Original Article Efficacy of progesterone with different administrations in treatment of patients with early threatened abortion and its effects on serum progesterone level

Weiwei Wang¹, Ying Li², Cui Kong², Shaolan Wu², Da Zhang², Yong Wang³

¹Department of Obstetrics, Linyi Central Hospital, Linyi, Shandong Province, China; ²Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, The Third People's Hospital of Linyi, Linyi, Shandong Province, China; ³Department of Obstetrics, Maternal and Child Health Care Hospital of Ji'nan, Ji'nan, Shandong Province, China

Received April 26, 2019; Accepted June 25, 2019; Epub August 15, 2019; Published August 30, 2019

Abstract: Objective: This paper aims to explore the efficacy of progesterone with different administrations in the treatment of patients with early threatened abortion. Methods: Altogether 124 patients with early threatened abortion were retrospectively analyzed, and divided into an intramuscular injection group (progesterone was intramuscularly injected) (n = 62) and an oral medication group (progesterone was orally administrated) (n = 62) according to different administrations. The two groups of patients were observed and compared with respect to efficacy, incidence of complications during pregnancy, incidence of adverse side effects, perinatal outcomes, serum progesterone levels before and after treatment, fetal heart rate changes, and clinical efficacy. Results: After treatment, progesterone levels in the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups were significantly higher than those before treatment (P < 0.001), and the level after treatment in the intramuscular injection group was slightly higher than that in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). The difference in the incidence of complications during pregnancy between the intramuscular injection group (6.45%) and the oral medication group (14.52%) was not statistically significant (P > 0.05). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of the incidence of adverse side effects after treatment (P > 0.05), the incidence of infants with low Apgar score, perinatal malformation, fetal distress, full-term low-birth weight infants and cases of live-born infants (all P > 0.05). The total effective rate in the intramuscular injection group was higher than that in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). After treatment, the fetal heart rates in the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups were significantly higher than those before treatment (P < 0.001), and the rate after treatment in the intramuscular injection group was higher than that in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). Conclusion: Both the intramuscular injection and the oral administration of progesterone are effective for patients with early threatened abortion, without significant adverse effects on perinatal outcomes and fetal heart rate. Therefore, progesterone with different administrations can be chosen for the treatment of early threatened abortion according to patients' conditions.

Keywords: Progesterone, early threatened abortion, progesterone level, efficacy

Introduction

Early spontaneous abortion is a common complication during early pregnancy, and early threatened abortion occurs within the first 12 weeks of pregnancy with the incidence of about 15% [1, 2]. Abortion is caused by many factors, such as abnormal increase of blood glucose, thyroid dysfunction and unhealthy living habits [3-5]. Studies show that pregnancy is affected by genetic factors, immune factors, chromosome abnormalities, and dyscrinism during pregnancy, etc. Pregnancy hormones such as progesterone and estrogen maintain pregnancy [6-8]. Progesterone deficiency is an important reason for early spontaneous abortion [9, 10].

Progesterone, as a natural progestin widely used in the treatment of early threatened abortion, safely provides exogenous progesterone supplements for pregnant women [11]. The intramuscular injection of progesterone has been widely used due to its rapid onset and remarkable effect, but the long-term injection results in redness and discomfort of the skin at the injection site [12, 13]. In recent years, the oral administration of progesterone has been gradually applied to the treatment of patients with early threatened abortion, and its efficacy is similar to that of the intramuscular injection [14]. However, the effects of oral progesterone on serum progesterone level have been rarely studied. Therefore, the efficacy of progesterone with different administrations in the treatment of patients with early threatened abortion and its effects on serum progesterone level were explored.

