Review Article Efficacy of Shengjing capsules for treatment of male infertility in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Liang Dong¹, Degui Chang², Junjun Li¹, Fang Yang¹, Kun Tan¹, Yan Yu³, Xujun Yu³

¹Department of Andrology, The Reproductive & Women-Children Hospital, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, P. R. China; ²Department of Urology and Andrology, Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, P. R. China; ³Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, P. R. China

Received November 5, 2018; Accepted March 13, 2019; Epub June 15, 2019; Published June 30, 2019

Abstract: The aim of the current study was to evaluate the efficacy of Shengjing (SJ) capsules for treatment of male infertility in China. Present researchers searched China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) database, Wanfang Database, VIP Science and Technology Periodical Database, China Biology Medicine Database (CBM), Cochrane library, PubMed, Embase, Clinicaltrails.org, and Chinese academic conference papers. Selection of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), before June 2018, was made concerning the effects of SJ capsule on male infertility, evaluated by Jadad scale scores. Data was analyzed with RevMan 5.3 and Stata/SE 14.1 software. A total of twenty-two randomized clinical trials (RCTs), involving 2,393 patients (1,201 patients in the trial group and 1,192 patients in the control group), were included. The total treatment risk ratio (RR) was 1.43 (95% IC: 1.29-1.60). Merged RRs of the single-drug group and combined group were 1.70 (95% IC: 1.21, 2.39) and 1.34 (95% IC: 1.22, 1.46), respectively. Incidence of adverse events was low in all studies. SJ capsule are a new option for treatment of male infertility. However, high quality multi-centered, randomized, parallel-controlled, and blinded trials are necessary to confirm the safety and efficacy of SJ capsules. This requires further examination of treatment of male infertility with SJ capsules.

Keywords: Male infertility, Shengjing capsule, Traditional Chinese Medicine, systematic review, meta-analysis

Introduction

More than 15% of married couples, worldwide, suffer from fertility problems. Approximately 50% are caused by male infertility [1]. In China, it has been reported that the semen quality of men has decreased at a rate of 1% per year [2], significantly affecting family harmony and health in men. Male infertility is not an independent disease. It is a result of many factors, with idiopathic infertility accounting for 30% of cases of male infertility [3]. Therefore, many drugs could be used to treat the disease, especially antioxidants. Antioxidants, such as glutathione, vitamins E and C, carnitines, coenzyme-Q10, N-acetylcysteine, selenium, zinc, folic acid, and lycopene, have been shown to reduce OS-induced sperm damage. Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have reported the beneficial effects of antioxidants on semen parameters [4]. With no specific drugs for idiopathic male infertility, there is an opportunity for Traditional Chinese Medicine or integrated Traditional Chinese and Western medicine to treat this disease.

Recently, a pure Traditional Chinese Medicine preparation, Shengjing (SJ) capsule have been widely used for treatment of male infertility in China. These capsule are composed of Pilose antlers, Chinese wolfberry, Ginseng, Cordyceps sinensis, Semen cuscutae, Astragalus membranaceus (Fisch.), Aceranthus sagittatus S. et Z., Polygonatum sibiricum red., Polygonum multiflorum, Mulberry, Fructus psoraleae, Rhizoma drynariae, Curculigo orchioides gaertn., Fructus rosae laevigatae, Fructus rubi, Eucommia ulmoides, Sargentg loryvine stem, Herba verbenae, and ginkgo leaves. SJ capsule can increase antioxidant enzyme activity and inhibit oxidative stress. They can repair pathological damage of the testis and epididymis, protect again-



st spermatogenesis, increase the number and vitality of sperm, and improve normal morphology rates of sperm [5]. At present, there are no definite drugs for treatment of male infertility. In view of the good curative effects of Traditional Chinese Medicine SJ capsules for treatment of male infertility in China, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of SJ capsule, according to completed randomized clinical trials (RCTs). This study aimed to provide a choice for andrologists and urologists concerning treatment of male infertility.

