# Original Article Long non-coding RNA ANRIL overexpression predicts poor prognosis and promotes metastasis and proliferation in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma

Zhendong Li<sup>1</sup>, Xianlin Wu<sup>2</sup>, Jiexing Li<sup>1</sup>, Xuguang Li<sup>3</sup>, Dasheng Liu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, <sup>2</sup>Clinical Medicine Research Institute, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, Guangdong Province, China; <sup>3</sup>Surgery Department Seven, <sup>4</sup>Surgery Department Three, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Traditional Medicine, Guangzhou 510632, Guangdong Province, China

Received May 5, 2017; Accepted August 3, 2017; Epub July 15, 2018; Published July 30, 2018

**Abstract:** Perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (PHCC) is still a great challenge for doctors because of its aggressive feature and poor survival rate. The molecular mechanisms of PHCC initiation and progression remain obscure at present. Accumulating evidence discovered that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are crucial regulators in cancer progression. However, the functional role of lncRNAs in PHCC has been rarely reported. The present study found lncRNA ANRIL was markedly overexpressed in PHCC cell lines and clinical specimens compared with normal human cholangiocyte cell line and tumor adjacent tissues, respectively. Statistical analysis of PHCC clinicopathological characteristics with ANRIL expression data found that high ANRIL expression was correlated with advanced TNM stage and vascular invasion (P < 0.05). Besides, ANRIL up-regulation was investigated to be associated with poor overall survival and progression-free survival of PHCCs. In addition, both univariate and multivariate COX regression analyses revealed that high ANRIL expression was an independent risk factor of PHCC poor prognosis. ANRIL up-regulation promoted migration and invasion of PHCC cells on Transwell assay and Matrigel assay, while ANRIL deficiency inhibited PHCC cells metastasis. Moreover, PHCC cells with ANRIL overexpression exhibited obviously increased proliferation ability on Colony formation assay and MTT assay. However, ANRIL interference suppressed proliferation significantly. In conclusion, ANRIL could promote PHCC clinical progression, proliferation and metastasis. LncRNA ANRIL may serve as a promising prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target of PHCC.

Keywords: Long non-coding RNA ANRIL, metastasis, proliferation, prognosis, perihilar cholangiocarcinoma

#### Introduction

Perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (PHCC), originating from the epithelial cells of the bile duct, accounts for about 50% of cholangiocarcinoma (CC) [1]. Despite numerous advances in the diagnosis and treatment strategies of PHCC in recent years, the prognosis of PHCC patients remains poor at present. Rates of 5-year survival following surgical resection with negative margins range from 11% to 41% [2]. PHCCs are characterized by genetic and epigenetic alterations, chromosome aberration, aggressive nature and desmoplastic stroma [3], which make PHCC resistant to most existing adjuvant therapies. So the carcinogenesis and developmental mechanisms of PHCC should be further elucidated to establish more effective therapeutic strategies.

Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNAs), defined as non-protein-coding RNA molecules longer than 200 nucleotides, have attracted great attention in the past few years [4]. Accumulating evidence revealed that IncRNAs play widespread roles in gene regulation and other cellular processes, including but not limited to imprinting, histone-code regulation, gene activation, gene repression, lineage determination, cell proliferation, cell apoptosis and stem cell characteristics [5-7]. Intriguingly, recent literatures discovered that IncRNAs are essential regulators in cancer biology [8-11]. Aberrant lincRNAs are strongly associated with cancer progression [12]. Thus, lincRNAs may be utilized as cancer diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets [8]. LncRNA antisense non-coding RNA in the INK4 locus (ANRIL) was first reported to be deleted in the melanoma-neural system tumor syndrome family [13]. Further investigations found ANRIL was up-regulated in multiple cancers and could facilitate tumor proliferation and metastasis [14-23]. Moreover, IncRNA ANRIL was presented as a prognostic marker in gastric cancer [24], nasopharyngeal carcinoma [21], cervical cancer [25], ovarian cancer [26] and breast cancer [27]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the functional role of IncRNA ANRIL in PHCC has not been illuminated yet.

