves as a marker for colorectal cancer and ad-

vanced adenomas. BMC Cancer 2010; 10: 333.

- [9] Kajiyama H, Shibata K, Ino K, Mizutani S, Nawa A and Kikkawa F. The expression of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPPIV/CD26) is associated with enhanced chemosensitivity to paclitaxel in epithelial ovarian carcinoma cells. Cancer Sci 2010; 101: 347-354.
- [10] Prabhash K, Khattry N, Bakshi A, Karandikar R, Joshi A, Kannan S, Sastry PS, Parikh P and Kode JA. CD26 expression in donor stem cell harvest and its correlation with engraftment in human haematopoietic stem cell transplantation: potential predictor of early engraftment. Ann Oncol 2010; 21: 582-588.
- [11] Cordero OJ, Imbernon M, Chiara LD, Martinez-Zorzano VS, Ayude D, de la Cadena MP and Rodriguez-Berrocal FJ. Potential of soluble CD26 as a serum marker for colorectal cancer detection. World J Clin Oncol 2011; 2: 245-261.
- [12] Ohnuma K, Yamochi T, Uchiyama M, Nishibashi K, Yoshikawa N, Shimizu N, Iwata S, Tanaka H, Dang NH and Morimoto C. CD26 up-regulates expression of CD86 on antigen-presenting cells by means of caveolin-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101: 14186-14191.
- [13] Sato T, Yamochi T, Yamochi T, Aytac U, Ohnuma K, McKee KS, Morimoto C and Dang NH. CD26 regulates p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase-dependent phosphorylation of integrin beta1, adhesion to extracellular matrix, and tumorigenicity of T-anaplastic large cell lymphoma Karpas 299. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 6950-6956.
- [14] de la Haba-Rodriguez J, Macho A, Calzado MA, Blazquez MV, Gomez MA, Munoz EE and Aranda E. Soluble dipeptidyl peptidase IV (CD-26) in serum of patients with colorectal carcinoma. Neoplasma 2002; 49: 307-311.
- [15] Tao S, Haug U, Kuhn K and Brenner H. Comparison and combination of blood-based inflammatory markers with faecal occult blood tests for non-invasive colorectal cancer screening. Br J Cancer 2012; 106: 1424-1430.
- [16] Wang XG, Wang CH and Tong Z. Increased Serum Soluble CD26 in Colorectal Cancer Patients and Its Clinical Significance. Chinese Journal of Bases Clinics in General Surgery 2012.
- [17] Lo CK, Mertz D, Loeb M. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale: comparing reviewers' to authors' assessments. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014; 14: 45.
- [18] Chen H, Manning AK and Dupuis J. A method of moments estimator for random effect multivariate meta-analysis. Biometrics 2012; 68: 1278-1284.
- [19] Jackson D, White IR and Riley RD. Quantifying the impact of between-study heterogeneity in

multivariate meta-analyses. Stat Med 2012; 31: 3805-3820.

- [20] Zintzaras E and Ioannidis JP. Heterogeneity testing in meta-analysis of genome searches. Genet Epidemiol 2005; 28: 123-137.
- [21] Sterne JA and Egger M. Funnel plots for detecting bias in meta-analysis: guidelines on choice of axis. J Clin Epidemiol 2001; 54: 1046-1055.
- [22] Wikstrom EA, Naik S, Lodha N and Cauraugh JH. Balance capabilities after lateral ankle trauma and intervention: a meta-analysis. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2009; 41: 1287-1295.
- [23] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M and Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-634.
- [24] Huizenga HM, Visser I, Dolan CV. Testing overall and moderator effects in random effects meta-regression. Br J Math Stat Psychol 2011; 64: 1-19
- [25] Jackson D, White IR, Riley RD. Quantifying the impact of between-study heterogeneity in multivariate meta-analyses. Stat Med 2012; 31: 3805-3820
- [26] Ferrenberg AM, Swendsen RH. New Monte Carlo technique for studying phase transitions. Phys Rev Lett 1988; 61: 2635-2638
- [27] Bunger S, Haug U, Kelly M, Posorski N, Klempt-Giessing K, Cartwright A, Fitzgerald SP, Toner V, McAleer D, Gemoll T, Laubert T, Buning J, Fellermann K, Bruch HP, Roblick UJ, Brenner H, von Eggeling F and Habermann JK. A novel multiplex-protein array for serum diagnostics of colon cancer: a case-control study. BMC Cancer 2012; 12: 393.
- [28] Guo LW. [Correlation between Cd26 levels changes and colorectal cancer]. Chinese J of Misdiagnostics 2011; 11: 4612-4612.
- [29] Wang XG, Wang CH and Tong Z. [Significance of increased serum soluble cd26 in patients with colorectal cancer]. Chinese J of Bases and Clinics in General 2012; 19: 642-645.
- [30] Wu HP, Sun J and Shao LL. [Significance of detecting serum cd26 levels in patients with colorectal cancer]. Medical J of Chinese People's Health 2010; 22: 2847-2847.
- [31] Cordero OJ, Salgado FJ and Nogueira M. On the origin of serum CD26 and its altered concentration in cancer patients. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2009; 58: 1723-1747.
- [32] Yu DM, Slaitini L, Gysbers V, Riekhoff AG, Kahne T, Knott HM, De Meester I, Abbott CA, McCaughan GW and Gorrell MD. Soluble CD26/dipeptidyl peptidase IV enhances human lymphocyte proliferation in vitro independent of dipeptidyl peptidase enzyme activity and adenosine deaminase binding. Scand J Immunol 2011; 73: 102-111.
- [33] Havre PA, Dang LH, Ohnuma K, Iwata S, Morimoto C and Dang NH. CD26 expression on T-anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL) line

Karpas 299 is associated with increased expression of versican and MT1-MMP and enhanced adhesion. BMC Cancer 2013; 13: 517.

- [34] Cro L, Morabito F, Zucal N, Fabris S, Lionetti M, Cutrona G, Rossi F, Gentile M, Ferrario A, Ferrarini M, Molica S, Neri A and Baldini L. CD26 expression in mature B-cell neoplasia: its possible role as a new prognostic marker in B-CLL. Hematol Oncol 2009; 27: 140-147.
- [35] Lam CS, Cheung AH, Wong SK, Wan TM, Ng L, Chow AK, Cheng NS, Pak RC, Li HS, Man JH, Yau TC, Lo OS, Poon JT, Pang RW and Law WL. Prognostic significance of CD26 in patients with colorectal cancer. PLoS One 2014; 9: e98582.