Original Article The association of three BACE1 gene polymorphisms (exon5 C/G, intron 5 T/G and 3'UTR T/A) with sporadic Alzheimer's disease susceptibility: a meta-analysis

Hai Yuan¹, Kang Ling¹, Xunping Du², Pingping Ge¹, Shaowei Wu¹, Xiaotong Wang³

¹Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, The Second People's Hospital of Hefei City, 246th Heping Road, Hefei 230011, Anhui Province, China; ²Department of Neurology, The Second People's Hospital of Hefei City, 246th Heping Road, Hefei 230011, Anhui Province, China; ³Department of Neurology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, 109th Xueyuan Road, Wenzhou 325027, Zhejiang Province, China

Received April 11, 2015; Accepted June 20, 2015; Epub August 15, 2015; Published August 30, 2015

Abstract: Despite biological support for a role of Beta-site APP-cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1) in sporadic Alzheimer's disease (SAD), studies about the BACE1 genetic polymorphisms in SAD are inconsistent. To explore whether the BACE1 polymorphisms confers susceptibility to SAD, the current meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the genedisease association in relevant studies. The serious databases were researched to identify studies. The association between BACE1 (exon5 C/G, intron 5 T/G or 3'UTR T/A) polymorphism and SAD risk was evaluated by odds ratios (ORs) together with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The combined results showed no significant difference in all models on the basis of all studies for BACE1 (exon5 C/G, intron 5 T/G or 3'UTR T/A) polymorphism 4 allele of apolipoprotein E (APOEc4) carriers status, significant associations were demonstrated (CC versus CG+GG: OR=1.37, 95% CI=1.04-1.82, P=0.03<0.05 and CC versus CG: OR=1.49, 95% CI=1.11-2.01, P=0.01<0.05) for APOEc4 carriers status. The pooled results suggest the BACE1 (exon5 C/G, intron 5 T/G or 3'UTR T/A) polymorphism could be not a risk factor for SAD. However, individuals with CC genotype have higher risk of SAD with APOEc4 carrier status, and gene-gene interaction might affect on the association. Further studies with large sample size, especially in subgroup analysis, should be done to confirm these findings.

Keywords: BACE1, gene, polymorphism, alzheimer's disease, meta-analysis

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD), a progressive neurodegenerative disorder, accounts for impairment in cognitive function. The essential pathological features of AD are characterized by extracellular amyloid beta (A β)-containing senile plaques and intraneuronal fibrillary tangles [1, 2]. A β -peptide is generated via sequential proteolytic cleavage of the β -amyloid precursor protein (APP) by β - and γ -secretase [3]. Beta-site APP-cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1) as an important β -secretase is predominantly expressed in neuronal cells and cleaves APP at Asp1 and Glu11 of A β [4, 7, 8, 26-28, 31]. Furthermore, previous articles exhibited the higher in BACE1 protein levels and enzymatic activity in AD was showed in the brains of patients with AD versus age-matched controls [5, 6, 29, 30], and its protein and activity levels increase with both aging and in brain regions affected by amyloid deposition [29, 30], and in transgenic mice, BACE1 is the major β -secretase for A β peptide generation by neurons [7, 8]. So BACE1 plays an important role in developing Alzheimer's disease. And its gene polymorphisms have been also taken into account in increased risk of AD by modulating the A β production.

BACE1 located on chromosome 11q23.2-3, closed to the region with increased LOD score for AD [9], has been identified, and variations in BACE1 gene might be associated with the risk

BACE1 gene polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease susceptibility



Figure 1. Flow chart of the process about eligible articles selection in our meta-analysis.

for AD has been speculated. The polymorphisms of BACE1 (exon5 C/G, intron 5 T/G and 3'UTR T/A) have been reported to be associated with the risk for AD [10-12, 14], but others generated conflicting result [13, 15-23], and several studies described a association of the exon5 (C/G) with AD in the epsilon 4 allele of apolipoprotein E (APOEc4) carriers [11, 12, 15, 17, 19, 24]. So the large-scale studies should be pooled to refute gene-disease associations. Previously published meta-analysis reported a significant association between exon 5 C/G polymorphism and risk of SAD in Asians [15]. However, it remains unclear whether ethnicity (Asians or Caucasians) and other gene could affect the association. Since then, additional many studies with a large sample size about this association have been reported. We investigated the possible association of BACE1 polymorphisms (exon5 C/G, intron 5 G/T and 3'UTR T/A) with SAD risk by an update meta-analysis and subgroup analyses on basis of ethnicity and the APOE₂4 carriers status to derive a more precise estimation of the relationships.

