Review Article Association of SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk: a meta-analysis

Lingzhi Jiang^{1*}, Jia Zhu^{1*}, Kaihong Wang², Chenqin Li¹, Haitao Li¹, Renhong Tang¹, Tiantian Qi¹, Tao Lian¹, Biao Wu¹

Departments of ¹General Surgery, ²Urinary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang 330006, Jiangxi, P. R. China. ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received May 5, 2018; Accepted October 30, 2018; Epub February 15, 2019; Published February 28, 2019

Abstract: Background: The association of the mir-502 binding site in the 3'untraslated region of SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk has been explored by previous studies, but the result is still uncertain. Materials and methods: We carried out an updated meta-analysis of fourteen articles (containing 2974 cases and 3142 controls) to obtain an assessment of the influence of the SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism with risk of cancer. Results: Overall, the results revealed that the SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism was statistically associated with the risk of cancer under heterozygous model (OR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.01-1.27, P = 0.03), though no evidence of association was found of rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk in other four model (allele model: OR = 1.00, 95% CI: 0.85-1.18, P = 0.975; recessive model: OR = 0.85, 95% CI: 0.60-1.19, P = 0.346; dominant model: OR = 1.07, 95% CI: 0.87-1.32, P = 0.495; homozygous model: OR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.56-1.26, P = 0.398). After stratifying the overall population into subgroup sorted by ethnicity, no significant correlation was observed of the rs16917496 with cancer risk among both Caucasian and Asian populations. Conclusion: These result indicated that the SET8 rs16917496 was a genetic susceptible risk factor for cancer, and rs16917496 could be used as a biomarker for estimating cancer risk in overall population.

Keywords: SET8, rs16917496, cancer risk, polymorphism

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are RNA molecules that are similar twenty-two nucleotides in length and act as post-transcriptiona lregulators of mRNA expression by forming base pairs with the thirty untranslated region (UTR) of a target mRNA to repress translation [1, 2]. More than seven hundred miRNAs have been found in humans, and these miRNAs are responsible for regulating at least thirty percent of protein-coding gene expression [3]. Specifically, miRNAs target nucleotides 2-8 at the 5'end, which is known as the 'seed region' of the 3'UTR of the target messenger RNA (mRNA). As a result of silence, the perfect complementarity of the miRNA and its target mRNA sequence can reduce the standards of protein [4, 5]. In human genome, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is the most ordinary type of genetic variation.

SET8 (also known as SETD8, PR-SET7, KMT5A), situated in chromosome 12q24.31, is a special

histone H4 lysine 20 methyltransferase (H4K-20me1) [6]. Previous research results show that polymorphism rs16917496 C/T located in the binding site of miR-502 in SET8 3'UTR regulates SET8 expression and contributes to several cancers [7-11]. Hence, this locus might be associated with cancer and considered as a candidate-susceptible locus for cancer risk, but these studies displayed contradictory conclusions.

In our study, we comprehensively searched eligible researches and conducted a meta-analysis to explore the precise relationship of SET8 rs16917496 with cancer risk.

Materials and methods

The following retrieval expressions, Identification of eligible studies ("8q24" or "rs16917496" or "SET8") and (cancer or carcinoma) and (genetic variant or polymorphism) were used to



search relevant studies in databases of Pub-Med, Science Direct, EMbase, Web of Science, CNKI and CBM up to December 2017 in any language.

Two investigators (Jiang and Zhu) were simultaneously identified title and abstract of each searched study to obtain relevant studies. Then, eligible study was carefully identified from relevant study by reading the full text.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

A study was chosen to be included in this meta-analysis if it meet all of the following criteria: 1) studies assessing the correlation of rs169 17496 polymorphism with cancer risk; 2) original case-control studies about the association of SET8 rs16917496 with cancer risk; 3) studies with abundant genotype distribution data for calculation of combined odds ratios (OR) and ninety-five percent confidence intervals (CI). When researches did not show the details regarding the genotype distribution in each group, the homologous authors of the research were touched for detailed data. Whereas, review, meta-analysis, letter, comment, communication, and single group-designed study, duplicative data published study or low quality score assessed study were excluded from our study.

