# Original Article Flurbiprofen axetil reduces postoperative sufentanil consumption and enhances postoperative analgesic effects in patients with colorectal cancer surgery

Xue Lin<sup>1,2</sup>, Ruiqin Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jingchun Xing<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaocui Gao<sup>1,2</sup>, Pan Chang<sup>1,2</sup>, Wenzhi Li<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Second Hospital of Harbin Medical University, 246 Xuefu Road, Harbin 150081, Heilongjiang, China; <sup>2</sup>The Hei Long Jiang Province key Lab of Research on Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Harbin 150081, Heilongjiang, China

Received November 7, 2014; Accepted November 8, 2014; Epub December 15, 2014; Published December 30, 2014

**Abstract:** To investigate the effects of different strategies of flurbiprofen axetil (FA) administration on postoperative pain and sufentanil (SF) consumption after open colorectal cancer (CRC) surgery. Forty patients undergoing elective CRC resection were divided into two groups (n = 20 each). Patients in the  $F_{50+50}$  group received 50 mg of intravenous FA 30 min before skin incision and six hours after the first dose; patients in the  $F_{100}$  group received 100 mg of intravenous FA 30 min before skin incision. Perioperative plasma FA ( $C_{FA}$ ) and SF concentrations ( $C_{SF}$ ) were determined. Analgesic and sedative efficacy were evaluated using the visual analogue scale (VAS), Bruggman Comfort Scale (BCS), and Ramsay sedation scale. The time to the first PCIA trigger, the number of patients that pressed the PCIA trigger within 24 h after surgery, and the cumulative doses of SF consumption within 6 and 24 h after surgery were recorded. At postoperative 6 and 24 h,  $C_{FA}$  was significantly higher,  $C_{SF}$  was significantly lower, and the number of patients that pressed the PCIA trigger and the consumption of SF were significantly lower in the  $F_{50+50}$  group compared with the  $F_{100}$  group. At postoperative 4 h, VAS and BCS were significantly lower in the  $F_{50+50}$  group compared with the  $F_{100}$  group (P < 0.05). An administration strategy that maintains a relatively high plasma FA concentration at 6-24 h post-operatively may reduce postoperative inflammatory pain and SF-requirement in patients undergoing CRC resection.

Keywords: Flurbiprofen axetil, pharmacokinetics, sufentanil consumption, cytokine, colorectal cancer

### Introduction

Opioids are some of the most commonly used agents for perioperative analgesia during colorectal cancer (CRC) resection [1]. CRC resection represents a major perioperative stress, and is associated with a significant increase in postoperative levels of plasma inflammatory markers [2]. Increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines can induce sensitization of the peripheral and central nervous systems, and lead to hyperalgesia, postoperative inflammatory pain, and increased postoperative opioid-requirement [3]. However, opioids cause side-effects including respiratory depression and excessive sedation. In patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery, important clinical effects of opioids include nausea, vomiting, constipation, and suppression of postoperative intestinal function. Therefore, efforts are directed at reducing postoperative opioid consumption in patients undergoing CRC resection. Alternative multimodal strategies involving non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and opioids may be more applicable for perioperative analgesia in these patients.

The NSAID flurbiprofen axetil (FA) is an injectable nonselective cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitor that is widely used for postoperative pain relief. FA exerts its analgesic effect by inhibiting prostaglandin synthesis. Patented FA technology uses emulsified lipid microspheres that have a high affinity for inflammatory tissues to achieve targeted drug therapy [4]. Currently, various modes for administering FA are applied in clinical settings, including 1 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> via intravenous injection (i.v.) [5, 6], 0.1 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>,h<sup>-1</sup> via continuous venous infusion [7] and 50 mg [8] or 100 mg [9] via i.v. injection. In addition, FA is often used as preemptive analgesia by anesthesiologists [8], and is routinely applied in the adjuvant management of postoperative pain by surgeons [7].

