Original Article Therapeutic and anti-metastatic effect of CIKs on cervical cancer enhanced by modified HPV16 E7-pulsed DCs with SOCS1-knockdown

Yicheng Yang¹, Haiju Liu², Yongqiang Zhu³, Jiubo Fan⁴

¹Department of Dermatology, Shenzhen Baoan Shajing People's Hospital, Shenzhen, P. R. China; ²Department of Ophthalmology, Xiangyang Central Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Hubei University of Arts and Science, Xiangyang, Hubei, P. R. China; ³Department of Medical Genetics, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, P. R. China; ⁴Department of Clinical Laboratory, Xiangyang Central Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Hubei University of Arts and Science, Xiangyang, Hubei, P. R. China

Received March 6, 2017; Accepted April 7, 2017; Epub August 15, 2017; Published August 30, 2017

Abstract: Cervical cancer is the second most frequent cancer and the second most common cause of cancerrelated deaths among women worldwide. In this study, a modified HPV16 E7 (HPV16mE7) with reduced transformation activity and enhanced antigenicity was employed to load DCs with silenced suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1) gene. The prepared DCs were co-cultured with peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)-derived ClKs. The resulted DCClKs were then transfused into mouse models bearing tumor of HPV16 E6/E7 expressing SiHa cells for the *in vitro/in vivo* antitumor activity assay. The expression levels of IL-12, IL-6, TNF- α and IFN- γ were also evaluated. The survival days and the tumor volume from different treatment groups were measured as well as the *in vivo* heterotopic tumor metastasis rate from the liver to the lung established in the mouse models. The data demonstrated that the xenografted tumor mice treated with Ad-shSOCS1-DCClKs exhibited better immunotherapeutic and antimetastatic effect. This method may provide a new strategy for the development of safe and effective immunotherapy for cervical cancer.

Keywords: Cervical cancer, HPV, DC, CIKs, SOCS1, RNAi, metastasis

Introduction

Cervical cancer is one of the most common gynecologic malignant tumors. Molecular, clinical and epidemiological researches identify human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is responsible for nearly all cervical cancer cases [1, 2]. HPV16 and HPV18 are associated with 70% oncogenesis cause of cervical cancer cases, and therefore are considered as "high risk" (HR) viruses [3-6]. Encoded by these two viruses, the E6 and E7 oncoproteins are crucial for the viral life cycle in the transformation and maintenance of the malignant phenotype of cervical cancer [7]. Various immunotherapeutic strategies against E6 and E7 were developed, however they have largely proven ineffective in treating HPV-induced cervical neoplasia, mainly attributing to the patients' severe cellular immune function defects such as the activated immune escape and tolerance mechanisms employed by tumors and virally infected cells [8, 9].

Therefore, improving the cervical cancer patients' cellular immunity functions is essential to improve the cure rate, prolong the survival time and inhibit tumor recurrence or metastasis. In recent years, the applications of adoptive cellular immunotherapy such as CIKs, CAR T cells, DC, DCCIKs, natural killer (NK) cells, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), and cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) have been constantly expanding and gradually accepted [10-13].

Possessing the properties of strong antitumor activity of T lymphocytes and a non-major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-restricted tumoricidal effect, CIK cells generated from PBMCs and *ex vivo* cultured in the presence of a variety of cytokines have become the preferred type of cells used in clinic practice [10, 12, 13]. When co-cultured with DCs which can generate antigen-specific immune responses, CIKs activated by DCs (DCCIKs) achieve the advantages of fast proliferation, high cytotoxicity, and a broad tumor-killing spectrum [11].

DCs which are the most professional antigenpresenting cells (APC) are considered at the centre of the immune system because of their ability to regulate both immune tolerance and immunity. And the generation of protective antitumor immunity depends on the presentation of tumor antigens by DCs [11, 14]. Activated (mature), antigen-loaded and ex vivo cultured DCs can induce the differentiation of antigenspecific T cells into effector T cells which can reduce the tumor mass specifically as well as control tumor relapse, in contrast to non-activated (immature) DCs which can present selfantigens to T cells, leading to immune tolerance by generating suppressor T cells or T cell deletion [14-16]. As the presentation of tumor antigens by DCs play a decisive role in generating protective anti-tumor immunity in mouse models [17, 18], therefore, the activation of the full immune stimulatory potential of DCs to achieve an effective immunity provides a promising treatment against tumor.

