Original Article APE1/Ref-1 regulates 5-FU resistance in colon cancer cells through its redox and endonuclease activity

Xuerui Wang¹, Yanhui Yu¹, Xiumin Liu¹, Yijing Li¹, Quan Wang², Jiayu Zhang³, Yuanyu Wu³, Tiancheng Zhao³, Kexin Shen³, Hongquan Zhu¹

¹Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130033, Jilin, China; Departments of ²Radiation Oncology, ³Gastrointestinal Colorectal and Anal Surgery, China Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130033, Jilin, China

Received January 13, 2018; Accepted October 8, 2018; Epub April 15, 2019; Published April 30, 2019

Abstract: 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is one of the most widely used drugs for treatment of patients with advanced colon cancers. However, recurrence of colorectal cancer is frequent due to the development of drug resistance. The understanding of drug resistance is still limited now. Here in this study, we showed that the dual-functional protein APE1/Ref-1 (APE1 in short) was upregulated in 5-FU resistance HCT116 colon cancer cell lines. Inhibiting APE1 protein by siRNA sensitized cells to 5-FU again. By deactivating the DNA repair and redox activity respectively, we found inhibiting either DNA repair activity or the redox activity enhanced 5-FU's effect in both cell model and xenograft animal model. Moreover, inhibiting the redox activity showed a more robust effect on reversing the drug resistance. Inhibiting endonuclease activity of APE1 increased sensitivity to 5-FU in a p53 dependent manner. A major implication of our studies is that inhibition of APE1 may have considerable therapeutic potential to overcome drug resistance in colorectal cancer cells.

Keywords: APE1, colon cancer, 5-FU, drug resistance, P53

Introduction

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU), a thymidylate synthase inhibitor is one of the most common used chemotherapy drug for colorectal cancer treatment [1]. 5-FU is an analogue of uracil with a fluorine atom at the C-5 position in place of hydrogen, after uptaken by cells, 5-FU is converted into several metabolites: fluorodeoxyuridine monophosphate (FdUMP), fluorodeoxyuridine triphosphate (FdUTP) and fluorouridine triphosphate (FUTP) which are incorporated into DNA or RNA, leading to DNA strand breakage and a decrease in protein synthesis [2].

Drug resistance is the major cause of cancer relapse and death. Current knowledge about mechanism of drug resistance in cancers includes upregulation of transporters, overexpression of survival genes, inhibition of tumor suppressors, DNA repairs, autophagy and etc. [3]. Even much effort has been taken, drug resistance is still an obstacle for cancer treatment. Human apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1/ redox effector factor 1 (APE1/Ref-1) is a multifunctional protein [4], The C terminal domain of APE1/Ref-1 replies for its DNA base excision repair (BER) activity. High expression of APE1 have been shown in different types of cancers, including melanoma, pancreatic cancer and ovarian cancer [4, 5]. Over-expression of APE1 and enhanced AP endonuclease activity were shown linked to monofunctional alkylators and radiation resistance [6, 7]. APE1 is also an important transcriptional regulator of gene expression [8]. APE1 regulates the redox status of several transcription factors such as the early growth response protein-1 (Egr-1), nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), hypoxia inducible factor 1 (HIF-1), cAMP response element binding protein (CREB), activator protein-1 (AP-1), and paired boxcontaining proteins (Pax) [9]. Many of them have been proved important regulators of drug resistance in breast cancer, colon cancer and other cancers [10-13].

The p53 tumor suppressor is a transcription factor that can be activated by various stresses including chemotherapeutic agents [14]. p53 and its target genes have been shown directly involved in DNA repair after oxidative and alkylation damage [15]. Upon DAN damage, p53 becomes activated by a number of post-translational regulating factors, including kinases, ubiquitin ligases, histone deacetylase and acetylating proteins [16]. After stabilization by those regulators, p53 elicits several biological responses including DNA damage repair, cellcycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis [17]. However, more than 50% of human cancers lost p53 function because of mutations, loss of the short arm of chromosome 17 or other mechanisms [17]. Loss of p53 function can results in enhanced cell proliferation, genomic instability and resistance to cell death, which raises an obstacle for cancer thermotherapy.

Material and methods

Cell lines and reagents

HCT116 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA). The HCT116 5-FU resistance cell line was generated by incubating HCT116 cells with increasing concentrations (from 20 ng/ml to 1 ug/ml) of 5-FU (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 2 months [18].