Data extraction

The following baseline characteristics data were collected from eligible research: The first author's name, published year, country, ethnicity, case and control group, genotyping method, genotype data as well as OR and 95% CI. Two investigators (Jiang and Zhu) independently assessed the quality score of eligible articles and collected the data. Any assessment results with disagreement were dealt with by discussion.

Statistics analysis

Meta-analysis was performed using Stata software (Version 11.0, College Station, TX, USA).

The overall effect was established by Z test and the significantly difference was considered when *P* value was lower than 0.05. The crude OR and 95% CI were used as common measurements for evaluating the intensity of the correlation of rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk. The heterogeneity test was evaluated using Q test and estimated I²>50% was set up to consider significant difference [6]. The fixed model was used when the I²<50% [7], otherwise the random model was choose to evaluate the finally results [8]. Sensitivity analysis was peformed to evaluate the stability of meta-analysis by omitting the relevant studies successively. The possible publication bias was evaluated by the Begg's funnel plot and P<0.05 was considered as the existence of publication bias [12].

Results

Figure 1 have list the detailed screening process. A total of 59 possible related studies were retrieved based on our inclusion criteria, of which 14 articles were excluded because of they are not related to cancer and SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism. Excluding the studies of other genes or other diseases, metaanalysis, studies lacking enough available data and failed to obtain related information from the homologous authors. Consequently, 14 articles including 14 case-control studies met our

Author	Year C	Country	Ethnicity	Capacitypa	Case			Control			Genotyping	
Author				Cancer type		TC	CC	TT	TC	CC	HWE	Method
Wang	2012	China	Asian	Epithelial ovarian cancer	160	155	27	167	132	45	No	LDR
Guo	2011	China	Asian	Hepatocellular carcinoma	72	50	11	73	55	14	Yes	PCR
Ding	2012	China	Asian	Small-cell lung cancer	22	12	8	24	12	6	Yes	LDR
Yang1	2014	China	Asian	Cervical Cancer	44	42	28	111	63	26	No	PCR-RFLP
Hashemi	2014	Iran	Caucasian	Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia	3	59	13	0	108	7	Yes	PCR-RFLP
Yang2	2014	China	Asian	Non-small cell lung cancer	95	57	12	102	69	28	No	PCR-RFLP
Zhang	2017	China	Asian	Clear cell renal cell carcinoma	79	47	14	68	32	30	Yes	PCR-RFLP
Mosallayi	2017	Iran	Caucasian	Colorectal Cancer	58	80	32	69	71	30	Yes	PCR-RFLP
Zhao	2013	China	Asian	Esophageal cancer	32	25	8	30	26	4	Yes	PCR-RFLP
Song	2010	China	Asian	Breast cancer	504	491	115	518	475	104	Yes	RT-PCR
Li	2017	China	Asian	Epithelial ovarian cancer	43	52	5	49	38	13	Yes	PCR
Gao	2017	China	Asian	Clear cell renal cell carcinoma	66	34	10	68	32	30	Yes	RT-PCR
Barjui	2017	Iran	Caucasian	Breast cancer	38	183	19	21	172	38	Yes	PCR-RFLP
Narouie	2017	Iran	Caucasian	Prostate cancer	29	94	46	65	83	3	Yes	PCR-RFLP

 Table 1. Characteristics of eligible studies concerning rs16917496 and cancer risk in the present meta-analysis

