Original Article

Clinical observation of laparoscopic radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer

Xiang-Hua Yin, Zhong-Qin Wang, Shi-Zhang Yang, Hong-Yan Jia, Min Shi

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Clinical Medical College of Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225001, China

Received March 22, 2014; Accepted May 14, 2014; Epub May 15, 2014; Published May 30, 2014

Abstract: To evaluate safety, feasibility and the improvement of surgical method of laparoscopic extensive hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection in patients with early-stage cervical cancer. Clinical data were prospectively collected from patients with IA2-IIA cervical cancer who underwent laparoscopic extensive hysterectomy (n1=22) and laparotomy (n2=23) in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in the Subei People's Hospital from June 2010 to August 2013. The successful rates in two groups of operation were 100%. Blood loss, postoperative hospital stay, complication rate, postoperative recovery of gastrointestinal tract and bladder function of the laparoscopy group of the laparoscopic group were all better than those of the laparotomy group, and there were significant differences (all P < 0.05). But in the laparoscopy group, the operative time was longer than the laparotomy group with statistical significance (P < 0.05). There was no statistically significant difference in the number of excised lymph nodes and the duration time of postoperative urinary catheterization between the two groups (P > 0.05). Laparoscopic extensive hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection can fully meet the requirement of laparotomy. It has the properties of minor trauma and rapid recovery. The clinical efficacy is superior to laparotomy surgery. The results indicated laparoscopic is an ideal method for the treatment of early cervical cancer.

Keywords: Laparoscopic surgery, cervical cancer, clinical efficacy

Introduction

Cervical cancer is the second most common and the third leading cause of cancer fatalities among women worldwide [1]. In China, cervical cancer is the most common gynecologic cancer among women [2]. In early cervical cancer, radical hysterectomy has been considered the standard treatment method [3]. And the open radical hysterectomy (ORH) and lymph node dissection is the mainstream surgical treatment in the early 1900s [4]. Over the last decade, laparoscopic radical hysterectomy (LRH) has evolved as a new operative technique for the management of early cervical cancer. Laparoscopy has revolutionized the practice of gynecologic surgery. For the treatment of gynecologic malignancies, applications of advanced laparoscopic techniques continue to be defined. More recently, the morbidity and mortality rates associated with the newer technique have been examined by many researchers [5-9]. In this research, we compare a group of women who underwent laparoscopic radical hysterectomy for early stage cervical cancer to a matched cohort that underwent open radical hysterectomy and evaluate the surgical outcomes for both groups.

Material and methods

Patients

We reviewed the records of all patients with stage IA1 to IIA cervical cancer who were treated and followed up between June 2010 and August 2013 at Subei people's Hospital in China. We excluded patients who received radiation or concurrent chemoradiation therapy as primary treatment, as well as those who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation, or concurrent chemoradiation therapy before radical hysterectomy, and those we lost their contact in the follow up. Finally, 45 cases entered into our study. Of all them, 22 patients

Table 1. Patient characteristics, histology and stage

	Laparoscopy (n1=22)	Laparotomy (n2=23)		
Mean age, years (range)	44 ± 1.5	46 ± 2.3		
Stage				
IA2	1	2		
IB1	13	9		
IB2	3	8		
IIA	5	4		
Histology				
Squamous	19	19		
Adenocarcinoma	2	1		
Adenosquamous	0	1		
Other	1	2		

underwent laparoscopic radical hysterectomy, and the rest underwent open radical hysterectomy in a similar clinical setting with the same surgical team was conducted, Patient characteristics are presented in **Table 1**.

Operation

ORHL: Open radical hysterectomy and lymph node dissection was performed as routine.

