# Original Article Concurrent versus sequential chemotherapy with hypofractionated radiotherapy in patients with inoperable locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer

Wei Guo<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Xiaobin Gu<sup>1\*</sup>, Xianshu Gao<sup>1</sup>, Mingwei Ma<sup>1</sup>, Ming Cui<sup>1</sup>, Mu Xie<sup>1</sup>, Yun Bai<sup>1</sup>, Chuan Peng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Peking University First Hospital, Peking University, Beijing, China; <sup>2</sup>Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou, Hebei, China. <sup>\*</sup>Equal contributors.

Received January 20, 2017; Accepted April 17, 2017; Epub July 15, 2017; Published July 30, 2017

Abstract: The previous individual studies of chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (LA-NSCLC) showed that sequential or concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionated radiotherapy had obtained favorable survival and acceptable toxicity. However, which treatment scheme has superior therapeutic effects for inoperable LA-NSCLC is inconclusive. The aim of this study was to compare concurrent (concurrent arm) versus sequential chemotherapy (sequential arm) with hypofractionated radiotherapy in the treatment of inoperable LA-NSCLC by pooling data. Relevant studies were identified through searching PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases till July, 2016. Odds ratio (OR) or risk ratio (RR) with its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) was used as pooled statistics for all analyses. The analysis was conducted based on the data from 3 studies with 370 patients. The pooled data showed that 1-year OS was OR=1.64, 95% CI: 1.03-2.61, P=0.037, whereas the combined results for 3-year OS was not improved in concurrent arm compared to sequential arm [OR=0.72, 95% CI: 0.42-1.24, P=0.235]. There was no significant difference of 1-year PFS [OR=1.16, 95% CI: 0.72-1.84, P=0.542] and 3-year PFS [OR=1.09, 95% CI: 0.48-2.50, P=0.833] between these arms. Moreover, no significant difference was found regarding Grade ≥3 late adverse events [RR=1.16, 95% CI: 0.78-1.74, P=0.454]. Our study demonstrated that concurrent arm was not significantly better than sequential arm in clinical outcomes. However, concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionated radiotherapy had a tendency to improve survival and the late adverse events could be tolerated.

**Keywords:** Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), hypofractionated radiotherapy, concurrent chemotherapy, sequential chemotherapy

#### Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancerrelated death and accounts for 1.59 million deaths worldwide [1, 2]. Nearly 80% of the patients have non-small cell lung cancer (NS-CLC) and the prognosis is poor, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) rate ranges from 5% to 10% [3, 4]. The standard treatment for locally advanced inoperable NSCLC (LA-NSCLC) is high-dose conventional radiation therapy with concurrent chemotherapy [5, 6]. However, the long treatment time for the conventional fractionation radiotherapy should be considered because NSCLC is a rapidly proliferating cancer cells, and accelerated repopulation of tumor cells occurs during radiotherapy, which is an important factor for NSCLC radiation treatment failure [7]. With improved radiotherapy technologies, hypofractionated radiotherapy plays a crucial role in the treatment of inoperable NS-CLC. Hypofractionated radiotherapy can shorten overall treatment time (OTT), apply a high-dose of radiation in a short period of time, improve the biological effective dose (BED), and might overcome proliferation of tumor cells [8]. Some studies have shown that hypofractionated radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy has obtained the good curative effect [9, 10], but concerning severe of late adverse events, hypofractionated radiotherapy is not widely applied for the treatment of LA-NSCLC.

The data on long term use of hypofractionated radiotherapy with sequential or concurrent chemotherapy in patients with inoperable LA-NSC-LC is lacking. Therefore, we conducted a meta-



analysis to compare sequential versus concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionated radiotherapy in the treatment of the inoperable LA-NSCLC, which was looking forward to increasing the precision of the comparisons and the estimate of treatment benefit.