O an at ma	No. of individuals (cases/controls)	No. of studies	OR (95% CI)	Р	l² (%)	Begg's and egger's test		
Genotype						P _B	P _E	
Overall	2974/3142	14						
Allele (T vs C)			1.00 (0.85, 1.18)	0.975	74.2	1.000	0.847	
Recessive (CC vs TC+TT)			0.85 (0.60, 1.19)	0.346	74.3	0.827	0.609	
Dominant (CC+TC vs TT)			1.07 (0.87, 1.32)	0.495	63.3	0.511	0.680	
Homozygous (CC vs TT)			0.84 (0.56, 1.26)	0.398	77.8	0.661	0.379	
Heterozygous (TC vs TT)			1.13 (1.01, 1.27)	0.03	45.8	0.743	0.879	
Asian	2320/2444	10						
Allele (T vs C)			0.95 (0.79, 1.15)	0.589	70.6			
Recessive (CC vs TC+TT)			0.75 (0.49, 1.14)	0.173	73.8			
Dominant (CC+TC vs TT)			1.04 (0.88, 1.22)	0.641	31.3			
Homozygous (CC vs TT)			0.79 (0.52, 1.22)	0.288	72.9			
Heterozygous (TC vs TT)			1.11 (0.99, 1.26)	0.085	0.00			
Caucasian	654/698	4						
Allele (T vs C)			1.14 (0.77, 1.68)	0.506	83.7			
Recessive (CC vs TC+TT)			1.14 (0.57, 2.29)	0.715	80.2			
Dominant (CC+TC vs TT)			1.04 (0.44, 2.48)	0.929	85.5			
Homozygous (CC vs TT)			0.90 (0.27, 2.99)	0.863	87.3			
Heterozygous (TC vs TT)			1.24 (0.93, 1.66)	0.136	82.4			

selection criteria [9-11, 13-17, 23-26]. The baseline characteristics of each including article are shown in **Table 1**. While studies disagreeing with HWE containing three were shown in bold.

Meta-analysis results assessed the correlation of rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk through analyzing data obtained from 14 articles, containing 2974 cases and 3142 controls. The results of the heterogeneity test and meta-analysis for overall and subgroups shown in **Figures 2-6** are summarized in **Table 2**. As shown in **Table 2**, the random model was choose to evaluate the allele model, recessive model, dominant model, homozygous model, the fixed model was choose to evaluate the heterozygous model. **Table 2** demonstrated no correlation was observed in rs16917496 polymorphism and risk of cancer among overall population using allele model, recessive model, dominant model, homozygous model (allele model: OR = 1.00, 95% CI: 0.85-1.18, P = 0.975; recessive model: OR = 0.85, 95% CI:



Figure 2. Result of meta-analysis concerning allele model (C vs T) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.



Figure 3. Result of meta-analysis concerning recessive model (CC vs TC+TT) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.

0.60-1.19, P = 0.346; dominant model: OR = 1.07, 95% CI: 0.87-1.32, P = 0.495; homozygous model: OR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.56-1.26, P = 0.398), whereas significance correlation of the *SET8* rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk among overall population was found in heterozygous model (OR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.01-

1.27, P = 0.03), rs16917496polymorphism had no connection with cancer risk among Caucasian population in five genetic models (allele model: OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.77-1.68, P = 0.506; recessive model: OR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.57-2.29, P = 0.715; dominant model: OR = 1.04, 95% CI: 0.44-2.48, P = 0.929; homozygous model: OR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.27-2.99, P = 0.863; heterozygous model: OR = 1.24, 95% CI: 0.93-1.66, P = 0.136) and Asian population in five genetic models (allele model: OR = 0.95, 95% CI: 0.79-1.15, P = 0.589; recessive model: OR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.49-1.14, P = 0.173; dominant model: OR = 1.04, 95% CI: 0.88-1.22, P = 0.641; homozygous model: OR = 0.79, 95% CI: 0.52-1.22, P = 0.288; heterozygous model: OR = 1.11, 95% CI: 0.99-1.26, P = 0.085).

