# Original Article Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 expression correlates with clinicopathologic features of patients with breast cancer: a meta-analysis

Jin-Fang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Pu Xia<sup>2</sup>, Wen-Qiang Hu<sup>1</sup>, Dan Wang<sup>3</sup>, Xiao-Yan Xu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathophysiology, School of Basic Medical Science, China Medical University, Shenyang 110001, Liaoning, P. R. China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cell Biology, Basic Medical College of Liaoning Medical University, Jinzhou 121000, Liaoning, P. R. China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Histology and Embryology, Basic Medical College of Liaoning Medical University, Jinzhou 121000, Liaoning, P. R. China

Received March 11, 2015; Accepted May 25, 2015; Epub June 15, 2015; Published June 30, 2015

Abstract: A number of studies have investigated the relationship between aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) expression and the clinical pathological features of the patients with breast cancer. However, conclusions reported by different parties seem to be inconsistent. We have reviewed published studies and carried out this meta-analysis to provide credible results. We searched PubMed for articles published in English until September 12, 2014. Our main analyses were focused on the association between ALDH1 and the clinical pathological features, such as age, tumor size, nodal status, lymphovascular invasion, histological grade, and the expression of ER, PR, and HER2 by meta-analysis methods. If heterogeneity was observed, we used random effects model to calculate the overall odds ratios, otherwise fixed effects model was used. Twenty-one eligible studies were included in the present metaanalysis. From the pooled analyses, there was significant association between ALDH1 expression and histological grade (low vs. intermediate: pooled OR = 1.51, 95% Cl: 1.09-2.10, P = 0.01; intermediate vs. high: pooled OR = 1.86, 95% CI: 1.12-3.07, P = 0.02), ER expression (pooled OR = 0.41, 95% CI: 0.29-0.58, P < 0.00001), and PR expression (pooled OR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.40-0.77, P = 0.0004). No clear correlation was found between ALDH1 expression and age, tumor size, lymph node (LN) metastasis, lynphovascular invasion, and HER2 expression (P > 0.05). Despite the inconsistency in the published reports, this meta-analysis provides credible evidence to support the association between ALDH1 and breast cancer. However, it is necessary to conduct large sample studies using standardized and well-matched controls.

Keywords: Breast cancer, ALDH1, ER, PR, histological grade

### Introduction

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, comprising various histological types, with distinct clinical presentations and underlying molecular signatures [1]. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) is an aldehyde dehydrogenase, responsible for oxidation of retinol to retinoic acid, important for normal development and homoeostasis in several organs and crucial during embryogenesis [2]. Mammary stem cells, as identified by cells expressing the marker ALDH1, appear to be correlated with malignant transformation of breast tissue [3]. ALDH1 expression has been related to poor clinical outcome, absence of estrogen and progesterone receptors, and expression of basal cytokeratins in prior studies of human breast cancers [4, 5].

To date, numerous studies have investigated the association between ALDH1 expression and breast cancer. To provide a broad description of the relationship of ALDH1 to breast cancer, we do not concentrate on a single primary analysis, but we conducted a meta-analysis of all published studies to assess the robustness of the relationship between ALDH1 expression and clinicopathologic parameters of breast cancer patients.

#### Materials and methods

#### Publication search and data extraction

The electronic database PubMed (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) was searched by using the following search terms: "ALDH1" and "breast cancer". Letters to the editor,



Clinicopathological factors were sorted into several subgroups: age, tumor size, nodal status, lymphovascular invasion, histological grade, and the expression of ER, PR, and HER2. Fixed and random effects models were used to calculate a pooled OR. O and  $I^2$  statistics were used to examine whether the results of studies were homogeneous [6]. Analysis was performed using Review Manager (Rev-Man) (Version 5.0 for Windows, The Cochrane Collaboration. 2003). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Search results

Figure 1. Flow diagram of identifying potential studies in our meta-analysis.

One hundred and seventy-seven articles were identified initially using the search strategy above. Close screening of these 177 studies excluded 155 because of the following reasons: non-human studies, insufficient information, review, or letter to editor. Two of the remained 22 papers were previously written by the same author (Zhong et al. [7] and [12]), we selected the latest one [12]. Eventually, 21 eligible studies were included in the present meta-analysis [8-28]. The study selection procedure is showed in **Figure 1** and the study

### ALDH1 expression and breast cancer

characteristics are displayed in Table 1.

