# Original Article Common allelic variants of the vitamin receptor D gene rs7975232 (Apal) do not influence bone mineral density figures in postmenopausal osteoporotic women

Maria Pedrera-Canal<sup>\*</sup>, Jose M Moran<sup>\*</sup>, Vicente Vera, Raul Roncero-Martin, Jesus M Lavado-Garcia, Ignacio Aliaga, Juan D Pedrera-Zamorano

Department of Nursing, University of Extremadura, Metabolic Bone Diseases Research Group, Caceres, Spain. \*Equal contributors.

Received March 13, 2015; Accepted May 5, 2015; Epub May 15, 2015; Published May 30, 2015

**Abstract:** This study examined the association between bone mineral density (BMD) and the rs7975232 (Apal) polymorphism of the vitamin receptor D (VDR) gene. The polymorphism was detected using the real-time PCR TaqMan method. The rs7975232 genotype was determined in 274 postmenopausal osteoporotic Spanish women who were  $60.53\pm8.02$  years old. The observed genotype frequencies were in agreement with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $\chi^2$ =1.85, P=0.1736). There were no significant differences in the rs7975232 genotype groups in our total sample of osteoporotic women regarding age, years since menopause, height, weight, and BMD at femoral neck, femoral trochanter and lumbar spine. Significant differences were found in menarche age (aa vs Aa; P=0.008) and BMI (aa vs AA; P=0.029). We conclude that the VDR gene rs7975232 polymorphism is not related to figures of bone mineral density in postmenopausal osteoporotic Spanish women.

Keywords: Polymorphism, bone mineral density, Apal, osteoporosis, postmenopausal

#### Introduction

Osteoporosis is a polygenic disorder that is determined by the effects of several genes, each with relatively modest effects on bone mass and other determinants of fracture risk. Osteoporosis is a disease of low bone mineral mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone, which leads to increased fracture risk [1]. Bone mineral density (BMD) is usually used as a measure of bone strength, and diagnoses of osteoporosis are based on its analysis [1].

Previous studies in twins and families showed that genetic factors play an important role in the formation of BMD and that genetic influences can account for up to 85% of the bone mass, with the strongest effects in the axial skeleton [2-4]. Population-based studies and case-control studies have similarly identified polymorphisms in several candidate genes that have been associated with bone mass or osteo-

porotic fracture, including the vitamin D receptor (VDR) [5], the estrogen receptor [6], regulator genes of the synthesis of TGF- $\beta$ 1 [7] and the collagen type I  $\alpha$ -1 gene [8]. Vitamin D, through its principal bioactive form 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (1,25-(OH)2D3), plays a crucial role in bone metabolism. In 1994, Morrison et al. reported a strong relationship between BMD and restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) based on Bsml endonuclease digestion at the vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene locus in Caucasian women [5]; since then, significant associations between common polymorphisms of the VDR gene, including the Apal polymorphism (rs7975232), and BMD in Caucasian women have been reported [9, 10]. However, there are still conflicting results.

This study aimed to investigate the relationship of commonly studied polymorphisms in the VDR gene, rs7975232, with the BMD figures in a cohort of Spanish postmenopausal women.

	n = 274
Age (years)	60.53 ± 8.02
Menarche age (years)	13.05 ± 1.47
YSM (years)	13.80 ± 8.23
Weigth (kg)	61.81 ± 10.76
Height (m)	1.53 ± 0.059
BMI (kg/m²)	26.42 ± 4.90
Ad-SoS (m/s)	2004.280 ± 71.176
BMD FN (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.705 ± 0.094
BMD TR (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.556 ± 0.086
BMD L2 (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.755 ± 0.088
BMD L3 (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.748 ± 0.079
BMD L4 (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.726 ± 0.079
BMD L2-L4 (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.740 ± 0.072
Values are the mean + SD.	

Table 1. Characteristics of the total sample

Values are the mean ± SD.

# Methods

This was an observational, cross-sectional study. The present study sample comprised 274 consecutive subjects included in the Caceres Database for the Diagnosis of Osteoporosis (CAFOR) study between 2010 and 2011. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Extremadura. Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects.

# Densitometric study

Densitometric measurements were performed to determine the BMD in the femoral neck (FN), femoral trochanter (FT) and the lumbar spine at the L2, L3, L4 and L2-L4 levels. Additionally, body weight and height were measured to calculate body mass index (BMI). Densitometric tests were performed with the use of a NORLAND XR-800 (Norland Medical Systems, Inc.). BMD scores were expressed as grams per square centimeter.