As an inducible negative feedback inhibitor of the JAk/STAT signal pathway [14] and negative regulator of various cytokines such as interferon (IFN)-γ, IL-2, IL-6, IL-7, IL-12, and IL-15 in DCs, suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1) has been implicated in exerting potent immunosuppressive effect on blocking the constitutive activation of the immune response [19]. DCs derived from SOCS1^{-/-} transgenic mice were found hyper-responsive to LPS and IFN-γ, triggering an allogeneic T-cell expansion [20, 21].

In this study, HPV16mE7-loaded DCs with SO-CS1-knock down were co-cultured with CIK cells prepared from human PBMCs. The generated DCCIKs were infused into mouse models bearing tumor of viral HPV16 E6E7 expressing SiHa cells. The survival days and the tumor volume from different treatment groups were investigated as well as the *in vivo* heterotopic tumor metastasis rate from liver to lung. The results demonstrated that the xenografted tumor mice treated with Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs exhibited better immunotherapeutic and antimetastatic effect.

Materials and methods

Cells and reagents

SiHa and HEK293 cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were maintained in the DMEM or RPMI-1640 culture media (Gibco, Life Technologies, US) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone Laboratories, US) and 2 mM I-glutamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO at 37°C. PBMCs were generated from healthy donors whose informed consent was obtained from the participants in accordance with the study protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Authority of Wuhan. rhlL-2 and rhGM-CSF were acquired from R&D Inc. (USA). rhIL-4, rhIFN-γ, and TNF-α were purchased from Peprotech Inc. Normal human AB serum were sourced from (Gibco, Life Technologies, USA). Lymphocyte separation medium Ficoll was purchased from GE Healthcare (USA). Mouse anti-human CD3 (FITC labeled) monoclonal antibody, mouse antihuman CD8 (PE labeled) monoclonal antibody, and mouse anti-human CD56 (PE labeled) monoclonal antibody were purchased from eBioscience Co, Ltd. Mouse anti-human CD80-PE monoclonal antibody, mouse anti-human CD83-PE monoclonal antibody, mouse antihuman CD86-PE monoclonal antibody, mouse antihuman CD40-FITC monoclonal antibody, and mouse antihuman CD1a-FITC monoclonal antibody were all products of Santa Cruz Co. Ltd. Female BALB/c nude mice (5-8 weeks of age, weighing 18-22 g) raised under SPF circumstance were purchased from the Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center, Chinese Academy of Science. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Recombinant adenovirus production

Primers used for shRNA-SOCS1 are as follows: shRNA-SOCS1 (F): 5'-GATCCCTACCTGAGTTCC-TTCCCCTTCAAGAGAGGGGAAGGAACTCAGG-TAGTTTTTG-3' (BamHI and EcoRI); (R): 5'-AAT-TCAAAAAACTACCTGAGTTCCTTCCCCTCTCTG-AAGGGGAAGGAACTCAGGTAGG3'; shRNA-Control (F): 5'-GATCCTCACAACCTCCTAGAAAGAGTA- GATTGTACTACACAAAAGTACTATGTTTTTTG-3' (BamHI and EcoRI); (R): 5'-AATTCAAAAAACA-TAGTACTTTTGTGTAGTACAATCTACTCTTTCTA-GGAGGTTGTGAG. The shRNA-SOCS1 and shR-NA-Control were cloned into pShuttle-2 vector (BD Clontech), and then inserted into the replication-deficient pAdeno-X vector (BD Clontech). The generated recombinant adenovirus plasmids were sequenced. The recombinant adenovirus was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions and titrated using Adeno-X Rapid Titer kits (BD Bioscience).

Preparation of DCs and CIKs

Using Ficoll-Paque, PBMCs were separated from 70 mL peripheral blood and cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% (V/V) autologous serum at 1×10⁶/mL. Informed consent was obtained from the participants in accordance with the study protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of Hubei University of Arts and Science. Subsequently, the obtained cells were transferred into culture flasks and cultured for 2 hrs. Nonadherent cells collected as the progenitor of CIKs were resuspended at 1×10⁶ cells/mL in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% human AB serum and cultured with immobilized anti-CD3 antibody (10 µg/mL, BD Pharmingen, NJ, USA) and rhIL-2 (500 U/mL) as well as rhIFN-y (1000 U/ mL) in culture flask. Half the amount of medium was exchanged every three days and supplemented with rhIL-2 and rhIFN-y. The remaining adherent cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (containing 40 ng/mL rhGM-CSF and 40 ng/mL rhlL-4). The supernatant was replaced with fresh medium containing rhGM-CSF and rhlL-4 every 3 days. All cultures were incubated at 37°C in 5% humidified CO_o. After 7 days of culture, flow cytometric analysis demonstrated that >80% of the cells expressed characteristic DC-specific markers.

