# Case Report Ameloblastoma of the soft tissue: an unusual presentation and literature review

Louqiang Zhang, Yin Wang, Genglin Sun

Department of Stomatology, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, No. 154, Anshan Road, Heping District, Tianjin 300052, People's Republic of China

Received September 9, 2017; Accepted September 8, 2018; Epub November 15, 2018; Published November 30, 2018

**Abstract**: Ameloblastoma or adamantinoma is the rarest of the three forms of odontogenic-type tumor. These tumors are benign, locally aggressive neoplasms arising from ameloblasts. They typically occur at the angle of the mandible, and the occurrence of this tumor in soft tissue, i.e., peripheral ameloblastoma (PAM), is extremely rare. PAM shows a male preponderance, and the most common sites are the mandibular canine and premolar lingual gingivae. In this paper, we present a case of ameloblastoma of cheek mucosa, which is considered a rare site of occurrence. The patient presented in the hospital with swelling of the left-side cheek. The mass was visible and involved the buccal vestibule, and upper and lower alveolus regions. Ameloblastoma was confirmed after the biopsy, then resection of the tumor was performed.

Keywords: Ameloblastoma, peripheral ameloblastoma, odontogenic tumor, cheek mucosa

#### Introduction

Ameloblastoma is a rare primary bone tumor that commonly arises in the jaw; it has been described in the appendicular skeleton, e.g., the tibia. It is a benign, slow growing, and locally invasive odontogenic tumor [1, 2]. It accounts for 11% of all odontogenic tumors. The tumor frequently develops in the mandible (80%) and maxilla (16%). However, peripheral ameloblastoma (PAM) located in the soft tissue accounts for the remaining 1%-9.3% [3-5]. Thus, occurrence of the tumor in soft tissue is extremely rare. It occurs in all age groups, but the lesion is most commonly diagnosed in the third and fourth decades of a person's life [6]. The tumor is usually asymptomatic, painless, and present with bony deformity. Wide surgical excision with adequate safe margins is the treatment choice for this tumor. Here, we present a rare case, an ameloblastoma of oral soft tissue that was originally misdiagnosed as cancer. We also report clinical and pathological data with a review of the available literature on PAM.

#### **Case presentation**

An 82-year-old woman presented in the department of stomatology, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital with swelling of the left-side cheek, which is associated with pain during chewing. The symptoms have been present for 2 months. Intra-oral inspection showed a mass in the left cheek measuring approximately 5.0  $cm \times 5.0 cm \times 3.5 cm$  with an unclear border. The mass was visible and involved the buccal vestibule, and upper and lower alveolus regions. Mouth opening was limited. The mass bulged out from the buccal mucosa, kermesinus, slightly hard in quality, and obviously indurate (Figure 1A and 1B). The MRI results revealed a soft tissue mass that has large multiloculated cystic expansile lesions arising from the buccal space (Figure 1C). The mandible and maxilla were not damaged. The masseter muscle and medial pterygoid muscle were involved in the MRI attenuation and dimensions. No obvious cervical lymphadenopathy was observed. The patient had no history of tumor. When the patient was admitted, benign tumor was not initially considered, and buccal cancer was favored. Laboratory findings were as follows: WBC: 7.59 × 109/L, HB: 121 g/L, CREA: 65 umol/L, AST: 13 U/L, ALT: 8 U/L, TP: 59 g/L and ALB: 40 g/L. Biopsy was performed, and the pathological section of the tumor had a distinctive morphological characteristic of ameloblas-



**Figure 1.** PAM in the cheek. A, B. Photograph shows the patient's cheek PAM. C. MRI shows the mass in soft tissue (arrows indication). D. The tumor. E. Surgical wound healing was good (one month after the operation).



