# Original Article Pharmacokinetic study of ACT-132577 in rat plasma by ultra performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

Jin Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Peiwu Geng<sup>2</sup>, Xinhua Luo<sup>1</sup>, Genzhi Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Yingying Lin<sup>4</sup>, Lijing Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Shuanghu Wang<sup>2</sup>, Congcong Wen<sup>4</sup>, Jianshe Ma<sup>4</sup>, Ting Ding<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Lab Medicine, Taizhou Municipal Hospital affiliated with Taizhou University, Taizhou 318000, Zhejiang, China; <sup>2</sup>The Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacy, The People's Hospital of Lishui, Lishui 323000, Zhejiang, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Maternity and Child Care of Lishui, Lishui 323000, Zhejiang, China; <sup>4</sup>Laboratory Animal Centre, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325035, Zhejiang, China

Received July 17, 2015; Accepted September 28, 2015; Epub October 15, 2015; Published October 30, 2015

Abstract: It was reported that macitentan was metabolized predominantly by cytochrome P450 3A4, and ACT-132577, its pharmacologically active metabolite, is fivefold less potent at blocking ET receptors than macitentan. In this work, a sensitive and selective ultra performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) method for determination of ACT-132577 in rat plasma was developed and validated. After addition of diazepam as an internal standard (IS), protein precipitation by acetonitrile was used to prepare samples. Chromatographic separation was achieved on a UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m) with 0.2% formic acid and methanol as the mobile phase with gradient elution. An electrospray ionization source was applied and operated in positive ion mode; multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) mode was used for quantification using target fragment ions m/z 546.9 $\rightarrow$ 200.6 for ACT-132577, and m/z 285.1 $\rightarrow$ 193.1 for IS. Calibration plots were linear throughout the range 10-4000 ng/mL for ACT-132577 in rat plasma ranged from 101.4% to 115.2%. RSD of intra-day and inter-day precision were both less than 11%. The accuracy of the method ranged from 96.1% to 103.5%. The method was successfully applied to pharmacokinetic study of ACT-132577 after oral and intravenous administration of macitentan.

Keywords: ACT-132577, UPLC-MS/MS, pharmacokinetics, rat

#### Introduction

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a chronic, progressive and fatal disease, characterized by increasing pulmonary vascular resistance leading to right ventricular failure and premature death. PAH has been shown to be associated with increased endothelin (ET) and ET-1 receptor upregulation, suggesting that the ET system is a therapeutic target for PAH [1, 2].

Macitentan is a novel dual orally active endothelin-1 receptor antagonist (ERA) to be used in patients with PAH. In preclinical and clinical studies, when compared with other ERAs, it exhibited sustained receptor binding and enhanced tissue penetration [3-5]. Macitentan reaches a maximum plasma concentration  $(C_{max})$  after approximately 8 hours  $(t_{max})$ , with an elimination half-life  $(t_{1/2})$  of 17.5 hours [6]. It was reported that macitentan was metabolized predominantly by cytochrome P450 3A4, and ACT-132577 (**Figure 1A**), its pharmacologically active metabolite, is fivefold less potent at blocking ET receptors than macitentan [4]. However, due to its long half-life of about 48 hours, this metabolite is prone to accumulate upon repeated dosing and, therefore, significantly contributes to the overall effect [6, 7].

Up to now, several high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) methods have been reported to determine ACT-132577 [6-8]. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) is a faster and more effi-



cient analytical tool compared with HPLC-MS/ MS [9, 10]. In present work, a fast, sensitive and reliable UPLC-MS/MS method was developed and validated for determination of ACT-132577 in plasma for the first time, and the method was successfully applied to a pharmacokinetic study in rats following oral and intravenous administration of macitentan.

# Experimental

# Chemicals and reagents

ACT-132577 (purity > 98%) and diazepam (IS, purity > 98%) were purchased from the Beijing Sunflower and Technology Development CO. LTD. (Beijing, China). LC-grade acetonitrile and methanol were purchased from Merck Company (Darmstadt, Germany). Ultra-pure water was prepared by Millipore Milli-Q purification system (Bedford, MA, USA). Rat blank plasma samples were supplied from drug-free rats.

# Instrumentation and conditions

A UPLC-MS/MS system with ACQUITY I-Class UPLC and a XEVO TQD triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA), equipped with an electrospray ionization interface, was used to analyze the compounds. The UPLC system was comprised of a Binary Solvent Manager and a Sample Manager with Flow-Through Needle. Masslynx 4.1 software (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) was used for data acquisition and instrument control.