The effect of administering perioperative FA analgesia on patient opioid consumption, for postoperative pain, is unknown. This randomized, double-blind clinical trial was designed to investigate the efficacy of a single bolus dose of preoperative FA versus two smaller preoperative and postoperative doses of FA on postoperative pain, sufentanil (SF) consumption, and cytokine release in patients undergoing CRC resection. Both protocols resulted in the same cumulative FA dose: however, the single bolus dose was expected to result in a decreasing perioperative plasma FA concentration, while the divided doses were expected to lead to a relatively steady-state plasma FA concentration. The objectives of this study were to investigate the effects of different protocols for perioperative administration of FA on (i) postoperative pain control and SF consumption and (ii) postoperative serum cytokine levels in patients undergoing CRC resection.

# Materials and methods

# Study subjects

Forty patients aged 37-69 years were included in this clinical trial. Inclusion criteria were 1) patients with an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Classification of Physical Status I/II; 2) scheduled for elective CRC surgery; 3) able to comprehend the concept of the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and Bruggman Comfort Scale (BCS); and 4) able to correctly use the patient-controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA) trigger. Exclusion criteria were: 1) patients who had received NSAIDs, opioids, or other analgesics during the 24-h preoperative period; 2) patients with a history of allergic reaction to opioids and NSAIDs; 3) patients with any contraindications for the use of NSAIDs, such as coagulation disorders, gastrointestinal ulcer, and heart and renal disease; and 4) patients with preoperative hemoglobin < 100 g·L<sup>-1</sup> and perioperative blood transfusion. All anesthesia and operative procedures were performed by the same group of anesthesiologists and surgeons. All patients were preoperatively evaluated with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [10].

This clinical trial was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Harbin Medical University (Chinese Clinical Trial Registry ChiCTR-TRC-14004342). Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to study enrollment.

# Study treatment and procedures

Patients were randomly allocated to one of two groups:  $F_{100}$  or  $F_{50+50}$  (n = 20 patients each). Thirty minutes before skin incision, the patients in the  $F_{100}$  group were administered FA (Beijing Taide Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.) 100 mg/10 mL i.v.; the patients in the  $F_{50+50}$  group were administered FA 50 mg/5 mL and 5 mL intralipid i.v. six hours after the first dose, patients in the  $F_{100}$  group were administered 5 mL intralipid i.v. as placebo; patients in the  $F_{50+50}$  group were administered FA 50 mg i.v.

During the operation, at the closure of the peritoneum, patients were connected to the PCIA and were allowed to self-administer SF 0.04  $\mu$ g·kg<sup>1</sup>.h<sup>1</sup> (SF 2 mL·h<sup>1</sup> continuous background infusion; 2 mL at each trigger pull; 2 mL of a loading dose; 15 min of lockout time).

Randomization was carried out using a computer program generating an odd and even number sequence. The trial was performed by three investigators in a double-blinded manner. The first investigator prepared each test solution in a syringe and was responsible for subject grouping and i.v. injection of the test solution. The second investigator, who was blinded to the type of test solution and subject grouping, performed anesthesia management, monitored vital signs, and collected blood samples at each timepoint. The third investigator, who was also blinded to all trial information, was responsible for recording and analyzing the perioperative scores and experimental data.

Standard monitoring was applied to all patients, including pulse oximetry, electrocardiography, capnography, and invasive arterial blood pressure. General anesthesia was induced with an i.v injection of midazolam (0.05 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), lidocaine (1.0-1.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), propofol (1.5-2.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), SF (0.3 µg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), and rocuronium (0.6 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) intravenously. Tracheal intubation was per-



Figure 1. Study design.

formed. Tidal volume of mechanical ventilation was 10 ml·kg<sup>-1</sup>; respiratory rate was adjusted to maintain the partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide ( $P_{FT}CO_{2}$ ) between 35-45 mmHg. General anesthesia was maintained with continuous infusion of remifentanil (8-10 µg·kg<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>, i.v.) and inhalation of sevoflurane in oxygen (0.7-0.9 MAC), which were adjusted to maintain steady hemodynamic indices. All patients received lactated ringer's solution and hydrocyethyl (6-8 mL·kg<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>) perioperatively (colloidal: crystal = 2:1). When blood pressure was < 90mmHg, i.v. fluid infusion was increased or ephedrine (6-10 mg, i.v.) was administered. All patients were taken to the postoperative anesthesia care unit after surgery.