Figure 2. Hematoxylin and eosin-stained tumor section (A  $\times$  100, B  $\times$  200). The typical epithelial islands or cords constituted by two kinds of cells, one is cuboidal or columnar cell (red arrow), another is similar to the stellate reticulum cell (black arrow).

toma, so it was easily to diagnose as ameloblastoma (**Figures 2** and **3**). Under the microscope, epithelial islands were obviously mixed with fibrocollagenous tissue. The outermost layer of epithelial island was composed of tall columnar cells with polarization of the nuclei away from the basement membrane. The central portion of the island was composed of loose network of cells (**Figure 4**). Based on the above mentioned findings, resection of tumor was planned. Under general anesthesia, the specimen was taken out, and the defect was covered by a cellular allograft dermal matrix membrane. The tumor did not recur after 20 months of treatment.

## **Review and discussion**

Ameloblastoma is a benign odontogenic tumor of epithelial origin. It was described in 1827 by Cu-

sack and designated as an adamantinoma in 1885 by the French physician Louis-Charles Malassez [7]. It was renamed as ameloblastoma in 1930 by Ivey and Churchill [7, 8]. Its etiology has not been determined. It constitutes about 1% of all head and neck tumors and about 11% of teeth-originating tumors. Ameloblastoma can be present in a wide range of ages, but these are usually diagnosed between the third and fourth decades of a person's life,



Figure 3. A. Immunohistochemistry for ki67 showing positive staining in the tumor cells  $\times$  100. B. Immunohistochemistry for cytokeratin showing focal positivity in the tumor cells  $\times$  100.



**Figure 4.** Hematoxylin and eosin-stained tumor section (× 400, A and B are two different parts of the slice). The outermost layer of epithelial island was composed of tall columnar cells with polarization of the nuclei away from the basement membrane (red arrow). The central portion of the island was composed of loose network of cells (black arrow).

except in the case of the unicystic variety (20-30 years old). No gender predominance is noted [9].

Usually, it occurs in the mandible near premolar and molar teeth, but more rarely in its anterior part. The very rare location is the gingiva or the cheek mucosa. Different treatment modalities are surgical excision, enucleation, curettage, cryotherapy, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy. Wide surgical excision was advocated for its management to prevent its recurrence [10-13]. Surgical excision involves complete removal of tumor with negative margin of 15-20 mm [14]. Ameloblastomas are histologically classified into follicular, granular, plexiform, desmoplastic, basal cell, and acanthomatous varieties [15]. Diagnosis is usually made by fine needle aspiration or biospy, which reveals a palisaded basal cell layer with stellate reticulum like epithelium. Differential diagnosis of ameloblastoma includes calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor (CEOT), odontogenic myxoma, central giant cell granuloma, or ameloblastic fibroma.

PAM was first described by Kuru in 1911 [16] and a case report in 1949 was considered to be the first well-established case of PAM [17]. In the literature, 1%-9.3% ameloblastomas are peripheral cases, PAM accounted for 37%-67% of all peripheral odontogenic tumors [3]. The age of patients with PAM ranged from 3 years old to 92 years old. Most of the patients were from 40 years old to 59 years old, with an average age of 52 years old. The mean age was significantly higher than that of the intraosseous ameloblastoma (37 years old). PAM shows a male preponderance, the male to female ratio is 1.8:1 [18]. The mandible is a more common site than the maxilla, with the ratio being 2.5:1.

The most common site for PAM is mandibular premolar region (32.6%) followed by anterior mandibular region (20.7%) and maxillary soft palatal tissue of the tuberosity area (11.1%) [19] (**Table 1**). However, cases of PAM in the extragingival area are extremely rare. Only six cases of extragingival PAM have been reported [17, 20-25] (**Table 2**).