### Materials and methods

### Search strategy

This meta-analysis was conducted according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses guidelines (PRI-SMA) [11]. Our literature search was performed via Pubmed, Embase and Web of Science databases. Key terms of search included 'hypofractionated radiotherapy' and 'non-small cell lung cancer' and 'chemotherapy' or 'concurrent' or 'sequential'. At the same time, we also checked abstracts published in major academic conferences. The references of included studies were screened to locate potentially eligible articles.

## Eligibility criteria

Studies were included should meet the following eligibility criteria: 1) the study compared sequential versus concurrent chemotherapy and hypofractionated radiotherapy; 2) the subjects had inoperable NSCLC; 3) the study have clear case selection criteria; 4) the outcomes should include overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS) and adverse events; 5) published as full-text articles; 6) published in English.

Studies were excluded if they were: 1) non-comparative design; 2) patients have received any prior radiotherapy or chemotherapy; 3) enrolled subjects with cancer other than NSCLC; 4) contained previously published data; 5) animal studies; 6) letters, conference abstracts or review articles; 7) not published in English.

## Data extraction

Two investigators (W.G. And X.B.G.) independently extracted the following data from the eligible studies using a predefined protocol: name of the

first author, date of publication, duration of the study, country, number of patients, matched factors, clinical stage, hypofractionated radiotherapy schedule, concurrent chemotherapy schedule, sequential chemotherapy schedule and study outcomes.

## Statistical analysis

We carried out this meta-analysis using the STATA 12.0 software (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). The Cochran's Q test and Higgins I-squared statistic were used to evaluate the heterogeneity of pooled results. If  $l^2$ >50% and P for heterogeneity <0.1, which show significant heterogeneity, the randomeffect model was used; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was conducted. Sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the impact of individual studies on the overall estimate. Begg's funnel plot was assessed to find publication bias. *P* value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

## Results

## Literature search and summary of studies

Our article selection process is shown in **Figure 1**. The initial search strategy retrieved 313 relative studies published till July, 2016. Duplicates were removed and then there were remaining

## Table 1. Study characteristics.

Study	Year	Study year	Country	Study design	Matched factor	Clinical stage	No. of patients	CCRT		SCRT		Outcomo
								Radiotherapy	Chemotherapy	Radiotherapy	Chemotherapy	Outcome
Maguire	2014	2005-2010	UK	RCT	Only patients' performance status statistically different; other matched factors (age, gender, weight, cell type, stage and weight loss) are similar in two arms	111	CCRT: n=70 SCRT: n=60	55 Gy/20 f	Start on the first day of radiotherapy: Cisplatinum (20 mg/m <sup>2</sup> )+Vinorelbine (15 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) 4-6 weeks after concurrent chemoradiation: Cisplatinum (80 mg/m <sup>2</sup> day 1)+vinorelbine (25 mg/m <sup>2</sup> day 1 and 8)	55 Gy/20 f	Cisplatinum (80 mg/m² IV on day 1)+Vinorelbine (25 mg/m² IV on day 1 and 8)	OS, PFS and adverse events
Belderbos	2007	1999-2003	UK Netherlands Belgium	RCT	Stage distribution was imbalance in two arms; other matched facters (age, sex, WHO performance, lung function and histo logy) are similar in two arms	I-III Unknown	CCRT: n=80 SCRT: n=78	66 Gy/24 f	Cisplatin (6 mg/m²)	66 Gy/24 f	Gemcitabine (1250 mg/m <sup>2</sup> days 1, 8)+ Cisplatin (75 mg/ m <sup>2</sup> day 2)	OS, PFS and adverse events
Uitterhoeve	2007	1995-2004	Netherlands	Retrospective		I-III Unknown	CCRT: n=56 SCRT: n=26	66 Gy/24 f	Cisplatin (6 mg/m²)	66 Gy/24 f	Gemcitabin (1250 mg/m <sup>2</sup> day 1)+Cisplatin (75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> day 2)	OS and adverse events

RCT: randomized controlled trial; OS: overall survival; PFS: progression-free survival; UK: United Kingdom; CCRT: concurrent chemo-radiotherapy; SCRT: sequential chemo-radiotherapy.







Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis of 1-year overall survival (A), 3-year overall survival (B), 1-year progression-free survival (C), 3-year progression-free survival (D) and Grade  $\geq$ 3 late adverse events (E).

185 articles. Of these, the titles and abstracts of all literatures were reviewed and 173 were excluded; full texts and data integrity were then reviewed and another 9 papers were excluded. Finally, 3 full-text articles met all the eligibility criteria and were finally included in this metaanalysis. The included studies were performed in the UK [12] and Netherlands [13, 14]. All studies were published between 2007 and 2014.

We summarized the characteristics of the included studies in **Table 1**. A total of three studies, including two randomized controlled trials (RCT) [12, 13] and one retrospective study [14], gathered 370 cases of inoperable NSCLC in all. The concurrent arm contained 206 cases and the sequential arm included 164 cases. The median follow-ups for the included studies ranged from 10.5 to 39.0 months. The majority of patients (89%) are stage III NSCLC. The European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) trial [13] and Uitterhoeve *et al.* [14] used a dose of 66 Gy delivered with a three-dimensional conformal technique, while the total dose of 55 Gy was applied in the SOCCAR trial [12].

### Overall survival

Because of homogeneous outcomes of the selected studies ( $l^2=0$ , P=0.418), the fixedeffect model was applied for the OS rate. The pooled results of the studies showed that sequential arm is superior to concurrent arm in 1-year OS [OR=1.64, 95% Cl: 1.03-2.61, P= 0.037] (**Figure 2A**). Three-year OS was similar among two arms [OR=0.72, 95% Cl: 0.42-1.24, P=0.235] with no heterogeneity ( $l^2=0$ , P= 0.881) (**Figure 2B**).



### Progression-free survival

We used the fixed-effect model to analyze PFS because there was no statistical heterogeneity across studies, all the data revealed that there was no significant difference in 1-year PFS [OR=1.16, 95% CI: 0.72-1.84, P=0.542] and 3-year PFS [OR=1.09, 95% CI: 0.48-2.50, P=0.833] between two arms. (Figure 2C, 2D).

### Analyses by trial characteristics

There was also no evidence of statistical difference according to whether concurrent polychemotherapy (doublet or triplet) or concurrent single-agent chemotherapy (Cisplatin only) was used in the concurrent arm (P=0.233). A trend was seen for a better OS if the total dose of radiotherapy was delivered over 60 Gy in the concurrent arm, although the effect of higher radiotherapy dose on OS was not statistically significant in our study (P=0.233).

### Late adverse events

Hypofractionated radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy is the main concern of late adverse events. The most common late adverse events are oesophagitis, pneumonitis and haematological toxicity. Our results showed that there was no significant difference between concurrent and sequential arm for Grade 3 to 4 late adverse events [RR=1.16, 95% CI: 0.78-1.74, P=0.454] (**Figure 2E**). There was no evidence of important statistical heterogeneity with an I<sup>2</sup> value of 24.1%.

### Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis was performed to demonstrate whether the meta-analysis result is robust (**Figure 3A-E**). The results of sensitivity analysis revealed that no individual studies affected the pooled OR and RR significantly, showing a statistically stability result.

Int J Clin Exp Med 2017;10(7):10443-10452

Publication bias was estimated for five study outcomes including 1-year OS (A), 3-year OS (B), 1-year PFS (C), 3-year PFS (D) and late adverse events (E). As shown in **Figure 4**, no significant publication bias was revealed (P>0.05).