5-FU and was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The antibodies for western blot were purchased as indicated: anti-APE1, abcam (Cambridge, England), anti-p53, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc (Santa Cruz, CA) and anti-GAP-DH, Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Q-PCR and western blot assay

Total cell mRNA was prepared with (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) Expression of APE1 was determined using SYBR® Green PCR Mastermixes (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) The primers for APE1 and GAPDH are APE1: F: 5'-ACAGAGCCAGAGGCCAAGAA-3', R: 5'-CCTGC-TGCCTCTTTGTCATTT-3'. GAPDH: F: 5'-AATCCC-ATCACCATCTTCCA-3', R: 5'-TGGACTCCACGACG-TACTCA-3'. Whole cell lysates for western blot assay were prepared in RIPA buffer (1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mM EDTA and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)). Equivalent amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Proteins were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence system (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ).

Cell viability assay

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates and treated with 5-FU or E3330 for indicated time. Cells were then stained with Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide (MTT) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 2 hours. The OD at 570 nm was read on a ELx808[™] Absorbance Microplate Reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT) after the purple precipitates were dissolved by MTT detergent reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Normalized cell viability was calculated as a ratio of OD values of treated samples to those of controls.

DNA fragmentation assay

DNA fragmentation was detected using a DNA fragmentation ELISA assay kit (Roche) according to manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were seeded in 96-well plates and subjected 5-FU for 24 hours. The cells were stained with MTT to determine cell numbers or lysed for ELISA assays to determine DNA fragmentation. The relative DNA fragmentation was determined by dividing ELISA values by MTT values of each sample.

Plasmid and construct

Oligonucleotides used for siRNA of APE1 were as follows: sense5'-CCTGCCACACTCAAGATCT-GC-3'; antisense, 5'-GCAGATCTTGAGTGTGGCA-GG-3'. the scrambled oligonucleotide sequences: sense, 5'-AGTCTAACTCGCCACCCCGTA-3'; antisense, 5'-TACGGGGTGGCGAGTTAGACT-3'. APE1 knock-in constructs were generated following the instruction described previous [19]. All the mutants were confirmed by DNA sequencing (genescript China). shp53 pLKO.1 puro was a gift from Bob Weinberg (Addgene plasmid # 19119), shRNA oligo sequence is 5'-CCGACTCCAGTGGTAATCTACTTCAAGAGAG-TAGATTACCACTGGAGTCTTTTT-3'.

Luciferase reporter assay

HCT116R Cells were co-transfected with plasmid with luciferase driven by NF-κB, AP-1, or HIF1 (pLuc-MCS with the NFKB, AP-1, or HIF1 responsive promoter; PathDetect cis-Reporting Systems, Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and a Renilla luciferase control reporter vector pRL-TK (Promega Corp., Madison, WI). After transfected, cells were treated with E3330 and the luciferase activity was assayed as described previously [20]. Cells expressing inducible siAPE1 construct were treated with doxycycline for 2 days to eliminate the endogenous APE1. The luciferase activity was determined in the same experiment.

In vivo xenograft model

Animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethical Committee. Celle expressing different constructs were injected into the flank of 4-6 weeks old male athymic nude mice on both sides. Dox was added in the drink water to induce the knockdown of APE1. 5-FU (40 mg/kg/day) administered by i.p. injection every other day for 16 days. Tumor volumes were measured as described previously [21], The estimated tumor volumes (V) were calculated by the formula $V = W2 \times L \times 0.5$, where W represents the largest tumor diameter in centimeters and L represents the next largest tumor diameter. The relative tumor volumes (RTV) were calculated by RTV = Vx/VO where Vx is the volume in cubic millimeters at a given time [21].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using twoway ANOVA or Student's t-test.

Results

HCT116 cells obtained drug resistance after 5-FU treatment for 2 months

5-FU has been using for treating colon cancers for more than 40 years [2]. Drug resistance is the major cause of failure of treatment [22]. HCT116 is a type of poorly differentiated colon cell line [23]. It is well responded to 5-FU treatment. Drug resistance occurred when HCT116 cells were treated with increasing levels of 5-FU for two months. As shown in **Figure 1A**, the IC50 of 5-FU resistant cell line was 6 folds higher than its parental counterpart. The major mechanism for 5-FU is to incorporate into DNA and induce the DNA damage in the tumor cells [2]. As shown in **Figure 1B**, The DNA fragmentation assay showed that 5-FU resistant cells exhibited reduced DNA fragmentation compared to parental HCT116 cells.

