# Original Article MICA polymorphisms and cancer risk: a meta-analysis

Mengyao Ji<sup>1\*</sup>, Jun Wang<sup>1\*</sup>, Lei Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Yunting Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Jixiang Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Weiguo Dong<sup>1</sup>, Xiulan Peng<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan 430060, Hubei Province, P. R. China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Oncology, The Fifth Hospital of Wuhan, Wuhan 430050, Hubei Province, P. R. China. <sup>\*</sup>Equal contributors.

Received October 11, 2014; Accepted January 5, 2015; Epub January 15, 2015; Published January 30, 2015

**Abstract:** The major histocompatibility complex class I chain-related gene A transmembrane (MICA-TM) polymorphism has been implicated in susceptibility to cancer. However, the results are inconsistent. The aim of this metaanalysis is to evaluate the association between the MICA-TM polymorphisms and cancer risk. All eligible casecontrol studies published up to August 20, 2014 were identified by searching PubMed, Web of Science, CNKI and Wanfang databases. The cancer risk associated with the MICA polymorphism was estimated for each study by odds ratios (OR) together with its 95% confidence interval (CI), respectively. 21 studies from 19 publications with 3620 cases and 4903 controls were included. Overall, no significant associations between the MICA-TM polymorphism and cancer risk were found (A4 allele: OR = 0.97, 95% CI: 0.88-1.07; A5 allele: OR = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.81-1.04; A5.1 allele: OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 0.89-1.18; A6 allele: OR = 1.05, 95% CI: 0.95-1.15; A9 allele: OR = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.80-1.14; A10 allele: OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.43-1.79; deI: OR = 2.50, 95% CI: 0.73-8.58; A7 allele: OR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.43-2.00). When stratified by ethnicity, similar results were observed among Asians; however, there were significant association in Caucasian population for A5 (OR = 0.77, 95% CI: 0.68-0.87) and A9 allele (OR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.66-0.85). This meta-analysis suggests that the MICA-TM A5 and A9 alleles may be an important protective factor for cancer in Caucasian populations.

Keywords: MICA, polymorphism, cancer, meta-analysis

#### Introduction

Cancer has become a major public health burden. Due to the aging of the world, the global burden of cancer continues to increase [1]. However, cancer is a multifactorial disease. The mechanism of carcinogenesis is complicated and remains largely unknown. It has been suggested that the complex interactions between environmental factors and genetics play a vital role in the process of carcinogenesis [2]. In addition, various genetic variations have been identified to affect cancer risk [3].

The major histocompatibility complex class I chain-related gene A (MICA) gene locus is located on the short (p) arm of chromosome 6 (6p21.33) and belongs to one of the members of the MIC family. The MIC family comprises of functional MICA, MICB genes and five pseudogenes MICC to MICG [4]. MICA is a membrane protein which is up-regulated in various tumor cells and also induced in response to various cellular stresses such as infection, hypoxia,

and heat shock [5]. Different MICA molecules were reported to vary in their affinities to soluble NKG2D receptors, which may influence antitumor immune responses [6, 7]. Recent studies have shown increased serum levels of soluble MICA molecules in patients with various malignancies with a correlation to advanced tumor stages [8]. Circulating tumor-derived soluble MICA molecules induce down-regulation of NKG2D receptors on natural killer cells and thereby decrease responsiveness of tumorantigen-specific effector T cells [9]. Exons 2-4 of MICA encode three extracellular domains and exon 5 encodes the trans-membrane (TM) portion. Similar to human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genes, MICA is highly polymorphic. Exons 2-4 of MICA have microsatellite polymorphisms, whereas exon 5 shows a variable number of GCT repeats. To date, 65 alleles have been identified in human MICA gene. Of those, the MICA-TM polymorphism was most commonly studied one. It consists of eight alleles, with 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 repetitions of GCT or 5 repetitions of GCT with 1 additional nucleotide



Figure 1. Flow chart showing study selection procedure.

insertion (G), designated as A4, A5, A6, A7, A8, A9, A10 and A5.1, respectively [10-13]. Recently, several studies have investigated the association between the polymorphism and cancer risk [14-33]. However, the results have been inconsistent. In this study, we performed a meta-analysis to clarify the associations of the MICA-TM polymorphism with cancer susceptibility in diverse populations.