# Outcome measures

This trial had seven time points:  $T_0$ , preoperation;  $T_1$ , 15 min after the first dose of FA;  $T_2$ , end of surgery;  $T_3$ , postoperative 2 h;  $T_4$ , 6 h after the first dose of FA;  $T_5$ , postoperative 4 h;  $T_6$ , postoperative 6 h;  $T_7$ , postoperative 24 h.

The primary outcome measures were plasma FA concentrations ( $C_{FA}$ ) at  $T_1$ - $T_7$  (except for  $T_3$  and  $T_5$ ) and plasma SF concentrations ( $C_{SF}$ ) at postoperative  $T_2$ ,  $T_6$ , and  $T_7$ .  $C_{FA}$  and  $C_{SF}$  were detected using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; Shimadzu LC20A, Japan) with flurbiprofen (FP 1408-013A2; Mississauga, Canada) and sufentanil citrate (171259-

	F <sub>100</sub> (n = 18)	F <sub>50+50</sub> (n = 19)	Р
Age (yr)	59.06±9.40	54.37±8.59	0.122
Body weight (kg)	66.36±10.63	61.68±10.54	0.188
Gender (F/M)	11/7	14/5	0.414
BDI score	6.11±1.94	6.79±1.99	0.301
Operation time (min)	154.44±28.02	147.63±30.16	0.482
Incision length (cm)	18.67±1.41	18.84±2.17	0.774
Type of surgery (n)			0.641
Hemicolectomy (left)	3	5	
Hemicolectomy (right)	5	3	
Sigmoid colectomy	3	4	
Miles	6	4	
Dixon	1	3	
Blood loss (mL)	202.78±55.50	192.11±58.36	0.573
Fluid administration (mL)	1575.00±337.92	1478.95±379.44	0.423
Remifentanil (mg)	1.71±0.20	1.76±0.28	0.505

 Table 1. Patient demographics and clinical data

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; M, Male; F, Female. Values are mean  $\pm$  SD except for gender and type of surgery.

# 200601; NICPBP) as the standards for quantitative analyses.

Postoperative pain intensity was assessed using a 10-cm VAS [11] (with endpoints labeled "no pain" and "worst possible pain") and the BCS [12] (scores ranging between 0 "persistent pain" and 4 "cough is painless"). The patients' levels of sedation were evaluated using the Ramsay scale (scores ranging between 1 "anxious and agitated" to 6 "non-responsive") [13]. Observation indices also included the time to the first PCIA trigger, the number of patients that pressed the PCIA trigger within 24 h after surgery, and total SF consumption within 6 and 24 h after surgery. The patients were permitted to press the PCIA trigger when their VAS score was > 4. Additional analgesia was given if patients could not maintain their VAS score < 6; these patients were excluded from the analyses. Adverse effects, such as drowsiness, dizziness, nausea, vomiting, and fever, were recorded during the 24-h postoperative period.

The secondary outcome measures were plasma concentrations of IL-6 and IL-10 at  $T_0$ ,  $T_6$ , and  $T_7$ . Blood (3 mL) sampled at  $T_0$ - $T_7$  (except for  $T_3$  and  $T_5$ ) was collected into EDTA tubes and immediately centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. Subsequently, plasma was stored at -80°C until future use. Plasma concentrations of IL-6 and IL-10 at  $T_0$ ,  $T_6$ , and  $T_7$ 

were measured with commercially available quantitative sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (BOSTER, China) according to the manufacturers' instructions. The sensitivities of the assays for IL-6 and IL-10 were 0.3 and 0.5 pg·mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