The size of the lesions was 0.5-4.5 mm, and it can be asymptomatic. The lesion also be manifested as an external mass. The color is similar to the surrounding mucosa. The surface is smooth or verrucous and papillary. As a result of local damage, the mass can become an ulcer or undergo keratinization. Bone absorption can occur under the oppression, but the X-ray showed no lesion on the jaw. If PAM occurs in the alveolar ridge, it may affect the pronunciation of words uttered by the patient [26]. Some cases are multicentric lesions reported in the literature [27, 28]. The pathology of PAM and intraosseous ameloblastoma is similar, but acanthomatous lesions are much

# Ameloblastoma of the soft tissue

	First reported year	Incidence	Mean age	Sex	Region	Pathology	Treatment
AM	1827	11% of teeth-originating tumors	37	No gender preponderance	Mandible near premolar and molar teeth	Classified into follicular, granular, plexiform, desmoplastic, basal cell, and acanthomatous varieties	Wide surgical excision
PAM	1911	1%-9.3% of AM	52	Male preponderance	Mandibular canine and premolar lingual gingivae	Similar to AM, but acanthomatous lesions are common	Conservative resection

# Table 1. Comparison of intraosseous ameloblastoma (AM) and PAM

# Table 2. Reported cases of PAM in the extragingival area

Authors	Year	Nation	Sex	Region	Treatment	Follow-up
Edward Braunstein, Brighton Mass	1949	USA	Man	Left buccal mucosa	Excised	No evidence of recurrence 4 months later
Karl L. Klinar, Lieutenant Colonel, James C. McManis	1969	USA	Man	Right buccal mucosa	Excised with wide margin	No evidence of recurrence 5 months later
K. Ramnarayan, R.G. Nayak, Antony G. Kavalam	1985	India	Man	Floor of mouth	Locally excised	No evidence of recurrence 6 months later
Sook-Bin Woo, Jennifer E. Smith-Williams, James J. Sciubba, et al.	1987	India	Woman	Right buccal mucosa	Locally excised	No evidence of recurrence 9 months later
Toshlkatsu Shibata, Naoe Kaneko, Kyoko Hokazono, et al.	1990	Japan	Man	Left buccal mucosa	Excised	No evidence of recurrence 12 months later
Tadashi Yamanishi, Shoji Ando, Tomonao Aikawa, et al.	2007	Japan	Man	Left buccal mucosa	Locally excised	No evidence of recurrence 7 months later

more common in PAM; calcification also can be seen in some cases. Hiroyuki et al. reported ameloblastin, a gene coding for a protein found in tooth enamel, was overexpressed in PAM [29].

PAM derived from Serres epithelial remnant, A lesion can be diagnosed as PAM if it is consistent with the morphological features of ameloblastoma histologically, as follows: with a connective tissue gap between the lesion and the surface mucosa; it is located in the upper of the lamina propria; and it does not extend to the periosteum. However, some PAM lesions connect with oral mucosal surface, such as in our case, which can be interpreted in two different ways, as follows. First, PAM may originate from oral mucosa because oral epithelial has the potential to differentiate into dental epithelium. Second, the formation of "collision tumor" could occur because of the dental epithelium fuse with oral mucosal in the process of teeth eruption. Studies have shown that the cytokeratin of PAM is similar to teeth embryo, but different from oral mucosa [26, 30, 31].

PAM needs to be differentiated from basal cell carcinoma, basal cell adenoma and adenocarcinoma [32, 33]. Whether basal cell carcinoma could occur in oral mucosa have different opinions, some scholars argue that basal cell carcinoma could not occur in oral mucosa, basal cell carcinoma occurring in the bearing teeth area actually is PAM. There is also another view that PAM and basal cell carcinoma are the same disease, both of them derive from the surface epithelium, but PAM lacks bone invasion potential. If the tumor lacks typical ameloblastoma histological features, distinguishing them is difficult. Studies have reported that the PAM occurred in a nonbearing teeth area, such as the maxillary tuberosity, buccal mucosa, or mouth floor, although the lesions are similar to ameloblastoma in histology, they are diagnosed as basal cell adenoma or basal cell adenocarcinoma [34, 35].

Ameloblastomas are well-known for their recurrence. Lau et al. reported that recurrence rates were 3.6% for wide resection, 30.5% for enucleation, and 16% for enucleation followed by Carnoy's solution [36]. Several factors influence the rate of recurrence, as follows: clinicopathological variant of tumor, anatomic site, safe margins during surgery, and histological variant. The main factor of recurrence is incomplete resection. Treatment of ameloblastoma by curettage leaves a small tumor island in the bone, and this may later cause recurrence [37, 38]. The solid variety of ameloblastoma has the greatest propensity for local infiltration and recurrence [39-41].