## Materials and methods

## Search strategy

We searched for relevant studies before August 20, 2014 by using electronic PubMed, Web of Science, CNKI and Wanfang databases with the following terms: "major histocompatibility complex class I chain-related gene A or MICA". "genetic polymorphism or polymorphism or variant", "cancer or carcinoma or tumor". The search was restricted to humans and without language restrictions. Additional studies were identified by a hand search of references of original or review articles on this topic. If more than one geographic or ethnic heterogeneous group was reported in one report, each was extracted separately. If data or data subsets were published in more than one article, only the publication with the largest sample size was included.

## Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies that evaluated the association between the MICA-TM polymorphism and cancer, (2) in a case-control study design, and (3) had detailed allele frequency of cases and controls or could be calculated from the article text. While the exclusion criteria were: (1) case-only study, case reports, and review articles, (2) studies without the raw data of the MICA alleles, and (3) repetitive publications.

## Data extraction

The following information was extracted from each eligible publication: the first author's name, year of publication, country of origin, ethnicity, cancer type, genotyping methods, number of cases and controls and association alleles. All data were extracted by two investi-

gators independently, using the same standard. The results were compared and disagreements were resolved by consensus.

# Statistical analysis

The risk of cancer associated with the MICA-TM polymorphism was estimated for each study by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). A X<sup>2</sup>-test-based Q statistic test was performed to assess the between-study heterogeneity [34]. We also quantified the effect of heterogeneity by l<sup>2</sup> test. When a significant Q test (P < 0.05) or  $I^2 > 50\%$  indicated heterogeneity across studies, the random effects model was used [35], or else the fixed effects model was chosen [36]. We performed stratification analyses on ethnicity. Analysis of sensitivity was performed to evaluate the stability of the results. Finally, potential publication bias was investigated using Begg' funnel plot and Egger's regression test [37, 38]. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

All analyses were performed by the Cochrane Collaboration RevMan 5.2 and STATA package version 12.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas).

# Results

# Study characteristics

The search strategy retrieved 87 potentially relevant studies. According to the inclusion criteria, 20 studies [14-33] with full-text were included in this meta-analysis and 67 studies were excluded. Because the studies [19] included three tumor types, we treated them sepa-

Study	Year	Country	Ethnioity	Turner turne	Genotype	Number		
		Country	Ethnicity	Turnor type	methods	case	control	alleles
Chen	2005	China Taiwan	Asian	Cervical	PCR-based	110	82	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Chen	2013	Sweden	Caucasian	Cervical	PCR	1140	1058	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Ghaderi	2001	Sweden	Caucasian	Cervical	PCR	85	120	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Gong	2010	China	Asian	Colorectal	PCR-SSP	117	113	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9, A10, del
Jiang	2010	China	Asian	Hepatocellular	PCR	141	141	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Kennedy	2002a	Nertherlands	Caucasian	Squamous cell carcinoma	PCR	153	247	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Kennedy	2002b	Nertherlands	Caucasian	Basal cell carcinoma	PCR	261	247	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Kennedy	2002c	Nertherlands	Caucasian	Malignant melanoma	PCR	111	247	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Корр	2009	Germany	Caucasian	Colorectal	PCR	79	306	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Lavado-	2009	Spain	Caucasian	Breast	PCR-based	110	121	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Valenzuela								
Lin	2002	China Taiwan	Asian	Oral	PCR-based	67	351	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Lo	2004	China Taiwan	Asian	Gastric	PCR-based	107	351	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Lopez-Vazquez	2004	Spain	Caucasian	Hepatocellular	PCR	46	48	A4
Luo	2011	China	Asian	leukemia	PCR-SSP	107	162	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Metzelaar-Blok	2005	Nertherlands	Caucasian	Uveal melanoma	PCR	168	247	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Reinders	2006	France	Caucasian	Head and Neck	PCR	139	106	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Tamaki	2007	Japan	Asian	Oral	PCR	123	188	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Tamaki	2009	Japan	Asian	Oral	PCR	80	70	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A7, A9, A10
Tian	2006	China	Asian	Nasopharyngeal	PCR/size-	218	196	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9, del
					sequencing			
Tong	2013	Vietnam	Asian	Hepatocellular	PCR	171	416	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9
Vallian	2012	Iran	Asian	Breast	PCR	110	110	A4, A5, A5.1, A6, A9

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

PCR-SSP, polymerase chain reaction sequence-specific priming.

rately in this meta-analysis. We excluded one study [33] because it included the overlapped data with those included in the analysis [30]. The flow chart of study selection in summarized in Figure 1. As shown in Table 1, there were 21 case-control studies concerning MICA-TM polymorphism. Of those, 21 case-control studies [14-32] with 3620 cases and 4903 controls on A4 allele, 20 studies [14-23, 25-32] with 3574 cases and 4855 controls on A5, A5.1, A6, A9 alleles, respectively, 2 studies on del [17, 30] and A10 allele [17, 28] respectively, and one study [28] on A7 allele. Two ethnicities were addressed: eleven studies focused on Asian populations [14, 17, 18, 22, 23, 25, 28-32], ten studies on Caucasians [15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27].