This study investigated the expression and clinical significance of IncRNA ANRIL in PHCC cell lines and clinical tissues. Besides, the role of ANRIL in predicting PHCC prognosis was also revealed. In addition, multivariate COX regression analysis identified high ANRIL expression as an independent risk factor of overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS). Finally, ANRIL was demonstrated to promote metastasis and proliferation of PHCC cells *in vitro* assays. These results suggested that ANRIL could promote the development of PHCC, and may serve as an essential prognostic marker and therapeutic target in PHCC.

# Materials and methods

## Patients and tissue samples

A total of 82 PHCC patients who underwent surgical resection at the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University were enrolled in this study, and written informed consents were obtained from each patient. Tumor adjacent non-tumorous tissues were isolated from at least 1 cm away from the tumor border. The collected paired tissues were frozen with liquid nitrogen following resection and stored at -80°C until being used. The exclusion criterions were receiving anticancer therapies before surgery or diagnosed with two or more malignances. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University.

## Cell lines and cell culture

Four PHCC cell lines, including EGI-1, TFK-1, QBC939 and FRH0201 and one normal human cholangiocyte cell line, H69, were used in this study. EGI-1 and TFK-1 were obtained from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (Braunschweig, Germany), QBC939, FRH0201 and H69 were purchased from Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sci-

ences (Shanghai, China). EGI-1, TFK-1, QBC939 and FRH0201 were all cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Invitrogen, USA) and 1% Penicillin/ Streptomycin (Invitrogen, USA)in 5%  $CO_2$  humified atmosphere at 37°C. H69 were cultured as previously described [28].

# RNA extraction, reverse transcription and realtime PCR

Total RNA of PHCC clinical specimens and cell lines was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). Reverse transcription (RT) was conducted with using the Prime-Script one step RT-PCR kit (Takara, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then, synthesized cDNA was used for the real-time PCR on the Applied Biosystems 7900HT Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA) with the SYBR PrimeScript RT-PCR Kit (Takara, Dalian, China). Relative gene expression was calculated using the 2-AACT method. GAPDH was utilized to normalize the relative abundance of mRNAs. The following sequences of the primers were used: ANRIL forward, 5'-TGCTCTATCCGCCAATC-AGG-3'; ANRIL reverse, 5'-GGGCCTCAGTGGCA-CATACC-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-GCCGCATCTTC-TTTTGCGTCGC-3'; GAPDH reverse, 5'-TCCCGTT-CTCAGCCTTGACGGT-3'.

# Transwell assay and matrigel assay

For Transwell assay, transwell chamber (8.0  $\mu$ m pore size; BD Biosciences, CA, USA) was used. QBC939 or EGI-1 transfected cells (5 × 10<sup>4</sup>) were suspended in serum-free medium and then plated in the top chamber with non-coated membrane. For the Matrigel assay, the transwell membrane was pre-coated with matrigel (BD Biosciences, CA, USA). While medium containing 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. The established models were subjected to incubation for 24 hours. Subsequently, cells migrated to the lower chamber were fixed with methanol for 30 min, stained with giemsa for 30 min, followed by visualization with a phase-contrast inverted microscope.

## Colony formation assay

To evaluate the proliferation ability of PHCC cells, colony formation assay was conducted. Cells (1000 cells per dish) were seeded in 6-wellplates and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS. Ten days later,

#### LncRNA ANRIL predicts a poor prognosis in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma



**Figure 1.** Evaluation of IncRNA ANRIL expression level and clinical significance in PHCC. (A) LncRNA ANRIL expression levels were determined by qRT-PCR in human PHCC cell lines (TFK-1, EGI-1, QBC939 and FRH0201) and the normal human cholangiocyte cell line (H69). (B) Relative expression of ANRIL was examined by qRT-PCR in PHCC tissues and paired tumor adjacent tissues. (C) Relative expression of ANRIL was examined by qRT-PCR in PHCC tissues with TNM stage (I+II) and stage (III+IV). (D) Relative expression of ANRIL was examined by qRT-PCR in PHCC tissues with/without vascular invasion. (E) The overall survival of PHCC patients with low ANRIL expression was compared with patients with high ANRIL expression. (F) The progression-free survival of PHCC patients with low ANRIL expression was compared with patients with high ANRIL expression. P < 0.05 in (A-F) was calculated with Student's *t*-test. P < 0.001 in (E and F) were analyzed by log-rank test.

the colonies was fixed, stained and then manually counted.