Materials and methods

Search strategy

Studies were identified by searching the serious databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE and HuGEnet without language restriction, and the searched studies were conducted on human subjects. The following Medical Subject Heading (MESH) terms and text words were used: Alzheimer's disease, Alzheimer disease, AD in combination with Beta-site APP-cleaving enzyme 1, BACE1, polymorphism, genotype, gene, or mutation. Two investigators (Kang Ling and Xunping Du) independently reviewed abstracts or full text of all citations to identify eligible studies. The identified articles had to meet the following information: (1) the SAD was diagnosed clinically; (2) the case-control design study; (3) frequency of people and individual BACE1 genotype (exon5 C/G, intron 5 G/T and 3'UTR T/A) in cases and controls were reported. The exclusion criterion was (1) a family history of dementia in cases; (2) case reports, editorials, and review articles.

Data extraction

All studies were checked by two investigators (Kang Ling and Xunping Du) independently according to the prespecified selection criteria, the relevant data of eligible studies were extracted or calculated, and entered separate databases. Discrepancy was resolved following discussions. The following characteristics of eligible studies were extracted: first author, year of publication, ethnicity, clinical characteristics, numbers of genotype (exon5 C/G, intron 5 T/G or 3'UTR T/A) of cases and controls, and genotyping methods.

Statistical analysis

For dichotomous outcomes, the odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals were calculated using STATA, version 12.0. For exon5 C/G, five different ORs were calculated in our analysis: dominant model (CC+CG versus GG), recessive model (CC versus (CG+GG), homozygote comparison (CC versus GG), and heterozygote comparison (CG versus GG; CC versus CG). The statistical significance was determined by the Z-test ($P \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant). Subgroup analyses were conducted on the basis of patients with APOE ε 4 carrier status and ethnicity. The same methods were applied to two other polymorphisms (intron 5 T/G and 3'UTR T/A).

All genotype distribution of the control population of eligible studies was tested for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using Chi-square test ($P \le 0.05$ was considered to be significant). If the genotype distribution was not in accordance with Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium, this study would be excluded for sensitivity analysis. The test for heterogeneity between studies was performed with Cochran's Q statistic (P > 0.10 was considered representative of homogeneity). A pooled OR was calculated using the fixed-effect model (the Mantel-Haenszel method) when there was homogeneity [32]. Otherwise, the random effects model (Der Simonian-Laird) was adopted [33].

The stability of conclusion was detected by performing sensitivity analysis. The higher heterogeneity studies involved in the meta-analysis were deleted to reflect the influence of the related data to the pooled ORs. The visual Begg's funnel plot was utilized to explore publication bias, and the Egger's linear regression test was taken to quantitatively assess the publication bias ($P \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant) (version 12.0, STATA Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Identification of eligible studies

The 186 potentially relevant studies were retrieved through the search criteria, and 156 of these articles were excluded as irrelevant to SAD risk and BACE1 polymorphisms. The fulltext from 30 articles was reviewed and 13 studies were excluded (eight reviews, four studies with not identified allele frequency and one article with BACE1 other variant). Thus, 17 papers were found to match our inclusion criteria (Figure 1). Different comparisons were distinguished based on population distribution for one article [11]. The genotype distribution for control group in three studies did not follow HWE for exon 5 C/G [19, 23, 25], and these studies were exclusive in our sensitivity analysis. Characteristics of studies were presented in Table 1.