## Quantitative data synthesis

The results of this meta-analysis are listed in **Table 2**. For the MICA-TM A4 allele, there was no heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 49\%$ , P = 0.0006). A fixed-effect model was used in the OR calculation. Overall, no evidence of associations between MICA-TM A4 allele and susceptibility of cancer were found (OR = 0.97, 95% CI: 0.88-1.07). Similarly, in subgroup analysis by ethnic-

ity, there was no significantly association between them in Asian (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.77-1.02) or Caucasian population (OR = 1.22, 95% CI: 0.96-1.56).

For the MICA-TM A5 allele, significant heterogeneity between studies was observed ( $I^2 = 58\%$ , P = 0.0007). A random-effect model was used in the OR calculation. Overall, no significant associations between the MICA-TM A5 allele and cancer risk were found (OR = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.81-1.04). In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, similar results were observed in Asian populations (OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 0.86-1.24); while, the MICA-TM A5 allele was associated with a decreased risk of cancer in Caucasian populations (OR = 0.77, 95% CI: 0.68-0.87) (**Figure 2**).

For the MICA-TM A5.1 allele, significant heterogeneity between studies was observed ( $l^2 = 73\%$ , P < 0.00001). A random-effect model was used. The pooled results showed that no significant association was found both in overall and ethnicity subgroup analysis.

For the MICA-TM A6 allele, there was no heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 9\%$ , P = 0.34). A fixed-effect model was used. The results also showed that no sig-

	Cubaroup	No. of	Test of heterogeneity		Madal	Test of assoc	Publication bias	
Allele	Subgroup	study			woder	OR (95% CI)	Р	Egger's
A4	Overall	21	49	0.0006	F	0.97 (0.88, 1.07)	0.53	0.291
	Asian	11	23	0.22	F	0.88 (0.77, 1.02)	0.09	0.654
	Caucasian	10	62	0.005	R	1.22 (0.96, 1.56)	0.11	0.180
A5	Overall	20	58	0.0007	R	0.91 (0.81, 1.04)	0.18	0.984
	Asian	11	61	0.004	R	1.03 (0.86, 1.24)	0.74	0.976
	Caucasian	9	0	0.50	F	0.77 (0.68, 0.87)	< 0.0001	0.797
A5.1	Overall	20	73	< 0.00001	R	1.03 (0.89, 1.18)	0.72	0.056
	Asian	11	72	0.0001	R	0.95 (0.75, 1.20)	0.65	0.191
	Caucasian	9	53	0.03	R	1.14 (0.99, 1.30)	0.07	0.835
A6	Overall	20	9	0.34	F	1.05 (0.95, 1.15)	0.33	0.399
	Asian	11	44	0.06	F	1.10 (0.94, 1.29)	0.22	0.859
	Caucasian	9	0	0.98	F	1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	0.75	0.492
A9	Overall	20	69	< 0.00001	R	0.96 (0.80, 1.14)	0.64	0.180
	Asian	11	67	0.0009	R	1.15 (0.90, 1.46)	0.26	0.719
	Caucasian	9	41	0.09	F	0.75 (0.66, 0.85)	< 0.00001	0.560
A10	Overall	2	45	0.18	F	0.88 (0.43,1.79)	0.71	-
del	Overall	2	49	0.16	F	2.50 (0.73, 8.58)	0.15	-
A7	Overall	1	NA	NA	-	0.93 (0.43, 2.00)	0.86	-

Table 2. Overall and group-specific statistics for association between MICA-TM and cancer

F, fixed-effects model; R, random-effects model.

nificant association was found both in overall and ethnicity subgroup analysis.

For the MICA-TM A9 allele, significant heterogeneity between studies was observed ( $I^2 = 69\%$ , P < 0.00001). A random-effect model was used. There was no significant association in the overall comparison and Asians. However, significant association was observed among Caucasian population (OR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.66-0.85) (**Figure 3**).