Material and methods

The current systematic review and meta-analysis was carried out in strict accordance with the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Since the purpose of this meta-analysis was to summarize previous studies, ethical approval and informed consent were not necessary.

Study search and trail selection

Present researchers searched various electronic databases, including China National Knowledge Infrastructure Database (CNKI), Wanfang Database, China Biology Medicine Database (CBM), VIP Science and Technology Periodical Database, Cochrane library, PubMed, EMbase, Clinicaltrails. org., and Chinese academic conference papers. They collected and selected related studies, before June 2018, concerning SJ capsule therapy for male infertility. Search terms included: "[Shengjing Capsules AND (male infertility) OR (sperm quality)]". Figure 1 shows a flow chart detailing study selection. Studies with RCTs of SJ capsules for male infertility were included. Inclusion criteria: Participants experienced male infertility. The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy of SJ capsules with other Western medicines: Outcomes contained effective rates or adverse ev-

ents; Abstracts of relevant conferences of randomized controlled trials were included in the analysis based on the recommendations of Systematic Reviews section 6.2.2.4 in Cochrane Handbook [6]. Exclusion criteria: Articles unrelated to the current analysis; Lacked essential information concerning patients and intervention measures of treatment; Non-original research, reviews, and comments. There were no restrictions on language or minimum patients of the study. Only the largest or most recent studies were included when similar patients and same detection methods were used.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Related data of included articles was extracted, independently, by 3 investigators, according to the PRISMA statement. All discrepancies were resolved through adjudication and discussion with other reviewers. First, words in abstracts, such as "randomized" or "quasi-randomized", were used in all studies, regardless of whether they were blinded or not. For each study, the following information was extracted: First author's name, year of publication, study design, participant characteristics, interven-



tion, and effective rates of treatment and adverse events. Graphs and summaries, concerning risk of bias, were produced with RevMan 5.3 [7]. Quality of RCTs was evaluated using the Jadad scale [8]. Methods of generating the sequence of randomization, randomization concealment, blinding, withdrawals, and dropouts were assessed. **Figure 2** shows that 52.3% of the studies had an unclear risk of bias in randomization. Only 6.8% of studies had good blinding for both patients and doctors.

Statistical analyses

Statistics analyses were conducted using RevMan 5.3 and Stata/SE 14.1. Statistical tests were two-sided, with Pvalues less than 0.05 indicating significance. Egger's and Begg's tests were used for investigation of publication bias due to small study effects [9]. Risk ratios (RR) were estimated for dichotomous data. I-squared and P-values were used to estimate statistical heterogeneity between trails. If heterogeneity existed (P< 0.05), data was analyzed using a random-effects model. In the absence of heterogeneity, a fixed-effects model was used.

Results

Characteristics of included trials

A total of 420 potential conformity tests were screened through preliminary searches of the databases, including electronic and manual searches. Subsequently, 22 RCTs were eligible for inclusion by deleting duplicated publication trials, those with no data for extraction, case reports, those with inappropriate controls, drug combinations, and no specific course of disease, intervention studies, literature reviews, and animal trials. All tests compared SJ capsules

with Western medicine. Twenty-two RCTs were published in Chinese from 2007 to 2017. All studies were conducted in China. Characteristics of eligible RCTs are listed in **Table 1**. Included studies were Bai SY 2007 [10], Song FW 2009 [11], Wang T 2009 [12], Wang Z 2009 [13], Cao YL 2010 [14], Li XW 2010 [15], Ji MY 2011 [16], Li H 2011 [17], Liu JP 2011 [18], Su Y 2011 [19], Cao YL 2012 [20], Huang YG 2012 [21], Ji MY 2012 [22], WU LC 2012 [23], Xiong FX 2012 [24], Wang YS 2013 [25], WU LC 2013 [26],

