

Original Article

Gastro-esophageal reflux induced cough with airway hyperresponsiveness

Li Yu*, Xiang-Huai Xu*, Qiang Chen, Si-Wei Liang, Han-Jing Lv, Zhong-Min Qiu

Department of Respiratory Medicine, Tongji Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200065, China. *Equal contributors.

Received January 4, 2014; Accepted February 7, 2014; Epub March 15, 2014; Published March 30, 2014

Abstract: To investigate the characteristics of gastroesophageal reflux induced cough (GERC) with airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR). Compared to patients with GERC alone and healthy subjects, cough sensitivity, multi-channel intraluminal impedance combined with pH monitoring and airway inflammation were evaluated in patients with GERC and AHR. 23 patients were definitely diagnosed as acid reflux induced GERC, 9 patients developed AHR concomitantly. When compared with GERC patients, patients with AHR had significantly increased number of proximal extent episodes (21.5 (28.6) vs. 7.5 (1.8), $Z = -2.038$, $P = 0.042$) and increased proportion of proximal extent episodes to total refluxes episodes (24.5 (13.5)% vs. 4.2 (7.3)%, $Z = -2.138$, $P = 0.032$), and the level of IL-8 in the airway of these patients was significantly higher than that in healthy subjects (71.1 (64.0) vs. 24.3 (35.2) pg/ml, $Z = -2.013$, $P = 0.044$). Gastroesophageal reflux may cause neutrophilic airway inflammation due to the acid reflux into the airway, which results in AHR. However, AHR is not definitely able to cause chronic cough. Thus differential diagnosis is required in clinical practice.

Keywords: Gastroesophageal reflux, airway hyperresponsiveness, neutrophilic airway inflammation

Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux induced cough (GERC) is a clinical syndrome manifested predominantly with chronic cough caused by the backflow of gastric acid or other gastric contents into the esophagus [1]. GERC has been regarded as one of common causes of chronic cough with an estimated prevalence of 10-40% patients with chronic cough in western countries and 6-20% in China [2-4]. With the alteration in dietary habit and improvement in test facility, the incidence of GERC is increasing [5].

Studies have shown that GERC patients usually have other co-morbid condition, such as upper airway cough syndrome (UACS), cough variant asthma (CVA) and non-asthmatic eosinophilic bronchitis (NAEB) [5-7]. However, our clinical experience reveals the concomitant state in GERC patients is not always related to cough. To elucidate this, we retrospectively reviewed 9 patients with GERC and airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) aiming to investigate the char-

acteristics of this disease and provide evidence for the differentiation diagnosis of GERC.

Materials and methods

Subjects

A total of 23 patients with GERC due to acid reflux were recruited from our respiratory clinic between July 2009 and December 2012. The criteria for diagnosis of acid reflux induced GERC were as follows: 1) cough lasting for ≥ 8 weeks, with or without the typical upper gastrointestinal symptoms such as regurgitation, heartburn and chest pain, 2) multi-channel intraluminal impedance combined with pH monitoring (MII-pH) confirmed abnormal acid reflux, as shown by DeMeester score of ≥ 14.72 and/or syndrome association probability (SAP) for acid reflux of $\geq 95\%$ [3, 8]; 3) cough relieved obviously or resolved completely with the treatment of standard pharmacologic anti-reflux treatment consisting of omeprazole 20 mg, twice daily, plus domperidone 10 mg, three times a day [8]. This study was approved by the

Gastro-esophageal reflux induced cough

Ethics Committee of Tongji Hospital and registered with Chinese Clinical Trials Register (<http://www.chictr.org/>) number ChiCTR-ONC-13003066. All the subjects gave informed consent before entering the study and were divided into three groups.