Analysis of SOCS1 silencing by western blot

After 7 days of culture, the *ex vivo* cultured DCs were washed and placed in 6-well plates at 2.5×10^5 cells/well with 600 µL RPMI-1640. The cells were exposed to Ad-shSOCS1 or Ad-shControl at 100 MOI. After 8 h transduction, the cells were washed with PBS and further incubated in fresh medium for 48 h, and then collected and lysed with cell lysis buffer. The protein samples separated via SDS-PAGE were

transferred onto immobilon membranes (Millipore, MA, USA). SOCS1 and β -actin were identified by anti-SOCS1 polyclonal and anti- β -actin monoclonal antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA), respectively.

Maturation and cytokine analysis of DCs via ELISA

After 7 days of culture as described in "Preparation of DCs and CIKs". The DCs were washed and placed in 12-well plates at a concentration of 1×10⁵ cells/well in 400 µL RPMI-1640. The DCs were exposed to Ad-shRNA-SOCS1 or adshRNA-Control at 100 MOI. After 8 h transduction, the cells were washed with PBS and further incubated in fresh culture medium. The DCs were then pulsed with the HPVm16E7 protein at 25 µg/mL (HPVm16E7 was prepared as we previously reported in [22]) for 6 h, followed by stimulation with TNF- α (10 ng/mL) for 24 h to develop mature DCs. IL-12p70 and IL-6 contents (absorbance) in the supernatants were quantitated separately by ELISA (Dakewe Biotech Co. Ltd., China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Using FACS, the phenotype molecules of HLA-DR/CD1a/CD80/CD83/ CD86-flurescein isothiocyanate (FITC) were measured to investigate DC maturation (Santa Cruz Co, Ltd.), three repeats for each group.

Phenotype detection of DCCIKs and secretion levels of TNF- α and IFN- γ

CIKs cultured without rhIFN-y were mixed with HPVm16E7-pulsed DCs which had been transducted with Ad-shRNA-SOCS1 or Ad-shRNA-Control at 100 MOI, stimulated by TNF- α , and cultured for 8 days at a ratio of 10:1. The cell mixtures were then cultured in CIKs medium for 3 days. CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs were collected for the detection of the CIKs surface markers. CD3, CD3⁺CD56⁺, and CD3⁺CD8⁺ were examined by FACS analysis. The effector cells cultivated without rhIFN-y was also cocultured with target SiHa cells constitutively expressing HPV16 E6 and E7 at effector/target (E/T) ratios of 10:1, 30:1, and 90:1 for 24 h. Levels of TNF-α and IFN-y in the supernatant of CIKs, AdshSOCS1-DCCIKs, and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs at 10:1, 30:1 and 90:1 E/T ratios were tested by ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). The



Figure 1. A. After pulsed with HPVm16E7 and stimulated with TNF- α , DCs in irregular shape presented mature characteristics of larger soma with plenty of dendritic long and samll bulges on their surfaces (400× magnification). B. The inhibition of SOCS1 expression in DCs. Lane 1, Ad-shControl (MOI 100); lane 2, Ad-shSOCS1 (MOI 100).

TNF- α and IFN- γ ELISA assays were performed following the instruction manual.

In vitro antitumor activity analysis

The in vitro cytotoxicity of the CIKs, Ad-shSO-CS1-DCCIKs, and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs against SiHa cells was determined using a CCK8 kit. (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan). The CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs harvested 11 days after induction were used as effector cells. The SiHa cells were used as target cells. The effector and target cells were added to 96-well plates at a ratio of 10:1, 30:1 and 90:1. The cells were incubated for 24 h. The groups comprising a mixture of cell types were the experimental groups, while the control groups contained only one cell type of the SiHa, CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, Ad-shControl-DCCIKs or 1640-RPMI cultivating solution. The CCK8 assay was performed in triplicate to evaluate cell viability, and optical density (OD) was read at 570 nm.