Trail [Ref.]	Year	Sample size	Age, mean (range)	Comparison	Primary outcome	Efficiency (%)	Adverse events	Jadad score
Bai SY [10]	2007	90 (45/45)	22-40	SJ vs Vit C+Vit E	Rate of efficiency	93.3% vs 15.5%	N	2
Song FW [11]	2009	270 (135/135)	27.75 (23-41)	SJ vs Vit E	Rate of efficiency	88.89% vs 33.33%	Y	2
Wang T [12]	2009	102 (51/51)	22-40	SJ vs Vit E+ATP	Rate of efficiency	82.4% vs 33.3%	Ν	2
Wang Z [13]	2009	60 (30/30)	26.5 (27-40)	SJ+LC+MVCP vs LC+MVCP	Rate of efficiency	86.67% vs 83.33%	Ν	2
Cao YL [14]	2010	28 (14/12)	25-45	SJ+CG+Indometacin+ZG vs CG+Indometacin+ZG	Rate of efficiency	71.43% vs 50.00%	Ν	2
Li XW [15]	2010	112 (56/56)	None	SJ vs Clomiphene	Rate of efficiency	89.29% vs 48.21%	Y	2
Ji MY [16]	2011	80 (40/40)	23-39	SJ+LC vs LC	Rate of efficiency	87.5% vs 67.5%	Ν	2
Li H [17]	2011	96 (50/46)	21-40	SJ+Clomiphene vs Clomiphene	Rate of efficiency	84% vs 60.86%	NM	2
Liu JP [18]	2011	120 (60/60)	None	SJ vs Bromocriptine	Rate of efficiency	76.66% vs 58.33%	NM	2
Su Y [19]	2011	112 (56/56)	20-43	SJ vs Vit E	Rate of efficiency	83.9% vs 43.9%	NM	2
Cao YL [20]	2012	60 (30/30)	28-45	SJ+CG vs CG	Rate of efficiency	86.7% vs 73.3%	Ν	2
Huang YG [21]	2012	126 (63/63)	22-41	SJ+androgen vs androgen	Rate of efficiency	88.89% vs 68.25%	Y	2
Ji MY [22]	2012	60 (30/30)	22-41	SJ+Proxeed [®] vs Proxeed [®]	Rate of efficiency	90% vs 73.3%	NM	2
WU LC [23]	2012	90 (45/45)	24-40	SJ+Vit E+ATP vs Vit E+ATP	Rate of efficiency	93.33% vs 68.89%	Ν	3
Xiong FX [24]	2012	50 (25/25)	23-43	SJ+Vit E+ATP vs Vit E+ATP	Rate of efficiency	88% vs 68%	Ν	2
Wang YS [25]	2013	95 (49/46)	24-38	SJ+Vit E+metacortandracin vs Vit E+metacortandracin	Rate of efficiency	91.84% vs 67.39%	NM	3
WU LC [26]	2013	60 (30/30)	21-43	SJ vs ZST	Rate of efficiency	86.67% vs 76.67%	NM	2
Fang TW [27]	2014	80 (40/40)	28.3 (20-39)	SJ+Tamoxifen vs Tamoxifen	Rate of efficiency	90% vs 67.5%	Ν	2
Song H [28]	2015	440 (220/220)	23-38	SJ+Vit E+ATP vs Vit E+ATP	Rate of efficiency	93.64% vs 71.82%	NM	3
Wei SF [29]	2016	60 (30/30)	21-40	SJ+LC vs LC	Rate of efficiency	73.33% vs 53.33%	NM	2
Zeng YX [30]	2017	80 (40/40)	27-46	SJ+Vit E vs Vit E	Rate of efficiency	90.0% vs 70.7%	NM	2
Shao M [31]	2017	124 (62/62)	20-49	SJ+LC vs LC	Rate of efficiency	90.3% vs 75.8%	NM	2

Table 1. Selected characteristics of the 22 studies included in this systematic review

SJ = Shengjing capsules, LC = L-camitine, Vit C = Vitamin C, Vit E = Vitamin E, MVCP = Multi vitamin compound preparation, CG = Chorionic gonadotropin, ZG = Zinc gluconate, Proxeed[®] = L-carnitine (1 g)+Acetyl L-carnitine (0.5 g), ZST = zinc and selenium tablet, PR = Progressive motility, Y = Yes, N = None, NM = Not Mentioned.