No clear correlation was found between ALDH1 expression and age (pooled OR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.73-1.17, P = 0.52) (Figure 2), tumor size (pooled OR = 1.10, 95% CI: 0.85-1.43, P = 0.46) (Figure 3). lymph node (LN) metastasis (pooled OR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.88-1.32, P = 0.47) (Figure 5), and lynphovascular invasion (pooled OR = 0.79, 95% CI: 0.43-1.45, P = 0.45) (Figure 6). ALDH1 expression was associated with histological grade (low vs. intermediate: pooled OR = 1.51, 95% CI: 1.09-2.10, P = 0.01; intermediate vs. high: pooled OR = 1.86, 95% CI: 1.12-3.07, P = 0.02) (Figure 4), ER expression (pooled OR = 0.41, 95% CI: 0.29-0.58, P < 0.00001) (Figure 7), and PR expression (pooled OR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.40-0.77, P = 0.0004) (Figure 8), while not associated with HER2

reviews, and articles published in a book or papers published in non-English language were excluded. However, these articles were also scanned to find additional eligible studies. Studies were included when the following criteria were met: (1) published in English with the full text available, (2) the use of a case control design or a cohort design, and (3) the availability of data to allow the estimation of the odd ratios (OR) for survival with a 95% CI. The review process was performed by two independent reviewers (first author and third author).

The following information was recorded for each study: first author's name, year of publication, patient source, number of cases, age, and test method. Information was carefully extracted from all eligible publications independently by two of the authors of the present study (first author and third author). Differences in the extraction of data were checked by a third investigator (corresponding author). After extraction, data were reviewed and compared by the other two independent investigators (second author and fourth author). Disagreements between the two extractors were resolved by consensus among the investigators.

### Meta-analysis

For the quantitative aggregation of results, odd ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were combined to give the effective value.

First author	Year	Country	Ages (mean)	Cases	Method	Antibody
Gong [8]	2014	America	49	74	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:100
Yoshioka [9]	2011	Japan	52	257	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:1000
Ohi [10]	2011	Japan	56	106	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:1000
Tan [11]	2013	Singapore	32	141	IHC	Abcam 1:100
Zhong [12]	2014	China	Not shown	121	IHC	Abcam 1:100
Kim [13]	2014	Korea	58	428	IHC	Abcam 1:100
Nogami [14]	2014	Japan	53	40	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:200
Madjd [15]	2012	Iran	48	127	IHC	Abcam 1:250
De Brot [16]	2012	Brazil	55	140	IHC	Epitomics 1:150
Dong [17]	2013	China	49	161	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:200
Tsukabe [18]	2013	Japan	Not shown	194	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:100
Kang [19]	2014	Korea	45	425	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:100
Charafe-Jauffret [20]	2010	America	Not shown	109	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:100
Sakakibara [21]	2012	Japan	Not shown	115	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:200
Lee [22]	2011	Korea	46	92	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:100
Alamgeer [23]	2014	Australia	Not shown	134	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:200
Nalwoga [24]	2010	Uganda	46.2	192	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:250
Schwartz [25]	2013	Ghana	46	173	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:500
Morimoto [26]	2009	Japan	52.6	203	IHC	BD Biosciences 1:100
Yu [27]	2010	China	56	96	IHC	Abcam 1:100
Mieog [28]	2012	Netherlands	Not shown	574	IHC	BD Biosciences NA

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis



Figure 2. Forest plot and funnel plot for ALDH1 expression and the age of the patients with breast cancer.