For the MICA-TM A10, del, A7 alleles, there was no heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 45\%$ , P = 0.18,  $I^2 = 49\%$ , P = 0.16). A fixed-effect model was used. No significant association between these alleles and cancer risk was detected.

## Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis, after removing one study at a time, was performed to evaluate the stability of the results. We found that the estimated pooled odd ratio changed quite little, indicating that our results were statistically robust.

## Publication bias

Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were performed to assess the potential publication bias in the available literature. The shape of funnel plots did not reveal any evidence of funnel plot asymmetry (Figure not shown). Egger's test also showed that there was no statistical significance for the evaluation of publication bias (A4 allele: P = 0.291, A5 allele: P = 0.984, A5.1 allele: P = 0.056, A6 allele: P = 0.399; A9 allele: P = 0.180) (Table 2).

## Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis which comprehensively assessed the associations between MICA-TM polymorphism and cancer risk. In this study, we found there were no significant associations between the MICA-TM polymorphism and cancer risk in the overall comparison. Moreover, in the stratified analysis by ethnicity, we also failed to detect any association between them in Asian populations; while we observed a significant association in Caucasian population for A5 and A9 alleles.

MICA is one of the genes in the HLA class I region. Unlike HLA classical class I gene products, MICA does not present any antigen but acts as a ligand for several immune cells including natural killer (NK) cells bearing NKG2D receptors [39, 40]. MICA is the member of the

	Case	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			
2.1.1 Asian										
Chen 2005	74	220	64	164	4.9%	0.79 [0.52, 1.21]	+			
Gong 2010	48	234	48	226	4.6%	0.96 [0.61, 1.50]	+			
Jiang 2010	96	282	112	282	5.9%	0.78 [0.56, 1.10]				
Liu 2002	49	134	262	702	5.4%	0.97 [0.66, 1.42]	+			
Lo 2004	73	214	262	702	6.2%	0.87 [0.63, 1.20]	-+			
Luo 2011	71	214	57	324	5.1%	2.33 [1.55, 3.48]				
Tamaki 2007	59	246	112	376	5.6%	0.74 [0.52, 1.07]				
Tamaki 2009	44	160	36	140	4.0%	1.10 [0.66, 1.83]	+-			
Tian 2006	183	436	145	392	6.7%	1.23 [0.93, 1.63]				
Tong 2013	141	342	326	832	7.1%	1.09 [0.84, 1.41]	+			
Vallian 2012	31	220	27	220	3.7%	1.17 [0.67, 2.04]	- <del> </del>			
Subtotal (95% CI)		2702		4360	59.1%	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]	<b>♦</b>			
Total events	869		1451							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.06;	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 25	5.93, df	= 10 (P =	0.004	); l <sup>2</sup> = 61%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	.33 (P = 0	0.74)								
		,								
2.1.2 Caucasian										
Chen 2013	251	2280	296	2116	8.1%	0.76 [0.64, 0.91]	-			
Ghaderi 2001	21	170	38	240	3.5%	0.75 [0.42, 1.33]				
Kennedy 2002a	33	306	56	494	4.6%	0.95 [0.60, 1.49]	-+			
Kennedy 2002b	60	522	56	494	5.3%	1.02 [0.69, 1.50]	+			
Kennedy 2002c	22	222	56	494	3.9%	0.86 [0.51, 1.45]	-+			
Kopp 2009	17	158	83	612	3.7%	0.77 [0.44, 1.34]				
Lavado-Valenzuela 2009	24	220	49	241	3.9%	0.48 [0.28, 0.81]				
Metzelaar-Blok 2005	23	336	56	494	4.1%	0.57 [0.35, 0.95]				
Reinders 2006	33	278	31	212	3.9%	0.79 [0.46, 1.33]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		4492		5397	40.9%	0.77 [0.68, 0.87]	♦			
Total events	484		721							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7.33, df = 8 (P = 0.50); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%										
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.08$ (P < 0.0001)										
		-								
Total (95% CI)		7194		9757	100.0%	0.91 [0.80, 1.04]	•			
Total events	1353		2172							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.05; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 45.15, df = 19 (P = 0.0007); l <sup>2</sup> = 58%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	.33 (P = 0	0.18)								
Test for subaroup difference	Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 6.76, df = 1 (P = 0.009), l <sup>2</sup> = 85.2%									