A total of 17 articles were included in our metaanalysis [10-25, 35]. For most studies, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-restriction fragment length polymorphism was performed, the diagnosis of definite or probable SAD was established according to NINCDS-ADRDA [34], the age or sex-matched controls to the cases were found, and genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral tissues according to standard procedure. There were consisted of 11 European samples [10, 14, 16, 17, 19-23, 25, 35] and 7 Asian populations [11-13, 15, 18, 24] (Table 1).

Meta-analysis database

For exon 5 C/G, the combined results showed no significant difference in CC+CG versus GG (OR=0.99, 95% CI: 0.78-1.26, P=0.96), CC versus (CG+GG) (OR=0.99, 95% CI: 0.82-1.19, P=0.89), CC versus GG (OR=0.91, 95% CI: 0.74-1.12, P=0.38), CG versus GG (OR=1.03, 95% CI: 0.74-1.43, P=0.86) and CC versus CG (OR=1.01, 95% CI: 0.81-1.25, P=0.95) under the random-effects model. No effect on genetic risk of SAD was exhibited for exon 5 C/G. All results for genetic models and the test of heterogeneity were summarized in **Table 2**.

All of the three European studies were evaluated for intron 5 T/G and 3'UTR T/A respectively.

| Cono/Author | Year | Specimen | Ethnicity | Diagnosis Criteria | Cases | | | | Control | | | | | HWE | | |
|-----------------------------|------|----------|-----------|--------------------|-------|----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-----|----------------|------|
| Gene/Author | | | | | F | Age | | | | F | Age | | | | X ² | P |
| exon5 C/G | | | | | | | CC | CG | GG | | | CC | CG | GG | | |
| Jo et al. [15] | 2008 | Blood | Asian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 184 | 71.6±8.7 | 109 | 111 | 28 | 137 | 68.3±6.3 | 97 | 104 | 23 | 0.4013 | 0.53 |
| Murphy et al. [16] | 2001 | | Caucasian | CERAD | 153 | 81.2±7.8 | 42 | 83 | 87 | 202 | 82.1±3.8 | 54 | 145 | 130 | 1.5597 | 0.21 |
| Nowotny et al. [17] | 2001 | | Caucasian | | 123 | | 44 | 119 | 99 | 163 | 74.5±9.0 | 39 | 136 | 99 | 0.4996 | 0.48 |
| Nicolaou et al. [35] | 2001 | | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | | 76.1±7.8 | 39 | 86 | 48 | | 69.6±12.5 | 33 | 69 | 53 | 1.3827 | 0.24 |
| Liu et al. [18] | 2003 | | Asian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 54 | 77.3±7.8 | 36 | 50 | 13 | 69 | 77.3±7.8 | 57 | 63 | 8 | 3.0121 | 0.08 |
| Gold et al. [19] | 2003 | blood | Caucasian | | 51 | 79.9±9.3 | 20 | 42 | 30 | 78 | 74.7±7.4 | 21 | 85 | 44 | 3.8699 | 0.05 |
| Kirschling et al. [10] | 2003 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 132 | 72.9±8.1 | 17 | 97 | 70 | 131 | 71.7±9.2 | 41 | 111 | 95 | 0.7774 | 0.38 |