APE1 was upregulated in 5-FU resistance HCT116 cells

Drug resistance is a complex process and many mechanisms are involved. APE1 is a multiple functional protein, whose endonuclease and redox functions are both shown playing important roles in tumor development and drug resistance [24, 25]. As expected, we found both mRNA and protein were increased in the 5-FU resistant HCT11R cells (Figure 1C, 1D left), indicating the involvement of APE1 in 5-FU resistance. Then, we used siRNA to knockdown the expression of APE1 in HCT116 R cells. We found that knockdown APE1 significantly sensitized HCT116R cells to 5-FU (Figure 1E). The APE1 knockdown cells also showed more DNA fragmentation compared to HCT116R control cells (Figure 1F).

Both endonuclease activity and redox activity contributed to drug resistance of 5-FU

APE1 is the only protein performing both endonuclease and redox activities [26]. Since the two functions are independent on each other [26], it will be very interesting to investigate which function is more important for 5-FU resistance in our model. We introduced ectopic flagged forms of wild-type (WT) and mutant APE1 proteins on an inducible APE1 siRNA background to HCT116R cells [19] (Figure 2A). The APE1-H309N mutant lacks the DNA repair function [27, 28] and the APE1-C65S mutant has no redox activity [28]. As shown previously, knockdown APE1 sensitized cell to 5-FU (Figure **1E**). Introducing the wild-type APE1 leaded the cells regain the resistant phenotype (Figure 2B). Interestingly, cells expressing APE1-H309N recovered parts of its 5-FU-resistant phenotype, but APE1-C65S had little effect on recovering drug resistance (Figure 2B). This result indicated redox activity of APE1 played a dominant role in 5-FU resistance in HCT116R cells. To confirm this result, we treated HCT116R cells with E3330, which is a potent APE1 redox activity inhibitor that does not impede its DNA repair function [26]. The activity of E3330 was reflected by the decrease of promoter activity of NF- κ B, AP-1 and HIF1 α (**Figure 3B-D**). When combined with 10 uM E3330, 2.5 ug/ml 5-FU



Figure 1. APE1 was upregulated in 5-FU resistant HCT116R cells and knockdown APE1 sensitized cells to 5-FU. A. HCT116 cells and 5-FU resistant HCT116R cells were seed in 96 well plate at 4000 cells/well and then subjected to a series concentration of 5-FU for 72 hours. Cell viability was determined with MTT assay. Normalized cell viability was shown. B. HCT116 and HCT116R cells were seed in 96 well plates at 8000 cells/well and treated with 2 ug/ ml or 2.5 ug/ml 5-FU for 24 hours. DNA fragmentation was determined using a Cellular DNA Fragmentation ELISA kit. C. The relative mRNA expression of APE1 in HCT116R cells (left) and APE1 expression after siRNA knockdown (right) were determined using western blot assay. E. HCT116R and HCT116R-siAPE1 cells were seed in 96 well plate at 4000 cells/well and then subjected to a series concentration of 5-FU for 72 hours. Cell viability was determined using a real-time PCR assay. D. APE1 protein expression in HCT116 and HCT116R cells (left) and APE1 expression after siRNA knockdown (right) were determined using western blot assay. E. HCT116R and HCT116R-siAPE1 cells were seed in 96 well plate at 4000 cells/well and then subjected to a series concentration of 5-FU for 72 hours. Cell viability was determined with MTT assay. Normalized cell viability was shown. F. HCT116R and HCT116R-siAPE1 cells were treated with 2.5 ug/ml 5-FU. DNA fragmentation was determined. The data are presented as the mean \pm SD of three replications. **P* < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001.

significantly inhibited HCT116R cell proliferation (Figure 3A).