Figure 2. Subgroup analysis by ethnicity of odds ratios for association of MICA-TM gene A5 polymorphism and cancer risk.

non-classical class I family that displays the greatest degree of polymorphism. MICA polymorphisms are associated with a number of diseases related to NK activity, such as cancer [4]. Some investigations demonstrated that genetic alteration of the MICA can modulate cancer susceptibility and that the frequency of the variant genotype was significantly higher in patients when compared with controls [18, 22, 23, 25, 29, 32, 33]. In a study from South China, Jiang et al [18] suggested MICA-A5.1 polymorphism is associated with HCC patients in Han population and the MICA-A5.1 polymorphism may contribute to the development of HCC by promoting the release of sMICA to evade tumor immunosurveillance. However, the association of allele variants and cancer risk was not validated by others [14, 16, 17, 19, 26]. For example, Chen et al [14] found no association between MICA gene polymorphism and cervical cancer in Taiwan; similarly, Ghaderi et al [16] reported it was not associated with cervical carcinoma in Sweden; Gong et al [17] reported there was no genetic susceptibility attributed to MICA gene polymorphism with regard to development of colorectal cancer. Additionally, Reinders et al [27] reported the MICA-A9 frequency was significantly decreased in the total patient group compared with that in the control group, indicating that there were fewer patients with a nine alanine repeat in their transmembrane region compared with the controls. Lavado-Valenzuela et al [21] suggest that the MICA-A5 allele appears to confer protection against human breast cancer.

In this study, we found that the MICA-TM allele distribution between cancer and control group

	Case	e	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
5.1.1 Asian									
Chen 2005	40	220	33	164	4.7%	0.88 [0.53, 1.47]			
Gong 2010	60	234	55	226	5.4%	1.07 [0.70, 1.64]			
Jiang 2010	31	282	29	282	4.5%	1.08 [0.63, 1.84]			
Liu 2002	19	134	70	702	4.5%	1.49 [0.87, 2.57]	+		
Lo 2004	37	214	70	702	5.3%	1.89 [1.23, 2.91]	<del></del>		
Luo 2011	37	214	65	324	5.2%	0.83 [0.53, 1.30]			
Tamaki 2007	35	246	58	376	5.1%	0.91 [0.58, 1.43]			
Tamaki 2009	13	160	16	140	3.1%	0.69 [0.32, 1.48]			
Tian 2006	80	436	32	392	5.3%	2.53 [1.64, 3.91]			
Tong 2013	77	342	219	832	6.3%	0.81 [0.60, 1.09]	+		
Vallian 2012	39	220	31	220	4.7%	1.31 [0.79, 2.20]	+		
Subtotal (95% CI)		2702		4360	53.9%	1.15 [0.90, 1.46]	◆		
Total events	468		678						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.11;	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 29	9.88. df	= 10 (P =	= 0.000	9): l² = 67%	6			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$	.12 (P = (	0.26)	· · ·		,,				
		,							
5.1.2 Caucasian									
Chen 2013	182	2280	254	2116	7.0%	0.64 [0.52, 0.78]			
Ghaderi 2001	11	170	25	240	3.3%	0.59 [0.28, 1.24]	+		
Kennedy 2002a	42	306	69	494	5.4%	0.98 [0.65, 1.48]	_ <del></del>		
Kennedy 2002b	73	522	69	494	5.9%	1.00 [0.70, 1.43]	_ <del></del>		
Kennedy 2002c	22	222	69	494	4.7%	0.68 [0.41, 1.13]	+		
Kopp 2009	20	158	94	612	4.6%	0.80 [0.48, 1.34]			
Lavado-Valenzuela 2009	32	220	39	241	4.7%	0.88 [0.53, 1.47]			
Metzelaar-Blok 2005	49	336	69	494	5.6%	1.05 [0.71, 1.56]	_ <del></del>		
Reinders 2006	32	278	45	212	4.8%	0.48 [0.29, 0.79]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		4492		5397	46.1%	0.78 [0.65, 0.93]	$\bullet$		
Total events	463		733						
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.03$ ; $Chi^2 = 13.60$ , df = 8 (P = 0.09); $I^2 = 41\%$									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.72$ (P = 0.007)									
		,							
Total (95% CI)		7194		9757	100.0%	0.96 [0.80, 1.14]	<b></b>		
Total events	931		1411						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.10; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 61.80, df = 19 (P < 0.00001); l <sup>2</sup> = 69%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	.47 (P = 0	0.64)					0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Equatro [appe] Equatro [apptro]		
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 6.37$ , $df = 1$ (P = 0.01), $l^2 = 84.3\%$									