LRHL: The abdomen is insufflated CO₂ through a Veress needle just above 3 cm of the umbilicus where an 11-mm camera port is introduced to exposure the Pelvic lymph node, the pressure is 13-15 mmHg. One 8-mm Troca is introduced at the level of and 10 cm laterally to the supra-umbilical incision. The other two 8-mm robotic ports are introduced on the McBurney point and anti-McBurney point. The patient is then placed in extreme Trendelenburg position. After exploring the Pelvic organs elaborately, the radical hysterectomy is started by dissection of the ovarian vessels and uterine artery by bipolar coagulation and selective coagulation and cutting. Firstly, the round ligaments are then coagulated and cut, dissecting the broad ligament of uterus, and then cut the infundibulopelvic ligament. If the patients are young and they want to reserve fertility and the ovaries seem normal, we leave the hemi-ovary or bilateral ovaries selectively. To those old and who don't require fertility, we cut the bilateral ovaries and tuba uterina. The procedure then continues with opening of the retroperitoneum lateral to the external iliac artery and all spaces paravesical and pararectal as well as the obturator fossa are opened in search for the Pelvic lymph nodes. The ureters are mobilized to the level of the ureteric tunnel. Opening the paravesical, fully exposed the main ligament and uterine artery above it, transect the uterine artery and 3-4 cm main ligaments with the Ultrasonic scalpel Opening the pararectal with Ligasure forceps, exposed the sacral ligament, then transect it more than 2.5 cm with the Ultrasonic scalpel. Place the Uterus lifting cup to ensure to cut the enough vaginal (Figure 1).

Follow up

Follow-up consisted of a pelvic examination every 3 months dur-

ing the first two years, three times a year from the third to the fifth year, and annually thereafter. TCT and HPV tests were performed every year. X-ray and CT were performed when necessary.

Statistics analysis: Statistical analysis was done using the statistical software package SPSS 19.0 and Excel 2007. The outcome for laparoscopic and open groups were compared using the Chi-square test and Fisher extract test for categorical variables and two sample student t test for continuous variables. A *P* value of 0.05 was considered significantly.

Results

- 1. Average operating time was 238.6 minutes for the laparoscopic cases and 201.4 minutes for open cases (P < 0.05). The mean estimated blood loss was 202.5 mL for laparoscopic versus 286.6 mL for laparotomy (P < 0.05). The average length of stay was 8.3 days versus 10.2 days (P < 0.05). Shown in **Table 2**.
- 2. There were two patients in the laparoscopic had urine retention, as well as two in the open group (P > 0.05). No patients in the laparoscopic group and 1 in the open group had a postoperative wound infection (P < 0.05). There were no noted damage of bladder in either group (P > 0.05). One in the laparoscopic happened Lymphatic Cyst, and one in the open group (P < 0.05). Shown in **Table 3**.
- 3. The function of bladder (Grade 0, Grade I, Grade II) were 68.2%, 22.7% and 9.1%, respectively, in the laparoscopic. 26.1%, 26.1%, 47.8% in the open group (P < 0.05). The time to evacuating and defecation in the laparoscopic were



Figure 1. The methods of laparoscopic radical hysterectomy and lymph node dissection.

Table 2. Comparison of the theatre time, lymph nodes removed, blood loss, hospital stay for two groups of patients

	Laparoscopy (n1=22)	Laparotomy (n2=23)
Duration, min (range)	238.6 ± 122.7	201.4 ± 86.2
Blood loss, ml (range)	202.5 ± 44.2	286.6 ± 33.9
Lymph nodes resected	18.9 ± 3.2	18.2 ± 2.8
Postoperative hospital stay (range)	8.3 ± 2.4	10.2 ± 1.8

Table 3. Complications for two groups

	Laparoscopy (n1=22)	Laparotomy (n2=23)
urine retention	2	2
Infection of incision	0	1
Lymphatic Cyst	1	1
Damage of bladder	0	0
Rate of complications	13.64%	17.39%

longer than in the open group (P < 0.05). Shown in **Table 4**.

Discussion

Treatment of early cervical cancer with surgery, including radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy. Traditional open surgery due to trauma, recovery slow, long hospital stay and other shortcomings are increasingly being replaced by minimally invasive surgery. With more and more advanced surgical instruments,

continue to accumulate experience, technology has become more sophisticated, laparoscopic surgery has been widely used in early cervical cancer cure [10]. Many scholars believe that laparoscopic surgical resection, number of lymph node dissection and postoperative 5-year recurrence rate reached laparotomy results.