ACT-132577 and diazepam (IS) were separated on an UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m) maintained at 40°C. The initial mobile phase consisted of 0.2% formic acid and methanol with gradient elution at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min and an injection volume of 2  $\mu$ L. Elution was in a linear gradient, where the methanol increased from 40% to 90% between 0 and 2.0 min, maintained at 90% for 0.5 min,

then decreased to 40% within 0.1 min, then maintained at 40% for 0.4 min. The total run time of the analytes was 3 min. After each injection, the sample manager underwent a needle wash process, including both a strong wash (methanol-water, 50/50, v/v) and a weak wash (methanol-water, 10/90, v/v).

Nitrogen was used as the desolvation gas (1000 L/h) and cone gas (50 L/h). Ion monitoring conditions were defined as capillary voltage of 2.5 kV, source temperature of 150°C, and desolvation temperature of 500°C. MRM modes of m/z 546.9 $\rightarrow$ 200.6 for ACT-132577, and m/z 285.1 $\rightarrow$ 193.1 for IS were utilized to conduct quantitative analysis.

# Calibration standards and quality control samples

The stock solutions of ACT-132577 (1.0 mg/mL) and diazepam (IS) (100  $\mu$ g/mL) were prepared in methanol-water (50:50, v/v). The 0.25  $\mu$ g/mL working standard solution of the IS was prepared from the IS stock solution by dilution with methanol; working solutions for calibration and controls were prepared from stock solutions in the same manner. All of the solutions



**Figure 2.** Representative UPLC-MS/MS chromatograms of ACT-132577 and diazepam (IS). (A) blank plasma, (B) blank plasma spiked with ACT-132577 (50 ng/mL) and IS (50 ng/mL), (C) a rat plasma sample 24 h after intravenous administration of single dosage 5 mg/kg macitentan.

were stored at 4°C and were brought to room temperature before use.

ACT-132577 calibration standards were prepared by spiking blank rat plasma with appropriate amounts of the working solutions. Calibration plots were offset to range between 10-4000 ng/mL for ACT-132577 in rat plasma (10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 ng/ mL). Quality-control (QC) samples were prepared in the same manner as the calibration standards, in three different plasma concentrations (20, 1800, and 3600 ng/mL). The analytical standards and QC samples were stored at -20°C.

# Sample preparation

Before analysis, the plasma sample was thawed to room temperature. An aliquot of 10  $\mu$ L of the IS working solution (0.25  $\mu$ g/mL) was added to 50  $\mu$ L of the collected plasma sample in a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube, followed by the addition of 150  $\mu$ L of acetonitrile. The tubes were vortex mixed for 1.0 min. After centrifugation at 14900 × g for 10 min, the supernatant (2  $\mu$ L) was injected into the UPLC-MS/MS system for analysis.

# Method validation

Rigorous tests for selectivity, linearity, accuracy, precision, recovery, and stability, according to the guidelines set by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [11] and European Medicines Agency (EMA) [12], were conducted in order to thoroughly validate the proposed bioanalytical method. Validation runs were conducted on three consecutive days. Each validation run consisted of one

set of calibration standards and six replicates of QC plasma samples.

• •		•	,		
Concentration	RSD (%)		Accuracy (%)		Recovery
(ng/mL)	Intra-day	Inter-day	Intra-day	Inter-day	(%)
20	8.8	10.2	102.8	103.5	90.6
1800	7.9	5.9	96.1	97.1	82.6
3600	5.0	10.1	103.5	101.8	88.7

**Table 1.** Precision, accuracy, and recovery for ACT-132577of QC samples in rat plasma (n=6)



Figure 3. Mean ACT-132577 plasma concentration time profile after oral (po, 15 mg/kg) and intravenous (iv, 5 mg/kg) administration of macitentan in rats.

The selectivity of the method was evaluated by analyzing blank rat plasma, blank plasmaspiked ACT-132577 and IS, and a rat plasma sample.

Calibration curves were constructed by analyzing spiked calibration samples on three separate days. Peak area ratios of ACT-132577-to-IS were plotted against analyte concentrations. Resultant standard curves were well fitted to the equations by linear regression, with a weighting factor of the reciprocal of the concentration (1/x) in the concentration range of 10-4000 ng/mL. The lower Limit of quantitation (LLOQ) was defined as the lowest concentration on the calibration curves.