Figure 3. Subgroup analysis by ethnicity of odds ratios for association of MICA-TM gene A9 polymorphism and cancer risk.

was no significant difference. However, in the stratified analysis by ethnicity, we observed individuals with A5 and A9 alleles had a decreased risk of developing cancer in Caucasian populations. The inconsistent results may be attributed to differences in genetic backgrounds, environmental factors, and other factors, such as small sample size or inadequate adjustment for confounding factors. For example, the frequencies for MICA repeats in different populations were different. In addition, Given that MICA is expressed on the surface of transformed cells, the MICA molecule in carriers of the A5 or A9 allele might bind more efficiently to the NKG2D receptor, producing a more effective attack by the NK cells against the tumor cells. Furthermore, the interaction among some other genes might affect the relationship of each polymorphism included with the development of cancer. As we known, MICA is at the centromeric end of the classical class I region approximately 46.4 Kb from HLA-B [41]. Some alleles of the MICA-TM region exhibit strong linkage disequilibrium with alleles of the HLA-B locus [42], including an association between HLA-B7 and MICA-A5.1 [43].

Two significant issues should be addressed in this study, that is, heterogeneity and publication bias, which may influence the results of meta-analysis. We don't detect a significant publication bias in this meta-analysis, suggesting the reliability of our results. With regard to heterogeneity, in this study, heterogeneity was found in MICA-TM A5, A5.1 and A9 alleles. When stratified analysis by ethnicity, we found that heterogeneity still exists in Asian population, however, heterogeneity disappear in Caucasian population for MICA-TM A5 allele. Then sensitivity analyses were conducted by successively excluding one study, when excluded the study by Luo et al, the heterogeneity disappear in A5 allele. The results above suggest that the ethnic difference and particular study may be the source of heterogeneity.

Some limitations of this meta-analysis should be addressed. First, our results were based on unadjusted estimates, while lacking of the information (such as age, gender, family history) for the date analysis may cause serious confounding bias. Second, because of incomplete raw data or publication limitations, some relevant studies could not be included in our analysis. Third, the number of published studies was not sufficiently large for a comprehensive analysis, and some studies with small size (e.g. the study by Lopez-Vazquez et al.) may not have enough statistical power to explore the real association.

## Conclusion

In summary, this meta-analysis suggested that the MICA-TM A5 and A9 alleles may be an important protective factor for cancer in Caucasian populations. However, large and well-designed studies are warranted to validate our findings. Moreover, more gene-gene and gene-environment interactions should also be considered in the future.

## Disclosure of conflict of interest

#### None.

Address correspondence to: Weiguo Dong, Department of Gastroenterology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, 238 Jiefang Road, Wuhan, Hubei 430060, P. R. China. E-mail: dongweiguo\_66@163. com; Dr. Xiulan Peng, Department of Oncology, The Fifth Hospital of Wuhan, 122 Xianzheng Street, Wuhan 430050, P. R. China. E-mail: amy\_5840@163. com

#### References

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2011; 61: 69-90.
- [2] Pharoah PD, Dunning AM, Ponder BA, Easton DF. Association studies for finding cancer-susceptibility genetic variants. Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4: 850-860.
- [3] Risch N, Merikangas K. The future of genetic studies of complex human diseases. Science 1996; 273: 1516-1517.