# Statistical analysis

The sample size was based on a preliminary trial conducted by the authors. With an alpha level of 0.05 and a beta level of 0.1 (mean:  $80.84 \ \mu g$  in the F<sub>100</sub> group and  $66.15 \ \mu g$  in the F<sub>50+50</sub> group; SD 13.13  $\ \mu g$  within 24 h after surgery; one-sided hypothesis), a minimum sample size of 15 patients per group were required to detect a difference in SF consumption. Twenty patients were

recruited to each group to account for possible dropouts and protocol breaches.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 13.01S; Beijing Stats Data Mining Co. Ltd., Beijing, China). Normality of the distribution was assessed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Parametric data are described as means and standard deviations and non-parametric data are described as medians and interquartile ranges. Gender and the type of operation were analysed using the Pearson Chi-squared test. Between-group comparisons of the incidence of adverse events were evaluated by the Fisher's exact test. VAS. BCS, and Ramsay scores were compared using the Mann-Whitney rank sum test. Plasma levels of IL-6, and IL-10 were compared using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). For other variables with a normal distribution, an independent two-sample t-test was used for intergroup comparison. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# Results

Forty patients were randomly assigned to either the  $F_{100}$  or  $F_{50+50}$  group (n = 20 each). In the  $F_{100}$ group, two patients discontinued the intervention. Of these, one patient refused to continue the use of SF at postoperative 5.5 h, and one patient requested additional analgesia at post-



**Figure 2.** Concentration of flurbiprofen axetil (FA) in plasma. Values are mean ± SD. \**P* < 0.05, compared with  $F_{100}$  group. Time points:  $T_1$ , 15 min after the first dose of FA;  $T_2$ , end of surgery;  $T_4$ , 6 h after the first dose of FA;  $T_6$ , postoperative 6 h;  $T_7$ , postoperative 24 h.

operative 8 h. In the  $F_{50+50}$  group, one patient had no scheduled surgery. Thirty-seven patients were included in the analyses, 18 in the  $F_{100}$  group and 19 in the  $F_{50+50}$  group (**Figure 1**).

## Patient demographic and clinical characteristics

There were no significant differences in patient age, body weight, gender, BDI score, duration of surgery, incision length, type of surgery, blood loss, fluid administration, or intraoperative remifentanil consumption between the  $F_{100}$  and  $F_{50+50}$  groups (**Table 1**).

### Primary outcome measures

At T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>, C<sub>FA</sub> was significantly higher in the F<sub>50+50</sub> group compared with the F<sub>100</sub> group (**Figure 2**; *P* < 0.05), and C<sub>SF</sub> was significantly lower in the F<sub>50+50</sub> group compared with the F<sub>100</sub> group (**Figure 3**; *P* < 0.05).

The time to the first PCIA trigger was not statistically different between the  $F_{50+50}$  and  $F_{100}$  groups. The number of patients that pressed the PCIA trigger and the consumption of SF 6 and 24 h postoperatively were significantly lower in the  $F_{50+50}$  group compared with the  $F_{100}$  group (**Table 2**; P < 0.05).



**Figure 3.** Concentration of sufentanil (SF) in plasma. Values are mean  $\pm$  SD. \**P* < 0.05, compared with F<sub>100</sub> group. Time points: T<sub>2</sub>, end of surgery; T<sub>6</sub>, postoperative 6 h; T<sub>7</sub>, postoperative 24 h.

VAS and BCS scores were significantly lower at  $T_5$  in the  $F_{50+50}$  group compared with the  $F_{100}$  group (**Figure 4**; *P* < 0.05). There were no significant differences in Ramsay scores at that time. There were no significant differences in VAS, BCS, and Ramsay scores at  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_6$ , and  $T_7$ .

There were no significant differences in the incidence of postoperative adverse events between the two groups (**Table 3**).

#### Secondary outcome measures

There were significant differences in pre- and postoperative IL-6 and IL-10 levels, and the ratio of IL-6 to IL-10 between groups (**Figure 5**). IL-6 and IL-10 levels increased from preoperation to the end of surgery, and peaked at postoperative 6 h in both groups. At T<sub>6</sub>, the ratio of IL-6 to IL-10 was significantly different between the  $F_{50+50}$  and  $F_{100}$  groups (P < 0.05). IL-6 levels were slightly lower and IL-10 levels were slightly higher in the  $F_{50+50}$  group compared with the  $F_{100}$  group; however, these differences were not statistically significant.