>2 c	m	< 2cm	1		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	0	0TSE(log(OR))
39	106	17	55	13.2%	1.30 [0.65, 2.61]			
42	245	29	145	28.2%	0.83 [0.49, 1.40]	_ <b>_</b>		
38	84	22	43	14.9%	0.79 [0.38, 1.65	_ <b>−</b>	0.5	0.5-
0	11	7	29	3.8%	0.13 [0.01, 2.49]			
35	67	19	39	10.7%	1.15 [0.52, 2.54]	ı <b>→</b>		
9	60	22	134	10.8%	0.90 [0.39, 2.09]	ı — <b>∔</b> _	1	
54	170	14	87	11.8%	2.43 [1.26, 4.68]			
9	47	9	49	6.6%	1.05 [0.38, 2.93]			
							1.5	1.5- 0
	790		581	100.0%	1.10 [0.85, 1.43]	•		
226		139						
9.96, df = 1	7 (P = 0	19); I <sup>2</sup> = 3	30%				2	3 0R
Z = 0.74 (F	P = 0.46	)				Envoure experimental Envoure control	0	0.01 0.1 1 10 100
	>2 cf <u>Events</u> 39 42 38 0 35 9 54 9 226 9.96, df= Z=0.74 (F	>2 cm <u>Events</u> Total 39 106 42 245 38 84 0 111 35 67 9 600 54 170 9 47 790 2060 df = 7 (P = 0.45 Z = 0.74 (P = 0.46	>2 cm < 2 cm <u>Events</u> Total Events 39 106 17 42 245 29 38 84 22 0 11 7 35 67 19 9 60 22 54 170 144 9 47 9 790 226 139 9.96, df = 7 (P = 0.19); P = : Z = 0.74 (P = 0.46)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	>2 cm < 2 cm Odds Ratio   Events Total Events Total Weinht M.H., Fixed, 95% Cl   39 106 17 55 13.2% 1.30 (0.65, 2.61)   42 245 29 145 28.2% 0.83 (0.49, 1.40)   38 84 22 43 14.9% 0.79 (0.38, 1.65)   0 11 7 29 3.8% 0.13 (0.01, 2.49)   35 67 19 39 10.7% 1.15 (0.52, 2.54)   9 60 22 134 10.8% 0.30 (0.39, 2.09)   54 170 14 87 11.8% 2.43 (1.26, 4.68)   9 47 9 49 6.6% 1.05 (0.38, 2.33)   226 139 9.96, df = 7 (P = 0.19); P = 30% 2.2 = 0.74 (P = 0.46) 2.43 (P = 0.48)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	>2 cm < 2 cm Odds Ratio Odds Ratio   Events Total Events Total Events Total Weinht M.H. Fixed, 95% CI M.H. Fixed, 95% CI   39 106 17 55 13.2% 1.30 [0.65, 2.61] Image: Comparison of the fixed of th

Figure 3. Forest plot and funnel plot for ALDH1 expression and tumor size.

expression (pooled OR = 1.45, 95% CI: 0.98-2.13, P = 0.06) (Figure 9). No obvious publication bias was observed in these studies (Figures 2-9).

# ALDH1 and breast cancer



Figure 4. Forest plot and funnel plot for ALDH1 expression and histological grade.



Figure 5. Forest plot and funnel plot for ALDH1 expression and LN metastasis.

lymphovascular inv	+	-			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl			
Gong 2014	19	60	3	9	14.8%	0.93 [0.21, 4.11]	]			
Kim 2014	7	20	20	50	30.8%	0.81 [0.27, 2.38	]			
Tan 2013	10	47	25	94	54.4%	0.75 [0.32, 1.72]				
Total (95% CI)		127		153	100.0%	0.79 [0.43, 1.45]	•			
Total events	36		48							
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.06, df =	2 (P = 0	.97); l² = l	0%			1			
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.76 (	P = 0.45	)				Favours experimental Favours control	00		

Figure 6. Forest plot and funnel plot for ALDH1 expression and lymphovascular invasion.