To evaluate the matrix effect, blank rat plasma was extracted and spiked with the analyte at 20, 1800, and 3600 ng/mL concentrations. The corresponding peak areas were then compared to those of neat standard solutions at equivalent concentrations, this peak area ratio is defined as the matrix effect. The matrix effect of the IS was evaluated at a concentration of 50 ng/mL in a similar manner. Accuracy and precision were assessed by the determination of QC samples at three concentration levels in six replicates (20, 1800, and 3600 ng/mL) over three days of validation testing. The precision is expressed as RSD.

The recovery of ACT-132577 was evaluated by comparing the peak area of

extracted QC samples with those of reference QC solutions reconstituted in blank plasma extracts (n=6). The recovery of the IS was determined in the same way.

Carry-over was assessed following injection of a blank plasma sample immediately after 3 repeats of the upper limit of quantification (ULOQ), after which the response was checked for accuracy [13].

Stability of ACT-132577 in rat plasma were evaluated by analyzing three replicates of plasma samples at concentrations of 20 or 3600 ng/mL which were all exposed to different conditions. These results were compared with the freshly-prepared plasma samples. Short-term stability was determined after the exposure of the spiked samples to room temperature for 2 h, and the ready-to-inject samples (after protein precipitation) in the HPLC autosampler at room temperature for 24 h. Freeze/thaw stability was evaluated after three complete freeze/ thaw cycles (-20 to 25°C) on consecutive days. Long-term stability was assessed after storage of the standard spiked plasma samples at -20°C for 20 days. The stability of the IS (50 ng/ mL) was evaluated similarly [14, 15].

# Pharmacokinetic study

All twelve Male Sprague-Dawley rats (200-220 g) were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of Wenzhou Medical University (Wenzhou, China). The ethical number of the experiment animals was wydw2013-0071. All experimental procedures and protocols were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Wenzhou Medical University. Diet was prohibited for 12 h before the experiment but water was freely available. Blood samples (0.2 mL) were collected from the caudal vein into heparinized 1.5 mL tapered plastic centrifuge tubes at 0.0333, 0.15, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h after oral (15 mg/kg, n=6) and intravenous (5 mg/kg, n=6) administration of

**Table 2.** Primary ACT-132577 pharmacokinetic parametersafter oral and intravenous administration of macitentan inrats (n=6)

Parameters	Unit	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
		Oral, 15 mg/kg		Intravenous, 5 mg/kg	
AUC <sub>(0-t)</sub>	ng/mL*h	271898.5	90431.9	85919.3	28881.5
AUC <sub>(0-∞)</sub>	ng/mL*h	343000.3	116453.6	99860.0	37412.2
t	h	7.4	2.9	7.6	2.0
CL	L/h/kg	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.03
V	L/kg	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.2
C <sub>max</sub>	ng/mL	17208.9	7281.3	6570.9	2073.3

macitentan, respectively. The caudal vein of rat was cleaned by 75% alcohol, after that the end of caudal vein was cut by scissors. A 1.5 mL tapered plastic centrifuge tube was used to collect the blood which dropped from the end of caudal vein by squeezing and massaging gently. The samples were immediately centrifuged at  $3000 \times g$  for 10 min. The plasma as-obtained (50 µL) was stored at -20°C until UPLC-MS/MS analysis. Plasma ACT-132577 concentration versus time data for each rat was analyzed by DAS (Drug and Statistics) software (Version 2.0, Wenzhou Medical University, China).

# **Results and discussion**

# Method development

The mobile phase played a critical role in achieving good chromatographic behavior and appropriate ionization [16-21]. Methanol was selected for the organic phase, as it provides sharper peak shape and better sensitivity compared to acetonitrile. Methanol and water (containing 0.2% formic acid) were chosen as the mobile phase because the combination provides proper retention time and peak shape. The total run time for each injection was 3 min. Ultra performance liquid chromatography system using a gradient elution method could elute more residual impurities from column for each sample.

Efficient removal of proteins and other potential interference in the bio-samples prior to LC-MS analysis was a crucial step in the development of this method [22-27]. Then the simple protein precipitation was employed in our work, acetonitrile was chosen as the protein precipitation solvent because it exhibited acceptable recovery (between 82.6% and 90.6%) and matrix effect (between 101.4% and 115.2%).