APE1's endonuclease activity in 5-FU resistance was depended on p53

p53 has been shown participated in many DNA repair pathways [14]. It is also an important

regulator of chemotherapy drug induced cell death [15]. HCT116 cell line is a poorly differentiated colon cell line which expresses wild type of P53 protein. We hypothesized that p53 was an important mediator for 5-FU and APE1-H309N induced cell death in HCT116R cells. To determine the function of p53 in this model, we knocked down p53 in HCT116R cells. The

Int J Clin Exp Med 2019;12(4):3870-3878



Figure 2. Cell lacking APE1 endonuclease or redox activity sensitized cells to 5-FU treatment. A. Wild type and mutant APE1 was introduced into HCT116R cells and then endogenous APE1 was inhibited by siRNA. APE1 protein was determined by western blot assay. B. Cell were seed in 96 well plate at 4000 cells/well and then subjected to a series concentration of 5-FU for 72 hours. Cell viability was determined with MTT assay. Normalized cell viability was shown. C. 2 million cells expressing different constructs were injected into the flank of 4-6 week old male athymic nude mice on both sides. Dox was added in the drink water to induce the knockdown of APE1. 5-FU (40 mg/kg/day) administered by i.p. injection every other day for 16 days. Tumor volumes were measured at the beginning of the treatment and every other day after that till the mice were euthanized. Xenograft tumor growth curves of HCT116R cells is shown. n = 14.

efficiency of p53 knockdown was shown in Figure 4A. When p53 was knocked down, the inhibitor effect of 5-FU (5 ug/ml) on APE-N309H cell growth was attenuated (Figure 4B). And 5-FU induced apoptosis was decreased by p53 knockdown too (Figure 4C).

Impair endonuclease/redox activity of APE1 modulated 5-Fu resistance in vivo

To test the function of APE1 on 5-FU resistance *in vivo*, we established a colon tumor xenograft model by subcutaneously inoculating athymic nude mice with two million HCT116R cells that express APE1-WT or mutants. After cell injection, doxycycline was added to the drinking water to induce the knockdown of endogenous APE1. After 7 days, mice were treated with 5-FU (40 mg/kg/day) administered by i.p. injection every other day for 16 days. Tumor growth was monitored during the course of 5-FU treatment. Consistent with *in vitro* result. 5-FU significantly inhibited HCT116R-siAPE1 tumor growth. Reconstitute the redox activity by expressing H309N recovered a small portion of resistant phenotype, but APE1-C65S, which lacks redox activity, has little effect to help tumors to regain resistance to 5-FU (**Figure 2C**).

Discussion

In this study, we have shown that APE1 was upregulated in 5-FU resistant HCT116 colon cancer cells. The amplification of APE1 mRNA and protein indicated that the DNA base excision repair function as well as redox regulation activity were enhanced during 5-FU resistance. Knockdown APE1 in HCT116R cells made the cells sensitive to 5-FU again. By inhibiting the endonuclease or the redox activity respectively, we found that redox activity was more dominant in regulating 5-FU resistance in HCT116R cells. Moreover, inhibiting endonuclease activity of APE1 was not sufficient to sensitize cells to 5-FU when p53 is absent.

5-FU is an analogue of uracil with a fluorine atom at the C-5 position in place of hydrogen.



Figure 3. E3330 enhances 5-FU's effect and inhibits the activity of NF- κ B, HIF1, and AP-1. A. HCT116R cells were treated with E3330, 5-FU or combination of both. Cell viability was determined by MTT assay. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 vs ctr. &P < 0.01 vs 5-FU or E3330 group. B-D. HCT116R cells were transfected with NF κ B, HIF1, or AP-1-Luc construct and cotransfected with a Renilla vector, pRL-TK. 16 hours after transfection, cells were treated with E3330 for 24 hours, and Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were assayed using Renilla luciferase activity for normalization. Luciferase activity of siAPE1/C65S cells were determined in the same experiment.

5-FU exerts its anticancer effects through inhibition of thymidylate synthase (TS) and incorporation of its metabolites into RNA and DNA [29]. 5-FU has been used for colon cancer for more than 40 years. However, in advanced colorectal cancers, the overall response rate is only 10-15% because of the development of drug resistance through various mechanisms [30]. We showed in this study, 5-FU induced DNA fragmentation was reduced in HCT116R cells (**Figure 1B**), indicating the cells developed mechanisms to defend cytotoxicity of chemotherapy drugs.

APE1 exhibits both endonuclease and redox activities. The two functions are completely independent in their actions [26]. It has been

well described that APE1 was one of the major enzyme in the EBR pathway, which is the most used pathway to cope with the single base lesion [26]. People found that a mutation form of APE1, lacking detectable nuclease activity, sensitized cancer cells to DNA-damaging agents carmustine and dideoxycytidine but had no effect on mitomycin C and cisplatin [31]. In our study, inhibiting nuclease activity had a moderate effect on 5-FU induced cell death in HCT116R cells. Those results indicated that function the APE1's nuclease activity was very likely to rely on the genetic background of cancer cells.