The invasion and recurrence rate of PAM was significantly less than that of the ameloblastoma; the recurrence rate of the PAM was 19% [31]. PAM has a good prognosis, which is related to the following factors. (a) Its growth in the gingiva allows easy early detection and surgical resection. (b) The dense connective tissue of the gingiva has much more resistance capability than the thin connective tissue between the trabecular bone in the tumor infiltration. (c) The bone tissue below the gingivae can prevent tumor invasion [26]. PAM is more likely to expose to the local effects of carcinogens, but malignancy is rare. Only three malignant transformed cases have been reported. Some cases showed epithelial dysplasia. A few scholars believe that the concept of PAM might be dangerous, because clinicians may not understand the biological behavior of PAM. Therefore, unnecessary surgery is performed to extend resection [42]. At present, the treatment of PAM is conservative resection, which preserves the margin of safety without removing bone or teeth [42, 43] (Table 1). Follow-up after surgical treatment is considered to be important [44, 45].

After admission, we found the prominent mass originating from the buccal mucosa in a cauliflower-like manner, which involved a wide range. No relationship was found between the mass and jaws. MRI showed that the tumor was mainly located in the pterygomandibular space, unlike the PAM that was reported previously. Thus, the tumor was considered as a buccal malignant tumor initially. However, biopsy revealed that the tumor had pathological presentation of ameloblastoma. It was diagnosed as PAM, and a review of literature showed that PAM located in cheek is very rare. As we know, only six cases of extragingival PAM have been reported, five of them located in cheek, one of them located in mouth floor (Table 2). This is a report of a sixth case of a PAM arising in the buccal mucosa. We resected the mass conservatively, and the tumor did not recur after 20 months of treatment.

# Conclusions

PAM should be considered among other differential diagnoses in the evaluation of cheek mucosa tumors. The invasion and recurrence rates of PAM are significantly less than those of ameloblastoma. Thus, conservative resection is recommended.

### Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the Tianjin Municipal Health Bureau Science and Technology Foundation, China (Grant No. 2015KZ113).

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Louqiang Zhang, Department of Stomatology, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, No. 154, Anshan Road, Heping District, Tianjin 300052, People's Republic of China. Tel: +0086-022-60817368; E-mail: louqiang98@163.com

#### References

- [1] Torres-Lagares D, Infante-Cossío P, Hernández-Guisado JM and Gutiérrez-Pérez JL. Mandibular ameloblastoma. A review of the literature and presentation of six cases. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2005; 10: 231-238.
- [2] Parmar S, Al-Qamachi L and Aga H. Ameloblastomas of the mandible and maxilla. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2016; 24: 148-154.
- [3] Buchner A, Merrell PW and Carpenter WM. Relative frequency of peripheral odontogenic tumors: a study of 45 new cases and comparison with studies from the literature. J Oral Pathol Med 2006; 35: 385-391.
- [4] Bertossi D, Favero V, Albanese M, De-Santis D, Martano M, Padovano-di-Leva A, De-Florio I, Nocini PF and Lo-Muzio L. Peripheral ameloblastoma of the upper gingiva: report of a case and literature review. J Clin Exp Dent 2014; 6: e180-e184.
- [5] Upadhyaya JD, Bhattacharyya I, Fitzpatrick SG, Cohen DM and Islam MN. Peripheral ameloblastoma: a study of 18 cases and usage of Ber-EP4 immunohistochemistry to rule out a diagnosis of intraoral basal cell carcinoma. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2018; 76: 996-1004.
- [6] Adekeye EO and Lavery KM. Recurrent ameloblastoma of the maxillo-facial region. Clinical features and treatment. J Maxillofac Surg 1986; 14: 153-157.