| Shi (Guangzhou) et al. [11] | 2004 | blood | Asian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 153 | 76.7±8.8 | 129 | 109 | 19 | 128 | 80.0±7.6 | 95 | 123 | 24 | 3.0503 | 0.08 |
| Shi (Chengdu) et al. [11] | 2004 | blood | Asian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 72 | 81.5±7.8 | 62 | 42 | 8 | 62 | 78.2±8.1 | 47 | 53 | 13 | 0.1116 | 0.74 |
| Kan et al. [12] | 2005 | blood | Asian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 48 | 79.2±6.3 | 39 | 51 | 15 | 39 | 68.1±2.8 | 68 | 51 | 12 | 0.2927 | 0.59 |
| Cai et al. [24] | 2005 | blood | Asian | | 72 | 74.51 | 18 | 55 | 43 | 153 | 57.8 | 52 | 147 | 72 | 2.2349 | 0.14 |
| Randall et al. [25] | 2009 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 128 | | 0 | 326 | 74 | 62 | | 65 | 35 | 100 | 81.649 | 0 |
| Todd et al. [20] | 2008 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 293 | 77.9±7.3 | 82 | 194 | 185 | 268 | 75.3±9.0 | 68 | 187 | 161 | 1.1981 | 0.27 |
| Wang et al. [13] | 2010 | | Asian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 260 | 71.3±7.2 | 146 | 208 | 72 | 216 | 72.5±8.1 | 120 | 174 | 49 | 1.2346 | 0.27 |
| Cousin et al. [22] | 2011 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | | 64.9±9.9 | 154 | 180 | 69 | | 66.2±10.8 | 189 | 198 | 67 | 1.627 | 0.2 |
| Clarimón et al. [14] | 2003 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | 101 | 76.6±5.3 | 20 | 57 | 59 | 45 | 74.9±5.3 | 11 | 51 | 25 | 3.6057 | 0.06 |
| Cruts et al. [23] | 2001 | | Caucasian | | | | 12 | 40 | 45 | | | 17 | 40 | 60 | 5.1332 | 0.03 |
| Laws et al. [21] | 2011 | blood | Caucasian | | | 69.0±9.1 | 154 | 214 | 70 | | 66.8±12.0 | 102 | 143 | 45 | 0.1936 | 0.66 |
| 3'UTR T/A | | | | | | | TT | TA | AA | | | TT | TA | AA | | |
| Gold et al. [19] | 2003 | blood | Caucasian | | | | 64 | 16 | 0 | | | 127 | 32 | 1 | 0.4505 | 0.5 |
| Todd et al. [20] | 2008 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | | | 377 | 98 | 6 | | | 330 | 77 | 11 | 5.7951 | 0.01 |
| Clarimón et al. [14] | 2003 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | | | 109 | 24 | 3 | | | 66 | 20 | 1 | 0.1439 | 0.7 |
| intron5 T/G | | | | | | | TT | TG | GG | | | TT | TG | GG | | |
| Murphy et al. [16] | 2001 | | Caucasian | CERAD | | | 106 | 87 | 19 | | | 158 | 87 | 23 | 4.5281 | 0.03 |
| Kirschling et al. [10] | 2003 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | | | 45 | 71 | 12 | | | 81 | 103 | 21 | 2.0101 | 0.16 |
| Clarimón et al. [14] | 2003 | blood | Caucasian | NINCDS-ADRDA | | | 48 | 69 | 19 | | | 28 | 52 | 7 | 6.3123 | 0.01 |