### Discussion

This study indicates that the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of perioperative FA may be used as a clinical guide for the administration of postoperative analgesia. Two strategies for the administration of FA were used; both achieved the same cumulative dose. The  $F_{50+50}$  strategy involved the perioperative

Table 2. Sufentanil co	nsumption
------------------------	-----------

	F <sub>100</sub> (n = 18)	F <sub>50+50</sub> (n = 19)	Р
Time to first PCIA trigger (min)	52.50±7.67	51.58±6.67	0.699
Consumption of SF during 6 h ( $\mu$ g)	24.60±6.31	19.49±6.88	0.024
Consumption of SF during 24 h (µg)	79.32±12.72	66.93±11.12	0.003
Number of patient's that pressed PCIA trigger (n)	6.67±2.40	4.95±2.09	0.026
SF, Sufentanil; Values are mean ± SD.			





**Figure 4.** Comparison of the visual analogue scale (VAS) (A), Bruggman Comfort Scale (BCS) (B), and Ramsay scores (C) between the  $F_{100}$  group and the  $F_{50+50}$  group at 0, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours after surgery. Values are median ([interquartile range] range). \**P* < 0.05 compared with  $F_{100}$  group. Time points:  $T_2$ , end of surgery;  $T_3$ , postoperative 2 h;  $T_5$ , postoperative 4 h;  $T_6$ , postoperative 24 h.

 Table 3. Incidence of adverse events

	F <sub>100</sub> (n = 18)	F <sub>50+50</sub> (n = 19)	Р
Nausea and vomiting	0	1	1.000
Slow to respiratory response	2	1	0.604
Fever	0	1	1.000

administration of two low doses of FA within a short period of time. This maintained a relative-

ly steady-state plasma concentration of FA throughout the study period, which may have prolonged the pharmacological effect of FA. Indeed, this strategy resulted in significantly decreased VAS and BCS scores and opioid consumption during the early postoperative period. Furthermore,

the ratio of IL-6 to IL-10 was down-regulated 6 h after surgery.



Figure 5. Plasma concentrations of IL-6 (A) and IL-10 (B) and the ratio of IL-6 to IL-10 (C) in the two groups. Values are mean  $\pm$  SD. #P < 0.05, compared with T<sub>o</sub>; \*P < 0.05, compared with F<sub>100</sub> group Time points:  $T_0$ , preoperation;  $T_6$ , postoperative 6 h; T<sub>-</sub>, postoperative 24 h.

#

#

T7

Evidence suggests that perioperative FA may reduce postoperative pain, inhibit the release of inflammatory factors, and improve intestinal function in patients undergoing CRC surgery [6]. Intravenous FA injection is composed of emulsified lipid microspheres, which provide a novel targeted drug delivery system to inflammatory tissues. The lipid microsphere technique enables efficient delivery and accumulation of FP, the active metabolite of FA, at sites of damaged tissue and inflammation. FA is hydrolyzed to FP by a plasma carboxylic esterase. Studies in rat and monkey animal models and healthy volunteers report that FP is present in the blood within 5 min of FA administration and peaks within 6-7 min of FA administration; the response is dose-dependent between FA 10-80 mg. The analgesic effect of FA is apparent within 30 min of FA administration, and the elimination half-life is 5.8 h. The elimination half-life of the lipid microspheres is approximately 12 min; therefore, they rapidly disappear from the blood. After 24 h of administration, approximately 50% of FP metabolites are discharged in the urine [4, 14-18].