#### Discussion

In the present meta-analysis, we have combined 21 published papers to evaluate the association between ALDH1 expression and the pathologic characteristics of breast patients known to be important for the clinical outcome, such as tumor size, nodal status, hormonal receptor status. ALDH1 may have a role in early differentiation of stem cells and stem cell proliferation through its role in oxidizing retinol to retinoic acid, a modulator of cell prolif-

## ALDH1 and breast cancer

ER		+		-		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl					
Charafe-Jauffret 2010	6	24	15	28	4.7%	0.29 [0.09, 0.95]		SE(logIORI)				
Dong 2013	34	120	22	51	7.6%	0.52 [0.26, 1.03]		T				
Gong 2014	9	32	15	42	5.7%	0.70 [0.26, 1.91]						
Kang 2014	27	245	43	141	8.6%	0.28 [0.16, 0.48]			0 %			
Kim 2014	8	39	19	31	5.3%	0.16 [0.06, 0.47]	<b>_</b>			`	,	
Lee 2011	1	57	11	35	2.1%	0.04 [0.00, 0.32]	•	0.5		U		
Mieog 2012	165	295	121	180	9.5%	0.62 [0.42, 0.91]			_			
Morimoto 2009	6	120	15	83	5.7%	0.24 [0.09, 0.64]	<b>_</b> _					
Nalwoga 2010	22	70	66	113	7.9%	0.33 [0.17, 0.61]	_ <b></b>					
Nogami 2014	5	32	2	8	2.6%	0.56 [0.09, 3.58]		· [ 0				
Sakakibara 2012	23	73	12	42	6.6%	1.15 [0.50, 2.64]	<u>+</u>					
Schwartz 2013	10	27	36	76	6.2%	0.65 [0.27, 1.61]						
Tan 2013	12	77	23	64	6.8%	0.33 [0.15, 0.73]	_ <b></b>	16				
Yoshioka 2011	30	181	38	76	8.2%	0.20 [0.11, 0.36]		1.5				
Yu 2010	7	59	11	37	5.3%	0.32 [0.11, 0.92]	<b>-</b>					
Zhong 2014	30	50	35	71	7.2%	1.54 [0.74, 3.21]						
Total (95% CI)		1501		1078	100.0%	0.41 [0.29, 0.58]	•	8.01	0.1		10	OR 10
i otal events	395	10.07	484	- 0.00	000-12-0	F.0/						
Heterogeneity: Tau* = 0.	28; Chi*=	42.87,0	at = 15 (P	= 0.00	02); 1* = 6	6X C	0.01 0.1 1 10 100					
rest for overall effect: Z:	= 5.07 (P <	0.0000	1)			F	avours experimental Favours control					



PR		+		-		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl					
Charafe-Jauffret 2010	6	24	15	30	4.9%	0.33 [0.10, 1.07]		0-SE(log[OR])				
Dong 2013	41	113	15	48	7.9%	1.25 [0.61, 2.58]		-				
Gong 2014	10	27	14	47	5.8%	1.39 [0.51, 3.77]						
Kang 2014	32	245	38	141	9.6%	0.41 [0.24, 0.69]		0.2-				
Kim 2014	9	33	18	37	5.8%	0.40 [0.15, 1.08]		0.2				
Mieog 2012	166	277	119	193	11.0%	0.93 [0.64, 1.36]	-					
Morimoto 2009	2	63	19	140	3.5%	0.21 [0.05, 0.93]				00		
Nalwoga 2010	17	52	71	131	8.3%	0.41 [0.21, 0.81]		0.4				
Sakakibara 2012	18	61	17	54	7.3%	0.91 [0.41, 2.02]						
Schwartz 2013	10	25	36	78	6.4%	0.78 [0.31, 1.94]			0			
Tan 2013	10	72	25	69	7.0%	0.28 [0.12, 0.65]	_ <b></b>	0.6				
Yoshioka 2011	23	146	45	111	9.1%	0.27 [0.15, 0.49]						
Yu 2010	7	59	11	37	5.5%	0.32 [0.11, 0.92]	<b>-</b> _		0			
Zhong 2014	30	56	35	65	7.9%	0.99 [0.48, 2.03]		0.8				
Total (95% CI)		1253		1181	100.0%	0.56 [0.40, 0.77]	•					
Total events	381		478					1		1	10	100
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.	22; Chi <sup>z</sup> =	33.41, d	if = 13 (P	= 0.00	1); l <sup>2</sup> = 61	%		0.01 0.1			10	100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.52 (P =	0.0004	)			F	avours experimental Favours control					

Figure 8. Forest plot and funnel plot for ALDH1 expression and PR expression.