Table 1. Characteristics of inclusive studies evaluating BACE1 genetic polymorphisms and SAD risk

BACE1 gene polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease susceptibility

| 0 | Demoiletter | No. of compari- | Te | est of associa | ation | | Test of heterogeneity | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------|----------------|---------|------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| Comparison | Population | sons | OR | 95% CI | P value | Mode | X ² | P value | I ² (%) | |
| Exon 5 C/G | | | | | | | | | | |
| CC+CG VS GG | Overall | 18 | 0.99 | 0.78, 1.26 | 0.96 | R | 80.49 | 0.00 | 78.9 | |
| | European | 11 | 1.09 | 0.80, 1.48 | 0.61 | R | 66.14 | 0.00 | 84.9 | |
| | Asian | 7 | 0.82 | 0.66, 1.02 | 0.07 | F | 8.85 | 0.18 | 32.2 | |
| | ΑΡΟΕε4 | 7 | 0.77 | 0.42, 1.42 | 0.41 | R | 15.95 | 0.01 | 62.4 | |
| | Non-APOE ₂ 4 | 7 | 1.08 | 0.83, 1.42 | 0.60 | R | 10.98 | 0.09 | 45.4 | |
| CC VS CG+GG | Overall | 18 | 0.99 | 0.82, 1.19 | 0.89 | R | 50.05 | 0.00 | 66.0 | |
| | European | 11 | 0.97 | 0.73, 1.29 | 0.84 | R | 34.94 | 0.00 | 71.4 | |
| | Asian | 7 | 1.00 | 0.75, 1.32 | 0.97 | R | 17.52 | 0.008 | 65.7 | |
| | ΑΡΟΕε4 | 7 | 1.37 | 1.04, 1.82 | 0.03 | F | 8.74 | 0.19 | 31.3 | |
| | Non-APOE ₂ 4 | 7 | 1.16 | 0.88, 1.54 | 0.30 | R | 16.12 | 0.01 | 62.8 | |
| CCVSGG | Overall | 18 | 0.91 | 0.74, 1.12 | 0.38 | R | 32.70 | 0.01 | 48.0 | |
| | European | 11 | 0.95 | 0.74, 1.21 | 0.67 | R | 18.93 | 0.04 | 47.2 | |
| | Asian | 7 | 0.85 | 0.57, 1.27 | 0.43 | R | 13.81 | 0.03 | 56.6 | |
| | ΑΡΟΕε4 | 7 | 0.90 | 0.44, 1.83 | 0.77 | R | 14.38 | 0.03 | 58.3 | |
| | Non-APOE ₂ 4 | 7 | 1.17 | 0.79, 1.74 | 0.44 | R | 15.57 | 0.02 | 61.5 | |
| CC VS CG | Overall | 18 | 1.01 | 0.81, 1.25 | 0.95 | R | 57.56 | 0.00 | 70.5 | |
| | European | 11 | 0.96 | 0.67, 1.36 | 0.81 | R | 48.45 | 0.00 | 79.4 | |
| | Asian | 7 | 1.05 | 0.82, 1.34 | 0.71 | R | 12.35 | 0.06 | 51.4 | |
| | ΑΡΟΕε4 | 7 | 1.49 | 1.11, 2.01 | 0.01 | F | 4.60 | 0.60 | 0.0 | |
| | Non-APOE ₂ 4 | 7 | 1.17 | 0.92, 1.49 | 0.19 | R | 10.47 | 0.10 | 42.7 | |
| CG VS GG | Overall | 18 | 1.03 | 0.74, 1.43 | 0.86 | R | 135.5 | 0.00 | 87.5 | |
| | European | 11 | 1.17 | 0.74, 1.85 | 0.51 | R | 124.9 | 0.00 | 92.0 | |
| | Asian | 7 | 0.80 | 0.64, 1.01 | 0.06 | F | 4.01 | 0.68 | 0.0 | |
| | ΑΡΟΕε4 | 7 | 0.69 | 0.40, 1.21 | 0.20 | R | 12.07 | 0.06 | 50.3 | |
| | Non-APOE ₂ 4 | 7 | 1.03 | 0.86, 1.25 | 0.75 | F | 5.10 | 0.53 | 0.0 | |
| Intron 5 T/G | | | | | | | | | | |
| TT VS TG+GG | Overall | 3 | 0.81 | 0.63, 1.05 | 0.11 | F | 2.12 | 0.35 | 5.7 | |
| TT+TG VS GG | Overall | 3 | 0.87 | 0.57, 1.33 | 0.53 | F | 1.53 | 0.47 | 0.0 | |
| TT VS GG | Overall | 3 | 0.81 | 0.52, 1.27 | 0.37 | F | 0.45 | 0.80 | 0.0 | |
| TT VS TG | Overall | 3 | 0.82 | 0.63, 1.06 | 0.13 | F | 3.33 | 0.19 | 39.9 | |
| TG VS GG | Overall | 3 | 0.97 | 0.63, 1.50 | 0.90 | F | 2.77 | 0.25 | 27.7 | |
| 3'UTR T/A | | | | | | | | | | |
| TT+TA VS AA | Overall | 3 | 1.64 | 0.70, 3.84 | 0.25 | F | 1.26 | 0.53 | 0.0 | |
| TT VS TA+AA | Overall | 3 | 1.02 | 0.79, 1.33 | 0.86 | F | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.0 | |
| TT VS TA | Overall | 3 | 0.98 | 0.75, 1.29 | 0.89 | F | 1.27 | 0.53 | 0.0 | |
| TA VS AA | Overall | 3 | 1.66 | 0.70, 3.97 | 0.25 | F | 1.84 | 0.40 | 0.0 | |
| TT VS AA | Overall | 3 | 1.64 | 0.70, 3.85 | 0.26 | F | 1.11 | 0.58 | 0.0 | |