In vivo antitumor assay

Xenografted tumor mouse models were established by abdominal subcutaneous injection of 1×10^6 SiHa cells into 6-week-old female BALB/c nude mice. On day 9, all mice had palpable tumors were assigned to 4 groups: PBS (served as control), CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs (10 mice in each group). Therapeutic treatments were initiated with a total of 1.0×10^7 CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, AdshControl-DCCIKs or PBS, respectively. 2.5×10^6 cells (per dose) on the day of 9, 13, 17 and 21 were administered intravenously into the mice tail for a total of 4 injections. The tumor volume was calculated by the following formula: (major axis × minor axis²) ×0.52. When the tumor volume reached up to 2,500 mm³, the tumor-bearing mice were euthanized to avoid unnecessary pain.

In vivo metastasis assay by heterotopic liver implantation

To observe the reversed metastatic potential by HPVm16-

E7-pulsed Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs on SiHa cells, 3×10⁶ SiHa cells were injected subcutaneously into nude mice. As the tumor cube implantation in the liver has advantages over injecting cells to ensure tumor formation in liver in order to observe heterotopic tumor metastasis from the liver to the lung, the subcutaneous formed tumors were then implanted as 1-2 mm³ cubes into the left liver lobes of nude mice. Post 9 days of implantation, Therapeutic treatments of PBS, CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, and AdshControl-DCCIKs with a total of 1.0×10^7 cells were administered (10 mice in each group). A total of 4 consecutive injections every 5 days were administered with 2.5×10⁶ cells (per dose). After 6 weeks, the mice were sacrificed for lung metastasis assay examined by sectioning and microscopy. All groups were euthanized simultaneously at 6 weeks after tumor cube implantation.

Results

Morphological observation of DCs

After pulsed with HPVm16E7 and stimulated with TNF- α , DCs in irregular shape presented mature characteristics of larger soma with plenty of dendritic long and small bulges on their surfaces under scanning microscopy (**Figure 1**).

Inhibition of SOCS1 expression

Constructs of Ad-shSOCS1 and Ad-shRNA-Control were verified in DCs (**Figure 1**). Western blot analysis demonstrated that Ad-shControl infection at MOI 100 greatly reduced SOCS1 expression compared with Ad-shControl.

	CD1a	CD80	CD83	CD86	HLA-DR
Control	27.2±3.3	31.2±6.5	38.9±6.3	42.5±5.4	57.6±5.8
TNF-α	82.4±5.6ª	72.4±8.6ª	79.2±8.2ª	84.3±6.2ª	90.3±5.2ª
Ad-shControl-DCs	83.1±7.4 ^{a,b}	76.1±7.5 ^{a,b}	81.2±5.2 ^{a,b}	87.3±4.3 ^{a,b}	92.3±4.3 ^{a,b}
Ad-shSOCS1-DCs	93.6±4.1 ^{a,c}	89.6±6.1 ^{a,c}	92.3±3.7 ^{a,c}	92.6±2.7 ^{a,d}	94.6±2.5 ^{a,d}

 Table 1. Percentage of DCs expressing CD1a, CD80, CD83, CD86, HLA-DR

Immature DCs generated from PBMCs were stimulated by GM-CSF and IL-4 for 7 days and further stimulated by Ad-shSOCS1 or Ad-shControl. Percent of DCs expressing CD1a, CD80, CD83, CD86 and HLA-DR were detected using flow cytometry before and after 24 h TNF- α stimulation and analyzed by SPSS one-way ANOVA (Student's t test) analysis. ^aSignificant differences compared with that of the DCs control, P<0.001; ^bNo significant differences compared with that of the TNF- α control, P>0.05; ^cSignificant differences compared with that of the TNF- α control, P<0.05, but no significant differences compared with that of the TNF- α control, P<0.05, but no significant differences compared with that of the Ad-shControl group, P<0.05.



Figure 2. Cytokines secreted by transfected DCs after TNF- α (10 ng/mL) stimulation for 24 hrs were measured via ELISA assays. Data were representative of three independent assays and analyzed using Student's t-test. *P<0.01 versus Ad-shSOCS1-DCs.

 Table 2. Phenotype detection of CIKs and DCCIKs

	CD3	CD3+CD8+	CD3+CD56+
CIKs	98.7±0.04	76.7±2.3	16.9±1.3
Ad-shControl DCCIKs	99.3±0.03 ^b	85.6±2.3ª	20.7±2.5ª
Ad-shSOCS1 DCCIKs	99.40±0.01 ^b	89.5±1.5ª	29.5±3.6 ^{a,c}

After cocultivation with Ad-shControl-DCs or Ad-shSOCS1-DCs in ClKs culture medium containing INF- γ , both CD3⁺CD8⁺ and CD3⁺CD56⁺ double positive cells were significantly improved in respect to ClKs. Further, the CD3⁺CD56⁺ population in the Ad-shSOCS1-DCClKs were improved about 42.5% compared to that of Ad-shControl-DCClKs. Datas were analyzed by SPSS one-way ANOVA (Student's t test) analysis. ^aSignificant differences compared with that of the ClKs control, P<0.05; ^bNo significant differences compared with that of the ClKs control, P>0.05; ^cSignificant differences compared with that of the ClKs control or Ad-shControl-DCClKs group, P<0.05.