Effects of Shengjing capsule on male infertility

	Experimental		Experimental		Conti	rol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Li XW 2010	50	56	27	56	19.7%	1.85 [1.39, 2.47]	_		
Liu JP 2011	46	60	35	60	20.3%	1.31 [1.02, 1.70]			
Song FW 2009	120	135	45	135	20.5%	2.67 [2.09, 3.41]			
Su Y 2011	47	56	24	56	19.0%	1.96 [1.42, 2.71]			
WU LC 2013	26	30	23	30	20.5%	1.13 [0.89, 1.44]			
Total (95% CI)		337		337	100.0%	1.70 [1.21, 2.39]	-		
Total events	289		154						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.13; Ch	$i^2 = 32.$	21, df =						
Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.06	(P = 0.0)	002)	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]					

Figure 3. Meta-analysis on the effective rate of SJ capsules in the single-drug group.

	Experimental		Cont	rol	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Bai SY 2007	41	45	7	45	1.5%	5.86 [2.95, 11.64]	
Cao YL 2010	10	14	6	12	1.7%	1.43 [0.74, 2.75]	
Cao YL 2012	26	30	22	30	6.0%	1.18 [0.91, 1.53]	+
Fang TW 2014	36	40	27	40	6.4%	1.33 [1.05, 1.69]	
Huang YG 2012	56	63	43	63	7.6%	1.30 [1.08, 1.57]	
Ji MY 2011	35	40	27	40	6.3%	1.30 [1.01, 1.66]	
Ji MY 2012	27	30	22	30	6.2%	1.23 [0.96, 1.57]	
Li H 2011	42	50	28	46	5.9%	1.38 [1.06, 1.79]	
Shao M 2017	56	62	47	62	8.4%	1.19 [1.01, 1.40]	
Song H 2015	206	220	158	220	10.3%	1.30 [1.19, 1.43]	-
Wang T 2009	42	51	17	51	3.5%	2.47 [1.64, 3.72]	
Wang YS 2013	45	49	31	46	6.9%	1.36 [1.10, 1.69]	
Wang Z 2009	26	30	25	30	7.0%	1.04 [0.84, 1.29]	+-
Wei SF 2016	22	30	16	30	3.6%	1.38 [0.92, 2.05]	+
WU LC 2012	42	45	31	45	7.1%	1.35 [1.10, 1.67]	
Xiong FX 2012	22	25	17	25	5.0%	1.29 [0.95, 1.76]	
Zeng YX 2017	36	40	28	40	6.7%	1.29 [1.02, 1.61]	
Total (95% CI)		864		855	100.0%	1.34 [1.22, 1.46]	•
Total events	770		552				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.02; Ch	$i^2 = 40.$	00, df =	16 (P =	0.0008)	$ 1^2 = 60\%$	
Test for overall effect	: Z = 6.22	(P < 0.	U.I U.Z U.D I Z D IU				
							ravours lexperimental ravours (control)

Figure 4. Meta-analysis on the effective rate of SJ capsules in the combined-drug group.

Fang TW 2014 [27], Song H 2015 [28], Wei SF 2016 [29], Zeng YX 2017 [30], and Shao M 2017 [31]. Of the 22 RCTs, 3 reported adverse events.