Group A: Patients with acid GERD and AHR were designated as group A. Inclusion criteria: MII-pH results showed abnormal acid reflux and histamine bronchial provocation tests revealed AHR ($PD_{20} FEV1 < 7.8 \mu\text{mol/L}$). There was no history of exposure to environmental irritants or use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and abnormal findings suggesting other causes for cough were absent; these included results from plain CXRs, pulmonary function testing, induced sputum cytology, sinus imaging, HRCT of the chest, fibre-optic bronchoscopy. Exclusion criteria: Patients had a history of smoking or the duration of smoking cessation was shorter than 2 years; patients did not undergo laboratory examinations; patients developed upper respiratory tract infection within past 2 months; patients had other causes of chronic cough; patients were lost to follow up. Although these 9 patients had AHR, they did not response to anti asthma therapy (200 mg diprophylline plus 2.5 mg terbutaline three times a day or oral prednisone 25 mg daily for one week). GERD was confirmed when cough controlled or improved after at least an 8-week course of standard anti-reflux treatment.

Group B: One control group enrolled acid GERD patients without AHR. Patients with acid reflux induced GERD and normal airway responsiveness were recruited as group B. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were identical to those described above.

Group C: Healthy subjects recruited from staff and medical students in the hospital were designated as another control group (group C). None of these subjects had a history of chronic respiratory, gastrointestinal or allergic disease, or currently had a cough.

Laboratory investigations

Reflux related symptoms were scored by a Chinese version of gastroesophageal reflux diagnostic questionnaire (GerdQ) provided by the designer [9]. Cough sensitivity to inhaled capsaicin was detected according to the meth-

od described previously [10]. Cough threshold was defined as the lowest concentration of capsaicin required for the induction of ≥ 2 (C2) and ≥ 5 coughs (C5). Sputum was induced and processed as described previously [4, 5]. Briefly, the subjects inhaled a 4% hypertonic saline solution through an ultrasonic nebulizer (YS9801, Yisheng Corp, Shanghai, China) and every 5 min, after blowing their noses and rinsing their mouths, expectorated sputum into a sterile pot placed in ice. Sputum with minimal salivary contamination was immediately selected, mixed with four volumes of 0.1% dithiothreitol by gentle aspiration and further mixed on a bench rocker for 20 min. The suspension was filtered through 48-mm gauze and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The cell-free supernatant was removed and stored at -80°C until analysis. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of PBS, smeared on glass slides, and a total cell count was performed using a standard hemocytometer. The air-dried preparations were stained with H&E. A differential cell count was performed on 400 nucleated cells according to standard morphological criteria.

II-pH was performed as previously described by us [11, 12]. In brief, subjects were fasted for more than 10 h and the lower esophageal sphincter was located by esophageal manometry. Then, 6-channel impedance electrode catheter (K6011-E10632, MMS, Switzerland) and pH electrode catheter (819100; MMS, Netherland) which was pre-adjusted with buffers (pH = 4.0 and 7.01) were inserted into the esophagus via a nose. The impedance electrodes were placed at 3 cm, 5 cm, 7 cm, 9 cm, 15 cm and 17 cm above the lower esophageal sphincter, and the pH electrode at 5 cm above the lower esophageal sphincter. The reference electrode was fixed at middle to lower segment of the sternum and connected to the II-pH monitor (Ohmega; MMS, Netherland) followed by recording. During the period of monitoring, the daily schedule and dietary habit remained unchanged, and the beginning and ending time of eating, supine position and symptoms. The acid food and alcohol were avoided. Monitoring was done for 24 h and the data were input into a computer and analyzed with specialized software (Database soft, 8.7 version, Medical Measurement System B.V., Netherlands). On the basis of impedance values, the reflux was divided into liquid reflux, gas reflux and mixed