Information and methods

General information

A total of 124 patients diagnosed with early spontaneous abortion in Linyi Central Hospital from February 1, 2015 to May 1, 2018 were retrospectively analyzed and divided into the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups (n = 62) according to different administrations. Patients in the oral medication group were orally administrated with progesterone, aged 22-40 years old with an average age of 26.1 ± 7.1 years old. Patients in the intramuscularly injected with progesterone, aged 22-38 years old with an average age of 26.8 ± 6.9 years old.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: (1) Patients diagnosed with early spontaneous abortion and treated in Linyi Central Hospital were included, referring to the diagnostic criteria for spontaneous abortion of the World Health Organization [15]. The included subjects had no abortion caused by chromosome abnormalities, anatomic abnormalities, dyscrinism, reproductive system infections and autoimmune diseases. (2) Patients with contraindications to the drugs used in this study were excluded; patients with hypertension, hepatitis B virus infection, gallstones, AIDS and various blood diseases; pregnant women with a history of abnormal pregnancy. The included subjects and their families signed an informed consent form in advance. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Linyi Central Hospital.

Administration

Patients in the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups were kept in bed for rest after admission and not allowed to have sex during this period. They were routinely treated with oral vitamin E and folic acid. Patients in the intramuscular injection group were intramuscularly injected with progesterone solutions (Tianjin Kingyork Group Co., Ltd., specification: 20 mg), 20 mg/time and once daily for 1 week. Patients in the oral medication group were orally administrated with progesterone capsules (Zhejiang Asen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., specification: 100 mg), 100 mg/time and twice daily for 2 weeks.

Outcome measures

In the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups, serum progesterone levels before and 2 weeks after treatment were detected using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA, Shanghai Yu Bo Biotech Co., Ltd.). Complications (diabetes, hypertension, preeclampsia, placenta previa, heart disease during pregnancy and placental abruption) during pregnancy were recorded. Fetal heart rate changes before and after treatments were recorded. Adverse side effects during pregnancy were recorded. Perinatal outcomes (live-born infants, infants with low Apgar score, macrosomia, perinatal malformation, fetal distress and full-term low-birth weight infants) were recorded. Patients' adverse drug reactions were reported to attending doctors in time for treatment. The clinical efficacy after treatment was compared between the two groups.

Efficacy evaluation

Cure indicated that patients' adverse symptoms during pregnancy such as abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding disappeared, and B-type ultrasonic doppler diagnostic apparatus showed normal fetal development. Effectivity indicated that the adverse symptoms were significantly improved, and the apparatus showed normal fetal development which was consistent with the gestational weeks. Invalidity indicated that the adverse symptoms were aggravated or not improved, and the apparatus showed abnormal fetal development, stopped development or abortion. The total effective rate = (cured + effective cases)/total cases * 100%.

Statistical methods

SPSS19.0 (Bizinsight Information Technology Co., Ltd, Beijing) was used for statistical analysis. Count data were expressed by the number of cases/percentage (n, %) and tested by χ^2 .

Groups	Intramuscular injection group (n = 62)	Oral medication group (n = 62)	t/χ^2	Ρ
Age (year)	26.8 ± 6.9	26.1 ± 7.1	0.557	0.579
BMI (kg/m²)	18.57 ± 3.05	19.11 ± 2.78	1.030	0.305
Alcohol intake			0.290	0.590
Yes	29 (46.77)	32 (51.61)		
No	33 (53.23)	30 (48.39)		
Smoking			0.041	0.839
Yes	16 (25.81)	17 (27.42)		
No	46 (74.19)	45 (72.58)		
Thyroid function				
FT3 (pmmol/L)	4.75 ± 0.98	4.70 ± 0.59	0.344	0.731
FT4 (pmmol/L)	12.26 ± 2.71	11.40 ± 4.82	1.225	0.223
TSH (µIU/mL)	1.79 ± 0.55	2.01 ± 0.79	1.800	0.074
Blood routine				
Hb (gm/dl)	11.45 ± 1.43	10.78 ± 2.68	1.737	0.085
RBC (*1012/L)	4.29 ± 0.39	4.20 ± 0.38	1.301	0.196
PLT (*10 ⁹ /L)	147.44 ± 22.16	151.74 ± 25.82	0.995	0.322
Liver function				
ALT (U/L)	22.25 ± 10.49	20.50 ± 8.75	1.009	0.315
AST (U/L)	19.65 ± 8.46	17.50 ± 7.10	1.533	0.128

Table 1. Comparison of general information

Note: BMI, body mass index; FT3, free triiodothyronine; FT4, free thyroxine; TSH: thyroid stimulating hormone; Hb, hemoglobin; RBC, red blood cell; PLT, blood platelet; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate amino transferase.