Therapeutic efficacy of SJ capsules on male infertility

In the single-drug group, only 1 study with 95% CI of RR was, suggesting that there was no strong evidence showing that SJ capsules were better than zinc and selenium tablets. Four articles indicated that SJ capsules were superior to Vitamin E, Clomiphene, and Bromocriptine, respectively. See **Figure 3**. Weighted quantitative synthesis of SJ capsules in male infertility patients was compared in the single-drug group. The merged RR was 1.70 (95% CI: 1.21-2.39), indicating that there were significant differences between SJ capsules and Western medicine on male infertility treatment. For the combined group, in 17 RCTs, only 6 RCTs 95% Cl of RR included 1. This indicates that SJ capsules, together with Western medicine, were not superior to only Western medicine in treating male infertility. See **Figure 4**. Weighted quantitative synthesis of SJ capsules in male infertility patients was compared in the combined-drug group. The merged RR was 1.34 (95% Cl: 1.22-1.46), indicating that there were significant differences between SJ capsules and Western medicine when combining drugs to treat male infertility.

The merged RR of all included studies was 1.43 (95% IC: 1.29-1.60). See **Figure 5**.

Adverse events

Three of the 22 RCTs reported adverse events. Incidence of adverse events in the SJ capsules group was <5%. Li XW [15] reported that 5 patients in the treatment group developed symptoms, such as dizziness, nausea, dry mouth, and dry eyes. They were relieved and symp-

Effects of Shengjing capsule on male infertility

	Experimental		Contr	ol	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI		
Bai SY 2007	41	45	7	45	1.8%	5.86 [2.95, 11.64]			
Cao YL 2010	10	14	6	12	1.9%	1.43 [0.74, 2.75]			
Cao YL 2012	26	30	22	30	4.8%	1.18 [0.91, 1.53]			
Fang TW 2014	36	40	27	40	4.9%	1.33 [1.05, 1.69]			
Huang YG 2012	56	63	43	63	5.4%	1.30 [1.08, 1.57]			
Ji MY 2011	35	40	27	40	4.9%	1.30 [1.01, 1.66]			
Ji MY 2012	27	30	22	30	4.9%	1.23 [0.96, 1.57]			
Li H 2011	42	50	28	46	4.7%	1.38 [1.06, 1.79]			
Li XW 2010	50	56	27	56	4.5%	1.85 [1.39, 2.47]			
Liu JP 2011	46	60	35	60	4.8%	1.31 [1.02, 1.70]			
Shao M 2017	56	62	47	62	5.7%	1.19 [1.01, 1.40]	-		
Song FW 2009	120	135	45	135	4.9%	2.67 [2.09, 3.41]			
Song H 2015	206	220	158	220	6.2%	1.30 [1.19, 1.43]	-		
Su Y 2011	47	56	24	56	4.1%	1.96 [1.42, 2.71]			
Wang T 2009	42	51	17	51	3.4%	2.47 [1.64, 3.72]			
Wang YS 2013	45	49	31	46	5.1%	1.36 [1.10, 1.69]			
Wang Z 2009	26	30	25	30	5.2%	1.04 [0.84, 1.29]	+		
Wei SF 2016	22	30	16	30	3.5%	1.38 [0.92, 2.05]			
WU LC 2012	42	45	31	45	5.2%	1.35 [1.10, 1.67]			
WU LC 2013	26	30	23	30	4.9%	1.13 [0.89, 1.44]			
Xiong FX 2012	22	25	17	25	4.3%	1.29 [0.95, 1.76]			
Zeng YX 2017	36	40	28	40	5.0%	1.29 [1.02, 1.61]			
Total (95% CI)		1201		1192	100.0%	1.43 [1.29, 1.60]	•		
Total events	1059		706						
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 =$	= 0.05; Ch	$i^2 = 93.$	92, df =	21 (P <	0.00001	.); $I^2 = 78\%$			
Test for overall effect	: Z = 6.53	(P < 0.	00001)	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10					
Favours [experimental] Favours [control]									

Figure 5. Meta-analysis on the effective rate of comparison of SJ capsules with Western medicine.