The redox function of APE1 is found only in mammals [26], APE1's reduced the disulfide



Figure 4. Endonuclease activity of APE1 was depended on p53 during 5-FU resistance. A. P53 was knocked down by shRNA, protein expression was determined by western blot assay. B. HCT116R cells and HCT116R p53 knockdown cells with different modification of APE1 were seed to 96 well plate at 4000 cells/well, and then treated with 5 ug/ml 5-FU for 72 hours. Cell viability was determined by MTT assay. Normalized cell viability was shown. C. HCT116R-H309N cells and HCT116R-H309N-p53kd cells were treated with 5 ug/ml 5-FU for 24 hours. DNA fragmentation was determined. The data are presented as the mean \pm SD of three replications. ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001.

bonds of numerous transcription factors and enhanced their DNA binding [32]. Lots of transcription factors under the regulation of APE1 are involved in cancer promotion and progression, notably AP-1 (Fos/Jun), NF- κ B, PAX, HIF-1 α , HLF, p53 and others [28, 33-35]. The dual function of APE1 makes it a good therapy target for anti-cancer drug development. In this study, we inhibited the endonuclease and redox activity respectively in HCT116R cells, we found inhibiting redox activity was more potent in reversing drug resistance both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Many small molecules targeting the redox activity of APE1 have been developed. E3330 ([(2E)-3-[5-(2,3dimethoxy-6-methyl-1,4-benzoquinolyl)]-2-nonyl-2-propenoic acid]) is a quinone compound that functions as a redox inhibitor of APE1 by increasing disulfide bond formation with Cys 65 and Cys 93 residues of APE1 [36]. In many studies, E3330 has shown great potential to be a good drug candidate by inhibiting tumor cell functions [4, 37, 38]. In this study, we showed that E3330 significantly increased the cytotoxicity of 5-FU, which was constant with previous report of combination of E3330 and 5-FU on treating colon cancer stem cells [39]. So, taken together, redox activity of APE1 might serve as a good therapeutic target in treating 5-FU resistant cancers.

The development of drug resistance involves the alteration of multiple genes and pathways. The DNA repair function of APE1 has been suggested playing a vital role in cell viability and proliferation in colon cancer cells [40]. In our study, we noticed that loss of endonuclease activity had a moderate effect on the drug resistance of HCT116R cells, but this phonotype was attenuated by p53 knockdown. p53 and its target genes have been shown par-

ticipating in DNA repair pathways as well as in DNA-damage induced cell death [14, 17]. In HCT116R cells the wild type p53 might stimulate cell cycle arrest and apoptosis upon the DAN damage caused by 5-FU and APE1 knockdown. Moreover, other mechanisms like NEIL1/ 2/PNK-dependent base excision repair can bypass the APE1 [41]. This might explain why inhibiting endonuclease activity of APE1 only had a moderate effect on the drug resistance. Due to the complexity of drug resistance, more efforts are still needed to unveil the function of APE1 during drug resistance in different cell context.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Kexin Shen, Gastrointestinal Colorectal and Anal Surgery, China Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130-033, Jilin, China. Tel: +8618621927939; E-mail: zhaoct@yahoo.com; Hongquan Zhu, Department of Clinical Laboratory, The second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130033, Jilin, China. Tel: +8618514319165; E-mail: 289611246@qq.com