Figure 9. Forest plot and funnel plot for ALDH1 expression and HER2 expression.

eration [29]. ADLH1 expression has been employed for identification of human cancer stem cells [30-32]. Several studies have assessed ALDH1 expression by immunohistochemistry in breast tissue, either in nonmalignant or malignant breast tumors in order to define ALDH1 role and impact in predicting cancer development [7-28]. Since the first report by Ginestier et al. [33] showed ALDH1 expression was associated with poor clinical outcome in human breast cancer, more and more studies provided evidence that a significant association between ALDH1 expression and the patients' clinical outcome [7-28]. However, these findings are not without controversy. Due to the complexity of disease, it is likely that only combinations of previous studies will provide more credible results. Resetkova et al. [34] showed that tumoral stromal expression of ALDH1 by immunohistochemistry was associated with survival of triple negative invasive breast carcinomas.

Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) [negative for expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors (ER, PR) and HER2/neu protein] represent a subtype of breast cancer associated with poor prognosis and highly aggressive behavior [35]. Our pooled results confirmed that ALDH1 expression was associated with histological grade, ER expression, and PR expression. However, we didn't find any association of ALDH1 and HER2. To our knowledge, although ALDH1 could be used as an independent marker for breast cancer, the mechanisms of ALDH1 in breast cancer remains unclear. Intensive studies were needed to elucidate the intrinsic mechanism.

Some limitations of this meta-analysis should be taken into account. Firstly, the results calculated in our meta-analysis may have bias as we only collected full published papers and articles published in English. Secondly, because of inability to obtain raw data, we could perform only a study-level but not a patient-level metaanalysis, which would have enabled us to adjust for multiple risk factors. In the last, case selection, technique, and interpretation also raise the discrepancy of each study. For example, the cutoff value of immunohistochemistry was defined differently in each study.

In conclusion, despite the inconsistency in the published reports, this meta-analysis provides credible evidence to support the association between ALDH1 and breast cancer. However, it is necessary to conduct large sample studies using standardized and well-matched controls.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms. Ying Xu (China Medical University) for editing and reviewing of the manuscript.

### Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Xiao-Yan Xu, Department of Pathophysiology, School of Basic Medical Science, China Medical University, Shenyang 110001, Liaoning, P. R. China. E-mail: xuxiaoyancmu@163.com

### References

- [1] Weigelt B, Geyer FC and Reis-Filho JS. Histological types of breast cancer: how special are they? Mol Oncol 2010; 4: 192-208.
- [2] Visus C, Ito D, Amoscato A, Maciejewska-Franczak M, Abdelsalem A, Dhir R, Shin DM, Donnenberg VS, Whiteside TL and DeLeo AB. Identification of human aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member A1 as a novel CD8+ Tcell-defined tumor antigen in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 10538-10545.
- [3] Kunju LP, Cookingham C, Toy KA, Chen W, Sabel MS and Keeler CG. EZH2 and ALDH-1 mark breast epithelium at risk for breast cancer development. Mod Pathol 2011; 24: 786-793.
- [4] Croker AK, Goodale D, Chu J, Postenka C, Hedley BD, Hess DA and Allan AL. High aldehyde dehydrogenase and expression of cancer stem cell markers selects for breast cancer cells with enhanced malignant and metastatic ability. J Cell Mol Med 2009; 13: 2236-2252.
- [5] Resetkova E, Reis-Filho JS, Jain RK, Mehta R, Thorat MA, Nakshatri H and Badve S. Prognostic impact of ALDH1 in breast cancer: a story of stem cells and tumour microenvironment. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010; 123: 97-108.
- [6] Pereira TV, Rudnicki M, Cheung BM, Baum L, Yamada Y, Oliveira PS, Pereira AC and Krieger JE. Three endothelial nitric oxide (NOS3) gene polymorphisms in hypertensive and normotensive individuals: meta-analysis of 53 studies reveals evidence of publication bias. J Hypertens 2007; 25: 1763-1774.
- [7] Zhong Y, Lin Y, Shen S, Zhou Y, Mao F, Guan J and Sun Q. Expression of ALDH1 in breast invasive ductal carcinoma: an independent predictor of early tumor relapse. Cancer Cell Int 2013; 13: 60.
- [8] Gong Y, Wang J, Huo L, Wei W, Ueno NT and Woodward WA. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 expression in inflammatory breast cancer as measured by immunohistochemical staining. Clin Breast Cancer 2014; 14: e81-88.
- [9] Yoshioka T, Umekita Y, Ohi Y, Souda M, Sagara Y, Sagara Y, Sagara Y, Rai Y and Tanimoto A. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 expression is a predictor of poor prognosis in node-positive breast cancers: a long-term follow-up study. Histopathology 2011; 58: 608-616.
- [10] Ohi Y, Umekita Y, Yoshioka T, Souda M, Rai Y, Sagara Y, Sagara Y, Sagara Y and Tanimoto A. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 expression predicts poor prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer. Histopathology 2011; 59: 776-780.
- [11] Tan EY, Thike AA, Breast Surgical Team at Outram and Tan PH. ALDH1 expression is enriched in breast cancers arising in young women but