Heterogeneity

As was shown in the Table 2 that obvious heterogeneities existed in overall meta-analysis about the rs16917496 polymorphism and cancer risk. Heterogeneity may be drive from ethnicity, cancer type, genotyping method, gene model, environment and sample capacity. In the subgroup analysis and analysis of different gene models, heterogeneity obvious different. which suggested that ethnicity and different gene model analysis may be were sources of heterogeneity.

Sensitivity analysis

When omitting each article, the *P* values of overall effect and ORs in comparison of genotype were similar in overall population and each ethnicity subgroups, suggesting that the results were stable in these groups.

Study ID		OR (95% CI)	% Weight
Asian			
Wang (2012)	-	1.07 (0.80, 1.45)	10.32
Guo (2011)		0.90 (0.56, 1.44)	7.75
Ding (2012)		1.21 (0.51, 2.87)	3.97
Yang1 (2014)	k	1.98 (1.24, 3.17)	7.82
Yang2 (2014)		0.76 (0.50, 1.16)	8.56
Zhang (2017)		0.85 (0.52, 1.37)	7.67
Zhao (2013)		1.03 (0.51, 2.08)	5.18
Song (2010)	+	1.08 (0.91, 1.27)	12.18
Li (2017)		1.27 (0.73, 2.22)	6.69
Gao2 (2017)		0.73 (0.44, 1.22)	7.23
Subtotal (I-squared = 31.3%, p = 0.158)	•	1.04 (0.88, 1.22)	77.37
Caucasian		,	
Hashemi (2014) 🗲 💌	i	0.09 (0.00, 1.76)	0.46
Mosallayi (2017)	•	1.32 (0.85, 2.05)	8.21
Barjui (2017)		0.53 (0.30, 0.94)	6.58
Narouie (2017)		2.68 (1.62, 4.43)	7.38
Subtotal (I-squared = 85.5%, p = 0.000)	\triangleleft	1.04 (0.44, 2.48)	22.63
	T		
Overall (I-squared = 63.3%, p = 0.001)	♦	1.07 (0.87, 1.32)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects and	alysis		
.00457	1 1	219	

Figure 4. Result of meta-analysis concerning dominant model (CC+TC vs TT) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.

Study ID	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
Asian		
Wang (2012)	0.63 (0.37, 1.06)	8.90
Guo (2011)	0.80 (0.34, 1.87)	7.17
Ding (2012)	1.45 (0.44, 4.86)	5.47
Yang1 (2014)	2.72 (1.44, 5.14)	8.32
Yang2 (2014)	0.46 (0.22, 0.96)	7.82
Zhang (2017)	0.40 (0.20, 0.82)	7.92
Zhao (2013)	1.88 (0.51, 6.88)	5.08
Song (2010)	1.14 (0.85, 1.52)	9.90
Li (2017)	0.44 (0.14, 1.33)	5.90
Gao2 (2017)	0.34 (0.16, 0.76)	7.50
Subtotal (I-squared = 72.9%, p = 0.000)	0.79 (0.52, 1.22)	73.99
Caucasian		
Hashemi (2014)	0.26 (0.01, 5.68)	1.50
Mosallayi (2017)	1.27 (0.69, 2.33)	8.47
Barjui (2017) —	0.28 (0.13, 0.59)	7.64
Narouie (2017)	3.03 (1.63, 5.65)	8.40
Subtotal (I-squared = 87.3%, p = 0.000)	0.90 (0.27, 2.99)	26.01
Overall (I-squared = 77.8%, p = 0.000)	0.84 (0.56, 1.26)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.0116 1	85.9	

Figure 5. Result of meta-analysis concerning homozygous model (CC vs TT) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.

Publication bias

Using Begg's test and funnel plot to evaluate Publication bias. As shown in **Table 2** and **Figures 7-11**. These results indicated that no publication bias was found in comparison the association of the mir-502 binding site in the 3'untraslated region of SET8 gene rs169174-96 polymorphisms with cancer risk.