In 2007, K. ZAKASHANSKY et al [11] conducted a retrospective study of clinical cases, including 30 cases of early cervical cancer patients underwent open surgery, and 30 cases underwent laparoscopic surgery. The results showed that regardless of the amount of blood loss, intraoperative lymph

node dissection during surgery or in the number of hospital days, the laparoscopy is superior to open surgery. They account that laparoscopic treatment is applied early cervical cancer is safe and reliable, it is recommended. However, the average operative time was significantly longer than the laparoscopic group open group. In a study done in 2009 the results obtained Mario Malzoni etc [12] almost the same with K. ZAKASHANSKY outcome. Early 2013 Lu Qi et al [13] reported a radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection in 25

Table 4. Comparison of the functions of the bladder and rectum for the postoperation patients

	the function of bladder			Recovery time (h)	
	0	I	II	evacuating	defecation
Laparoscopy (n1=22)	15 (68.2%)	5 (22.7%)	2 (9.1%)	5.6 ± 2.3	64.2 ± 10.9
Laparotomy (n2=23)	6 (26.1%)	6 (26.1%)	11 (47.8%)	9.4 ± 1.9	118.8 ± 15.6
Value P	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05

patients with early cervical cancer patients undergoing laparoscopy, the average operative time was 232 min, mean intraoperative blood loss was 120 ml, the average hospital stay was 3.3 days day, everyday activities can be performed after a median time of 2 days, intraoperative accident occurred. Postoperative voiding dysfunction in which a person has suffered, and one person found asymptomatic lymphocele after nine months at the time of the review. In recent years, our hospital laparoscopic radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection, and actively improve on the traditional operation. 1. Fully exposed to the bladder, rectum side nest, it is easier to achieve removal of the uterus sacral ligament and cardinal ligament standards. 2. Place cite Palace, a more exposed uterosacral, cardinal ligament, ureter, blood vessels, so that the anatomical clarity and avoid unnecessary surgery injury. 3. When removal of the uterus, displacement cite Palace cup, can stretch the vaginal wall, the expansion of the vaginal vault, cut a sufficient length of the vaginal wall. 100% of the surgery is successful, no vital organ injury, and death occurred without laparotomy. By comparison with the open group, the average amount of bleeding laparoscopic surgery group, the average length of stay, and the average time to pull the catheter body injury indicators are better than the laparotomy group and similar to the above-mentioned literature. And we found that the difference between the two groups in intraoperative lymph node dissection was not statistically significant numbers. Laparoscopic surgery longer than the laparotomy group, which is the extent of surgical instruments and skilled surgeon about. After conventional treatment with low molecular weight heparin, no cases of deep vein thrombosis. In laparoscopic group 2 cases of postoperative urinary retention, complete remission after aggressive treatment, 1 case of wound infection, may be associated with physical and other relevant. The overall postoperative complication rate was 9.38%, significantly lower than the open group (12.9%), which advanced experience of others with us and actively improve innovation are not unrelated. By comparison we found that the bladder, rectum func-

tional recovery after laparoscopic group was significantly faster than the laparotomy group, which can look laparoscopic pelvic structure, rectum, bladder injury related small.

In addition, there are the following advantages of laparoscopic surgery. (1) Organization enlarge laparoscopic surgery vision more clearly exposed. Especially in the obturator fossa lymph dissection of adipose tissue, and its advantage is more obvious, greatly reducing the bleeding obturator nerve injury and obturator fossa damage caused by arteriovenous; (2) laparoscopic tissue amplification can be found in small lesions laparotomy difficult to find; (3) laparoscopic lymphadenectomy avoid laparotomy stripped bare organizations and other operations, reducing the incidence of abdominal and pelvic adhesions; (4) laparoscopic surgery in the abdominal wall drilling, the prognosis does not affect the appearance, patients are willing to accept.

In 2000, the specialist of the Netherlands introduced the laparoscopic pelvic lymph node dissection (LPLND) with sentinel node (SN) detection for the surgical treatment of FIGO la2-lla cervical cancers [14]. When the SN contains a metastasis, the operation is abandoned, and the patient subsequently receives chemoradiotherapy. The aim of this approach is to reduce the number of patients undergoing radical hysterectomy followed by chemo-radiation as this leads to substantially more morbidity than either treatment alone, without obvious better survival [15, 16].

According to Cai YH et al, laparoscopic surgery for cervical cancer causes less postoperative stress than conventional open surgery. They measured the serum interleukin 6, C reaction protein and cortisol in the blood from the patients, samples were obtained prior to surgery and at 1 and 2 h into the operation, as well as on days 1, 4 and 7 following surgery [17].