# Quantitative ultrasound study

We assessed ultrasound bone status using an ultrasound device, model DBM Sonic 1200® (Emsor, S.A., Madrid, Spain), which measured the amplitude-dependent speed of sound (Ad-SoS) in meters per second. We measured the phalanges (II-V) of the non-dominant hand and computed an average value. We achieved

contact by means of standard ultrasound gel. Two 16-mm-diameter, 1.25-MHz transducers were assembled on a high-precision caliper that measured the distance between the probes. We positioned the probes on the mediolateral phalangeal surfaces using the phalanx head as a reference point. Positioning and repositioning the instrument was easy because it uses the prominences of the lower phalangeal epiphysis as a reference; the clip is placed just behind the prominences.

# Statistical analysis

The allelic and genotypic frequencies were estimated by gene counting, and the goodness of fit of the genotype distribution for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested using a chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test. Values of P>0.05 indicated HWE.

The statistical analysis of the results was performed with SPSS 20 for Windows. Normal distributions and homogeneity of variances were assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene tests, respectively. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni's post-hoc test was used to compare different genotypes in each SNP. An analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA) was used to compare the VDR genotypes adjusted for the co-variants age, BMI, years since menopause, height and weight.

# Results

The frequency of occurrence of the polymorphisms of Apal in the studied sample was as follows: aa, 23.4% (n=64); Aa, 45.6% (n=125); and AA, 31.0% (n=85). The observed genotype frequencies were in agreement with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $\chi^2$ =1.85, P=0.1736). The characteristics of the total sample are shown in 
 Table 1. No significant differences were found
between the Apal genotypes regarding age, years since menopause (YSM), weight and height (P>0.05 between groups) (Table 2). Significant differences were found between the aa and Aa groups regarding menarche age (P=0.008) and between the aa and AA groups regarding BMI (P=0.029) (Table 2). No significant differences were found in the crude BMD between the studied groups (Table 3) or after further adjustment for potential confounding factors (Table 3).

Group	aa (64)	Aa (125)	AA (85)	P-value	aa vs AA	aa vs Aa	
Age (years)	59.48 ± 6.98	60.96 ± 8.25	60.68 ± 8.42	0.475			
Menarche age (years)	12.64 ± 1.57	13.32 ± 1.37	12.97 ± 1.48	0.009		0.008	
YSM (years)	13.61 ± 8.42	14.04 ± 8.05	13.59 ± 8.42	0.908			
Weigth (kg)	59.27 ± 10.73	62.23 ± 10.02	63.11 ± 11.60	0.081			
Height (m)	1.54 ± 0.06	1.53 ± 0.06	1.52 ± 0.04	0.284			
BMI (kg/m²)	25.02 ± 4.82	26.66 ± 4.63	27.12 ± 5.19	0.026	0.029		

Table 2. Characteristics of the total sample in patients with the Apal genotype

Values are the mean ± SD. P-value by ANOVA.

Table 3. BMD according	to the VDR	Apal genotypes
------------------------	------------	----------------

		aa (64)	Aa	AA	<i>P</i> - value			аа	Aa	AA	<i>P</i> - value
BMD1 (g/	QUS	2004.211 ± 67.039	2001.43 ± 72.472	2007.125 ± 72.103	0.905	BMD1 (g/	QUS	2003.288 ± 8.951	2002.138 ± 6.431	2006.782 ± 7.994	0.901
cm²)	FN	0.705 ± 0.100	0.717 ± 0.086	0.687 ± 0.995	0.082	cm <sup>2</sup> )	FN	0.707 ± 0.012	$0.718 \pm 0.008$	0.686 ± 0.010	0.058
	FT	0.549 ± 0.085	0.567 ± 0.092	0.545 ± 0.777	0.186		FT	0.552 ± 0.011	0.568 ± 0.008	$0.543 \pm 0.009$	0.117
	L2	0.745 ± 0.093	0.754 ± 0.089	0.762 ± 0.084	0.501		L2	0.744 ± 0.011	0.756 ± 0.008	$0.761 \pm 0.010$	0.491
	L3	0.745 ± 0.079	0.742 ± 0.083	0.760 ± 0.072	0.277		L3	0.745 ± 0.010	0.742 ± 0.007	0.744 ± 0.778	0.229
	L4	$0.715 \pm 0.083$	0.726 ± 0.075	0732 ± 0080	0.423		L4	0.720 ± 0.010	$0.726 \pm 0.007$	0.732 ± 0.715	0.675
	L2-L4	0.745 ± 0.075	0.735 ± 0.067	0.742 ± 0.077	0.63		L2-L4	0.748 ± 0.009	0.735 ± 0.007	0.743 ± 0.008	0.479