References

- Longley D and Johnston P. Molecular mechanisms of drug resistance. J Pathol 2005; 205: 275-292.
- [2] Longley DB, Harkin DP and Johnston PG. 5-fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical strategies. Nat Rev Cancer 2003; 3: 330-8.
- [3] Gottesman MM. Mechanisms of cancer drug resistance. Annu Rev Med 2002; 53: 615-627.
- [4] Fishel ML, Jiang Y, Rajeshkumar N, Scandura G, Sinn AL, He Y, Shen C, Jones DR, Pollok KE and Ivan M. Impact of APE1/Ref-1 redox inhibition on pancreatic tumor growth. Mol Cancer Ther 2011; 10: 1698-1708.
- [5] Yang S, Irani K, Heffron SE, Jurnak F and Meyskens FL. Alterations in the expression of the apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease-1/redox factor-1 (APE/Ref-1) in human melanoma and identification of the therapeutic potential of resveratrol as an APE/Ref-1 inhibitor. Mol Cancer Ther 2005; 4: 1923-1935.
- [6] Rai G, Vyjayanti VN, Dorjsuren D, Simeonov A, Jadhav A, Wilson III DM and Maloney DJ. Synthesis, biological evaluation, and structureactivity relationships of a novel class of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 inhibitors. J Med Chem 2012; 55: 3101-3112.
- [7] Naidu MD, Mason JM, Pica RV, Fung H and Peña LA. Radiation resistance in glioma cells determined by DNA damage repair activity of Ape1/Ref-1. J Radiat Res (Tokyo) 2010; 51: 393-404.
- [8] Bhakat KK, Mantha AK and Mitra S. Transcriptional regulatory functions of mammalian AP-endonuclease (APE1/Ref-1), an essential multifunctional protein. Antioxid Redox Signal 2009; 11: 621-637.
- [9] R Kelley M, M Georgiadis M and Fishel ML. APE1/Ref-1role in redox signaling: translational applications of targeting the redox function of the DNA repair/redox protein APE1/Ref-1. Curr Mol Pharmacol 2012; 5: 36-53.
- [10] Bentires-Alj M, Barbu V, Fillet M, Chariot A, Relic B, Jacobs N, Gielen J, Merville MP and Bours V. NF-[kappa] B transcription factor induces drug resistance through MDR1 expression in cancer cells. Oncogene 2003; 22: 90.
- [11] Busuttil V, Bottero V, Frelin C, Imbert V, Ricci JE, Auberger P and Peyron JF. Blocking NF-[kappa]

B activation in Jurkat leukemic T cells converts the survival agent and tumor promoter PMA into an apoptotic effector. Oncogene 2002; 21: 3213-24.

- [12] Samanta D, Gilkes DM, Chaturvedi P, Xiang L and Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factors are required for chemotherapy resistance of breast cancer stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111: E5429-38..
- [13] Pizzo PA, Hathorn JW, Hiemenz J, Browne M, Commers J, Cotton D, Gress J, Longo D, Marshall D and McKnight J. A randomized trial comparing ceftazidime alone with combination antibiotic therapy in cancer patients with fever and neutropenia. N Engl J Med 1986; 315: 552-558.
- [14] Smith ML, Chen I-T, Zhan Q, O'Connor PM and Fornace AJ Jr. Involvement of the p53 tumor suppressor in repair of uv-type DNA damage. Oncogene 1995; 10: 1053-1059.
- [15] Adimoolam S and Ford JM. p53 and regulation of DNA damage recognition during nucleotide excision repair. DNA Repair (Amst) 2003; 2: 947-954.
- [16] Harris SL and Levine AJ. The p53 pathway: positive and negative feedback loops. Oncogene 2005; 24: 2899-2908.
- [17] Chari NS, Pinaire NL, Thorpe L, Medeiros LJ, Routbort MJ and McDonnell TJ. The p53 tumor suppressor network in cancer and the therapeutic modulation of cell death. Apoptosis 2009; 14: 336-347.
- [18] Giacchetti S, Itzhaki M, Gruia G, Adam R, Zidani R, Kunstlinger F, Brienza S, Alafaci E, Bertheault-Cvitkovic F and Jasmin C. Longterm survival of patients with unresectable colorectal cancer liver metastases following infusional chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin and surgery. Ann Oncol 1999; 10: 663-669.
- [19] Vascotto C, Bisetto E, Li M, Zeef LA, D'Ambrosio C, Domenis R, Comelli M, Delneri D, Scaloni A and Altieri F. Knock-in reconstitution studies reveal an unexpected role of Cys-65 in regulating APE1/Ref-1 subcellular trafficking and function. Mol Biol Cell 2011; 22: 3887-3901.
- [20] Fishel ML, Jiang Y, Rajeshkumar NV, Scandura G, Sinn AL, He Y, Shen C, Jones DR, Pollok KE, Ivan M, Maitra A and Kelley MR. Impact of APE1/Ref-1 redox inhibition on pancreatic tumor growth. Mol Cancer Ther 2011; 10: 1698-1708.
- [21] Yi H, Geng L, Black A, Talmon G, Berim L and Wang J. The miR-487b-3p/GRM3/TGFβ signaling axis is an important regulator of colon cancer tumorigenesis. Oncogene 2017; 36: 3477.
- [22] Kim JJ and Tannock IF. Repopulation of cancer cells during therapy: an important cause of treatment failure. Nat Rev Cancer 2005; 5: 516-25.