reflux. Liquid reflux: the adjacent 2 MII channels show retrograde liquid reflux as impedance falls >50% from baseline which continued for at least 3 s; gas reflux: the increase in impedance in at least two electrodes is higher than 5000 Ω in a short time (3 k Ω /s); mixed reflux: There is gas reflux before or during the liquid reflux. On the basis of pH value, liquid reflux was divided into acid reflux (pH<4.0), weak acid reflux (pH 4.0-7.0) and weak base reflux (pH>7.0). The number of reflux, proximal extent (refluxate reached the 15-cm impedance site above the lower esophageal sphincter), bolus exposure (time of reflux to total time of monitoring [%]), time of bolus clearance (from the presence of bolus to the clearance of bolus) and time of acid clearance (from the acid reflux to the pH value of \geq 4.0) were detected. On the basis of the proportion of time of pH<4.0 to total time of monitoring, the proportion of time of pH<4.0 to total time of monitoring in the orthostatic position, the proportion of time of pH<4.0 to total time of monitoring in the supine position, total number of reflux, total number of reflux sustaining for more than 5 min, and the longest time a reflux sustained were employed to calculate the DeMeester score. The DeMeester score of \geq 14.72 was defined as abnormal acid reflux [13]. The above parameters were finally used to calculate the acid SAP and SAP \geq 95% was defined as a threshold [14].

Pulmonary function and histamine bronchial provocation tests were performed according to the guidelines set out by the American Thoracic Society and the Respiratory section of the Chinese Medical Association, respectively, using an Aerosol Provocation System and MasterScreen Diffusion (Jaeger Toennies, Hochberg, Germany) [10, 15]. Subjects were defined as showing AHR if the cumulative provocative dose of histamine causing a 20% fall in FEV₁ (PD₂₀ EV₁) was <7.8 mmol.

Substance P (SP), eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), mast cell tryptase (MCT) and interleukin-8 (IL-8) were measured with ELISA according to the manufacturer's instructions. (SP, ECP and MCT: R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA; IL-8: ADL Corporation, USA) The intra-assay difference was <5% and the inter-assay difference was <10%. The sensitivity of each kit was as follows: SP: 0.01 ng/ml; PGD₂: 0.01 pg/ml; ECP: 1.5 ng/ml; MCT: 7.8 pg/ml; IL-8: 7.8 pg/ml.

Procedures

On the first hospital visit, initial assessments included the collection of patients' general information and the recording of reflux symptom score, cough sensitivity to capsaicin, examination of induced sputum, lung function and histamine bronchial provocation test. Patients received anti-asthma therapy with positive histamine bronchial provocation. If these patients did not response to anti-asthma therapy, they received MII-pH monitoring. Patients with abnormal findings in MII-pH received standard anti-reflux therapy. GERC with AHR were finally diagnosed when cough relieved obviously or resolved completely. Once patients negative in the histamine bronchial provocation test, further MII-pH monitoring was performed. If abnormalities were present in MII-pH results, GERC alone was definitely determined if cough of the patients controlled or improved with standard anti-reflux therapy.

Statistical analysis

Data with normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm SD, or as median (25%-75% interquartile) if skewed distribution. Cough thresholds C₂ and C₅ were log transformed to normalize the data and then expressed as geometric means \pm SEM. Comparisons across the three groups and between two groups were performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Mann-Whitney U test for data with skewed distributions, or one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Newman-Keuls test for normally distributed data. Differences in gender distribution among the three groups were analyzed using the chi-square test. Correlation analysis was performed using Pearson correlation coefficients. Software (SPSS 17.0, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical calculation. A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

General information of the patients

A total of 529 patients with chronic cough were enrolled during the study period, of whom 133 received cytological examination of induced sputum, bronchial provocation test and MII-pH monitoring. A total of 42 patients were finally diagnosed with GERC and 19 patients with