Data shown are mean ± SD, and P values obtained from ANOVA and ANCOVA. The BMD are denoted as BMD1 and BMD2, which belong to the raw BMD and BMD adjusted by age, YSM, height, weight and BMI.

# Discussion

Osteoporosis is a worldwide health issue with a high prevalence of disease. The ability to predict and prevent osteoporotic-related fractures would be a major benefit to both patients and the health system. Thus, finding a genetic marker that could predict those at the greatest risk of developing osteoporosis or its evolution is an attractive proposition.

At present, our study evaluated the different bone mineral density figures according to the rs7975232 genotype in a group of unrelated postmenopausal osteoporotic Spanish women. The Apal polymorphism is located in the noncoding region of the VDR gene and does not have an effect on the final protein product [11]. The A allele is correlated with enhanced mRNA stability or transcriptional activity and greater vitamin D activity [5]. Overall, this fact highlights the importance of understanding the mechanisms by which these polymorphisms affect VDR action. We found no association between the Apal polymorphism genotype and BMD figures, which again contrasts with some of the earlier studies [12-14] but confirms other studies [15-18].

Data from a meta-analysis of Li and colleagues [13] suggest that the racial/ethnic genetic

background plays a role. Indeed, in Caucasians, no associations with the Apal polymorphism were found by a meta-analysis with either fracture risk [19] or BMD [20].

In Spain, an earlier study from Bustamante and colleagues [21] in a cohort of 719 postmenopausal women found no associations of BMD with the Apal polymorphism; our results were more in accordance with this study.

In summary, our study suggests that BMD figures are not associated with the Apal genotype in postmenopausal osteoporotic Spanish women and add to the hypothesis that the relevance of the Apal genotype in osteoporosis should be questioned.

# Disclosure of conflict of ineterest

None.

Address correspondence to: Juan D Pedrera-Zamorano, Nursing Department, University of Extremadura, Metabolic Bone Diseases Research Group, Caceres, Spain. Tel: +34 927257450; Fax: +34927-257451; E-mail: jpedrera@unex.es

# References

[1] NIH Consensus Development Panel on Osteoporosis Prevention, Diagnosis, and Therapy. Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. JAMA 2001; 285: 785-95.

- [2] Christian JC, Yu PL, Slemenda CW, and Johnston CC Jr. Heritability of bone mass: a longitudinal study in aging male twins. Am J Hum Genet 1989; 44: 429-33.
- [3] Pocock NA, Eisman JA, Hopper JL, Yeates MG, Sambrook PN, and Eberl S. Genetic determinants of bone mass in adults. A twin study. J Clin Invest 1987; 80: 706-10.
- [4] Slemenda CW, Christian JC, Williams CJ, Norton JA, and Johnston CC Jr. Genetic determinants of bone mass in adult women: a reevaluation of the twin model and the potential importance of gene interaction on heritability estimates. J Bone Miner Res 1991; 6: 561-7.
- [5] Morrison NA, Qi JC, Tokita A, Kelly PJ, Crofts L, Nguyen TV, Sambrook PN, and Eisman JA. Prediction of bone density from vitamin D receptor alleles. Nature 1994; 367: 284-7.
- [6] Kobayashi S, Inoue S, Hosoi T, Ouchi Y, Shiraki M, and Orimo H. Association of bone mineral density with polymorphism of the estrogen receptor gene. J Bone Miner Res 1996; 11: 306-11.
- [7] Langdahl BL, Knudsen JY, Jensen HK, Gregersen N, and Eriksen EF. A sequence variation: 713-8delC in the transforming growth factorbeta 1 gene has higher prevalence in osteoporotic women than in normal women and is associated with very low bone mass in osteoporotic women and increased bone turnover in both osteoporotic and normal women. Bone 1997; 20: 289-94.
- [8] Grant SF, Reid DM, Blake G, Herd R, Fogelman I, and Ralston SH. Reduced bone density and osteoporosis associated with a polymorphic Sp1 binding site in the collagen type I alpha 1 gene. Nat Genet 1996; 14: 203-5.
- [9] Dundar U, Solak M, Kavuncu V, Ozdemir M, Cakir T, Yildiz H, and Evcik D. Evidence of association of vitamin D receptor Apal gene polymorphism with bone mineral density in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Clin Rheumatol 2009; 28: 1187-91.
- [10] Ralston SH and de CB. Genetic regulation of bone mass and susceptibility to osteoporosis. Genes Dev 2006; 20: 2492-506.
- [11] Fang Y, van Meurs JB, d'Alesio A, Jhamai M, Zhao H, Rivadeneira F, Hofman A, van Leeuwen JP, Jehan F, Pols HA, and Uitterlinden AG. Promoter and 3'-untranslated-region haplotypes in the vitamin d receptor gene predispose to osteoporotic fracture: the rotterdam study. Am J Hum Genet 2005; 77: 807-23.
- [12] Jakubowska-Pietkiewicz E, Mlynarski W, Klich I, Fendler W, and Chlebna-Sokol D. Vitamin D receptor gene variability as a factor influencing