Table 2. Meta-analysis of the association between BACE1 genetic polymorphisms and SAD risk

We used fix-effect to pool the results and found no statistic difference for intron 5 T/G ((TT+TG versus GG (OR=0.87, 95% CI: 0.57-1.33, P=0.53), TT versus (TG+GG) (OR=0.81, 95% CI: 0.63-1.05, P=0.11), TT versus GG (OR=0.81, 95% CI: 0.52-1.27, P=0.37), TG versus GG (OR=0.97, 95% CI: 0.63-1.50, P=0.90) and TT versus TG (OR=0.82, 95% CI: 0.63-1.06, P=0.13)) and 3'UTR T/A ((TT+TA versus AA (OR=1.64, 95% CI: 0.70-3.84, P=0.25), TT versus (TA+AA) (OR=1.02, 95% CI: 0.79-1.33, P=0.86), TT versus AA (OR=1.64, 95% CI: 0.70-3.85, P=0.26), TA versus AA (OR=1.66, 95% CI: 0.70-3.97, P=0.25) and TT versus TA (OR=0.98, 95% CI: 0.75-1.29, P=0.89) based on the homogeneity of including studies (**Table 2**).



Figure 2. Funnel plots for publication bias of BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism and SAD risk in the overalls (dominant model: CC+CG versus GG).



Figure 3. Funnel plots for publication bias of BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism and SAD risk in the overalls (recessive model: CC versus CG+GG).

Subgroup analysis

For exon 5 C/G, no statistical significance was found in Caucasian or Asian populations (data were showed in **Table 2**), However, in the subgroup analysis by APOE ϵ 4 carriers status, higher SAD risk was also observed for APOE ϵ 4 carriers status (CC versus CG+GG: OR=1.37, 95% CI=1.04-1.82, *P*=0.03 and CC versus CG: OR=1.49, 95% CI=1.11-2.01, *P*=0.01), and people within CC genotype have higher risk of SAD. However, the results were not pronounced among non-APOE ϵ 4 carriers status (**Table 2**). So the APOE ϵ 4 carrier status might play an important role in exon 5 C/G genetic risk of SAD.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis indicated that four independent articles [10, 11, 19, 25] were the main origin of the heterogeneity. The heterogeneity decreased after exclusion of four studies based on Galbraith plots analysis (the results for the test of heterogeneity were (CC+CG versus GG (l²=6.8%, P=0.38), CC versus (CG+GG) (l^2 =37.5%, P=0.08), CC versus GG (l2=26.4%, P=0.17), CG versus GG (I²=0.0%, P=0.73) and CC versus CG (l²=21.4%, P=0.22), and the corresponding pooled ORs were not materially altered CC+CG versus GG (OR=0.93, 95% CI: 0.82-1.04, P=0.20), CC versus (CG+GG) (OR=1.03, 95% CI: 0.92-1.14, P=0.66), CC versus GG (OR=0.97, 95% CI: 0.83-1.11, P=0.55), CG versus GG (OR=0.91, 95% CI: 0.80-1.03, P=0.80) and CC versus CG (OR=1.05, 95% CI: 0.94-1.18, P=0.36) under the fix-effect model. Although the genotype distributions in three of the included studies did not follow HWE [19, 23, 25], the corresponding pooled ORs were not materially altered without these studies (CC+CG versus GG (OR=0.91,

95% CI: 0.82-1.45, P=0.09), CC versus (CG+GG) (OR=0.97, 95% CI: 0.87-1.14, P=0.73), CC versus GG (OR=0.90, 95% CI: 0.79-1.04, P=0.15), CG versus GG (OR=0.91, 95% CI: 0.81-1.02, P=0.10) and CC versus CG (OR=1.00, 95% CI: 0.86-1.18, P=0.97). Sensitivity analysis suggested that the pooled results were robust.