- [23] Sarraf P, Mueller E, Jones D, King FJ, DeAngelo DJ, Partridge JB, Holden SA, Chen LB, Singer S and Fletcher C. Differentiation and reversal of malignant changes in colon cancer through PPARγ. Nat Med 1998; 4: 1046-52.
- [24] Zhang Y, Wang J, Xiang D, Wang D and Xin X. Alterations in the expression of the apurinic/ apyrimidinic endonuclease-1/redox factor-1 (APE1/Ref-1) in human ovarian cancer and indentification of the therapeutic potential of APE1/Ref-1 inhibitor. Int J Oncol 2009; 35: 1069-1079.
- [25] Abbotts R and Madhusudan S. Human AP endonuclease 1 (APE1): from mechanistic insights to druggable target in cancer. Cancer Treat Rev 2010; 36: 425-435.
- [26] Tell G, Quadrifoglio F, Tiribelli C and Kelley MR. The many functions of APE1/Ref-1: not only a DNA repair enzyme. Antioxid Redox Signal 2009; 11: 601-619.
- [27] Masuda Y, Bennett RA and Demple B. Dynamics of the interaction of human apurinic endonuclease (Ape1) with its substrate and product. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 30352-30359.
- [28] Evans AR, Limp-Foster M and Kelley MR. Going APE over ref-1. Mutat Res 2000; 461: 83-108.
- [29] Purser S, Moore PR, Swallow S and GouverneurV. Fluorine in medicinal chemistry. Chem Soc Rev 2008; 37: 320-330.
- [30] Kamb A, Wee S and Lengauer C. Why is cancer drug discovery so difficult? Nat Rev Drug Discov 2007; 6: 115-20.
- [31] McNeill DR and Wilson DM. A dominant-negative form of the major human abasic endonuclease enhances cellular sensitivity to laboratory and clinical DNA-damaging agents. Mol Cancer Res 2007; 5: 61-70.
- [32] Ando K, Hirao S, Kabe Y, Ogura Y, Sato I, Yamaguchi Y, Wada T and Handa H. A new APE1/Ref-1-dependent pathway leading to reduction of NF-κB and AP-1, and activation of their DNA-binding activity. Nucleic Acids Res 2008; 36: 4327-4336.

- [33] Luo M, He H, Kelley MR and Georgiadis MM. Redox regulation of DNA repair: implications for human health and cancer therapeutic development. Antioxid Redox Signal 2010; 12: 1247-1269.
- [34] Georgiadis MM, Luo M, Gaur R, Delaplane S, Li X and Kelley MR. Evolution of the redox function in mammalian apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease. Mutat Res 2008; 643: 54-63..
- [35] Tell G, Damante G, Caldwell D and Kelley MR. The intracellular localization of APE1/Ref-1: more than a passive phenomenon? Antioxid Redox Signal 2005; 7: 367-84.
- [36] Su D, Delaplane S, Luo M, Rempel DL, Vu B, Kelley MR, Gross ML and Georgiadis MM. Interactions of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease with a redox inhibitor: evidence for an alternate conformation of the enzyme. Biochemistry 2010; 50: 82-92.
- [37] Fishel ML and Kelley MR. The DNA base excision repair protein Ape1/Ref-1 as a therapeutic and chemopreventive target. Mol Aspects Med 2007; 28: 375-395.
- [38] Cardoso AA, Jiang Y, Luo M, Reed AM, Shahda S, He Y, Maitra A, Kelley MR and Fishel ML. APE1/Ref-1 regulates STAT3 transcriptional activity and APE1/Ref-1-STAT3 dual-targeting effectively inhibits pancreatic cancer cell survival. PLoS One 2012; 7: e47462.
- [39] Lou D, Zhu L, Ding H, Dai HY and Zou GM. Aberrant expression of redox protein Ape1 in colon cancer stem cells. Oncol Lett 2014; 7: 1078-1082.
- [40] Fung H and Demple B. A vital role for Ape1/ Ref1 protein in repairing spontaneous DNA damage in human cells. Mol Cell 2005; 17: 463-470.
- [41] Wiederhold L, Leppard JB, Kedar P, Karimi-Busheri F, Rasouli-Nia A, Weinfeld M, Tomkinson AE, Izumi T, Prasad R and Wilson SH. AP endonuclease-independent DNA base excision repair in human cells. Mol Cell 2004; 15: 209-220.