Discussion

In this study, according to 14 studies about the correlation of SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk, this meta-analysis offered strong evidence of connection of SE-78 rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk. The results showed that the rs16917496 polymorphism was not statistically correlation with the risk of cancer under 4 genetic model (allele model, recessive model, dominant model, homozygous model), whereas rs16917496 was associated with increased susceptibility to cancer under heterozygous model. In subgroups analyses, and no correlation was found of rs16917496 with cancer risk in Caucasian and Asian populations under 5 genetic model. The influence of SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism on various types of cancer has been considered. Ding et al. [11] indicated no correlation of SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism with small-cell lung cancer risk, In contrast, Yang et al. [15] found that SET8 rs16917496 was associated with an increased risk of nonsmall cell lung cancer. The SET8 rs16917496 is not only associated with lung cancer, but also related to other cancers, such as epithelial ovarian cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma. Cervical Cancer and acute

lymphoblastic leukemia. Mosallayi et al. [17] found that *SET8* rs16917496 polymorphism was no connection with an increased risk of Colorectal Cancer. Wang et al. [9] showed that *SET8* rs16917496 polymorphism was correlated with epithelial ovarian cancer risk. Guo et al.

Asian 1 22 (0 80, 1 69), 11	2.06 55
Asian I Wong (2012) 1 22 (0.80, 1.69) 11	2.06 .55
	2.06 .55
Viding (2012)	55
Ding (2012) 1.09 (0.41, 2.93) 1.	32
Yang1 (2014) 1.68 (1.00, 2.84) 3.	.74
Yang2 (2014) 0.89 (0.57, 1.39) 7.	.12
Zhang (2017) 1.26 (0.73, 2.20) 3.	.92
Zhao (2013) 0.90 (0.43, 1.89) 2.	58
Song (2010) + 1.06 (0.89, 1.27) 42	2.23
Li (2017) 1.56 (0.87, 2.80) 3.	15
Gao2 (2017) 1.09 (0.61, 1.97) 3.	70
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.707)	5.38
Caucasian	
Hashemi (2014) 0.08 (0.00, 1.54) 0.	77
Mosallavi (2017) 1.34 (0.84, 2.15) 5.	19
Bariui (2017) 0.59 (0.33, 1.04) 5	54
Narouje (2017)	11
Subtotal (I-squared = 82.4% p = 0.001)	4 62
	1.02
$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ Overall (lequared = 45.8\% \text{ p} = 0.031) \\ \end{array}$	00.00
Overall (1-Squared = 40.070, p = 0.001)	0.00
00398 1 251	

Figure 6. Result of meta-analysis concerning heterozygous model (TC vs TT) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.



Figure 7. Begg's funnel plot of allele model (C/T) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.

[10] suggested that SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism was a risk factor of hepatocellular carcinoma. Except for the study of the link between single cancer and SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism, Lv et al. [18] made a accumulative meta-analysis to assess the link of SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk. Their results were that SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism contributed to cancer. We made this meta-analysis for the correlation of SET8 rs16917496 polymorphism with cancer risk and found that *SET8* rs16917496 polymorphism was correlation with an increased cancer risk, which was in line with the previous meta analysis. In the subgroups analysis, no significant correlation was observed of the polymorphism of rs169-17496 with cancer risk among both Caucasian and Asian populations.

SET8 encodes a histone H4 lysine 20 monomethyltransferase that is implicated in normal cell cycle progression, the SET8 is regulated by miR-502 via the binding site in the 3'-UTR of the SET8 mRNA [19-21]. That histone H4 methyltransferase encoded by SET8 gene is crucial for omnifarious biological processes, such as transcriptional regulation, heterochromatin formation, DNA replication, cell-cycle arrest, and maintenance of genome integrity [19, 20]. SET8 methyltransferase activity modifies p53 protein function by monomethylation of p53 at lysine 382 [22]. In cellular stresses including DNA damage, p53-dependent cell cycle arrest or apoptosis activated for which depletion of cellular SET8 is an essential prerequisite as seen that p53K382me1 levels decrease with DNA damage [8, 22]. Given, the importance of SET8-