In the current study, we used i.v. doses of FA of 100 mg and 50 mg because there are no data in the literature to support dosing according to body weight. The results showed significant differences in plasma FA concentrations between the groups intraoperatively, probably because the FA doses at first administration were so different. However, the plasma concentration of FA in the  $F_{100}$  patients was not double that of the  $F_{50+50}$  patients at  $T_2$  or  $T_4$ . This observation

may explain, in part, the analgesic ceiling effect of NSAIDs [19]. There were no significant differences in plasma SF concentration; intraoperative remifentanil consumption; or VAS, BCS, and Ramsay scores among all patients in the immediate post-operative period. We propose that sevoflurane may have had an intraoperative analgesic effect, and that intraoperative application of a combination of various sedative and analgesic agents caused the comparable analgesic effects of FA 100 mg and 50 mg during the operation and immediately afterwards. A second dose of FA was administered to  $F_{50+50}$  patients at  $T_3$  (6 h after the first i.v. administration and approximately postoperative 3 h) in an attempt to maintain a relatively steady-state plasma concentration of FA throughout the study period in these patients. At this time, the same cumulative dose of FA was achieved in both groups, and pain scores, SF consumption, and expression of inflammatory cytokines within the 3-24 h postoperative period were the primary outcomes measured. At postoperative 4 h, both VAS and BCS scores were significantly lower in the F<sub>50+50</sub> group compared to the F<sub>100</sub> group. Probably because the relatively higher FA plasma concentration in the  $\rm F_{50+50}$  group provided a critical moment of FA/ SF multimodal analgesia that was not experienced by the  $F_{100}$  group. Surprisingly, the VAS, BCS, and Ramsay scores at  $T_6$  and  $T_7$  were significantly lower in patients with a lower plasma FA concentration. This could be explained by the significantly higher plasma SF concentration in these patients, which may have compensated for the lower plasma FA concentration. As such, both groups of patients could have achieved the same level of postoperative pain through multimodal analgesia with different concentrations of FA and SF. Accordingly, SF consumption and the number of patients that pressed the PCIA trigger was significantly greater in the patients that had a lower plasma FA concentration.

The goal of perioperative analgesic management of CRC surgical patients is minimizing nociception and proinflammatory responses [1]. Tissue injury produced by multi-technique operations is associated with nociception and a marked increase in postoperative cytokine release [20]. The feedback cascade between nociception and proinflammatory markers generates a significant increase in proinflammato-

ry cytokines such as IL-6 [21]. Among the human body responses-caused by surgery, the serum level of IL-6 is a sensitive index of the degree of surgical stress [22-24]. IL-6 can lead to hyperalgesia through sensitization of the peripheral and central nervous systems [3]. IL-6 may indirectly regulate pain via cytokineinduced release of other neuroactive substances, such as nitric oxide, oxygen free radicals, prostaglandins, and excitatory amino acids [25]. At the same time, IL-10 levels increase during major surgery. IL-10 tends to maintain homeostasis as it has strong anti-inflammatory activities through the inhibition of prostaglandin production [26]. Previous studies show that NSAID administration after major surgery is associated with increased IL-10 production [27, 28], and there may be a negative feedback between prostaglandin synthesis and the production of IL-10. Prostaglandins had a vital role in the induction of IL-10 in human monocytes; in turn, IL-10 inhibits prostaglandin production [29]. Based on these observations, we propose that the relatively steady-state plasma concentration of FA and/or SF sparing in the current study regulated the IL-6: IL-10 ratio, favoring the production of the anti-inflammatory IL-10 over the pro-inflammatory IL-6. This may have directly or indirectly regulated postoperative cytokine release, reduced injury-induced inflammatory pain, decreased SF-requirement, and enhanced postoperative analgesic effects.

There are several limitations to the current study. First, patients were not stratified according to preoperative neoplasm staging, which may impact the accuracy of the results. Evidence suggests that levels of inflammatory mediators vary in association with tumor stage [30]. Second, causative data to indicate that SF directly reduced the immunomodulatory effect of cytokines are not reported; this area of research warrants further investigation. Third, plasma creatinine levels may be useful for the assessment of adverse events; however, these data are not available for this study. Fourth, the study was terminated at postoperative 24 h; however, cytokine release may persist 24-36 h after surgery [31]. Finally, we did not document the recovery of intestinal function after surgery, although i.v. FA may accelerate bowel function, including the time to first flatus and bowel movements [6]. Future research should be directed at determining whether the maintenance of steady-state plasma FA concentrations in patients undergoing CRC resection affects postoperative intestinal function.