Gastro-esophageal reflux induced cough

Table 1. General information of the patients in three groups

Items	GERC+AHR	GERC	Healthy subjects
Subjects (male)	9 (4)	14 (5)	9 (5)
Ages (yr)	55.0 ± 7.8	51.9 ± 22.3	36.2 ± 17.1
Cough duration (month, range)	14 (16.0)	9 (8.5)	-
C2	0.98 (4.9)	0.98 (9.6)	31.2 (25.3)
LogC2	-0.01 (-0.60)*	-0.01 (1.05)*	1.49 (0.30)
C5	7.8 (32.5)	7.8 (15.1)	31.2 (60.6)
LogC5	0.89 (1.32)*	0.89 (1.05)*	1.49 (0.61)
FEV1, % predicted	95.8 ± 4.4	88.4 ± 14.1	89.6 ± 7.4
FVC, % predicted	98.2 ± 8.3	91.5 ± 8.7	93.6 ± 7.9
FEV1/FVC%	84.5 ± 7.6	88.1 ± 9.6	86.4 ± 7.6
PD ₂₀ FEV1	4.32 ± 1.83	--	--

GERC: Gastroesophageal reflux-induced cough; FEV1: Forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC: Forced vital capacity; PD₂₀ FEV1: Cumulative dose of histamine which caused a 20% fall in the one second forced expiratory volume. *P<0.05 compared with healthy subjects. --: not available.

Table 2. Comparison of variables of MII-pH between three groups

Items	GERC+AHR	GERC	Healthy subjects
GerdQ score	8.4 ± 1.5	8.0 ± 2.7	--
DeMeester score	30.5 (15.3)#	28.5 (23.2)#	4.1 (6.2)
SAP (%)	77.2 (29.9)	75.3 (40.2)	--
Reflux events (n)	56.0 (62.2)	27.0 (55.0)	30.0 (86.5)
Acidic reflux (n)	41.0 (35.2)	36.0 (30.2)	7.0 (31.5)
Weakly acidic reflux (n)	20.0 (19.0)	18.0 (12.5)	26.0 (61.5)
Weakly alkaline reflux (n)	0 (7.5)	1.0 (5.0)	0 (1.5)
Liquid reflux (n)	13.8 (31.0)	9.0 (13.0)	10.0 (29.0)
Gas reflux (n)	31.0 (29.3)	28.0 (96.5)	49.0 (27.3)
Mixed reflux (n)	55.5 (35.8)	41.5 (40.2)	17.0 (27.5)
Bolus exposure (%)	1.0 (1.2)	0.6 (0.5)	0.8 (1.5)
Time of bolus clearance (s)	13.3 (5.3)	7.0 (2.0)*	7.5 (4.0)*
Proximal reflux episodes (n)	21.5 (28.6)	7.5 (1.8)*	3.0 (3.5)*
Proportion of proximal reflux episodes (%)	24.5 (13.5)	4.2 (7.3)*	1.3 (9.0)*

*P<0.05 compared with AHR+GERC; #P<0.05 compared with healthy subjects; --: not available.

non-acid reflux induced GERC were excluded. In the present study, 23 patients with acid reflux induced GERC were recruited including 9 males and 13 females with a mean age of 53.4 ± 15.1 years (range: 19~69 years). The median course of cough was 12 months (range: 3~240 months) (Table 1).

Of these patients, 9 patients (Group A) were positive in bronchial provocation test including 4 males and 5 females, 5 subjects of them reviewed bronchial provocation test which showed negative after anti-reflux therapy; 14 subjects (Group B) were negative in bronchial provocation test including 5 males and 9

females; 9 healthy subjects were enrolled as group C. There were no significant differences in the general characteristics among three groups. No marked differences were noted in the logC2 and logC5 between Group A and B. When compared with healthy subjects, GERC patients had dramatically reduced logC2 (H = 10.45, P = 0.005) and logC5 (H = 5.58, P = 0.045) (Table 1).

Findings of MII-pH results in three groups

Patients in Group A and B had markedly increased DeMeester score as compared to the healthy subjects (Z = -3.004, P = 0.003 and Z = -2.745, P = 0.006, respectively). However, there were no marked differences in the De-

Meester score and SAP between Group A and B. The time of bolus clearance in Group A was longer than that in Group B and healthy subjects (Z = -2.470, P = 0.014 and Z = -2.252, P = 0.024, respectively). In addition, the number of proximal reflux episodes and the proportion of proximal extent episodes to total refluxes in Group A were markedly higher than those in Group B (Z = -2.038, P = 0.042 and Z = -2.138, P = 0.032, respectively). Moreover, these two parameters in Group A were also significantly higher than that in the healthy subjects (Z = -2.273, P = 0.023 and Z = -2.345, P = 0.019, respectively) (Table 2).