P53 interaction in cell-cycle and apoptosis control, deregulation of SET8 under influence of SNP rs16917496 T>C within the miR-502 binding site in the 3'-UTR of the SET8 gene would adversely affect this vital axis in the cell [10]. Thus, SET8 may be a critical element in the development of cancer, and inhibit SET8 may restrain tumorigenesis and progression. Previous studies have suggested that the SNP rs16917496 adjusts SET8 expression and



Figure 8. Begg's funnel plot of recessive model (CC vs TC+TT) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.



Figure 9. Begg's funnel plot of dominant model (CC+TC vs TT) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.



leads to cancer risk and the early development of cancer [7, 23].

The meta-analysis, to our knowledge, is the first time to comprehensively estimate the correlation of SET8 rs169174-96 polymorphism with cancer risk in Caucasian and Asian population, and it is more reliable than previous single case-control study because it includes the largest of sample size. Although some puzzles have been made more unambiguous by this meta-analysis, along with previous studies, several limitations should also be noted. First, the controls for three studies didn't conform Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, which may affect the results. Second, the coverage of the clinical data (sex, age group, etc.) of the case and control groups was not all-sided. Third, we limited capacity to analyze the interaction of all gene-toenvironment and gene-to-gene. Fourth, no enough casecontrol studies on cancer type studies could be collected in the meta-analysis, restraining further analysis of the correlation of SET8 rs16917496 with cancer among more cancer type. Finally, number of samples was not enough big, which may effect validity and reliability of the meta- analysis result.

In summary, this meta-analysis demonstrated that rs169174-96 is a genetic susceptible locus for cancer risk in overall population. Considering the limitations of this study, the more studies and larger scales of control-cases is essential to verify the correlation of *SET8* rs16917496 polymorphisms with cancer risk.





Figure 11. Begg's funnel plot of heterozygous model (TC vs TT) of rs61764370 with cancer risk.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Biao Wu, Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Yongwai Rd. 17, Donghu District, Nanchang 330006, Jiangxi, P. R. China. Tel: 0791-88693241; Fax: 0791-88693241; E-mail: cdyfyrxk@126.com

References

- Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 2004; 116: 281-297.
- [2] Ambros V. The functions of animal microRNAs. Nature 2004; 431: 350-355.
- [3] Lewis BP, Burge CB and Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell 2005; 120: 15-20.
- [4] Zeng Y, Yi R and Cullen BR. MicroRNAs and small interfering RNAs can inhibit mRNA expression by similar mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003; 100: 9779-9784.
- [5] Zeng Y, Wagner EJ and Cullen BR. Both natural and designed micro RNAs can inhibit the expression of cognate mRNAs when expressed in human cells. Mol Cell 2002; 9: 1327-1333.
- [6] Xiao B, Jing C, Kelly G, Walker PA, Muskett FW, Frenkiel TA, Martin SR, Sarma K, Reinberg D, Gamblin SJ and Wilson JR. Specificity and

mechanism of the histone methyltransferase Pr-Set7. Genes Dev 2005; 19: 1444-1454.

- [7] Song F, Zheng H, Liu B, Wei S, Dai H, Zhang L, Calin GA, Hao X, Wei Q, Zhang W and Chen K. An miR-502-binding site single-nucleotide polymorphism in the 3'-untranslated region of the SET8 gene is associated with early age of breast cancer onset. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15: 6292-6300.
- [8] Xu J, Yin Z, Gao W, Liu L, Yin Y, Liu P and Shu Y. Genetic variation in a microRNA-502 minding site in SET8 gene confers clinical outcome of non-small cell lung cancer in a chinese population. PLoS One 2013; 8: e77024.
- [9] Wang C, Guo Z, Wu C, Li Y and Kang S. A polymorphism

at the miR-502 binding site in the 3' untranslated region of the SET8 gene is associated with the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Genet 2012; 205: 373-376.