Evaluation of DCs maturation

Immature DCs (iDCs) produced from PBMCs were stimulated with GM-CSF and IL-4 for 7 days, and further stimulated with Ad-shSOCS1 and HPVm16E7. Before and after 24 h of TNF- α stimulation, the expression levels of the sur-

face markers CD1a, CD80, CD83, CD86 and HLA-DR were analyzed by flow cytometry and SPSS one-way ANOVA analysis. The results in **Table 1** demonstrated that the expression levels of all antigens significantly increased by 2-4 folds during maturation in both Ad-shSOCS1 and AdshControl infected DCs with respect to control. The expression levels of CD1a, CD80 and CD83 increased about 10% in the former more than the latter. In addition, although TNF- α greatly induced the expression of these CD markers, it was lower than that of the Ad-shSOCS1 group in CD1a, CD80 and CD83 expression.

IL-12 and IL-6 analysis in DCs

Such proinflammatory cytokines as IL-12 (IL-12p70) and IL-6 induced by HPV16mE7-pulsed DCs with or without TNF- α stimulation were quantitated by ELISA analysis kits (**Figure 2**). Stimulated by TNF- α , the expression of IL-12p70 and IL-6 was considerably enhanced in all groups, while silencing SOCS1 in HPV16-



Figure 3. Co-cultured with target SiHa cells constitutively expressing HPV16 E6 and E7 at E/T ratios of 10:1, 30:1, and 90:1 for 24 hrs, levels of TNF- α and IFN- γ in the supernatant of CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs were tested by ELISA. The production levels of TNF- α and IFN- γ were higher in group Ad-shSOCS1-DCs. *P<0.01 versus Ad-shSOCS1-DCs, analyzed by Student's t-test.



Figure 4. Using CCK-8 assay, the cytotoxicity of effector cells at 10:1, 30:1 and 90:1 E/T ratios against SiHa cells was analyzed. It revealed that Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes exhibited the highest cytotoxic activity against SiHa cells compared with Ad-shControl-DCCIKs or CIKs. *P<0.01, analyzed by Student's t-test.

mE7-pulsed DCs induced far more cytokines than that of other groups.

Cellular phenotype detection of DCCIKs and secretion levels of TNF- α and IFN- γ

Both CD3⁺CD8⁺ and CD3⁺CD56⁺ double positive cells were significantly improved after cocultivation with Ad-shControl-DCs orAd-shSO-CS1-DCs in ClKs culture medium. Further, the CD3⁺CD56⁺ population in the Ad-shSOCS1-DC-ClKs were improved about 42.5% compared to that of Ad-shControl-DCClKs (**Table 2**). The TNF- α and IFN- γ levels in the supernatant of group Ad-shSOCS1-DCClKs were highest, versus AdshControl-DCClKs and ClKs in ClKs culture medium without IFN- γ . And levels in group AdshControl-DCCIKs were also higher than those of CIKs. It was demonstrated that after co-culture of CIKs and AdshSOCS1-DCs or Ad-shControl-DCs, IFN- γ and TNF- α levels in the supernatants were apparently increased (**Figure 3**).

In vitro antitumor activity analysis

Using CCK-8 assay, the cytotoxicity of effector cells at 10:1, 30:1 and 90:1 E/T ratios against SiHa cells was analyzed. It revealed that Ad-

shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes exhibited the highest cytotoxic activity against SiHa cells. The cytotoxic activity against SiHa cells induced by Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs was not linear, but it increased with E:T ratio compared to the linear increase of the control group, indicating a dosage-dependent manner (**Figure 4**).