#### MTT assay

For the MTT assay, PHCC cells (2000 per well) were plated in 96-well plates. At different time

points (0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 d after initial incubation), MTT (10  $\mu$ l, 10 mg/ml) was added to the medium. Then, an additional 4 h incubation was followed. Subsequently, the formed formazan blue was dissolved with DMSO (100  $\mu$ l). Finally, the absorbance was measured using a microplate spectrophotometer (Dynex technol-

Devenuetere	NO. of	ANRIL	Р
Parameters	patients	(Low/High)	value
Age			0.822
< 60 years	41	17/24	
≥ 60 years	41	16/25	
Gender			0.185
Male	47	16/31	
Female	35	17/18	
Vascular invasion			0.001
No	56	29/27	
Yes	26	4/25	
Differentiation grade			0.132
Well + Moderate	58	25/23	
Poor + Undifferentiation	24	8/16	
Tumor size			0.159
< 3 cm	37	18/19	
≥ 3 cm	45	15/30	
T stage			0.546
T1+T2	67	28/39	
T3+T4	15	5/10	
N stage			0.107
NO	51	24/27	
N1	31	9/22	
M stage			0.245
MO	79	33/36	
M1	3	0/3	
TNM stage			0.003
+	41	23/18	
III+IV	41	10/31	

Table 1. Correlation between IncRNA ANRIL express
sion and PHCC clinicopathological characteristics

ogies, Sullyfield, VA) at a wavelength of 490 nm.

# Statistical analysis

All continuous data was presented as mean values  $\pm$  standard deviations (M  $\pm$  SD). The significance of differences between groups was compared by  $\chi^2$  test, Fisher's exact test or Student's *t*-test as indicated. Survival curves were calculated with Kaplan-Meier method, and differences between different groups were assessed with the log-rank test. The effects of variables on survival were assessed using univariate and multivariate Cox regression methods. All statistical analysis was conducted with the SPSS 19.0 software (IBM, USA). The significance level was set at *P* < 0.05 indicating statistically different.

# Results

# ANRIL is up-regulated and facilitates tumor progression in PHCC

The expression of IncRNA ANRIL was first detected in PHCC cell lines by qRT-PCR assay, which showed that ANRIL was up-regulated in PHCC cells compared with normal human cholangiocyte cell line, H69. (Figure 1A) Besides, ANRIL expression was further examined in PHCC tissues and paired tumor adjacent tissues by qRT-PCR. Interestingly, PHCC tissues exhibited much higher level of ANRIL relative to paired tumor adjacent tissues (0.63  $\pm$  2.11 vs. 1.69 ± 1.75, P < 0.001). (Figure 1B) Moreover, patients with advanced TNM stage (stage III and IV) exhibited much higher level of ANRIL expression than those with stage I and II (1.18  $\pm$  1.61 vs. 2.20  $\pm$  1.75, *P* = 0.004). (Figure 1C) In addition, ANRIL expression was also increased significantly in patients with vascular invasion compared with those without vascular invasion (1.29 ± 1.78 vs. 2.55 ± 1.34, P < 0.001). (Figure 1D) These results suggested that ANRIL may promote carcinogenesis and cancer development in PHCC.

To confirm our observations, all the patients were divided into 2 groups with the mean T/A value (determined by comparing ANRIL expression in PHCC tissue with that in paired tumor adjacent tissue) serving as the cutoff score  $(M_{T/A} = 1.03)$ . And correlation analysis between ANRIL expression and PHCC clinicopathological characteristics was performed. As expected, ANRIL overexpression was revealed to be associated with advanced TNM stage and vascular invasion (P < 0.05), but not correlated with other clinicopathological features, such as age, gender, differentiation grade, tumor size, T, N and M stage (P > 0.05). (**Table 1**) Taken together, ANRIL is up-regulated in PHCC and could facilitate PHCC progression.