- [10] Guo Z, Wu C, Wang X, Wang C, Zhang R and Shan B. A polymorphism at the miR-502 binding site in the 3'-untranslated region of the histone methyltransferase SET8 is associated with hepatocellular carcinoma outcome. Int J Cancer 2012; 131: 1318-1322.
- [11] Ding C, Li R, Peng J, Li S and Guo Z. A polymorphism at the miR-502 binding site in the 3' untranslated region of the SET8 gene is associated with the outcome of small-cell lung cancer. Exp Ther Med 2012; 3: 689-692.
- [12] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ and Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557-560.
- [13] Yang SD, Cai YL, Jiang P, Li W and Tang JX. Association of a miR-502-binding site single nucleotide polymorphism in the 3'-untranslated region of SET8 and the TP53 codon 72 polymorphism with cervical cancer in the Chinese population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014; 15: 6505-6510.
- [14] Hashemi M, Sheybani-Nasab M, Naderi M, Roodbari F and Taheri M. Association of functional polymorphism at the miR-502-binding site in the 3' untranslated region of the SETD8 gene with risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia, a preliminary report. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 10375-10379.
- [15] Yang S, Guo H, Wei B, Zhu S, Cai Y, Jiang P and Tang J. Association of miR-502-binding site

single nucleotide polymorphism in the 3'-untranslated region of SET8 and TP53 codon 72 polymorphism with non-small cell lung cancer in chinese population. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 2014; 46: 149-154.

- [16] Zhang S, Guo Z, Xu J, Wang J, Zhang J, Cui L, Zhang H, Liu Y and Bai Y. MiR-502-mediated histone methyltransferase SET8 expression is associated with clear cell renal cell carcinoma risk. Oncol Lett 2017; 14: 7131-7138.
- [17] Mosallayi M, Simonian M, Khosravi S, Salehi AR, Khodadoostan M, Sebghatollahi V, Baradaran A and Salehi R. Polymorphism (rs169-17496) at the miR-502 binding site of the lysine methyltransferase 5A (SET8) and its correlation with colorectal cancer in Iranians. Adv Biomed Res 2017; 6: 77.
- [18] Ramshankar V and Krishnamurthy A. Lung cancer detection by screening - presenting circulating miRNAs as a promising next generation biomarker breakthrough. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013; 14: 2167-2172.
- [19] Fang J, Feng Q, Ketel CS, Wang H, Cao R, Xia L, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Simon JA and Zhang Y. Purification and functional characterization of SET8, a nucleosomal histone H4lysine 20-specific methyltransferase. Curr Biol 2002; 12: 1086-1099.

- [20] Nishioka K, Rice JC, Sarma K, Erdjument-Bromage H, Werner J, Wang Y, Chuikov S, Valenzuela P, Tempst P, Steward R, Lis JT, Allis CD and Reinberg D. PR-Set7 is a nucleosomespecific methyltransferase that modifies lysine 20 of histone H4 and is associated with silent chromatin. Mol Cell 2002; 9: 1201-1213.
- [21] Wu S, Wang W, Kong X, Congdon LM, Yokomori K, Kirschner MW and Rice JC. Dynamic regulation of the PR-Set7 histone methyltransferase is required for normal cell cycle progression. Genes Dev 2010; 24: 2531-2542.
- [22] Shi X, Kachirskaia I, Yamaguchi H, West LE, Wen H, Wang EW, Dutta S, Appella E and Gozani O. Modulation of p53 function by SET8mediated methylation at lysine 382. Mol Cell 2007; 27: 636-646.
- [23] Yu Z, Li Z, Jolicoeur N, Zhang L, Fortin Y, Wang E, Wu M and Shen SH. Aberrant allele frequencies of the SNPs located in microRNA target sites are potentially associated with human cancers. Nucleic Acids Res 2007; 35: 4535-4541.