- [7] Ivey RH and Churchill HR. The need of a standardized surgical and pathological classification of tumors and anomalies of dental origin. Am Assoc Dent Sch Trans 1930; 7: 240-245.
- [8] Ahmad I and Choudhary R. Wide surgical excision with split rib graft reconstruction of mandible for ameloblastoma; our 10 year experience. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013; 65: 40-43.
- [9] Stanley HR and Diehl DL. Ameloblastoma potential of follicular cysts. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1965; 20: 260-268.
- [10] Milman T, Ying GS, Pan W and LiVolsi V. Ameloblastoma: 25 year experience at a single institution. Head Neck Pathol 2016; 10: 513-520.
- [11] McClary AC, West RB, McClary AC, Pollack JR, Fischbein NJ, Holsinger CF, Sunwoo J, Colevas AD and Sirjani D. Ameloblastoma: a clinical review and trends in management. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2016; 273: 1649-1661.
- [12] Gravvanis A, Koumoullis HD, Anterriotis D, Tsoutsos D and Katsikeris N. Recurrent giant mandibular ameloblastoma in young adults. Head Neck 2016; 38 Suppl 1: E1947-E1954.
- [13] Manimaran K, Sharma R, Sankaranarayanan S and Perumal SM. Regeneration of mandibular ameloblastoma defect with the help of autologous dental pulp stem cells and buccal pad of fat stromal vascular fraction. Ann Maxillofac Surg 2016; 6: 97-100.
- [14] Hariram, Mohammad S, Malkunje LR, Singh N, Das S and Mehta G. Ameloblastoma of the anterior mandible. Natl J Maxillofac Surg 2014; 5: 47-50.
- [15] Gruica B, Stauffer E, Buser D and Bornstein M. Ameloblastoma of the follicular, plexiform, and acanthomatous type in the maxillary sinus: a case report. Quintessence Int 2003; 34: 311-314.
- [16] Kuru H. Ueber das adamantinoma. Zentralbl Allg Pathol 1911; 22: 291.
- [17] Braunstein E and Mass B. Case report of an extraosseous adamantoblastoma. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1949; 2: 726-728.
- [18] Zhang X, Tian X, Hu Y, Zhang C, Wei C and Yang X. Oral peripheral ameloblastoma: a retrospective series study of 25 cases. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2018; 23: e277-e281.
- [19] Surya V, Verma P, Amale K and Siwach P. A case of peripheral ameloblastoma of retromolar trigone: histopathological and immunohistochemical profile. Contemp Clin Dent 2015; 6: 564-566.
- [20] Klinar KL and McManis JC. Soft-tissue ameloblastoma. Report of a case. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1969; 28: 266-272.
- [21] Ramnarayan K, Nayak RG and Kavalam AG. Peripheral ameloblastoma. Int J Oral Surg 1985; 14: 300-301.