Summary, Laparoscopic surgery is safe, effective and feasible, are more and more accepted gynecologist, gradually replaced open surgery position in early cervical cancer treatment, we are actively in the process of learning and innovation also found some new issues, pending further study.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Subei People's Hospital.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Xiang-Hua Yin, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Clinical Medical College of Yangzhou University, 98 W Nantong Rd, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225001, China. Tel: 86-13815827460; E-mail: yinxh1965@126.com

References

- [1] Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Estimating the world cancer burden: Globocan 2000. Int J Cancer 2001; 94: 153-156.
- [2] Lu CH, Sheng XG. Research progress of cervical carcinoma associated stem cells. Chin J Cancer Prevtreat 2013; 20: 317-320.
- [3] Kehoe S. Treatments for gynaecological cancers. Best Practice and Research: Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2006; 20: 985-1000.
- [4] Webb MJ. Radical hysterectomy. Bailliere's Clin Obstet Gynaecol 1997; 11: 149-166.
- [5] Sobiczewski P, Bidzinski M, Derlatka P. Early cervical cancer managed by laparoscopy and conventional surgery: comparison of treatment results. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2009; 19: 1390-1395.
- [6] Lee CL, Wu KY, Huang KG, Lee PS, Yen CF. Long-term survival outcomes of laparoscopically assisted radical hysterectomy in treating early-stage cervical cancer. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010; 203: 165-167.
- [7] Martínez-Román S, Torné A, Fusté P, Alonso I, Lejárcegui JA, Balasch J. Comparative study of laparoscopically assisted radical vaginal hysterectomy and open Wertheim-Meigs in patients with early-stage cervical cancer: eleven years of experience. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2010; 20: 173-178.

- [8] Malzoni M, Tinelli R, Cosentino F, Fusco A, Malzoni C. Total laparoscopic radical hysterectomy versus abdominal radical hysterectomy with lymphadenectomy in patients with early cervical cancer: our experience. Ann Surg Oncol 2009; 16: 1316-1323.
- [9] Yan X, Li G, Shang H, Wang G, Chen L, Han Y. Complications of laparoscopic radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy-experience of 117 patients. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2009; 19: 963-967.
- [10] Pellegrino A, Vizza E, Fruscio R. Total laparoscopic radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy in patients with lb1 stage Cervical cancer:analysis of surgical and oncological outcome. Eur J Surg Oncol 2009; 35: 98-103.
- [11] Zakashansky K, Chuang L, Gretz H, Nagarsheth NP, Rahaman J, Nezhat FR. A case-controlled study of total laparoscopic radical hysterectomy with pelvic lymphadenectomy versus radical abdominal hysterectomy in a fellowship training program. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2007; 17: 1075-1082.
- [12] Malzoni M, Tinelli R, Cosentino F. Total laparoscopic radical hysterectomy versus abdominal radical hysterectomy with lymphadenectomy in patients with early cervical cancer: our experience. Ann Surg Oncol 2009; 16: 1316-1323.
- [13] Qi L, Zhang Y, Liu C, Wang S, Guo S, Zhang Z. Total laparoscopic radical trachelectomy in the treatment of early squamous cell cervical cancer: A retrospective study with 8-year follow-up. Gynecol Oncol 2013; 130: 275-279.
- [14] Verheijen RH, Pijpers R, van Diest PJ, Burger CW, Buist MR, Kenemans P. Sentinel node detection in cervical cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2000; 96: 135-138.
- [15] Landoni F, Maneo A, Colombo A, Placa F, Milani R, Perego P Favini G, Ferri L, Mangioni C. Randomised study of radical surgery versus radiotherapy for stage lb-lla cervical cancer. Lancet 1997; 350: 535-540.
- [16] Richard SD, Krivak TC, Castleberry A, Beriwal S, Kelley JL III, Edwards RP, Sukumvanich P. Survival for stage IB cervical cancer with positive lymph node involvement: a comparison of completed vs. abandoned radical hysterectomy. Gynecol Oncol 2008; 109: 43-48.
- [17] Hou CY, Li XL, Jiang F, Gong RJ, Guo XY, Yao YQ. Comparative evaluation of surgical stress of laparoscopically assisted vaginal radical hysterectomy and lymphadenectomy and laparotomy for early stage cervical cancer. Oncol Lett 2011; 2: 747-752.