Gastro-esophageal reflux induced cough

Table 3. Comparison of total and differential cell counts in induced sputum of subjects between three groups

Items	GERC+AHR	GERC	Healthy subjects
Total cell number (*10 ⁶ /ml)	3.5 (2.4) [#]	2.0 (4.2) [#]	0.9 (1.4)
Neutrophils (%)	39.7 (35.6)	9.0 (40.4)	0.5 (2.0)
Macrophages (%)	51.5 (12.1) [#]	62.5 (25.3)	79.5 (7.6)
Lymphocytes (%)	10.0 (25.6)	14.5 (20.9)	20.5 (6.9)
Eosinophils (%)	0 (0)	0 (0.5)	0 (0.5)

[#]P<0.05 compared with healthy subjects.

Table 4. Comparison of mediator levels in induced sputum supernatants between three groups

Items	GERC+AHR	GERC	Healthy subjects
MCT (pg/ml)	42.9 (37.9)	32.8 (13.3) [#]	22.0 (11.9)
ECP (ng/ml)	5.7 (4.5)	5.6 (1.5)	5.3 (1.5)
SP (ng/ml)	0.5 (0.4)	14.7 (236.0) [#]	0.1 (0.4)
IL-8 (pg/ml)	71.1 (64.0) [#]	26.9 (37.1)	24.3 (35.2)

MCT: Mast cell tryptase; SP: P substance; IL-8: Interleukin 8; [#]P<0.05 compared with healthy subjects.

Comparisons of airway inflammation in three groups

The number of cells in the induced sputum in Group A and B was dramatically increased when compared with Group C ($Z = -2.352$, $P = 0.019$ and $Z = -2.293$, $P = 0.022$, respectively). In addition, the neutrophils in induced sputum were 39.7%, which were much higher in Group A than that in the Group B (9.0%) and healthy controls (0.5%) (**Table 3**). Whilst the macrophages in induced sputum was dramatically reduced in Group A as compared to healthy subjects ($Z = -2.574$, $P = 0.010$). Moreover, the levels of MCT ($Z = -2.379$, $P = 0.017$) and SP ($Z = -2.745$, $P = 0.006$) in Group B were markedly higher than those in healthy subjects; the level of IL-8 in Group A was significantly higher than that in healthy subjects ($Z = -2.013$, $P = 0.044$) (**Table 4**). Furthermore, in Group A, the IL-8 level was positively related to the number of proximal reflux ($r = 0.954$, $P = 0.046$).

Discussion

In the present study, our results demonstrated that some patients with GERC might develop AHR concomitantly. AHR was not the direct cause of chronic cough as cough resolved with anti-reflux therapy, rather than anti-asthma treatment. GERC patients with AHR had obvi-

ous proximal reflux and the airway inflammation was characterized by increased IL-8 in induced sputum. However, patients with GERC alone mainly presented increases in SP and MCT.

GERC is one of common causes of chronic cough. Mechanisms whereby gastroesophageal reflux events can trigger coughing include i) direct stimulation of the nerve terminals may be responsible for evoking mechanosensitive or chemosensitive cough receptors in the laryngeal pharynx and lower airways [16]; and ii) indirect stimulation by activation of vagal nerves in the airways may trigger an esophageal-tracheobronchial reflex [15, 17]. The close relationship between GERC and rhinitis,

nasosinusitis, chronic pharyngitis and other pulmonary diseases has been demonstrated in some studies [16, 18-20]. CVA is another important cause of chronic cough [5, 21]. Palombini et al found that one or more of CVA, GERD and UACS were the causes of chronic cough in 93.6% of patients, and they proposed these three diseases were the pathological triad of chronic cough [6]. The above findings demonstrated the important and close relationship between GERC and CVA.