In vivo antitumor activity analysis

The therapeutic effect of the Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes against mice bearing tumors was investigated in BALB/C nude mice received 1×10^6 SiHa cells. When all mice had palpable tumors on day 9, the therapeutic treatments were initiated with different T cells



Figure 5. In vivo antitumor activity analysis. A. The therapeutic effect of the Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes against mice bearing tumors was investigated in the groups of 10 BALB/C nude mice received 1×10⁶ SiHa cells. The therapy was initiated with PBS, CIKs, Ad-shControl-DCCIKs or Ad-sh-SOCS1-DCCIKs on day 9. Survival rates were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method (log-rank test). *P<0.01 (Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs vs PBS or CIKs). B. Tumor volume and time experiment demonstrated that Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes significantly regressed the tumor volume compared with CIKs and PBS controls in which progressive tumor growth was observed. The experiments were repeated, producing a significant statistical difference. Data of the tumor volume after inoculation of SiHa cells were analyzed using Student's t-test. *P<0.01 (Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs vs PBS or CIKs).

or PBS. As shown in Figure 5A, the mice treated with Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs exhibited the greatest survival days of 60 (40%) compared with the mice treated with Ad-shControl-DCCIKs (0%), CIKs (0%) and PBS (0%). Tumor volume experiment demonstrated that Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes significantly regressed the tumor volume compared with CIKs and PBS controls in which progressive tumor growth was observed. The experiments were repeated, producing a significant statistical difference (P< 0.01) (Figure 5B). Although therapeutic AdshSOCS1-DCCIKs could not always eradicate the tumor completely, the survival time was significantly prolonged more than 60 days in 40% of the Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs treated mice in respect to the mice in PBS control group which were all dead in 40 days. These results

indicated the therapeutic potential of Ad-shSOCS1-DCC-IKs against HPV16 E7⁺ tumor *in vivo*.

In vivo metastasis assay

To observe the antimetastatic potential of HPVm16E7pulsed Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs on SiHa cells, the subcutaneous formed SiHa tumor cubes at 1-2 mm³ were implanted into the left liver lobes of nude mice, as the tumor cube implantation in the liver has advantages over injecting cells to ensure tumor formation in liver. Figure 6B showed normal lung tissue against Figure 6A in which a representative metastic lesion was demonstrated. As demonstrated in Figure 6C, Ad-shSO-CS1-DCCIKs and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs lymphocytes decreased the tumor metastasis from the liver to the lung in the heterotopic liver implantation models (20%), whereas a high metastasis rate (70%) was observed in the PBS control group.

Discussion

Stimulated with IFN-γ, IL-2, and CD3 monoclonal antibody

(OKT3), CIKs expressing the CD3/CD56 surface markers of T and NK cells are a cell population obtained from peripheral blood mononuclear cells [16]. Possessing the strongest tumor cytotoxicity with an extensive range of tumor killing, CIKs can both directly suppress tumor cells and regulate the body immune system against tumor cells indirectly. Therefore, CIKs can inhibit the tumor's growth and recurrence by immediate cytotoxicity on tumor cells and improve the patients' immunity for long-term effect.

As the antigen-presenting DCs were able to induce robust cell-mediated immunity capable of attacking and eliminating abnormal antigen-bearing cells, allergization for CIKs with tumor antigen-pulsed DCs can both exert non-MHC restrictive cytotoxicity of CIKs and acti-



Figure 6. *In vivo* metastasis assay by heterotopic liver implantation: a subcutaneously formed tumor in 1-2 mm³ cubes generated from SiHa cells was implanted into the left liver lobes in nude mice. After 9 days of tumor cube implantation, therapeutic treatments of PBS, CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs with a total of 1.0×10⁷ cells were administered intravenously into the tail of the mice, PBS served as control (10 mice in each group). A total of 4 consecutive injections every 5 days were administered with 2.5×10⁶ cells (per dose). After 6 weeks, the mice were sacrificed for lung metastasis assay examined by sectioning and microscopy. A. Representative tumor lesion in the lung, indicated by an arrow. B. No tumor lesion in the lung. C. Reversion of lung metastasis. Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs lymphocytes decreased the tumor metastasis from the liver to the lung in the heterotopic liver implantation model (20% and 40%, respectively), whereas a high metastasis rate (70%) was observed in the PBS control group. *P<0.01, analyzed by Student's t-test.

vate MHC restrictive cytotoxicity reinforced by antigen-pulsed DCs on targeted tumor cells.