## Discussion

With the development of radiation technology, hypofractionated radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy for LA-NSCLC patients gradually becomes an imperative therapeutic tool [15-18]. Currently, previous trials showed that sequential or concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionated radiotherapy had obtained favorable survival and acceptable toxicity [15, 16]. In 2011, a total of 34 cases with inoperable stage III NSCLC in Zhu et al. trail received hypofractionated radiotherapy (initially 50 Gy/ 20 fractions, then a fraction dose of 3 Gy) combined with sequential chemotherapy (two cycles of chemotherapy were given before radiotherapy) [15]. Radiation adverse events were minimal and no patient experiencing a grade 3 or above non-hematological adverse events. The 3-year OS, LR-PFS were 32.1% and 60.9%, respectively. Recently, a prospective study [16] showed that hypofractionated radiotherapy combined with concurrent vinorelbine and carboplatin was an alternative to treat inoperable LA-NSCLC patients. The underlying mechanisms may be that sequential chemoradiotherapy would improve survival because of a decreased distant metastases rate, while concurrent combinations with chemotherapy given at radiosensitizing doses would improve survival because of an increased local control rate [19]. However, which treatment scheme has superior therapeutic effects for inoperable LA-NSCLC is inconclusive.

Our meta-analysis was designed to compare clinical outcomes and adverse events between concurrentarm and sequential arm for the treatment of inoperable LA-NSCLC. The present study is the first meta-analysis focusing on the comparison of hypofractionated radiotherapy with concurrent or sequential chemotherapy in patients with inoperable LA-NSCLC. It has been confirmed conventional fractionated radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy of LA-NSCLC is superior to sequential administration in clinical trials [20, 21] and meta-analysis [22]. Vitro studies [23] have demonstrated that

a combination of radiotherapy and chemotherapy can significantly improve biological effects of hypofractionated radiotherapy. Thus, in theory, hypofractionated radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy should also be better than sequential chemotherapy with hypofractionated radiotherapy. However, we found hypofractionated radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy did not improve OS or PFS relative to sequential administration with hypofractionated radiotherapy. This may be because the lowdose single-agent chemotherapy was employed in concurrent arm, whereas intensity of chemotherapy is the key to concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT) [24]. The intensity of concurrent CRT in most trials of conventional fractionated radiotherapy were similar to sequential CRT. However, out of the consideration of the severity of late adverse events, the dose of concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionation in our study was significantly lower than that of the sequential arm. The low-dose of Cisplatin chemotherapy only had the radiosensitizing effect in the concurrent arm. Under the premise of the adverse events which could be tolerated, future research should focus on improving the dose of concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionation. There is no convincing evidence that concurrent poly-chemotherapy is superior to low-dose chemotherapy (Cisplatin only) alone, especially when it is combined with a high radiation dose. Our results also demonstrated this view, and the combination of concurrent low-dose Cisplatin with radiation appears to be a good option.

Can high-dose radiotherapy (total radiotherapy dose >60 Gy) improve results? The short OTT and high BED might have been a favorable factor in treatment outcomes. We observed the trend for a better OS if the total dose of radiotherapy was delivered over 60 Gy, although the effect of higher radiotherapy dose on OS was not statistically significant in our study. In the CHART-study [25], the reduction of the OTT from 6 weeks to 12 days resulted in improved outcome with radiotherapy alone, revealing an influence of cancer cell repopulation. Several other research reported the improved results while shortening the OTT for radiotherapy alone or for CRT [26-29]. The dose-relationship for local control and survival of lung cancer has been proved [30]. A Cochrane study [31] showed the dominant effect of CRT is independent of the irradiation dose applied. Socinsky et al. [32] also recommended that increasing the radiation dose during concomitant treatment schedules might have a positive effect on local control. The studies of Schild, Keene and Jeremic showed encouraging 5-year survival rates ranging from 23% to 36%, which high radiation doses were delivered in short OTT combined with low-dose Cisplatin or low-dose Carboplatin and Paclitaxel [33-35].