Figure 6. Funnel plots were assessed for the effective rate. (A. All the studies; B. The single-drug group; C. The combined group).

toms disappeared before the end of treatment. Two studies reported gastrointestinal reactions in 1 patient, relieved after treatment [11, 21]. Nine studies reported no adverse reactions during treatment. There was no observation of adverse effects in the remaining 10 studies.

Publication bias

Egger's test, Begg's test, and funnel plots were used to investigate publication bias. Shapes of the funnel plots appeared to be symmetrical, indicating no clear bias in either the analysis of



Figure 7. Egger's graph was assessed for the effective rate of all studies.

total enrolled studies (see **Figure 6A**) or comparisons between groups of SJ capsules combined with Western medicine and Western medicine alone (see **Figure 6B**, **6C**). Results of Egger's tests exhibited a slight bias for overall efficacy rates of total studies (P = 0.04, see **Figure 7A**, **7B**) and combined group studies (P = 0.003, see **Figure 8A**, **8B**). Although the number of single-drug studies was less than 10, Egger's and Begg's tests were still conducted for effective rates. No bias was found for studies comparing efficacy rates of SJ capsules and Western medicine only (P = 0.63, see **Figure 9**).

Discussion

Male infertility is a common problem for couples during the childbearing period. One of the most common diseases of the male reproductive system, it has become a worldwide disease. There are many causes of male infertility. However, it still lacks effective drug therapy for treatment. Various treatments have been used in China, especially Traditional Chinese Medicine or integrated Traditional Chinese and Western medicine. SJ capsules are one of the most effective drugs for this disease. These capsules have been confirmed by the China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA) for male infertility with azoospermia, asthenospermia, and semen unliquefaction. They are especially suitable for patients with asthenospermia.

In this study, the efficacy of treatment of male infertility with SJ capsules was evaluated with a systematic review and meta-analysis. The current study provides evidence-based choices for urologists and andrologists concerning treatment of male infertility. Twentytwo studies were selected and analyzed. Effective rates and adverse events were selected as indicators. The two groups were divided based on published papers for treatment of male infertility, as follows: 1) The single group, in which male infertility patients orally take

SJ capsules or Western medicine; and 2) The combined drugs group, in which patients took SJ capsules and Western medicine at the same time, compared with Western medicine only. In the single group and combined group, SJ capsules were shown to significantly improve sperm quality. In the single group, five studies in this cohort were poorly consistent. Thus, the I² was more than 50%. After sensitivity analysis, results suggest that vitamin E treatment was slightly less effective, resulting in a lower efficacy in the control group. There were greater differences in effectiveness of the two studies, resulting in higher heterogeneity. Compared with antioxidant functions of vitamin E, SJ capsules can increase the activity of antioxidant enzymes, inhibit oxidative stress, repair pathological damage of the testis and epididymis, protect against spermatogenesis, increase the number and vitality of sperm, and improve normal morphological rates of sperm [5].

Results of Begg's rank correlation test and Egger's regression test for small-study effects indicated a slight publication bias in this stu-

A Tests for Pub	lication Bia	as					
Begg's Test							
adj. Kendal	l's Score (F	P-Q) =	-2				
Std	. Dev. of So	core =	24.28				
Nu	mber of Stud	dies =	17				
		z =	-0.08				
	Pr >	z =	0.934				
		z =	0.04	(conti	inuity cor	rected)	
	Pr >	z =	0.967	(conti	inuity cor	rected)	
Egger's test							
Std_Eff	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
slope	4.376649	.204	6858	21.38	0.000	3,940371	4.812926



Figure 8. Begg's graph was assessed for the effective rate of the combined group.