Measurement data were expressed by mean ± standard deviation ($\overline{x} \pm sd$), and independent samples t test was used for comparison between groups at the same time point, paired t test for comparison before and after treatment within groups. P < 0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference.

Results

Comparison of general information

There was no statistically significant difference between the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups in age, body mass index (BMI), blood routine, indexes of thyroid function and liver function (all P > 0.05). More details are shown in **Table 1**.

Comparison of efficacy after treatment

The total effective rate in the intramuscular injection group was higher than that in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). More details are shown in **Table 2**.

Comparison of progesterone level before and after treatment

Before treatment, there was no statistically significant difference in the progesterone level between the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups (P > 0.05). After treatment, the levels in the two groups were significantly higher than those before treatment (both P < 0.001), and the level after treatment in the intramuscular injection group was higher than that in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). More details are shown in **Table 3** and **Figure 1**.

Comparison of incidence of complications and adverse side effects during pregnancy

Incidence of complications during pregnancy: The total incidence of complications during pregnancy in the intramuscular injection group was 6.45%, lower than 14.52% in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). More details are shown in Table 4.

Incidence of adverse side effects during pregnancy: There was no statistically significant difference in incidence of adverse side effects during pregnancy between the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups (P > 0.05). More details are shown in **Table 5**.

Comparison of perinatal outcomes

The incidence of infants with low Apgar score, perinatal malformation, fetal distress and full-term low-birth weight infants in the intramuscular injection group was lower than that in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). More details are shown in **Table 6**.

Comparison of fetal heart rate changes

Before treatment, there was no statistically significant difference in the fetal heart rate between the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups (P > 0.05). After treatment, the fetal heart rates in the two groups were significantly higher than those before treatment (both P < 0.001), and the rate after treatment

Table 2. Comparison	of efficacy after treatment
---------------------	-----------------------------

Groups	n	Cure	Effective	Invalid	Total effective rate
Intramuscular injection group	62	22 (35.48)	36 (58.06)	4 (6.46)	58 (93.55)
Oral medication group	62	20 (32.26)	36 (58.06)	6 (9.68)	56 (90.32)
X ²					0.435
Р					0.510

Table 3. Comparison	of progesterone	level before and	l after treatment
Table 5. Companson	or progesterone		

Groups	Intramuscular injection group (n = 62)	Oral medication group (n = 62)	t	Р
Before treatment	23.76 ± 6.73	24.01 ± 5.98	0.219	0.827
After treatment	34.12 ± 7.39	32.44 ± 6.15	1.376	0.171
t	8.161	7.738		
Р	< 0.001	< 0.001		



Figure 1. Comparison of progesterone level before and after treatment. Compared with the group before treatment, ***P < 0.001.

in the intramuscular injection group was higher than that in the oral medication group (P > 0.05). More details are shown in **Table 7**.

Discussion

Early spontaneous abortion can be caused by the following factors. One is that insufficient nutrition provided by the mother leads to arresting of fetal development. The other is that pregnant women's abdomen is squeezed and collided, which results in abnormal fetal position or unhealthy fetal development [16, 17]. The pregnancy of pregnant women is often judged through the changes of progesterone level, whose great decline directly affects the pregnancy [18, 19]. A study shows that maternal progesterone is closely related to immune responses in the reproductive tract caused by fetal antigens, and the antigens are inhibited through the endometrial tissue binding to progesterone [20]. According to a previous study. adequate progesterone supplementation for pregnant women greatly reduces the incidence of early threatened ab-

ortion [21]. Progesterone is a natural progestin widely recognized and used in the prevention or treatment of early threatened abortion [22]. Currently, progesterone is orally administrated and intramuscularly injected for treatment. In order to minimize the incidence of abortion, the efficacy of progesterone with different administrations in the treatment of patients with early threatened abortion and its effects on serum progesterone level were explored in this study.