In conclusion, perioperative administration of two low doses of FA within a short period of time appears to maintain a relatively higher plasma FA concentration at 6-24 h post-operatively in patients undergoing CRC resection. This may reduce postoperative opioid consumption and enhance analgesic effects after surgery in these patients. Considering the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of FA may be useful for guiding perioperative FA administration during the management of patients undergoing CRC resection.

# Acknowledgements

Assistance with the study: This work was supported by the Department of Anesthesiology of Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University and the Hei Long Jiang Province Key Laboratory of Research on Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine.

## Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Wenzhi Li, Department of Anesthesiology, Second Hospital of Harbin Medical University, 246 Xuefu Road, Harbin 150001, Heilongjiang, China. Tel: 0086-0451-86605029; Fax: 0086-0451-86605028; E-mail: wenzhili9@ 126.com

# References

- Patel S, Lutz JM, Panchagnula U and Bansal S. Anesthesia and perioperative management of colorectal surgical patients-A clinical review (Part 1). J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2012; 28: 162.
- [2] Avdagic SS, Krdzalic G, Avdagic H, Uljic V and Piric M. Effects of postoperative analgesia on acute phase response in thoracic surgery. Med Arh 2010; 64: 113-5.
- [3] Watkins LR, Maier SF and Goehler LE. Immune activation: the role of pro-inflammatory cytokines in inflammation, illness responses and pathological pain states. Pain 1995; 63: 289-302.
- [4] Ohmukai O. Lipo-NSAID preparation. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 1996; 20: 203-207.
- [5] Nakayama M, Ichinose H, Yamamoto S, Nakabayashi KI, Satoh O and Namiki A. Perioperative intravenous flurbiprofen reduces postop-

erative pain after abdominal hysterectomy. Can J Anesth 2001; 48: 234-237.

- [6] Xu Y, Tan Z, Chen J, Lou F and Chen W. Intravenous flurbiprofen axetil accelerates restoration of bowel function after colorectal surgery. Can J Anesth 2008; 55: 414-422.
- [7] Narahara H, Kadoi Y, Hinohara H, Kunimoto F and Saito S. Comparative effects of flurbiprofen and fentanyl on natural killer cell cytotoxicity, lymphocyte subsets and cytokine concentrations in post-surgical intensive care unit patients: prospective, randomized study. J Anesth 2013; 27: 676-683.
- [8] Zhang Z, Zhao H, Wang C, Han F and Wang G. Lack of preemptive analgesia by intravenous flurbiprofen in thyroid gland surgery: a randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled clinical trial. Int J Med Sci 2011; 8: 433.
- [9] Esme H, Kesli R, Apiliogullari B, Duran FM and Yoldas B. Effects of flurbiprofen on CRP, TNF-α, IL-6, and postoperative pain of thoracotomy. Int J Med Sci 2011; 8: 216.
- [10] Castro SM, Trentini C and Riboldi J. Item response theory applied to the Beck Depression Inventory. Rev Bras Epidemiol 2010; 13: 487-501.
- [11] Crichton N. Visual analogue scale (VAS). J Clin Nurs 2001; 10: 706-6.
- [12] Wang QM, Wu XP, Cheng F and Wei ZJ. Postoperative Continuous Intravenous Analgesia with Lornoxicam in Patients with Hip Arthroplasty Surgery. Pract Clin Med 2007; 2: 024.
- [13] Mondello E, Siliotti R, Noto G, Cuzzocrea E, Scollo G, Trimarchi G and Venuti FS. Bispectral Index in ICU: correlation with Ramsay Score on assessment of sedation level. J Clin Monit Comput 2002; 17: 271-277.
- [14] Yamashita K, Fukusaki M, Ando Y, Fujinaga A, Tanabe T, Terao Y and Sumikawa K. Preoperative administration of intravenous flurbiprofen axetil reduces postoperative pain for spinal fusion surgery. J Anesth 2006; 20: 92-95.
- [15] Davies NM. Clinical pharmacokinetics of flurbiprofen and its enantiomers. Clin Pharmacokinet 1995; 28: 100-114.
- [16] Park KM, Gao ZG and Kim CK. Assay of flurbiprofen in rat plasma using HPLC with fluorescence detection. J Liq Chromatogr Relat Technol 1997; 20: 1849-1855.
- [17] Han F, Yin R, Shi XL, Jia Q, Liu HZ, Yao HM, Xu L and Li SM. Cloud point extraction-HPLC method for determination and pharmacokinetic study of flurbiprofen in rat plasma after oral and transdermal administration. J Chromatogr B 2008; 868: 64-69.
- [18] Qayyum A and Najmi MH. Determination of pharmacokinetics of flurbiprofen in Pakistani population using modified HPLC method. J Chromatogr Sci 2011; 49: 108-113.