# ANRIL overexpression predicts poor prognosis in PHCC

To further investigate the clinical significance of ANRIL in PHCC, the correlation between ANRIL expression and PHCC prognosis was analyzed. Kaplan-Meier test and log-rank test verified that patients with high ANRIL expression had much poorer OS rates and shorter PFS periods than those with low ANRIL expression. (P < 0.001) (Figure 1E, 1F)

Deversedence	Overall survival			Progression-free survival		
Parameters		95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value
Univariate analysis						
Age $\geq$ 60 years vs. < 60 years	0.983	0.573-1.685	0.950	1.006	0.595-1.699	0.983
Gender Female vs. Male	0.709	0.406-1.236	0.225	0.758	0.443-1.297	0.312
Vascular invasion Yes vs. No	1.721	0.980-3.022	0.059	1.716	0.992-2.968	0.054
Differentiation Poor + Undifferentiated vs. Well + Moderate	1.405	0.788-2.505	0.249	1.594	0.915-2.777	0.100
Tumor size $\geq$ 3 cm vs. < 3 cm	0.973	0.567-1.670	0.922	1.007	0.595-1.703	0.980
T stage (T3+T4) vs. (T1+T2)	1.406	0.723-2.733	0.316	1.439	0.760-2.726	0.264
N stage N1 vs. NO	2.666	1.545-4.602	< 0.001	2.421	1.422-4.121	0.001
M stage M1 vs. M0	14.387	3.814-54.270	< 0.001	11.678	3.236-42.147	< 0.001
TNM stage (III+IV) vs. (I+II)	3.353	1.895-5.934	< 0.001	3.318	1.905-5.779	< 0.001
ANRIL High vs. Low	1.544	1.263-1.887	< 0.001	1.492	1.234-1.805	< 0.001
Multivariate analysis						
N stage N1 vs. NO	0.750	0.331-1.697	0.489	0.681	0.322-1.441	0.315
M stage M1 vs. M0	5.287	1.321-21.165	0.019	4.583	1.192-17.621	0.027
TNM stage (III+IV) vs. (I+II)	3.323	1.415-7.805	0.006	3.484	1.594-7.618	0.002
ANRIL High vs. Low	1.403	1.146-1.717	0.001	1.346	1.113-1.627	0.002

 Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of clinicopathologic features for overall survival and progression-free survival of PHCC patients

Univariate COX analysis discovered that high ANRIL expression was a risk factor of poor OS (HR = 1.544, 95% CI = 1.263-1.887, P < 0.001) and PFS (HR = 1.492, 95% CI = 1.234-1.805, P < 0.001) (**Table 2**). Further analysis with multivariate COX regression analysis found that high ANRIL expression was an independent risk factor of PHCC poor OS (HR = 1.403, 95% CI = 1.146-1.717, P < 0.001) and PFS (HR = 1.346, 95% CI = 1.113-1.627, P = 0.002) (**Table 2**). Together, these findings indicate that ANRIL may be highlighted as an essential prognostic marker of PHCC patients.

# ANRIL promotes metastasis and proliferation of PHCC cells

To extend our understanding of the functional role of ANRIL in PHCC, ANRIL was knocked down with siRNA or overexpressed in QBC939 cells and EGI-1 cells, respectively. (Figure 2A, 2B) As expected, ANRIL deficiency obviously inhibited migration and invasion of QBC939 cells on Transwell assay and Matrigel assay. (Figure 2C) Consistently, ANRIL ectopic expression markedly promoted the motility of EGI-1 cells. (Figure 2D) Furthermore, both colony formation assay and MTT assay confirmed that ANRIL interference reduced the proliferation ability of QBC939 cells. (Figure 3A, 3B) Accordingly, ANRIL up-regulation significantly facilitated proliferation of EGI-1 cells. (Figure 3C, **3D**) Above all, ANRIL could promote metastasis and proliferation of PHCC cells.