Tests for Publ Begg's Test	ication Bias						
adj. Kendall	's Score (P-Q) =	0				
Std.	Dev. of Scor	e =	4.08				
Nur	ber of Studie	s =	5				
	Z	=	0.00				
	Pr > z	=	1.000				
	z	=	-0.24	(contin	uity corr	ected)	
	Pr > z	=	1.000	(contin	uity corr	ected)	
Egger's test							
Std_Eff	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
slope	3.545841	.510	3273	6.95	0.006	1.921751	5.16993
bias	2554861	.479	3146	-0.53	0.631	-1.780879	1.269907

Figure 9. Egger's test was assessed for the effective rate of the single-drug group.

dy. For this reason, the team analyzed many aspects of the situation, identifying several underlying causes: 1) Parameters in the semen and sperm selected by each study were not the same; 2) Course of treatment was inconsistent; 3) Differences in patient conditions between studies; 4) Efficacy in control groups may be different; 5) Only two studies had more than 100 cases included. The rest had small sample sizes. Other reasons include social factors and human factors. However, the objective function and effects of SJ capsules are obvious. Although present findings suggest that patients receiving SJ capsules can significantly improve effectiveness and sperm quality, more RCTs are necessary before this treatment becomes extensively used. According to the current review, there are several recommendations for future clinical studies: 1) Studies should be randomized: 2) Subjects should be screened through more authoritative reference criteria. Rules to ensure greater consistency in patients are included: 3) The course of treatment should not be less than 3 months; 4) Single drug contrast therapy should be used if possible; 5) Blinding is recommended, ensuring more objective conclusions; and 6) All kinds of adverse events should be reported.

Conclusion

In conclusion, SJ capsules may be effective for treatment of male infertility, especially in combination with Western medicine. However, the quality of current clinical research is relatively low. Most studies combined drugs for analysis. Therefore, high-quality experimental designs, such as multicenter, randomized, parallelcontrolled, and blinded methods, are necessary for future studies. Treatment of male in-

fertility with SJ capsules is worthy of further investigation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of SiChuan Provincial Education Department (No. 17TD0014).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Xujun Yu, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No. 1166, Liu Tai Avenue, Wenjiang District, Chengdu 611137, Sichuan, P. R. China. Tel: 086-135-68875492; E-mail: 20639179@qq.com

References

- [1] Jungwirth A, Giwercman A, Tournaye H, Diemer T, Kopa Z, Dohle G, Krausz C. European association of urology guidelines on male infertility: the 2012 update. Eur Urol 2012; 62: 324-332.
- [2] Zheng SC, Wang HY, Wang JD. Analysis of change in sperm quality of Chinese fertile men during 1981~1996. Reprod Contracept 1999; 10: 33-9.
- [3] Zhou SH, Deng YF, Weng ZW, Weng HW, Liu ZD. Traditional Chinese medicine as a remedy for male infertility: a review. World J Mens Health 2019; 37: 175-185.
- [4] Majzoub A, Agarwal A. Antioxidant therapy in idiopathic oligoasthenoteratozoospermia. Indian J Urol 2017; 33: 207-214.
- [5] Zhou S, Wen Z, Liang A and Zhang S. Experimental research on therapeutic efficacy of traditional Chinese medicine Shengjing capsules extracts in treating spermatogenesis impairment induced by oxidative stress. Med Sci Monit 2016; 22: 50-56.
- [6] Higgins JPT and Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration 2011.
- [7] Review Manager (RevMan). 5.3 ed. Copenhagen: Nordic Cochrane Centre, Cochrane Collaboration 2014.
- [8] Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ and McQuay HJ. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996; 17: 1-2.
- [9] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M and Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-634.
- [10] Bai SY, Pen L, Ouyang W, Ye JJ and Li JC. Observation on therapeutic effect of Shengjing capsules on oligoasthenospermia. Chinese Journal of Andrology 2007; 12: 64-65.
- [11] Song FW and Zhong WD. Clinical efficacy of Shengjing capsules on patients with oligoasthenospermia. Zhong Hua Nan Ke Xue 2009; 15: 762-764.
- [12] Wang T, Xiao MH, Yang XH and Yang H. Analysis on therapeutic effect of Shengjing capsule in the treatment of oligospermia and asthenospermia. Chinese Journal of Family Planning & Gynecotokology 2009; 1: 29-30.