does not predict outcome. Br J Cancer 2013; 109: 109-113.

- [12] Zhong Y, Shen S, Zhou Y, Mao F, Guan J, Lin Y, Xu Y and Sun Q. ALDH1 is a better clinical indicator for relapse of invasive ductal breast cancer than the CD44+/CD24- phenotype. Med Oncol 2014; 31: 864.
- [13] Kim YS, Jung MJ, Ryu DW and Lee CH. Clinicopathologic characteristics of breast cancer stem cells identified on the basis of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 expression. J Breast Cancer 2014; 17: 121-128.
- [14] Nogami T, Shien T, Tanaka T, Nishiyama K, Mizoo T, Iwamto T, Ikeda H, Taira N, Doihara H and Miyoshi S. Expression of ALDH1 in axillary lymph node metastases is a prognostic factor of poor clinical outcome in breast cancer patients with 1-3 lymph node metastases. Breast Cancer 2014; 21: 58-65.
- [15] Madjd Z, Ramezani B, Molanae S and Asadi-Lari M. High expression of stem cell marker ALDH1 is associated with reduced BRCA1 in invasive breast carcinomas. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012; 13: 2973-2978.
- [16] De Brot M, Rocha RM, Soares FA and Gobbi H. Prognostic impact of the cancer stem cell related markers ALDH1 and EZH2 in triple negative and basal-like breast cancers. Pathology 2012; 44: 303-12.
- [17] Dong Y, Bi LR, Xu N, Yang HM, Zhang HT, Ding Y, Shi AP and Fan ZM. The expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 in invasive primary breast tumors and axillary lymph node metastases is associated with poor clinical prognosis. Pathol Res Pract 2013; 209: 555-561.
- [18] Tsukabe M, Shimazu K, Morimoto K, Naoi Y, Kagara N, Shimoda M, Shimomura A, Maruyama N, Kim SJ and Noguchi S. Clinicopathological analysis of breast ductal carcinoma in situ with ALDH1-positive cancer stem cells. Oncology 2013; 85: 248-256.
- [19] Kang EJ, Jung H, Woo OH, Park KH, Woo SU, Yang DS, Kim AR, Lee JB, Kim YH, Kim JS and Seo JH. Association of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 expression and biologically aggressive features in breast cancer. Neoplasma 2014; 61: 352-362.
- [20] Charafe-Jauffret E, Ginestier C, Iovino F, Tarpin C, Diebel M, Esterni B, Houvenaeghel G, Extra JM, Bertucci F, Jacquemier J, Xerri L, Dontu G, Stassi G, Xiao Y, Barsky SH, Birnbaum D, Viens P and Wicha MS. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1-positive cancer stem cells mediate metastasis and poor clinical outcome in inflammatory breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16: 45-55.
- [21] Sakakibara M, Fujimori T, Miyoshi T, Nagashima T, Fujimoto H, Suzuki HT, Ohki Y, Fushimi K, Yokomizo J, Nakatani Y, Miyazaki M. Aldehyde

dehydrogenase 1-positive cells in axillary lymph node metastases after chemotherapy as a prognostic factor in patients with lymph node-positive breast cancer. Cancer 2012; 118: 3899-3810.