- [19] Moote C. Efficacy of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the management of postoperative pain. Drugs 1992; 44: 14-30.
- [20] Kawasaki Y, Zhang L, Cheng JK and Ji RR. Cytokine mechanisms of central sensitization: distinct and overlapping role of interleukin-1beta, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in regulating synaptic and neuronal activity in the superficial spinal cord. J Neurosci 2008; 28: 5189-94.
- [21] Kato M, Suzuki H, Murakami M, Akama M, Matsukawa S and Hashimoto Y. Elevated plasma levels of interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor during and after major abdominal surgery. J Clin Anesth 1997; 9: 293-298.
- [22] Besedovsky HO and Rey AD. Immune-neuroendocrine interactions: facts and hypotheses. Endocrine Rev 1996; 17: 64-102.
- [23] Cruickshank A, Fraser W, Burns H, Van Damme J and Shenkin A. Response of serum interleukin-6 in patients undergoing elective surgery of varying severity. Clin Sci 1990; 79: 161-165.
- [24] Toda H, Murata A, Tanaka N, Ohashi I, Kato T, Hayashida H, Matsuura N and Monden M. Changes in serum granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) after surgical intervention. Res Commun Mol Pathol Pharmacol 1995; 87: 275-286.
- [25] Watkins LR, Milligan ED and Maier SF. Glial proinflammatory cytokines mediate exaggerated pain states: implications for clinical pain. Adv Exp Med Biol 2003; 521: 1-21.

- [26] Beilin B, Shavit Y, Trabekin E, Mordashev B, Mayburd E, Zeidel A and Bessler H. The effects of postoperative pain management on immune response to surgery. Anesth Analge 2003; 97: 822-827.
- [27] Kim MH and Hahm TS. Plasma levels of interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 are affected by ketorolac as an adjunct to patient-controlled morphine after abdominal hysterectomy. Clin J Pain 2001; 17: 72-77.
- [28] Mahdy A, Galley H, Abdel-Wahed M, El-Korny K, Sheta S and Webster N. Differential modulation of interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 by diclofenac in patients undergoing major surgery. Br J Anaesth 2002; 88: 797-802.
- [29] Niho Y, Niiro H, Tanaka Y, Nakashima H and Otsuka T. Role of IL-10 in the crossregulation of prostaglandins and cytokines in monocytes. Acta Haematol 1998; 99: 165-170.
- [30] Kamiñska J, Kowalska M, Nowacki M, Chwalinski M, Rysinska A and Fuksiewicz M. CRP, TNFα, IL-1ra, IL-6, IL-8 and IL-10 in blood serum of colorectal cancer patients. Pathol Oncol Res 2000; 6: 38-41.
- [31] Cruickshank A, Fraser W, Burns H, Van Damme J and Shenkin A. Response of serum interleukin-6 in patients undergoing elective surgery of varying severity. Clin Sci 1990; 79: 161-165.