# Selectivity and matrix effect

**Figure 2** shows typical chromatograms of a blank plasma sample, a blank plasma sample spiked with ACT-132577 and IS, and a plasma sample. There were no interfering endogenous substances observed at the retention time of the ACT-132577 and IS.

The matrix effect for ACT-132577 at concentrations of 20, 1800, and 3600 ng/mL were measured to be 101.4%, 113.2% and 115.2% (n=6). The matrix effect for IS (50 ng/mL) was 97.2% (n=6). As a result, matrix effect from plasma was considered negligible in this method.

# Calibration curve and sensitivity

Linear regressions of the peak area ratios versus concentrations were fitted over the concentration range 10-4000 ng/mL for ACT-132577 in rat plasma. The equation utilized to express the calibration curve was: y=0.000289854\*x+0.000559052, r=0.9953, where y represents the ratios of ACT-132577 peak area to that of IS, and x represents the plasma concentration. The LLOQ for the determination of ACT-132577 in plasma was 10 ng/mL. The precision and accuracy at LLOQ were 13.8% and 92.6%.

# Precision, accuracy and recovery

The precision of the method was determined by calculating RSD for QCs at three concentration levels over three days of validation tests. Intraday precision was 9% or less, and inter-day precision was 11% or less at each QC level. The accuracy of the method ranged from 96.1% and 103.5% at each QC level. Mean recovery of ACT-132577 were higher than 82.6%. The recovery of the IS (50 ng/mL) was 87.4%. Assay performance data was presented below in **Table 1**.

# Carry-over

None of the analytes showed any significant peak ( $\geq$ 20% of the LLOQ and 5% of the IS) in blank samples injected after the ULOQ sam-

ples. Adding 0.4 extra minutes to the end of the gradient elution effectively washed the system between samples, thereby eliminating carry-over [13].

# Stability

Results from the auto-sampler showed that the ACT-132577 was stable under room temperature, freeze-thaw, and long-term (20 days) conditions, confirmed because the bias in concentrations were within 90% and 115% of their nominal values. To this effect, the established method was suitable for pharmacokinetic study.

# Application

The method was applied to a pharmacokinetic study in rats. The mean ACT-132577 plasma concentration-time curve after oral (15 mg/kg) and intravenous (5 mg/kg) administration of macitentan was shown in **Figure 3**. Primary pharmacokinetic parameters, based on non-compartment model analysis, were summa-rized in **Table 2**.

#### Conclusion

The developed and validated UPLC-MS/MS method, utilizing only 50  $\mu$ L of plasma with an LLOQ of 10 ng/mL, was successfully applied to a pharmacokinetic study of ACT-132577 after both oral and intravenous administration of macitentan.

# Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Ting Ding, The Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacy, The People's Hospital of Lishui, Lishui 323000, Zhejiang, China. Tel: (86) 578 2780158; E-mail: dtcjm@163.com

#### References

- Kim NH and Rubin LJ. Endothelin in health and disease: endothelin receptor antagonists in the management of pulmonary artery hypertension. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2002; 7: 9-19.
- [2] Madonna R, Cocco N and De Caterina R. Pathways and drugs in pulmonary arterial hypertension-focus on the role of endothelin receptor antagonists. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 2015; 29: 469-79.