- [22] Lee HE, Kim JH, Kim YJ, Choi SY, Kim SW, Kang E, Chung IY, Kim IA, Kim EJ, Choi Y, Ryu HS and Park SY. An increase in cancer stem cell population after primary systemic therapy is a poor prognostic factor in breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2011; 104: 1730-1738.
- [23] Alamgeer M, Ganju V, Kumar B, Fox J, Hart S, White M, Harris M, Stuckey J, Prodanovic Z, Schneider-Kolsky ME and Watkins DN. Changes in aldehyde dehydrogenase-1 expression during neoadjuvant chemotherapy predict outcome in locally advanced breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2014; 16: R44.
- [24] Nalwoga H, Arnes JB, Wabinga H and Akslen LA. Expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) is associated with basal-like markers and features of aggressive tumours in African breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2010; 102: 369-375.
- [25] Schwartz T, Stark A, Pang J, Awuah B, Kleer CG, Quayson S, Kingman S, Aitpillah F, Abantanga F, Jiagge E, Oppong JK, Osei-Bonsu E, Martin I, Yan X,Toy K, Adjei E, Wicha M and Newman LA. Expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 as a marker of mammary stem cells in benign and malignant breast lesions of Ghanaian women. Cancer 2013; 119: 488-494.
- [26] Morimoto K, Kim SJ, Tanei T, Shimazu K, Tanji Y, Taguchi T, Tamaki Y, Terada N and Noguchi S. Stem cell marker aldehyde dehydrogenase 1-positive breast cancers are characterized by negative estrogen receptor, positive human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2, and high Ki67 expression. Cancer Sci 2009; 100: 1062-1068.
- [27] Yu P, Zhou LWJ, Jiang AF and Li K. Prognostic relevance of ALDH1 in breast cancer: a clinicopathological study of 96 cases. Chin-German J Clin Oncol 2010; 9: 31-35.
- [28] Mieog JS, de Kruijf EM, Bastiaannet E, Kuppen PJ, Sajet A, de Craen AJ, Smit VT, van de Velde CJ, Liefers GJ. Age determines the prognostic role of the cancer stem cell marker aldehyde dehydrogenase-1 in breast cancer. BMC Cancer 2012; 12: 42.
- [29] Huang EH, Hynes MJ, Zhang T, Ginestier C, Dontu G, Appelman H, Fields JZ, Wicha MS and Boman BM. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 is a marker for normal and malignant human colonic stem cells (SC) and tracks SC overpopulation during colon tumorigenesis. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 3382-3389.
- [30] Zhou F, Mu YD, Liang J, Liu ZX, Chen HS and Zhang JF. Expression and prognostic value of

tumor stem cell markers ALDH1 and CD133 in colorectal carcinoma. Oncol Lett 2014; 7: 507-512.

- [31] Luo WR and Yao KT. Cancer stem cell characteristics, ALDH1 expression in the invasive front of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Virchows Arch 2014; 464: 35-43.
- [32] Ueda K, Ogasawara S, Akiba J, Nakayama M, Todoroki K, Ueda K, Sanada S, Suekane S, Noguchi M, Matsuoka K, Yano H. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 identifies cells with cancer stem cell-like properties in a human renal cell carcinoma cell line. PLoS One 2013; 8: e75463.
- [33] Ginestier C, Hur MH, Charafe-Jauffret E, Monville F, Dutcher J, Brown M, Jacquemier J, Viens P, Kleer CG, Liu S, Schott A, Hayes D, Birnbaum D, Wicha MS and Dontu G. ALDH1 is a marker of normal and human mammary stem cells and a predictor of poor clinical outcome. Cell Stem Cell 2007; 1: 555-567.

- [34] Resetkova E, Reis-Filho JS, Jain RK, Mehta R, Thorat MA, Nakshatri H and Badve S. Prognostic impact of ALDH1 in breast cancer: a story of stem cells and tumor microenvironment. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010; 123: 97-108.
- [35] Zhang J, Wang Y, Yin Q, Zhang W, Zhang T and Niu Y. An associated classification of triple negative breast cancer: the risk of relapse and the response to chemotherapy. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2013; 6: 1380-1391.