The capacity of DCs to capture, process and present antigens varies in accordance with their differentiation/maturation stage and origin. In contrast to activated mature DCs which can induce protective anti-tumor immunity, immature DCs present self-antigens to T cells leading to immune tolerance by generating suppressor T cells or T cell deletion [14-16]. As activation of the full immunostimulatory talent of DCs to achieve an effective immunity shows potential against tumor, SOCS1, a negative signaling regulator of various cytokines was knocked down in DCs in this study. And the efficiency of SOCS1 silencing was verified. At MOI 100, SOCS1 was significantly knocked down in DCs (Figure 1B). The expression levels of the surface markers CD1a, CD80, CD83, CD86, and HLA-DR analyzed by flow cytometry were increased by 2-4 folds during maturation in both Ad-shSOCS1 and Ad-shControl infected and HPV16mE7 pulsed DCs with respect to control, but not in the absence of TNF- α stimulation (**Table 1**). Furthermore, the former increased about 10% more than the latter, indicating an increased maturation by silencing SOCS1. In addition, although TNF-α greatly induced the expression of these CD markers, it was lower than that of the AdshSOCS1, which implies that SOCS1 knock down enhances the effect of TNF- α on stimulating DCs maturation. This might be attributed to the increased NF- κ B expression by silencing SOCS1, as well as promoting the efficacy of TNF- α on up-regulating NF- κ B [23, 24]. SOCS1restricted DCs also significantly enhanced the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-12 and IL-6 (**Figure 2**).

The co-culture of CIKs with DCs can increase their proliferation activity and cytotoxicity [20]. As demonstrated in Figure 3, TNF- α and IFN-v in the supernatants of the co-cultured Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs were higher than those of CIKs. The CD3⁺CD8⁺ and CD3⁺CD56⁺ double positive cells in Ad-sh-SOCS1-DCCIKs and Ad-shControl-DCCIKs population were significantly higher than those of the CIKs group. Furthermore, the CD3⁺CD56⁺ double positive cells in the former were improved 42.5% than the latter, which indicates silencing SOCS1 improved the efficacy of DCs on irritating proliferation of CIKs. Moreover, the cvtotoxicity of effector cells at 90:1. 30:1 and 10:1 E/T ratios revealed that Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes exhibited the highest cytotoxic activity against SiHa cells by CCK-8 assay. The cytotoxic activity against SiHa cells induced by Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs and Ad-sh-Control-DCCIKs was not linear, but it increased with E:T ratio compared to the linear increase of the control group, indicating a dosagedependent manner. The strengthened cytotoxic activity on tumor of DCCIKs may be associated with the ascending secretion of IFN- γ and IL-12 as well as increased CD3⁺CD56⁺ double positive cells in DCCIKs. And the increased IFN- γ and IL-12 in co-cultured DCCIKs further stimulated the CIK's proliferation [12-13].

To further investigate the antitumor effects mediated by the Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs, BALB/cnude mice models xenografted with SiHa cells were developed. The tumor-loaded mice received injection of Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs and control T cells (2.5×10⁶ per dose) for a total of 1×107. Tumor volume curve demonstrated that Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs lymphocytes significantly decreased the tumor volume compared with CIKs and PBS controls in which progressive tumor growth was observed. Statistical analysis of the tumor growth curves revealed significant differences between the Ad-shSO-CS1-DCCIKs group and the control group (P< 0.05). Although therapeutic Ad-shSOCS1-DC-CIKs could not always eradicate the tumor completely, the survival rate was significantly enhanced (40%) at day of 60 compared with the mice treated with Ad-shControl-DCCIKs (0%), CIKs (0%) or PBS (0%). These results indicated the anti-HPV16 E7⁺ tumor therapeutic potential of the HPV16mE7 pulsed and SOCS1 silenced Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs in vivo.

As the cure rate in patients with high-grade cervical cancer remains very low in part by the high recurrence rate, the antimetastatic potential of Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs on SiHa cells was assessed via heterotopic tumor metastasis assay from the liver to the lung. The result demonstrated Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs efficiently inhibited liver tumor metastasis *in vivo* in the mouse models.

In conclusion, compared with Ad-shControl-DC-CIKs or CIKs, Ad-shSOCS1-DCCIKs showed prominent oncotherapy effect and powerful antimetastatic efficacy, presenting a favorable application prospect.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Knowledge Innovation Program of Shenzhen Innovation Committee (No. JCYJ20150402095058890), Health and Family Planning Commission of HuBei Province project (WJ2015XB019 20152016) and Research and Development project of Xiangyang City (2014).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Jiubo Fan, Department of Clinical Laboratory, Xiangyang Central Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Hubei University of Arts and Science, Xiangyang 441021, Hubei, P. R. China. Tel: 86-0710-3528933; Email: fanjiubofanjiubo@163. com