- [4] Choy MK, Phipps ME. MICA polymorphism: biology and importance in immunity and disease. Trends Mol Med 2010; 16: 97-106.
- [5] Rodri'guez-Rodero S, Gonzalez S, Rodrigo L, Fernandez-Morera JL, Marti 'nez-Borra J, Lopez-Vazquez A, Lopez-Larrea C. Transcriptional regulation of MICA and MICB: a novel polymorphism in MICB promoter alters transcriptional regulation by Sp1. Eur J Immunol 2007; 37: 1938-1953.
- [6] Steinle A, Li P, Morris DL, Groh V, Lanier LL, Strong RK, Spies T. Interactions of human NK-G2D with its ligands MICA, MICB, and homologs of themouse RAE-1 protein family. Immunogenetics 2001; 53: 279-287.
- [7] Lenguel CS, Willis LJ, Mann B, Baker D, Kortemme T, Strong RK, McFarland BJ. Mutations designed to destabilize the receptor-bound conformation increase MICA-NKG2D association rate and affinity. J Biol Chem 2007; 282: 30658-30666.
- [8] Holdenrieder S, Stieber P, Peterfi A, Nagel D, Steinle A, Salih HR. Soluble MICA in malignant diseases. Int J Cancer 2006; 118: 684-687.
- [9] Groh V, Wu J, Yee C, Spiess T. Tumour-derived soluble MIC ligands impair expression of NK-G2D and T-cell activation. Nature 2002; 419: 734-738.
- [10] Rueda B, Pascual M, Lopez-Nevot MA, Gonzalez E, Martin J. A new allele within the transmembrane region of the human MICA gene with seven GCT repeats. Tissue Antigens 2002; 60: 526-528.
- [11] Perez-Rodriguez M, Corell A, Arguello JR, Cox ST, Mc Whinnie A, Marsh SG, Madrigal JA. A new MICA allele with ten alanine residues in the exon 5 microsatellite. Tissue Antigens 2000; 55: 162-165.
- [12] Mizuki N, Ota M, Kimura M, Ohno S, Ando H, Katsuyama Y, Yarnazaki M, Watanabe K, Goto K, Nakamura S, Bahram S, Inoko H. Triplet repeat polymorphism in the transmembrane region of the MICA gene: a strong association of six GCT repetitions with Behcet disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1997; 94: 1298-1303.
- [13] Gambelunghe G, Brozzetti AL, Ghaderi M, Tortoioli C, Falorni A. MICA A8: a new allele within MHC class I chain-related A transmembrane region with eight GCT repeats. Hum Immunol 2006; 67: 1005-1007.
- [14] Chen JR, Lee YJ, Chen T, Wang KL, Dang CW, Chang SC, Liu HF, Yang YC. MHC class I chainrelated gene A (MICA) polymorphism and the different histological types of cervical cancer. Neoplasma 2005; 52: 369-373.
- [15] Chen D, Juko-Pecirep I, Hammer J, Ivansson E, Enroth S, Gustavsson I, Feuk L, Magnusson PK, McKay JD, Wilander E, Gyllensten U. Genome-wide association study of susceptibility

loci for cervical cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2013; 105: 624-633.

- [16] Ghaderi M, Nikitina Zake L, Wallin K, Wiklund F, Hallmans G, Lenner P, Dillner J, Sanjeevi CB. Tumor necrosis factor A and MHC class I chain related gene A (MIC-A) polymorphisms in Swedish patients with cervical cancer. Hum Immunol 2001; 62: 1153-1158.
- [17] Gong WJ, Xiao WM, Gong CX, Tian F, Ji MC. Association of MICA gene polymorphism and serum soluble MICA level with colorectal cancer. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi 2010; 27: 335-339.
- [18] Jiang X, Zou Y, Huo Z, Yu P. Association of major histocompatibility complex class I chain-related gene A microsatellite polymorphism and hepatocellular carcinoma in South China Han population. Tissue Antigens 2011; 78: 143-147.
- [19] Kennedy C, Naipal A, Gruis NA, Struijk L, ter Schegget J, Willemze R, Claas FH, Bouwes Bavinck JN, Doxiadis II. MICA gene polymorphism is not associated with an increased risk for skin cancer. J Invest Dermatol 2002; 118: 686-691.
- [20] Kopp R, Glas J, Lau-Werner U, Albert ED, Weiss EH. Association of MICA-TM and MICB C1\_2\_A microsatellite polymorphisms with tumor progression in patients with colorectal cancer. J Clin Immunol 2009; 29: 545-554.
- [21] Lavado-Valenzuela R, Benavides M, Carabantes F, Alonso A, Caballero A. MHC class I chainrelated gene A transmembrane polymorphism in Spanish women with breast cancer. Tissue Antigens 2009; 74: 46-49.
- [22] Chung-Ji L, Yann-Jinn L, Hsin-Fu L, Ching-Wen D, Che-Shoa C, Yi-Shing L, Kuo-Wei C. The increase in the frequency of MICA gene A6 allele in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med 2002; 31: 323-328.
- [23] Lo SS, Lee YJ, Wu CW, Liu CJ, Huang JW, Lui WY. The increase of MICA gene A9 allele associated with gastric cancer and less schirrous change. Br J Cancer 2004; 90: 1809-1813.
- [24] López-Vázquez A, Rodrigo L, Miña-Blanco A, Martínez-Borra J, Fuentes D, Rodríguez M, Pérez R, González S, López-Larrea C. Extended human leukocyte antigen haplotype EH18.1 influences progression to hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. J Infect Dis 2004; 189: 957-963.
- [25] Luo QZ, Lin L, Gong Z, Mei B, Xu YJ, Huo Z, Yu P. Positive association of major histocompatibility complex class I chain-related gene A polymorphism with leukemia susceptibility in the people of Han nationality of Southern China. Tissue Antigens 2011; 78: 178-184.
- [26] Metzelaar-Blok JA, Hurks HM, Naipal A, De Lange P, Keunen JE, Claas FH, Doxiadis II, Jager MJ. Normal HLA class I, II, and MICA gene