In this study, the results of efficacy comparison showed that the total effective rate in the intramuscular injection group was slightly higher than that in the oral medication group, which may be caused by insufficient sample size. A similar study shows that the efficacy of the intramuscular injection of progesterone is similar to that of the oral administration for patients with early threatened abortion [23]. Therefore, the efficacy of the intramuscular injection of progesterone is slightly higher than that of the oral administration, but on the whole, both of the two administrations are effective for patients with early threatened abortion, with similar efficacy. According to the comparison of progesterone level before and after treatment, there was no statistically significant difference in the progesterone level between the intramuscular injection and oral medication groups before treatment. After treatment, the levels in the two groups were significantly higher than those before treatment, and the level after treatment in the intramuscu-

!		01	0	-
Groups	Intramuscular injection group	Oral medication	X ²	Р
Gloups	, ,	group	Ă	Г
	(n = 62)	(n = 62)		
Diabetes	1 (1.61)	2 (3.23)	0.342	0.559
Hypertension	2 (3.23)	3 (4.84)	0.208	0.648
Preeclampsia	0 (0.00)	2 (3.23)	2.033	0.154
Placenta previa	1 (1.61)	1 (1.61)	0.000	1.000
Heart disease during pregnancy	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0.000	1.000
Placental abruption	0 (0.00)	1 (1.61)	1.008	0.315
Total	4 (6.45)	9 (14.52)	2.148	0.143

Table 4. Comparison of incidence of complications during pregnancy

Table 5.	Comparison	of incidence	of adverse	side	effects	during pr	eg-
nancy							

Groups	Intramuscular injection group (n = 62)	Oral medication group (n = 62)	X ²	Р
Gastrointestinal reaction	0 (0.00)	2 (3.23)	2.033	0.154
Breast distending pain	2 (3.23)	3 (4.84)	0.208	0.648
Liver and kidney damage	1 (1.61)	1 (1.61)	0.000	1.000
Dizziness	2 (3.23)	2 (3.23)	0.000	1.000
Total	6 (9.68)	8 (12.90)	0.322	0.570

Table 6. Comparison of perinatal outcomes

Groups	Intramuscular injection group (n = 62)	Oral medication group (n = 62)	X ²	Р
Low Apgar score infants	1 (1.61)	2 (3.23)	0.342	0.559
Fetal macrosomia	1 (1.61)	1 (1.61)	0.000	1.000
Perinatal malformation	0 (0.00)	1 (1.61)		0.315*
Fetal distress	1 (1.61)	2 (3.23)	0.342	0.559
Full-term low-birth weight infants	1 (1.61)	3 (4.84)	1.033	0.309
Netes *Field and French Test				

Note: *Fisher's Exact Test.

Table 7. Comparis	son of fetal heart rate changes
-------------------	---------------------------------

Groups	Intramuscular injection group (n = 62)	Oral medication group (n = 62)	t	Р
Before treatment	103.67 ± 10.37	102.85 ± 10.29	0.442	0.659
After treatment	120.38 ± 11.46	118.26 ± 10.57	1.071	0.284
t	8.224	7.846		
Р	< 0.001	< 0.001		

lar injection group was slightly higher than that in the oral medication group. A large number of studies confirmed that serum progesterone can be used as an important monitoring index for abnormal placental function during pregnancy [24]. Therefore, the intramuscular injection or the oral administration of progesterone can supplement the progesterone required by patients with early threatened abortion and improve their placental function during pregnancy.

According to the comparison of the incidence of complications and adverse side effects during pregnancy, the total incidence of complications during pregnancy in the intramuscular injection group was slightly lower than that in the oral medication group, but there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in the incidence of adverse side effects during pregnancy. Complications during pregnancy are a sign of early threatened abortion and seriously endanger the safety of pregnant women and their fetuses [25]. At present, there is still controversy over the adverse side effects of progesterone with different administrations during pregnancy, which has been rarely studied. Therefore, this paper has a higher reference value than existing studies on progesterone for treatment. Thus, it is believed that for patients with early threatened abortion,