- [13] Wang Z and Li JY. Clinical observation on 60 cases of oligospermia and asthenospermia treated by combined Shengjing capsules. Sichuan Medical Journal 2009; 30: 124-125.
- [14] Cao YL, Yang PL and Zhang QF. Clinical observation of western medicine combined with Shengjing capsules in the treatment of 60 cases of male oligozoospermia and asthenospermia. Yunnan Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Materia Medica 2010; 31: 31-32.
- [15] Li XW. Clinical observation of Shengjing capsules in the treatment of oligospermia. Andrology Branch of Chinese Medical Association. Proceedings of the 10th Academic Congress of Andrology of Chinese Medical Association. Andrology Branch of Chinese Medical Association: Chinese Medical Association of Chinese Medicine 2010; 1.
- [16] Ji MY, Huang MK, Meng YJ, LJ and Yu XJ. Shengjing capsules and L-carnitine in the treatment of oligospermia. Zhong Hua Nan Ke Xue Za Zhi 2011; 17: 856-858.
- [17] Li H. Clinical observation of clomiphene citrate combined with Shengjing capsules in the treatment of oligoasthenospermia. China & Foreign Medical Treatment 2011; 30: 128.
- [18] Liu JP. Shengjing capsules in the treatment of 60 cases of hyperprolactinemia and oligospermia. Seventh National Medical Conference of the Sexual Medicine Committee of the Chinese Sexual Society 2011; 149.
- [19] Su Y and Chen J. Clinical observation of Shengjing capsules in the treatment of male oligoasthenospermia. Journal of New Chinese Medicine 2011; 43: 91-92.
- [20] Cao YL. Clinical observation on 30 cases of oligoasthenospermia treated with chorionic hormone combined with Shengjing capsules. Chinese Journal of Ethnomedicine and Ethnopharmacy 2012; 21: 96-98.
- [21] Huang YG, Xiao Y, Huang ZX and Lv JQ. Analysis of curative effect of Shengjing capsules combined with androgen for treating idiopathic oligoasthenospermia on 63 cases. China Pharmaceuticals 2012; 21: 103-105.
- [22] Ji MY, Chang DG, Meng YJ and Lan J. Clinical observation of Shengjing capsules combined with proxeed in treating oligoasthenospermia. Chinese Journal of Andrology 2012; 26: 53-55.
- [23] Wu LC. Clinical observation on treatment of male infertility with Shengjing capsules. Journal of Frontiers of Medicine 2012; 2: 347-348.
- [24] Xiong FX and Mo XB. Efficacy and safety of Shengjing capsules in the treatment of male infertility. Medical Innovation of China 2012; 9: 100-101.
- [25] Wang YS. Clinical observation on treatment of male infertility with Shengjing capsules.

Journal of New Chinese Medicine 2013; 45: 42-44.

- [26] Wu LC. Shengjing capsules in the treatment of 30 cases of mild asthenospermia. Chinese Medicine Modern Distance Education of China 2013; 11: 16-17.
- [27] Fang TW. Clinical study of Shengjing capsules in male infertility. Special Health 2014; 10: 10-11.
- [28] Song H. Clinical analysis of 220 cases of male infertility treated by Shengjing capsules. Chinese Journal of Trauma and Disability Medicine 2015; 7: 113-114.
- [29] Wei SF. Clinical observation of Shengjing capsules combined with levocarnitine in the treatment of oligoasthenospermia. Journal of Medicine 2016; 29: 224.
- [30] Zeng YX. Effect of Shengjing capsules combined with vitamin E in the treatment of male infertility. Journal of North Pharmacy 2017; 14: 10-11.
- [31] Sha M, Wang YB, Ma C and Liu Q. Clinical observation of Shengjing capsules combined with Levocarnitine in the treatment of oligoasthenospermia. Journal of Frontiers of Medicine 2017; 7: 105-106.