bone mineral density in pediatric patients. Mol Biol Rep 2012; 39: 6243-50.

- [13] Li Y, Xi B, Li K, and Wang C. Association between vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and bone mineral density in Chinese women. Mol Biol Rep 2012; 39: 5709-17.
- [14] Marozik P, Mosse I, Alekna V, Rudenko E, Tamulaitiene M, Ramanau H, Strazdiene V, Samokhovec V, Ameliyanovich M, Byshnev N, Gonchar A, Kundas L, and Zhur K. Association Between Polymorphisms of VDR, COL1A1, and LCT genes and bone mineral density in Belarusian women with severe postmenopausal osteoporosis. Medicina (Kaunas) 2013; 49: 177-84.
- [15] Gonzalez-Mercado A, Sanchez-Lopez JY, Regla-Nava JA, Gamez-Nava JI, Gonzalez-Lopez L, Duran-Gonzalez J, Celis A, Perea-Diaz FJ, Salazar-Paramo M, and Ibarra B. Association analysis of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and bone mineral density in postmenopausal Mexican-Mestizo women. Genet Mol Res 2013 12: 2755-63.
- [16] Horst-Sikorska W, Dytfeld J, Wawrzyniak A, Marcinkowska M, Michalak M, Franek E, Napiorkowska L, Drweska N, and Slomski R. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms, bone mineral density and fractures in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Mol Biol Rep 2013; 40: 383-90.
- [17] Sassi R, Sahli H, Souissi C, Sellami S, and Ben Ammar El GA. Polymorphisms in VDR gene in Tunisian postmenopausal women are associated with osteopenia phenotype. Climacteric 2015; 1-7.
- [18] Yoldemir T, Yavuz DG, Anik G, Verimli N, and Erenus M. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms in a group of postmenopausal Turkish women: association with bone mineral density. Climacteric 2011; 14: 384-91.
- [19] Ji GR, Yao M, Sun CY, Li ZH, and Han Z. Bsml, Taql, Apal and Fokl polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene and risk of fracture in Caucasians: a meta-analysis. Bone 2010; 47: 681-6.
- [20] Uitterlinden AG, Ralston SH, Brandi ML, Carey AH, Grinberg D, Langdahl BL, Lips P, Lorenc R, Obermayer-Pietsch B, Reeve J, Reid DM, Amedei A, Bassiti A, Bustamante M, Husted LB, Diez-Perez A, Dobnig H, Dunning AM, Enjuanes A, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Fang Y, Karczmarewicz E, Kruk M, van Leeuwen JP, Mavilia C, van Meurs JB, Mangion J, McGuigan FE, Pols HA, Renner W, Rivadeneira F, van Schoor NM, Scollen S, Sherlock RE, and Ioannidis JP. The association between common vitamin D receptor gene variations and osteoporosis: a participant-level meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2006; 145: 255-64.

[21] Bustamante M, Nogues X, Enjuanes A, Elosua R, Garcia-Giralt N, Perez-Edo L, Caceres E, Carreras R, Mellibovsky L, Balcells S, Diez-Perez A, and Grinberg D. COL1A1, ESR1, VDR and TGFB1 polymorphisms and haplotypes in relation to BMD in Spanish postmenopausal women. Osteoporos Int 2007; 18: 235-43.