the efficacy of the intramuscular injection of progesterone is similar to that of the oral medication for preventing and reducing the incidence of complications during pregnancy. However, the results of this study showed that the intramuscular injection was better than the oral administration in this aspect. According to

the comparison of the incidence of perinatal adverse events and fetal heart rate changes, the incidence of infants with low Apgar score, perinatal malformation, fetal distress and fullterm low-birth weight infants in the intramuscular injection group was lower than that in the oral medication group. After treatment, the fetal heart rates in the two groups were significantly higher than those before treatment, and the rate after treatment in the intramuscular injection group was higher than that in the oral medication group, but without statistically significant difference. Therefore, the effect of the intramuscular injection of progesterone is similar to that of the oral administration on perinatal outcomes, and reasonable dose can improve the fetal heart rate. A similar study shows that the effect of the intramuscular injection of progesterone is similar to that of the oral administration on perinatal outcomes, but the two administrations are effective without significant difference [26].

There are still deficiencies in this study. For example, the patients' treatment satisfaction was not recorded and they had regional characteristics. These deficiencies may affect the research results. Therefore, the patients will be regularly followed up in the later period according to their data, to improve this study.

In conclusion, both the intramuscular injection and the oral administration of progesterone are effective for patients with early threatened abortion, without significant adverse effects on perinatal outcomes and with similar effects on the fetal heart rate. Therefore, progesterone with different administrations can be chosen for the treatment of early threatened abortion according to patients' conditions.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Yong Wang, Department of Obstetrics, Maternal and Child Health Care Hospital of Ji'nan, No. 2 Jianguoxiaojingsan Road, Ji'nan 250001, Shandong Province, China. Tel: +86-0531-89029244; Fax: +86-0531-89029244; E-mail: wangyong84sd@163.com

References

[1] Qin W, Tang Y, Ning Y, Rong C, Wu J. Potential role of circulating micro RNAs as a biomarker

for unexplained recurrent spontaneous abortion. National Conference on Immunology 2015.

- [2] Muanda FT, Sheehy O, Bérard A. Use of antibiotics during pregnancy and risk of spontaneous abortion. CMAJ 2017; 189: E625.
- [3] Wang L, Yang Y, Liu F, Yang A, Xu Q, Wang Q. Paternal smoking and spontaneous abortion: a population-based retrospective cohort study among non-smoking women aged 20-49 years in rural China. J Epidemiol Community Health 2018; 72: 783-789.
- [4] Gaskins AJ, Rich-Edwards JW, Williams PL, Toth TL, Missmer SA, Chavarro JE. Prepregnancy low to moderate alcohol intake is not associated with risk of spontaneous abortion or stillbirth. J Nutr 2016; [Epub ahead of print].
- [5] Ramandeep K, Kapil G, Harkiran K. Correlation of enhanced oxidative stress with altered thyroid profile: probable role in spontaneous abortion. Int J Appl Basic Med Res 2017; 7: 20-25.
- [6] Healy M, Patounakis G, Zanelotti A, Devine K, Decherney A, Levy M. Does premature elevated progesterone on the day of trigger increase spontaneous abortion rates in fresh and subsequent frozen embryo transfers? Gynecol Endocrinol 2017; 33: 472-475.
- [7] Nguyen E, Mejia R, Summers KM, Eyck PT, Sparks AE, Van Voorhis BJ. Higher body weight is associated with lower concentrations of progesterone and estrogen in early pregnancy following in vitro fertilization. Fertil Steril 2018; 110: e256-e257.
- [8] Kaur R, Gupta K. Endocrine dysfunction and recurrent spontaneous abortion: an overview. Int J Appl Basic Med Res 2016; 6: 79-83.
- [9] Liu X. Effects of self-made Yishen Antai Decoction combined with progesterone in the treatment of patients with recurrent spontaneous abortion and the effect on pregnancy outcomes and blocking antibodies. Chinese Journal of Biochemical & Pharmaceuticals 2017.
- [10] Coccia ME, Rizzello F, Cozzolino M. The effect of low-dose ovarian stimulation with HMG plus progesterone on pregnancy outcome in women with history of recurrent pregnancy loss and secondary infertility: a retrospective cohort study. Gynecol Endocrinol 2018; 34: 528-531.
- [11] Grossman D, White K, Harris L, Reeves M, Blumenthal PD, Winikoff B. Continuing pregnancy after mifepristone and "reversal" of first-trimester medical abortion: a systematic review. Contraception 2015; 92: 206-211.
- [12] Choi SJ. Use of progesterone supplement therapy for prevention of preterm birth: review of literatures. Obstet Gynecol Sci 2017; 60: 405-420.
- [13] Erkinharju T, Lundberg MR, Isdal E, Hordvik I, Dalmo RA, Seternes T. Studies on the antibody