Bronchial provocation test is an effective tool to detect the AHR and also a required method in the diagnosis of CVA [5]. However, this test has poor specificity. That is, not all patients with AHR could be diagnosed as asthma. A study has revealed that only 60~88% of chronic cough patients with positive bronchial provocation were responsive to anti-asthma therapy [22]. Other research showed, 33~74% of allergic rhinitis patients without cough or asthma presented AHR [23, 24]. In the present study, 9 GERC patients presenting AHR were non-responsive to anti-asthma therapy, while cough resolved after anti-reflux therapy and then 5 of them showed normal airway responsiveness. Thus, we confirmed that these patients were diagnosed with GERC alone, and the AHR might be attributed to the gastroesophageal reflux. In clinical practice, we should identify patients

with both GERC and AHR to avoid over-treatment and unnecessary side effect.

Proximal reflux episode refers to the retrograde reflux at more than 15 cm above the lower esophageal sphincter, and reflux at less than 15 cm above the lower esophageal sphincter is defined as distal reflux [25]. In the present study, Mill-pH monitoring revealed that distal reflux accounted for 75~96% in 23 patients with GERC, the levels of SP and MCT, indicators of neurogenic inflammation were at a high level, which suggest that the distal reflux is a major cause of GERC and tracheoesophageal reflex may induce neurogenic inflammation resulting in cough [1]. As compared to patients with GERC alone, patients with both GERC and AHR had significantly increased number of proximal extent episodes and proportion of proximal extent episodes to total refluxes. Thus, we postulated that the AHR perhaps be attributed to the reflux of acid stomach contents into the airway. First, the refluxate may not only directly cause tissue injury, but also stimulate the release of inflammatory mediators by epithelium. Second, acid infusion of the esophagus has been found to result in vagally mediated reflexes leading to bronchoconstriction. Third, refluxate may stimulate the airway mucosa and cause neurogenic inflammation via tracheoesophageal reflex, which is also one of causes of AHR [20]. Combined, these 3 mechanisms may lead to an increase in vagal efferent impulses that can cause or augment airway hyperresponsiveness.

In the present study, detection of airway inflammation showed neutrophils in induced sputum of GERC patients with AHR was markedly higher than that of GERC patients without AHR. In addition, the level of IL-8 in the supernatant of induced sputum of GERC patients with AHR was markedly higher than that of healthy subjects. IL-8 is a potent chemokine of neutrophils, patients with non-acid reflux were excluded in our study, and the influence of difference in the nature of refluxate on airway inflammation was excluded. Thus, we postulated that GERC patients with AHR had reflux of acid infusion into the airway inducing neutrophilic airway inflammation via different ways. The neutrophilic airway inflammation may cause AHR via stimulating production of oxygen free radicals, elastase etc. or facilitating the excessive secretion of the airway. However, the AHR is mild and

unlike to cause real asthma [26]. This has been demonstrated that intra-airway administration of acid substance in mouse model caused neutrophilic airway inflammation and increased the airway responsiveness [27]. In addition, cough itself could induce damage to the airway wall in guinea pigs resulting in neutrophilic airway inflammation [28]. Traditionally, asthma is an inflammatory airway disease characterized by eosinophil infiltration. To date, neutrophilic asthma is described as phenotype of asthma [29]. In our study, whether the gastroesophageal reflux induced neutrophilic airway inflammation and AHR may develop into variant asthma or bronchial asthma is required to be further studied.