# Discussion

LncRNA ANRIL is encoded in the chromosome 9p21 region, a hotspot for disease-associated polymorphisms, and there is an altered cellular proliferation component in almost all the diseases associated with the locus [29]. The effect of ANRIL on cellular proliferation has been well elucidated. Naemura et al. [15] reported that ANRIL could regulate the proliferation of colorectal cancer cells in both two- and threedimensional culture. In nasopharyngeal carcinoma, ANRIL was examined to promote cell proliferation by reprograming cellular glucose metabolism, which may provide ATP provision for cell proliferation [21]. Besides, ANRIL was also demonstrated to regulate the proliferation of bladder cancer cells and epithelial ovarian cancer cells through mediating cell apoptosis or senescence [16, 18]. In addition, recent studies confirmed that ANRIL could also facilitate metastasis and correlated with chemotherapy resistance in various cancers [19, 20, 23, 26, 30]. Moreover, IncRNA ANRIL was considered as a prognostic marker in gastric cancer [24], nasopharyngeal carcinoma [21], cervical cancer [25], ovarian cancer [26] and breast cancer [27], which shed new light on utilizing ANRIL as an effective diagnostic marker and



**Figure 2.** ANRIL up-regulation facilitates metastasis of PHCC cells. (A and B) Relative expression of ANRIL was quantified in PHCC cells with ANRIL interference (A) or overexpression (B) in comparison with corresponding control cells. (C) Transwell assay and Matrigel assay were adopted to verify the migration ability and invasion ability of QBC939 cells with ANRIL deficiency. (D) Transwell assay and Matrigel assay and Matrigel assay were adopted to detect the migration ability and invasion ability and invasion ability and invasion ability and invasion ability and matrigel assay and Matrigel assay were adopted to detect the migration ability and invasion ability and invasion ability of EGI-1 cells with ANRIL ectopic overexpression. *P* < 0.05 was calculated with Student's *t*-test.

therapeutic target in cancer diagnosis and treatment.

Our study first revealed ANRIL was up-regulated in PHCC and associated with PHCC progression. As presented in other cancer types, ANRIL overexpression predicted poor OS and PFS in PHCC. In addition, multivariate COX regression analysis identified high ANRIL expression as an independent risk factor of OS (HR = 1.403, 95% CI = 1.146-1.717, P < 0.001) and PFS (HR = 1.346, 95% CI = 1.113-1.627, P = 0.002). It was verified that ANRIL could promote metastasis on Transwell and Matrigel assays, and increase cell proliferation abilities on colony formation and MTT assays. These results suggested that ANRIL could promote the development of PHCC, and may serve as an essential prognostic marker and therapeutic target of PHCC patients.

Numerous literatures have focused on the mechanisms of ANRIL promoting cancer development. ANRIL has been shown to epigenetically regulate its neighbor genes, CDKN2A/B, which are known tumor suppressors and have well-established roles in cell proliferation, apoptosis, senescence and aging [31, 32], and



Figure 3. ANRIL could promote the proliferation of PHCC cells. A. Colony formation assay was conducted to evaluate the proliferation of QBC939 cells with ANRIL knock-down. B. Cell proliferation ability was assessed with MTT assay after ANRIL silencing in QBC939 cells. C. Colony formation assay was conducted to evaluate the proliferation of EGI-1 cells with ANRIL up-regulation. D. Cell proliferation ability was assessed with MTT assay after ANRIL overexpression in EGI-1 cells. P < 0.05 was calculated with Student's *t*-test.

thereby regulate cell proliferation and senescence [33]. Wang et al. [34] indicated that downregulation of IncRNA ANRIL represses tumorigenicity and enhances cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity via regulating microRNA let-7a in nasopharvngeal carcinoma, Besides, ANRILmediated growth promotion was also suggested to be partly caused by epigenetic repression of miR-99a/miR-449a by binding to PRC2, thus promoting gastric cancer cell proliferation [24]. Some studies declared that ANRIL could epigenetically repress Kruppel-like factor 2 (KLF2) transcription by binding with PRC2 and recruiting it to the KLF2 promoter region and thus drive carcinogenesis [14, 22, 35]. All this evidence supports the idea that ANRIL is a key regulatory molecule in mediating tumor progression through various pathways at different levels and cellular settings. The detailed mechanisms of ANRIL promote PHCC progression deserves further investigations.

In conclusion, this study confirmed ANRIL was overexpressed in PHCC and correlated with

PHCC clinical progression and prognosis. ANRIL was identified as an independent risk factor of PHCC poor OS or early recurrence. In addition, ANRIL aberrant expression could regulate the proliferation and metastasis abilities of PHCC cells. These results highlighted ANRIL as a prognostic marker and therapeutic target of PHCC.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 8140-3302), and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2014A030310477).

## Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Zhendong Li, Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, Guangdong Province, China. E-mail: zdlijinan@163. com

#### References

- [1] Razumilava N and Gores GJ. Cholangiocarcinoma. Lancet 2014; 383: 2168-2179.
- [2] Rizvi S and Gores GJ. Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management of cholangiocarcinoma. Gastroenterology 2013; 145: 1215-1229.
- [3] Sirica AE and Gores GJ. Desmoplastic stroma and cholangiocarcinoma: clinical implications and therapeutic targeting. Hepatology 2014; 59: 2397-2402.
- [4] Shi X, Sun M, Liu H, Yao Y and Song Y. Long non-coding RNAs: a new frontier in the study of human diseases. Cancer Lett 2013; 339: 159-166.
- [5] Ulitsky I and Bartel DP. LincRNAs: genomics, evolution, and mechanisms. Cell 2013; 154: 26-46.
- [6] Hu S and Shan G. LncRNAs in stem cells. Stem Cells Int 2016; 2016: 2681925.
- [7] Rossi MN and Antonangeli F. LncRNAs: new players in apoptosis control. Int J Cell Biol 2014; 2014: 473857.
- [8] Tsai MC, Spitale RC and Chang HY. Long intergenic noncoding RNAs: new links in cancer progression. Cancer Res 2011; 71: 3-7.
- [9] Isin M and Dalay N. LncRNAs and neoplasia. Clin Chim Acta 2015; 444: 280-288.
- [10] Yang G, Lu X and Yuan L. LncRNA: a link between RNA and cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 2014; 1839: 1097-1109.
- [11] Zhang R, Xia LQ, Lu WW, Zhang J and Zhu JS. LncRNAs and cancer. Oncol Lett 2016; 12: 1233-1239.
- [12] Huarte M and Rinn JL. Large non-coding RNAs: missing links in cancer? Hum Mol Genet 2010; 19: R152-161.
- [13] Pasmant E, Laurendeau I, Heron D, Vidaud M, Vidaud D and Bieche I. Characterization of a germ-line deletion, including the entire INK4/ ARF locus, in a melanoma-neural system tumor family: identification of ANRIL, an antisense noncoding RNA whose expression coclusters with ARF. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 3963-3969.
- [14] Nie FQ, Sun M, Yang JS, Xie M, Xu TP, Xia R, Liu YW, Liu XH, Zhang EB, Lu KH and Shu YQ. Long noncoding RNA ANRIL promotes non-small cell lung cancer cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis by silencing KLF2 and P21 expression. Mol Cancer Ther 2014; 14: 268-277.
- [15] Naemura M, Tsunoda T, Inoue Y, Okamoto H, Shirasawa S and Kotake Y. ANRIL regulates the proliferation of human colorectal cancer cells in both two- and three-dimensional culture. Mol Cell Biochem 2015; 412: 141-146.
- [16] Zhu H, Li X, Song Y, Zhang P, Xiao Y and Xing Y. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL is up-regulated in bladder cancer and regulates bladder cancer

cell proliferation and apoptosis through the intrinsic pathway. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2015; 467: 223-228.