- [22] Woo SB, Smith-Williams JE, Sciubba JJ and Lipper S. Peripheral ameloblastoma of the buccal mucosa: case report and review of the English literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1987; 63: 78-84.
- [23] Shibata T, Kaneko N, Hokazono K, Nishiwaki C, Kamiya M, Tajima Y and Utsumi N. An ameloblastoma-like neoplasm of the buccal mucosa. Report of a case. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990; 19: 203-204.
- [24] Yamanishi T, Ando S, Aikawa T, Kishino M, Nakano Y, Sasai K, Isomura Tanaka E, Tsuji T, Koizumi H, lida S and Kogo M. A case of extragingival peripheral ameloblastoma in the buccal mucosa. J Oral Pathol Med 2007; 36: 184-186.
- [25] Goda H, Nakashiro K, Ogawa I, Takata T and Hamakawa H. Peripheral ameloblastoma with histologically low-grade malignant features of the buccal mucosa: a case report with immunohistochemical study and genetic analysis. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015; 8: 2085-2089.
- [26] Curran AE. Peripheral odontogenic tumors. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2004; 16: 399-408.
- [27] Balfour RS, Loscalzo LJ and Sulka M. Multicentric peripheral ameloplastoma. J Oral Surg 1973; 31: 535-538.
- [28] Hernandez G, Sanchez G, Caballero T and Moskow B. A rare case of a multicentric peripheral ameloblastoma of the gingiva. A light and electron microscopic study. J Clin Periodontol 1992; 19: 281-287.
- [29] Gardner DG. Peripheral ameloblastoma: a study of 21 cases, including 5 reported as basal cell carcinoma of the gingiva. Cancer 1977; 39: 1625-1633.
- [30] Salo T, Kainulainen T, Parikka M and Heikinheimo K. Expression of laminin-5 in ameloblastomas and human fetal teeth. J Oral Pathol Med 1999; 28: 337-342.
- [31] Ide F, Mishima K, Saito I and Kusama K. Rare peripheral odontogenic tumors: report of 5 cases and comprehensive review of the literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008; 106: e22-e28.
- [32] Kato H, Ota Y, Sasaki M, Karakida K, Kaneko A, Sekido Y and Tsukinoki K. Peripheral ameloblastoma of the lower molar gingiva: a case report and immunohistochemical study. Tokai J Exp Clin Med 2012; 37: 30-34.
- [33] Oteri G, Lentini M, Pisano M and Cicciù M. Peripheral desmoplastic ameloblastoma in adolescent age: clinico-pathological and immunohistochemical analisys of a case. Open Dent J 2014; 8: 159-163.

- [34] Sridhar M, Bhaskar Reddy LR, Kharat S, Mahesh BS, Gandi L, Mahendra A, Nigam P and Grewal P. Basal cell ameloblastoma: a rare histological variant of an uncommon tumor. Niger J Surg 2015; 21: 66-69.
- [35] Bhagde PA, Barpande SR, Bhavthankar JD and Humbe JG. Basal cell adenoma of maxillary sinus mimicking ameloblastoma. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2016; 20: 142-146.
- [36] Lau SL and Samman N. Recurrence related to treatment modalities of unicystic ameloblastoma: a systematic review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006; 35: 681-690.
- [37] Montoro JR, Tavares MG, Melo DH, Franco Rde L, Mello-Filho FV, Xavier SP, Trivellato AE and Lucas AS. Mandibular ameloblastoma treated by bone resection and immediate reconstruction. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol 2008; 74: 155-157.
- [38] Antonoglou GN and Sándor GK. Recurrence rates of intraosseous ameloblastomas of the jaws: a systematic review of conservative versus aggressive treatment approaches and meta-analysis of non-randomized studies. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015; 43: 149-157.
- [39] Almeida Rde A, Andrade ES, Barbalho JC, Vajgel A and Vasconcelos BC. Recurrence rate following treatment for primary multicystic ameloblastoma: systematic review and metaanalysis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2016; 45: 359-367.
- [40] Faras F, Abo-Alhassan F, Israël Y, Hersant B and Meningaud JP. Multi-recurrent invasive ameloblastoma: a surgical challenge. Int J Surg Case Rep 2017; 30: 43-45.
- [41] Laborde A, Nicot R, Wojcik T, Ferri J and Raoul G. Ameloblastoma of the jaws: management and recurrence rate. Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis 2017; 134: 7-11.
- [42] Borrello R, Bettio E, Bacci C, Valente M, Sivolella S, Mazzoleni S and Berengo M. A conservative approach to a peripheral ameloblastoma. Case Rep Dent 2016; 2016: 8254571.
- [43] Chae MP, Smoll NR, Hunter-Smith DJ and Rozen WM. Establishing the natural history and growth rate of ameloblastoma with implications for management: systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0117241.
- [44] Isomura ET, Okura M, Ishimoto S, Yamada C, Ono Y, Kishino M and Kogo M. Case report of extragingival peripheral ameloblastoma in buccal mucosa. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2009; 108: 577-579.
- [45] Yuwanati MB, Singh A, Gadbail AR and Mhaske S. Hybrid peripheral ameloblastoma of cheek mucosa. BMJ Case Rep 2013; 12: 2013.