There were several limitations to the present study. First, the conclusions should be accepted with caution, since the small numbers of patients with both GERC and AHR may have limited the power of the study. A recent multi-center survey has shown that GERC is relatively rare in China [30], not to mention GERC with AHR, which accounts merely for a small part of GERC. Therefore, it is difficult to enroll a large number of patients. In addition, this study was retrospectively designed, but the results of present study were consistent with those of the previous related reports. Finally, the differential cell counts in induced sputum varied greatly within and between groups. In our experience, heterogeneity in induced sputum cytology is frequent because similar results have been reported previously [11, 31].

Taken together, gastro-esophageal reflux may cause neutrophilic airway inflammation due to the acid reflux into the airway, which results in AHR. However, AHR is not definitely able to cause chronic cough. Thus, for patients with both GERC and AHR, therapy should be done targeting the cause one by one, which aims to avoid over-treatment and possible side effects. In future prospective studies with large sample size, it is necessary to investigate whether the GERC and AHR may develop into cough variant asthma or even bronchial asthma.

Acknowledgements

This study was partly supported by a grant for National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81200065) and a grant for Development of Science and Technology from the Committee

of Science and Technology of Shanghai (No. 12ZR1428300).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Zhong-Min Qiu, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Tongji Hospital of Tongji University School of Medicine, No. 389 Xincun Road, Shanghai 200065, China. Tel: +86-21-66111286; Fax: +86-21-56050502; E-mail: qiu-zhongmin@tongji.edu.cn

References

- [1] Irwin RS. Chronic cough due to gastroesophageal reflux disease: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2006; 129: 80S-94S.
- [2] Irwin RS and Madison JM. Diagnosis and treatment of chronic cough due to gastro-esophageal reflux disease and postnasal drip syndrome. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2002; 15: 261-266.
- [3] Sifrim D, Mittal R, Fass R, Smout A, Castell D, Tack J and Gregersen H. Review article: acidity and volume of the refluxate in the genesis of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease symptoms. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007; 25: 1003-1017.
- [4] Wei W, Yu L, Lu H, Wang L, Shi C, Ma W, Huang Y and Qiu Z. Comparison of cause distribution between elderly and non-elderly patients with chronic cough. *Respiration* 2009; 77: 259-264.
- [5] Yu L, Wei WL, Lu HJ and Qiu ZM. [Changes in the spectrum and frequency of causes for chronic cough: a retrospective analysis]. *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi* 2009; 32: 414-417.
- [6] Palombini BC, Villanova CA, Araujo E, Gastal OL, Alt DC, Stolz DP and Palombini CO. A pathogenic triad in chronic cough: asthma, postnasal drip syndrome, and gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Chest* 1999; 116: 279-284.
- [7] Yu L, Qiu ZH, Wei WL, Liu B, Xu XH, Lu HJ and Qiu ZM. Discrepancy between presumptive and definite causes of chronic cough. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2011; 124: 4138-4143.
- [8] Xu XH, Yang ZM, Chen Q, Yu L, Liang SW, Lv HJ and Qiu ZM. Therapeutic efficacy of baclofen in refractory gastroesophageal reflux-induced chronic cough. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013; 19: 4386-4392.
- [9] Jones R, Junghard O, Dent J, Vakil N, Halling K, Wernersson B and Lind T. Development of the GerdQ, a tool for the diagnosis and management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in primary care. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009; 30: 1030-1038.
- [10] Yu L, Wei W, Wang L, Huang Y, Shi C, Lu H and Qiu Z. Upper-airway cough syndrome with latent eosinophilic bronchitis. *Lung* 2010; 188: 71-76.
- [11] Qiu Z, Yu L, Xu S, Liu B, Zhao T, Lu H and Qiu Z. Cough reflex sensitivity and airway inflammation in patients with chronic cough due to non-acid gastro-oesophageal reflux. *Respirology* 2011; 16: 645-652.
- [12] Xu X, Chen Q, Liang S, Lu H and Qiu Z. Successful resolution of refractory chronic cough induced by gastroesophageal reflux with treatment of baclofen. *Cough* 2012; 8: 8.
- [13] Mainie I, Tutuian R and Castell DO. Comparison between the combined analysis and the DeMeester Score to predict response to PPI therapy. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; 40: 602-605.
- [14] Blondeau K, Dupont LJ, Mertens V, Tack J and Sifrim D. Improved diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux in patients with unexplained chronic cough. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007; 25: 723-732.
- [15] Smith JA and Houghton LA. The oesophagus and cough: laryngo-pharyngeal reflux, microaspiration and vagal reflexes. *Cough* 2013; 9: 12.
- [16] Ravelli AM, Panarotto MB, Verdoni L, Consolati V and Bolognini S. Pulmonary aspiration shown by scintigraphy in gastroesophageal reflux-related respiratory disease. *Chest* 2006; 130: 1520-1526.
- [17] Kollarik M, Ru F and Undem BJ. Acid-sensitive vagal sensory pathways and cough. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2007; 20: 402-411.
- [18] Maher MM and Darwish AA. Study of respiratory disorders in endoscopically negative and positive gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Saudi J Gastroenterol* 2010; 16: 84-89.
- [19] Raghu G, Freudenberger TD, Yang S, Curtis JR, Spada C, Hayes J, Sillery JK, Pope CE 2nd and Pellegrini CA. High prevalence of abnormal acid gastro-oesophageal reflux in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 27: 136-142.
- [20] Stein MR. Possible mechanisms of influence of esophageal acid on airway hyperresponsiveness. *Am J Med* 2003; 115 Suppl 3A: 55S-59S.
- [21] Dicpinigaitis PV. Chronic cough due to asthma: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2006; 129: 75S-79S.
- [22] Pratter MR, Brightling CE, Boulet LP and Irwin RS. An empiric integrative approach to the management of cough: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2006; 129: 222S-231S.
- [23] Sin BA, Yildiz OA, Dursun AB, Misirligil Z and Demirel YS. Airway hyperresponsiveness: a