- [3] Gatfield J, Mueller Grandjean C, Sasse T, Clozel M and Nayler O. Slow receptor dissociation kinetics differentiate macitentan from other endothelin receptor antagonists in pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells. PLoS One 2012; 7: e47662.
- [4] Iglarz M, Binkert C, Morrison K, Fischli W, Gatfield J, Treiber A, Weller T, Bolli MH, Boss C, Buchmann S, Capeleto B, Hess P, Qiu C and Clozel M. Pharmacology of macitentan, an orally active tissue-targeting dual endothelin receptor antagonist. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2008; 327: 736-745.
- [5] Iglarz M, Bossu A, Wanner D, Bortolamiol C, Rey M, Hess P and Clozel M. Comparison of pharmacological activity of macitentan and bosentan in preclinical models of systemic and pulmonary hypertension. Life Sci 2014; 118: 333-339.
- [6] Sidharta PN, van Giersbergen PL, Halabi A and Dingemanse J. Macitentan: entry-into-humans study with a new endothelin receptor antagonist. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2011; 67: 977-984.
- [7] Bruderer S, Hopfgartner G, Seiberling M, Wank J, Sidharta PN, Treiber A and Dingemanse J. Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of macitentan, a dual endothelin receptor antagonist, in humans. Xenobiotica 2012; 42: 901-910.
- [8] Sidharta P, Treiber A and Dingemanse J. Clinical Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of the Endothelin Receptor Antagonist Macitentan. Clinical Pharmacokinet 2015; 54: 457-471.
- [9] van Haandel L and Stobaugh JF. Folate determination in human health: UPLC-MS/MS is the emerging methodology of choice. Bioanalysis 2013; 5: 3023-3031.
- [10] Ma J, Wang S, Zhang M, Zhang Q, Zhou Y, Lin C, Lin G and Wang X. Simultaneous determination of bupropion, metroprolol, midazolam, phenacetin, omeprazole and tolbutamide in rat plasma by UPLC-MS/MS and its application to cytochrome P450 activity study in rats. Biomed Chromatogr 2015; 29: 1203-1212.
- [11] Guidance for industry on Bioanalytical Method Validation. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration 2013; Draft.
- [12] Guidance on bioanalytical method validation. EMEA/CHMP/EWP/192217/2009 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) 2011.
- [13] Williams JS, Donahue SH, Gao H and Brummel CL. Universal LC-MS method for minimized carryover in a discovery bioanalytical setting. Bioanalysis 2012; 4: 1025-1037.
- [14] Du J, Ma Z, Zhang Y, Wang T, Chen X and Zhong D. Simultaneous determination of ornidazole

and its main metabolites in human plasma by LC-MS/MS: application to a pharmacokinetic study. Bioanalysis 2014; 6: 2343-2356.

- [15] Liu J, Wang L, Hu W, Chen X and Zhong D. Development of a UHPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of plasma histamine in various mammalian species. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2014; 971: 35-42.
- [16] Wen C, Lin C, Cai X, Ma J and Wang X. Determination of sec-O-glucosylhamaudol in rat plasma by gradient elution liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2014; 944: 35-38.
- [17] Zhang Q, Wen C, Xiang Z, Ma J and Wang X. Determination of CUDC-101 in rat plasma by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry and its application to a pharmacokinetic study. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2014; 90: 134-138.
- [18] Wang X, Chen M, Wen C, Zhang Q and Ma J. Determination of chidamide in rat plasma by LC-MS and its application to pharmacokinetics study. Biomed Chromatogr 2013; 27: 1801-1806.
- [19] Ma J, Wang S, Huang X, Geng P, Wen C, Zhou Y, Yu L and Wang X. Validated UPLC-MS/MS method for determination of hordenine in rat plasma and its application to pharmacokinetic study. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2015; 111: 131-137.
- [20] Wang S, Wu H, Huang X, Geng P, Wen C, Ma J, Zhou Y and Wang X. Determination of N-methylcytisine in rat plasma by UPLC-MS/ MS and its application to pharmacokinetic study. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2015; 990: 118-124.
- [21] Wang X, Wang S, Lin F, Zhang Q, Chen H, Wang X, Wen C, Ma J and Hu L. Pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution model of cabozantinib in rat determined by UPLC-MS/MS. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2015; 983-984: 125-131.

- [22] Ma J, Ding X, Sun C, Lin C, An X, Lin G, Yang X and Wang X. Development and validation a liquid chromatography mass spectrometry for determination of solasodine in rat plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study. J Chromatogr BAnalyti Technol Biomed Life Sci 2014; 963: 24-28.
- [23] Du J, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Liu D, Chen X and Zhong D. Enantioselective HPLC determination and pharmacokinetic study of secnidazole enantiomers in rats. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2014; 965: 224-230.
- [24] Cai J, Lin C, Ma J, Hu L, Lin G and Wang X. Determination of rhynchophylline in rat plasma by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry and its application. J Chromatogr Sci 2014; 52: 661-665.
- [25] Huang X, Cai J and Wang X. LC-MS Determination of Crizotinib in Rat Plasma and its Application to a Pharmacokinetic Study. Lat Am J Pharm 2014; 33: 1188-1192.
- [26] Ma J, Zhang Q and Wang X. Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry determination of mocetinostat (MGCD0103) in rat plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study. Xenobiotica 2014; 44: 849-854.
- [27] Zhou Y, Wang S, Ding T, Chen M, Wang L, Wu M, Hu G and Lu X. Evaluation of the effect of apatinib (YN968D1) on cytochrome P450 enzymes with cocktail probe drugs in rats by UPLC-MS/MS. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2014; 973C: 68-75.