Hypofractionated radiation schedules applied fewer fractions and larger single dose, which could theoretically increase late adverse events relative to the conventional fractionated radiotherapy. However, in this analysis the incidence of the Grade ≥3 late adverse events were not significantly different for sequential or concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionation. This is in agreement with the results of the metaanalysis of Rowell [31]. All the data suggested that the adverse events could be tolerated and that hypofractionation with concurrent chemotherapy did not seem to significantly increase the late adverse events.

In addition to the inherent defects that were related to meta-analysis, our study also had a number of other limitations. First, our metaanalysis only contained a few studies and the number of patients in both arms were limited, some bias may exist in our study when the data were pooled. Second, we failed to analyze acute adverse events because the data is insufficient. Third, the patients included in our meta-analysis were all Caucasian ethnicity, therefore, the conclusions of this study should be treated with caution when applied to other ethnic populations.

Still, our study had some shining points. First, the heterogeneity of our results was small, which indicated the robustness of the statistic results. Second, there was no significant publication bias, which showed that our results were stable.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that concurrent chemotherapy with hypofractionation was not significantly better than sequential administration with hypofractionated radiotherapy in clinical outcomes, while concurrent arm had a tendency to improve survival. Late adverse events were not significantly improved relative to sequential chemotherapy with hypofractionated radiotherapy. However, these findings should be utilized cautiously when directed in clinical treatment due to the limitations listed above. A large number of well-designed clinical trials and high quality prospective studies should be conducted to further confirm the results.

## Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

### Authors' contributions

Wei Guo, Xiaobin Gu, Xianshu Gao designed the study, collected, analyzed, and interpreted the data, and wrote the article. Mingwei Ma, Ming Cui, Mu Xie, Yun Bai and Chuan Peng participated in the study, collected, analyzed, and interpreted data, and critically revised the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Xianshu Gao, Department of Radiation Oncology, Peking University First Hospital, Peking University, No. 7 Xishiku Street, Xicheng District, Beijing 100034, China. Tel: +86 10 83575239; Fax: +86 10 66551788; E-mail: doctorgaoxs@126.com

### References

- [1] Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2015; 65: 5-29.
- [2] Gompelmann D, Eberhardt R and Herth FJ. Advanced malignant lung disease: what the specialist can offer. Respiration 2011; 82: 111-123.
- [3] Le Pechoux C. Role of postoperative radiotherapy in resected non-small cell lung cancer: a reassessment based on new data. Oncologist 2011; 16: 672-681.
- [4] Pemberton LS, Din OS, Fisher PM and Hatton MQ. Accelerated radical radiotherapy for nonsmall cell lung cancer using two common regimens: a single-centre retrospective study of outcome. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2009; 21: 161-167.
- [5] Schwarzenberger P, Fariss A, Linares L, Nedzi L and Salazar OM. Dose escalation of once weekly oral vinorelbine concurrent with weekly split dose hypofractionated chest radiation for palliation of advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a phase I/II study. Am J Med Sci 2011; 341: 454-459.
- [6] Jassem J. The role of radiotherapy in lung cancer: where is the evidence? Radiother Oncol 2007; 83: 203-213.