Gastro-esophageal reflux induced cough

- comparative study of methacholine and exercise challenges in seasonal allergic rhinitis with or without asthma. *J Asthma* 2009; 46: 486-491.
- [24] Ciprandi G, Cirillo I and Klersy C. Lower airways may also be affected in asymptomatic patients with recent onset of allergic rhinitis. *Laryngoscope* 2010; 120: 1288-1291.
- [25] Zerbib F, Roman S, Ropert A, des Varannes SB, Poudroux P, Chaput U, Mion F, Verin E, Galmiche JP and Sifrim D. Esophageal pH-impedance monitoring and symptom analysis in GERD: a study in patients off and on therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; 101: 1956-1963.
- [26] Louis R and Djukanovic R. Is the neutrophil a worthy target in severe asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? *Clin Exp Allergy* 2006; 36: 563-567.
- [27] Nemzek JA and Kim J. Pulmonary inflammation and airway hyperresponsiveness in a mouse model of asthma complicated by acid aspiration. *Comp Med* 2009; 59: 321-330.
- [28] Hara J, Fujimura M, Ueda A, Myou S, Oribe Y, Ohkura N, Kita T, Yasui M and Kasahara K. Effect of pressure stress applied to the airway on cough-reflex sensitivity in Guinea pigs. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 177: 585-592.
- [29] Horvat JC, Starkey MR, Kim RY, Beagley KW, Preston JA, Gibson PG, Foster PS and Hansbro PM. Chlamydial respiratory infection during allergen sensitization drives neutrophilic allergic airways disease. *J Immunol* 2010; 184: 4159-4169.
- [30] Lai K, Chen R, Lin J, Huang K, Shen H, Kong L, Zhou X, Luo Z, Yang L, Wen F and Zhong N. A prospective, multicenter survey on causes of chronic cough in China. *Chest* 2013; 143: 613-620.
- [31] Patterson RN, Johnston BT, Ardill JE, Heaney LG and McGarvey LP. Increased tachykinin levels in induced sputum from asthmatic and cough patients with acid reflux. *Thorax* 2007; 62: 491-495.