Publication bias

The shape of the funnel plots in genetic models seemed symmetrical, indicating that there were no evidences for obvious publication bias (**Figures 2-6**). Further, Egger's test was used to



Figure 4. Funnel plots for publication bias of BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism and SAD risk in the overalls (homozygote comparison: CC versus GG).



Figure 5. Funnel plots for publication bias of BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism and SAD risk in the overalls (heterozygote comparison: CG versus GG).

assess publication bias and provided the similar result that there were no significant publication bias in genetic models (CC+CG versus GG: t=-0.59, P=0.562, CC versus CG+GG: t=-1.64, P=0.12, CC versus GG: t=-1.67, P=0.113, CG versus GG: t=-0.12, P=0.904, and CC versus CG: t=-1.76, P=0.098). The potential publication bias therefore did not materially alter the combined risk estimates.

Discussion

Epidemiological and pathogenetic evidences strongly suggest an association between genetic factors and SAD risk. Based on this hypothesis, the contribution of various candidate genes to SAD risk has been investigated, and one of the candidate genes that has been analyzed as an SAD risk factor is the BACE1 gene. In Caucasians, Nowotny et al. firstly reported no association between the BACE1 exon 5 genotypes and AD risk [17]. Since then a considerable number of papers were used to replicate these results. The data revealed no association between the BACE1 polymorphism and SAD risk from two UK studies [16, 20]. Similar results were found in Switzerland [19], Australia [21], France [22] and Netherlands [23]. However, Ambiguous results have been presented. In Germany, Kirschling et al. exhibited the G-allele of the exon 5 C/G polymorphism was associated with an increased SAD risk, and BACE polymorphism played an important role in the development of AD by influencing Ab42 levels [10]. An association between BACE1 exon 5 GG genotype (P=0.014) and AD was observed in Spain [14]. In Asians, Shi et al. found an associated with AD risk (Guangzhou cohort, OR=1.56, 95% CI=1.09-2.23; Chengdu cohort, OR=1.74, 95% CI= 1.03-2.95) for BACE1 exon5

C/G [11]. Similar results were established in one other Chinese study [12]. However, in Taiwan of China, no significant association of this polymorphism with the occurrence of AD could be found [18]. In the Korean population, the distribution of BACE1 C/G genotypes was also not significantly different between 248 AD cases and 224 healthy controls [15]. The C or G allele was not associated with Alzheimer's disease (P=0.069) in two other Chinese studies [13, 24]. The failure to reproduce replicated studies may be due to the small sample size used. In inclusive articles, our pooled results confirm that BACE1 exon5 C/G genetic poly-



Figure 6. Funnel plots for publication bias of BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism and SAD risk in the overalls (heterozygote comparison: CC versus CG).

morphism has no effect on SAD risk, and the results are consistent with that of most studies. The conclusion of allele C versus G effect also shows no significant difference (OR=0.96, 95% CI: 0.87-1.06, P>0.05) by meta-analysis of 17 studies on BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphismin AlzGene database (http://www.alzgene.org/ meta.asp? geneID=53). And no significant difference in the genotypes distribution in cases and controls was found after exclusion of studies deviating from HWE. However, evidence of heterogeneity was found. Between-study heterogeneity decreased after sensitivity analysis and the corresponding pooled ORs were not materially altered, and no publication bias was found in all the inherited models. So the results of our meta-analysis were robust.

The different ethnic backgrounds as confounding factor in genetic studies should be taken into account. In Asia, persons who were C allele carriers had increased risk of SAD in three articles [11], however, an association of the G-allele with LOAD was found [13]. In one Asian meta-analysis, there was not a difference between AD patients and controls (P=0.0555 for genotypes) [15]. The results of our metaanalysis identified that of this meta-analysis, and no significant difference between SAD risk and BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism for Caucasian population was also found. Small samples might be important factor for contradictory conclusions for including studies. The implication of these stratified conclusions should be further explored.