response and side effects after intramuscular and intraperitoneal injection of Atlantic lumpfish (Cyclopterus lumpus L.) with different oilbased vaccines. J Fish Dis 2017; 40: 1805-1813.

- [14] Wahabi HA, Abed NF, Elawad M. Progestogen for treating threatened miscarriage. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007; CD005943.
- [15] Andersen LB, Dechend R, Karumanchi SA, Nielsen J, Joergensen JS, Jensen TK. Early pregnancy angiogenic markers and spontaneous abortion: an odense child cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016; 215: 594, e1-594.
- [16] Li YZ, Ling LL, Reproduction DO. The clinical value of chromosome abnormal tested by gene chip in early abortion of assisted reproduction patients. Chinese Journal of Birth Health & Heredity 2018.
- [17] Vesentini G, Marini G, Piculo F. Morphological changes in rat rectus abdominis muscle induced by diabetes and pregnancy. Braz J Med Biol Res 2018; 51: e7035.
- [18] María Emilia S, Mirka Katharina K, Greta Eugenia OR, Andrea Kristina H, Kathrin M, Torsten PS. Progesterone and HMOX-1 promote fetal growth by CD8+ T cell modulation. J Clin Invest 2015; 125: 1726-38.
- [19] Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, Yudin MH, Murphy KE, Walmsley SL, Shah R, MacGillivray J, Silverman M, Serghides L. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. J Infect Dis 2015; 211: 10-8.
- [20] Shah NM, Imami N, Johnson MR. Progesterone modulation of pregnancy-related immune responses. Front Immunol 2018; 9: 1293.
- [21] Ismail AM, Abbas AM, Ali MK. Peri-conceptional progesterone treatment in women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2018; 31: 388-394.

- [22] Coomarasamy A, Williams H, Truchanowicz E, Seed P, Small R, Quenby S, Gupta P, Dawood F, Koot YE, Bender Atik R, Bloemenkamp KW, Brady R, Briley AL, Cavallaro R, Cheong YC, Chu JJ, Eapen A, Ewies A, Hoek A, Kaaijk EM, Koks CA, Li TC, MacLean M, Mol BW, Moore J, Ross JA, Sharpe L, Stewart J, Vaithilingam N, Farquharson RG, Kilby MD, Khalaf Y, Goddijn M, Regan L, Rai R. A randomized trial of progesterone in women with recurrent miscarriages. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 2141-8.
- [23] Beltsos AN, Sanchez MD, Doody KJ, Bush MR, Domar AD, Collins MG. Patients' administration preferences: progesterone vaginal insert (Endometrin?) compared to intramuscular progesterone for Luteal phase support. Reprod Health 2014; 11: 78.
- [24] Ochsenbein-Imhof N, Hebisch G, Stallmach T, Breymann C, Huch A. Two-stage delivery after spontaneous rupture of fetal membranes and delayed abortion of the first twin in conservative management. Z Geburtshilfe Neonatol 2001; 205: 152-5.
- [25] Sujuan G, Bin L, Yuanyuan C. Effects of uterine arterial embolization combined with methotrexate and lactate ethacridine on related indexes of mid-pregnant patients with placenta previa abortion. China Pharmacy 2016.
- [26] Klement AH, Samara N, Weintraub A. Intramuscular versus vaginal progesterone administration in medicated frozen embryo transfer cycles: a randomized clinical trial assessing sub-endometrial contractions. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2018; 83: 40-44.