- [7] Stinchcombe TE and Bogart JA. Novel approaches of chemoradiotherapy in unresectable stage III A and stage III B non-small cell lung cancer. Oncologist 2012; 17: 682-693.
- [8] Beli I, Koukourakis G, Platoni K, Tolia M, Kelekis N, Kouvaris J, Syrigos C, Mystakidou K, Varveris C and Kouloulias V. Hypofractionated radiotherapy in non small cell lung cancer: a review of the current literature. Rev Recent Clin Trials 2010; 5: 103-111.
- [9] He J, Huang Y, Chen Y, Shi S, Ye L, Hu Y, Zhang J and Zeng Z. Feasibility and efficacy of helical intensity-modulated radiotherapy for stage III non-small cell lung cancer in comparison with conventionally fractionated 3D-CRT. J Thorac Dis 2016; 8: 862-871.
- [10] Yu XJ, Han DL, Liu ZJ, Liu SG, Zhang PL, Li M and Ren RM. Accelerated hypofractionated 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy vs conventional radiotherapy in locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer using PET/CT-derived plan: a prospectively randomized controlled trial. Tumor 2014; 34: 253-259.
- [11] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J and Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Int J Surg 2010; 8: 336-341.
- [12] Maguire J, Khan I, McMenemin R, O'Rourke N, McNee S, Kelly V, Peedell C and Snee M. SOC-CAR: a randomised phase II trial comparing sequential versus concurrent chemotherapy and radical hypofractionated radiotherapy in patients with inoperable stage III non-small cell lung cancer and good performance status. Eur J Cancer 2014; 50: 2939-2949.
- [13] Belderbos J, Uitterhoeve L, van Zandwijk N, Belderbos H, Rodrigus P, van de Vaart P, Price A, van Walree N, Legrand C, Dussenne S, Bartelink H, Giaccone G and Koning C. Randomised trial of sequential versus concurrent chemo-radiotherapy in patients with inoperable non-small cell lung cancer (EORTC 08972-22973). Eur J Cancer 2007; 43: 114-121.
- [14] Uitterhoeve AL, Koolen MG, van Os RM, Koedooder K, van de Kar M, Pieters BR and Koning CC. Accelerated high-dose radiotherapy alone or combined with either concomitant or sequential chemotherapy; treatments of choice in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Radiat Oncol 2007; 2: 27.
- [15] Zhu ZF, Fan M, Wu KL, Zhao KL, Yang HJ, Chen GY, Jiang GL, Wang LJ, Zhao S and Fu XL. A phase II trial of accelerated hypofractionated three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy in locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Radiother Oncol 2011; 98: 304-308.
- [16] Liu YE, Lin Q, Meng FJ, Chen XJ, Ren XC, Cao B, Wang N, Zong J, Peng Y, Ku YJ and Chen Y. High-dose accelerated hypofractionated three-

dimensional conformal radiotherapy (at 3 Gy/ fraction) with concurrent vinorelbine and carboplatin chemotherapy in locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a feasibility study. Radiat Oncol 2013; 8: 198.

- [17] Tsoutsou PG, Froudarakis ME, Bouros D and Koukourakis MI. Hypofractionated/accelerated radiotherapy with cytoprotection (HypoARC) combined with vinorelbine and liposomal doxorubicin for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Anticancer Res 2008; 28: 1349-1354.
- [18] Donato V, Arcangeli S, Monaco A, Caruso C, Cianciulli M, Boboc G, Chiostrini C, Rauco R and Pressello MC. Moderately escalated hypofractionated (chemo) radiotherapy delivered with helical intensity-modulated technique in stage III unresectable non-small cell lung cancer. Front Oncol 2013; 3: 286.
- [19] Le Chevalier T, Arriagada R, Quoix E, Ruffie P, Martin M, Tarayre M, Lacombe-Terrier MJ, Douillard JY and Laplanche A. Radiotherapy alone versus combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy in nonresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: first analysis of a randomized trial in 353 patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 1991; 83: 417-423.
- [20] Furuse K, Fukuoka M, Kawahara M, Nishikawa H, Takada Y, Kudoh S, Katagami N and Ariyoshi Y. Phase III study of concurrent versus sequential thoracic radiotherapy in combination with mitomycin, vindesine, and cisplatin in unresectable stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 1999; 17: 2692-2699.
- [21] Curran WJ, Paulus R, Langer CJ, Komaki R, Lee JS, Hauser S, Movsas B, Wasserman T, Rosenthal SA, Gore E, Machtay M, Sause W and Cox JD. Sequential vs. concurrent chemoradiation for stage III non-small cell lung cancer: randomized phase III trial RTOG 9410. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011; 103: 1452-1460.
- [22] Auperin A, Le Pechoux C, Rolland E, Curran WJ, Furuse K, Fournel P, Belderbos J, Clamon G, Ulutin HC, Paulus R, Yamanaka T, Bozonnat MC, Uitterhoeve A, Wang X, Stewart L, Arriagada R, Burdett S and Pignon JP. Meta-analysis of concomitant versus sequential radiochemotherapy in locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 2181-2190.
- [23] Ohri N, Dicker AP and Lawrence YR. Can drugs enhance hypofractionated radiotherapy? A novel method of modeling radiosensitization using in vitro data. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012; 83: 385-393.
- [24] Glynne-Jones R and Sebag-Montefiore D. Chemoradiation schedules--what radiotherapy? Eur J Cancer 2002; 38: 258-269.
- [25] Saunders M, Dische S, Barrett A, Harvey A, Griffiths G and Palmar M. Continuous, hyper-