distribution in uveal melanoma. Mol Vis 2005; 11: 1166-1172.

- [27] Reinders J, Rozemuller EH, Otten HG, van der Veken LT, Slootweg PJ, Tilanus MG. HLA and MICA associations with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol 2007; 43: 232-240.
- [28] Tamaki S, Sanefuzi N, Ohgi K, Imai Y, Kawakami M, Yamamoto K, Ishitani A, Hatake K, Kirita T. An association between the MICA-A5.1 allele and an increased susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma in Japanese patients. J Oral Pathol Med 2007; 36: 351-356.
- [29] Tamaki S, Kawakami M, Yamanaka Y, Imai Y, Kawakami M, Yamamoto K, Ishitani A, Hatake K, Kirita T. Relationship between soluble MICA and the MICA A5.1 homozygous genotype in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Immunol 2009; 130: 331-337.
- [30] Tian W, Zeng XM, Li LX, Jin HK, Luo QZ, Wang F, Guo SS, Cao Y. Gender-specific associations between MICA-STR and nasopharyngeal carcinoma in a southern Chinese Han population. Immunogenetics 2006; 58: 113-121.
- [31] Tong HV, Toan NL, Song LH, Bock CT, Kremsner PG, Velavan TP. Hepatitis B virus-induced hepatocellular carcinoma: functional roles of MICA variants. J Viral Hepat 2013; 20: 687-698.
- [32] Vallian S, Rad MJ, Tavallaei M, Tavassoli M. Correlation of major histocompatibility complex class I related A (MICA) polymorphism with the risk of developing breast cancer. Med Oncol 2012; 29: 5-9.
- [33] Tian W, Luo QZ, Li LX, Jin HK, Wang F, Guo SS, Cao Y. Polymorphism of short tandem repeat of exon 5 of MHC class-I chain related gene A and association with nasopharyngeal carcinoma in a southern Chinese population. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi 2005; 22: 309-312.
- [34] Lau J, Ioannidis JP, Schmid CH. Quantitative synthesis in systematic reviews. Ann Intern Med 1997; 127: 820-826.
- [35] DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177-188.
- [36] Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959; 22: 719-748.
- [37] Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994; 50: 1088-1101.
- [38] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-634.
- [39] Bahram S. MIC genes: from genetics to biology. Adv Immunol 2000; 76: 1-60.
- [40] Li P, Morris DL, Willcox BE, Steinle A, Spies T, Strong RK. Complex structure of the activating

immunoreceptor NKG2D and its MHC class llike ligand MICA. Nat Immunol 2001; 2: 443-451.

- [41] Shiina T, Tamiya G, Oka A, Takishima N, Yamagata T, Kikkawa E, Iwata K, Tomizawa M, Okuaki N, Kuwano Y, Watanabe K, Fukuzumi Y, Itakura S, Sugawara C, Ono A, Yamazaki M, Tashiro H, Ando A, Ikemura T, Soeda E, Kimura M, Bahram S, Inoko H. Molecular dynamics of MHC genesis unraveled by sequence analysis of the 1,796,938-bp HLA class I region. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999; 96: 13282-13287.
- [42] Tian W, Boggs DA, Ding WZ, Chen DF, Fraser PA. MICA genetic polymorphism and linkage disequilibrium with HLA-B in 29 African-American families. Immunogenetics 2001; 53: 724-728.
- [43] Fernandez-Morera JL, Rodrigo L, Lopez-Vazquez A, Rodero SR, Martínez-Borra J, Niño P, González S, López-Larrea C. MHC class I chain-related gene A transmembrane polymorphism modulates the extension of ulcerative colitis. Hum Immunol 2003; 64: 816-822.