- [17] Lu Y, Zhou X, Xu L, Rong C, Shen C and Bian W. Long noncoding RNA ANRIL could be transactivated by c-Myc and promote tumor progression of non-small-cell lung cancer. Onco Targets Ther 2016; 9: 3077-3084.
- [18] Qiu JJ, Wang Y, Liu YL, Zhang Y, Ding JX and Hua KQ. The long non-coding RNA ANRIL promotes proliferation and cell cycle progression and inhibits apoptosis and senescence in epithelial ovarian cancer. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 32478-32492.
- [19] Wei X, Wang C, Ma C, Sun W, Li H and Cai Z. Long noncoding RNA ANRIL is activated by hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and promotes osteosarcoma cell invasion and suppresses cell apoptosis upon hypoxia. Cancer Cell Int 2016; 16: 73.
- [20] Zhao JJ, Hao S, Wang LL, Hu CY, Zhang S, Guo LJ, Zhang G, Gao B, Jiang Y, Tian WG and Luo DL. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL promotes the invasion and metastasis of thyroid cancer cells through TGF-beta/Smad signaling pathway. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 57903-57918.
- [21] Zou ZW, Ma C, Medoro L, Chen L, Wang B, Gupta R, Liu T, Yang XZ, Chen TT, Wang RZ, Zhang WJ and Li PD. LncRNA ANRIL is up-regulated in nasopharyngeal carcinoma and promotes the cancer progression via increasing proliferation, reprograming cell glucose metabolism and inducing side-population stem-like cancer cells. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 61741-61754.
- [22] Huang MD, Chen WM, Qi FZ, Xia R, Sun M, Xu TP, Yin L, Zhang EB, De W and Shu YQ. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL is upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma and regulates cell apoptosis by epigenetic silencing of KLF2. J Hematol Oncol 2015; 8: 50.
- [23] Lan WG, Xu DH, Xu C, Ding CL, Ning FL, Zhou YL, Ma LB, Liu CM and Han X. Silencing of long non-coding RNA ANRIL inhibits the development of multidrug resistance in gastric cancer cells. Oncol Rep 2016; 36: 263-270.
- [24] Zhang EB, Kong R, Yin DD, You LH, Sun M, Han L, Xu TP, Xia R, Yang JS, De W and Chen J. Long noncoding RNA ANRIL indicates a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and promotes tumor growth by epigenetically silencing of miR-99a/ miR-449a. Oncotarget 2014; 5: 2276-2292.
- [25] Zhang D, Sun G, Zhang H, Tian J and Li Y. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL indicates a poor prognosis of cervical cancer and promotes carcinogenesis via PI3K/Akt pathways. Biomed Pharmacother 2017; 85: 511-516.
- [26] Qiu JJ, Lin YY, Ding JX, Feng WW, Jin HY and Hua KQ. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL predicts poor prognosis and promotes invasion/metas-

tasis in serous ovarian cancer. Int J Oncol 2015; 46: 2497-2505.

- [27] Liu M, Xing LQ and Liu YJ. A three-long noncoding RNA signature as a diagnostic biomarker for differentiating between triple-negative and non-triple-negative breast cancers. Medicine 2017; 96: e6222.
- [28] Grubman SA, Perrone RD, Lee DW, Murray SL, Rogers LC, Wolkoff LI, Mulberg AE, Cherington V and Jefferson DM. Regulation of intracellular pH by immortalized human intrahepatic biliary epithelial cell lines. Am J Physiol 1994; 266: G1060-1070.
- [29] Congrains A, Kamide K, Ohishi M and Rakugi H. ANRIL: molecular mechanisms and implications in human health. Int J Mol Sci 2013; 14: 1278-1292.
- [30] Chen S, Zhang JQ, Chen JZ, Chen HX, Qiu FN, Yan ML, Chen YL, Peng CH, Tian YF and Wang YD. The over expression of long non-coding RNA ANRIL promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition by activating the ATM-E2F1 signaling pathway in pancreatic cancer: an in vivo and in vitro study. Int J Biol Macromol 2017; 102: 718-728.

- [31] Matheu A, Maraver A, Collado M, Garcia-Cao I, Canamero M, Borras C, Flores JM, Klatt P, Vina J and Serrano M. Anti-aging activity of the Ink4/Arf locus. Aging Cell 2009; 8: 152-161.
- [32] Canepa ET, Scassa ME, Ceruti JM, Marazita MC, Carcagno AL, Sirkin PF and Ogara MF. INK4 proteins, a family of mammalian CDK inhibitors with novel biological functions. IUBMB Life 2007; 59: 419-426.
- [33] Cunnington MS, Santibanez Koref M, Mayosi BM, Burn J and Keavney B. Chromosome 9p21 SNPs associated with multiple disease phenotypes correlate with ANRIL expression. PLoS Genet 2010; 6: e1000899.
- [34] Wang Y, Cheng N and Luo J. Downregulation of IncRNA ANRIL represses tumorigenicity and enhances cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity via regulating microRNA let-7a in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 2017; 31.
- [35] Kotake Y, Nakagawa T, Kitagawa K, Suzuki S, Liu N, Kitagawa M and Xiong Y. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL is required for the PRC2 recruitment to and silencing of p15(INK4B) tumor suppressor gene. Oncogene 2011; 30: 1956-1962.