fractionated, accelerated radiotherapy (CHA-RT) versus conventional radiotherapy in nonsmall cell lung cancer: mature data from the randomised multicentre trial. CHART steering committee. Radiother Oncol 1999; 52: 137-148.

- [26] Furuse K, Fukuoka M, Kawahara M, Nishikawa H, Takada Y, Kudoh S, Katagami N and Ariyoshi Y. Phase III study of concurrent versus sequential thoracic radiotherapy in combination with mitomycin, vindesine, and cisplatin in unresectable stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 1999; 17: 2692-2699.
- [27] Belani CP, Wang W, Johnson DH, Wagner H, Schiller J, Veeder M and Mehta M. Phase III study of the eastern cooperative oncology group (ECOG 2597): induction chemotherapy followed by either standard thoracic radiotherapy or hyperfractionated accelerated radiotherapy for patients with unresectable stage III A and B non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 3760-3767.
- [28] El SS, Kal HB and Battermann JJ. Accelerated regrowth of non-small-cell lung tumours after induction chemotherapy. Br J Cancer 2003; 89: 2184-2189.
- [29] Machtay M, Hsu C, Komaki R, Sause WT, Swann RS, Langer CJ, Byhardt RW and Curran WJ. Effect of overall treatment time on outcomes after concurrent chemoradiation for locally advanced non-small-cell lung carcinoma: analysis of the radiation therapy oncology group (RTOG) experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005; 63: 667-671.
- [30] Martel MK, Ten HR, Hazuka MB, Kessler ML, Strawderman M, Turrisi AT, Lawrence TS, Fraass BA and Lichter AS. Estimation of tumor control probability model parameters from 3-D dose distributions of non-small cell lung cancer patients. Lung Cancer 1999; 24: 31-37.

- [31] Rowell NP and O'Rourke NP. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004; D2140.
- [32] Socinski MA, Zhang C, Herndon JN, Dillman RO, Clamon G, Vokes E, Akerley W, Crawford J, Perry MC, Seagren SL and Green MR. Combined modality trials of the cancer and leukemia group B in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: analysis of factors influencing survival and toxicity. Ann Oncol 2004; 15: 1033-1041.
- [33] Schild SE, Wong WW, Vora SA, Halyard MY, Northfelt DW, Kogut HL and Wheeler RH. The long-term results of a pilot study of three times a day radiotherapy and escalating doses of daily cisplatin for locally advanced non-smallcell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005; 62: 1432-1437.
- [34] Keene KS, Harman EM, Knauf DG, McCarley D and Zlotecki RA. Five-year results of a phase II trial of hyperfractionated radiotherapy and concurrent daily cisplatin chemotherapy for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 2005; 28: 217-222.
- [35] Jeremic B, Milicic B, Acimovic L and Milisavljevic S. Concurrent hyperfractionated radiotherapy and low-dose daily carboplatin/paclitaxel in patients with early-stage (I/II) nonsmall-cell lung cancer: long-term results of a phase II study. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 6873-6880.