References

- [1] Smith JS, Lindsay L, Hoots B, Keys J, Franceschi S, Winer R. Human papillomavirus typedistribution in invasive cervical cancer and highgrade cervical lesions: a meta-analysis update. Int J Cancer 2007; 121: 621-632.
- [2] Walboomers JM. Human papillomavirus is a necessary cause of invasive cervical cancer worldwide. J Pathol 1999; 189: 12-19.
- [3] Kanodia S, Da Silva DM and Kast WM. Recent advances in strategies for immunotherapy of human papillomavirus-induced lesions. Int J Cancer 2008; 122: 247-259.
- [4] Psyrri A and Daniel D. Human papillomavirus in cervical and head-and-neck cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol 2008; 5: 24-31.
- [5] Moss JL, McCarthy SH, Gilkey MB, Brewer NT. Application of the carolina framework for cervical cancer prevention. Gynecol Oncol 2014; 132: 33-40.
- [6] Chung SH, Franceschi S, Lambert PF. Estrogen and ERα: culprits in cervical cancer? Trends Endocrinol Metab 2010; 21: 504-511.
- [7] Brinton LA. Epidemiology of cervical cancer: overview. IARC Sci Publ 1992; 119: 3-23.
- [8] Frazer IH. Interaction of human papillomaviruses with the host immune system: a well evolved relationship. Virology 2009; 3:410-414.
- [9] Motz GT, Coukos G. Deciphering and reversing tumor immune suppression. Immunity 2013; 39: 61-73.
- [10] Rosenberg SA, Restifo NP. Adoptive cell transfer as personalized immunotherapy for human cancer. Science 2015; 348: 63-68.
- [11] Palucka K, Banchereau J. Cancer immunotherapy via dendritic cells. Nat Rev Cancer 2012; 12: 265-277.
- [12] Galluzzi L, Senovilla L, Vacchelli E, Eggermont A, Fridman WH, Galon J, Sautès-Fridman C, Tartour E, Zitvogel L, Kroemer G. Trial watch: dendritic cell-based interventions for cancer therapy. Oncolmmunology 2012; 1: 1111-1134.

- [13] Galluzzi L, Vacchelli E, Eggermont A, Fridman WH, Galon J, Sautès-Fridman C, Tartour E, Zitvogel L, Kroemer G. Adoptive cell transfer immunotherapy. Oncolmmunology 2012; 1: 306-315.
- [14] Albert ML, Bhardwaj N. Resurrecting the dead: DCs cross-present antigen derived from apoptotic cells on MHCI. Immunologist 1998; 6: 194-198.
- [15] Albert ML. Immature dendritic cells phagocytose apoptotic cells via αvβ5 and CD36, and cross-present antigens to cytotoxic T lymphocytes. J Exp Med 1998; 188: 1359-1368.
- [16] Heath WR, Carbone FR. Cross-presentation, dendritic cells, tolerance and immunity. Annu Rev Immunol 2001; 19: 47-64.
- [17] Diamond MS. Type I interferon is selectively required by dendritic cells for immune rejection of tumors. J Exp Med 2011; 208: 1989-2003.
- [18] Fuertes MB. Host type I IFN signals are required for antitumor CD8+ T cell responses through CD8 α + dendritic cells. J Exp Med 2011; 208: 2005-2016.
- [19] Kubo M, Hanada T and Yoshimura A. Suppressors of cytokine signaling and immunity. Nat Immunol 2003; 4: 1169-1176.

- [20] Hanada T, Yoshida H, Kato S, Tanaka K and Masutani K. Suppressor of cytokine signaling-1 is essential for suppressing dendritic cell activation and systemic autoimmunity. Immunity 2003; 19: 437-450.
- [21] Guenterberg KD, Lesinski GB, Mundy-Bosse BL and Karpa VI. Enhanced anti-tumor activity of interferon-alpha in SOCS1-defificient mice is mediated by CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Cancer Immunol Immun 2011; 60: 1281-1288.
- [22] Zhu YQ, Zheng Y, Mei L. Enhanced immunotherapeutic effect of modified HPV16 E7pulsed dendritic cell vaccine by an adeno-shR-NA-SOCS1 virus. Int J Oncol 2013; 43: 1151-1159.
- [23] Parganas E, Wang D, Stravopodis D. JAK2 is essential for signaling through a variety of cytokine receptors. Cell 1998; 93: 385-595.
- [24] Grimley PM, Dong F, Rui H. Stat5a and Stat5b: fraternal twins of signal transduction and transcriptional activation. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 1999; 10: 131-157.