It has been speculated that variations in BACE1 might be associated with the risk for SAD in combination with the APOE₂4 genotypes. Genegene interaction analysis should be explored. A synergetic interaction between the G-allele and APOE₂4 carriers status on the risk of LOAD (OR=1.91, 95% CI 1.23-2.95, P=0.003) was explored, and suggested that BACE1 gene polymorphism exon 5 C/G might act as an APOE₂4 allele-dependent risk factor for developing LOAD [12]. In the Korea [15], USA [17], Switzerland [19] and Germany [10], the exon 5 C/G polymor-

phism was a signifcant risk factor for AD in APOE ε 4 carriers status. Clarimón et al. did not detect this association in subjects carrying APOE ε 4 in Spain [14]. There was statistic difference between BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism and SAD risk for our meta-analysis in APOE ε 4 carriers status and no association in non-APOE ε 4 carriers status, persons within the CC phenotype have more effect on risk of SAD among cases with at least one APOE ε 4 allele. So there is a synergistic interaction between APOE ε 4 carriers status and BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism for risk of SAD, and BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism could be genetic risk of SAD patients with APOE ε 4 carriers status.

For 3'UTR T/A or intron 5 T/G, Kirschling et al. found no association for the intron 5 T/G with SAD (P=0.425) [10], there was no significant influence on genetic susceptibility to SAD in Northern Irish population for 3'UTR T/A [20]. In Switzerland [19], Spain [14], UK [16], no association was also established. The results of our meta-analysis are consistent with including studies. However, because the low occurrence of the genotypes of the two genetic polymorphisms will lead to poor statistical power, these results would be needed to be further confirmed with larger sample sizes in future studies.

Some limitations of our meta-analysis of observational studies should be attended. First, a relatively small number of studies were includ-

ed, and there was no sufficient power to estimate the association between intron 5 T/G or 3'UTR T/A polymorphism and SAD risk. On the other hand, the samples (blood or brain) were selected and different genotyping methods were used with different sensitivity and specificity, which could also result in selection bias and clinic heterogeneity. Otherwise, the heterogeneity was removed by sensitivity analysis and the overall results were not materially altered, so it suggested the stability of our results. Third, an important issue that is often raised in a methodological meta-analysis is publication bias. Publication bias was not detected by the Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test in this metaanalysis, and so it could not play an important role in results of our meta-analysis.

Conclusion

Despite the above-mentioned limitations, this meta-analysis demonstrated that in APOEɛ4 carrier status, the BACE1 exon5 C/G polymorphism could be associated with SAD risk and individuals with CC genotype could have increased risk of SAD. It might be suggested that interaction between BACE1 exon 5 C/G polymorphism and APOEɛ4 carrier status might account for SAD risk. However, 3'UTR T/A or intron 5 T/G might not affect risk of SAD. Based on SAD with multifactorial etiology, the results of our meta-analysis should be properly replicated in future prospective cohort study, including consideration into interactions.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Xiaotong Wang, Department of Neurology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, 109th Xueyuan Road, Wenzhou 325027, Zhejiang Province, China. Tel: +86 577 8669 9362; Fax: +86 577 8669 9362; E-mail: wangxt805@126.com

References

- [1] Hu J, Igarashi A, Kamata M, Nakagawa H. Angiotensin-converting enzyme degrades Alzheimer amyloid beta-peptide (A beta); retards A beta aggregation, deposition, fibril formation; and inhibits cytotoxicity. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 47863-47868.
- [2] Selkoe DJ. The molecular pathology of Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 2001; 6: 487-498.

- [3] Glenner GG, Wong CW. Alzheimer's disease: initial report of the purification and characterization of a novel cerebrovascular amyloid protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1984; 120: 885-890.
- [4] Lin X, Koelsch G, Wu S, Downs D, Dashti A, Tang J. Human aspartic protease memapsin 2 cleaves the beta-secretase site of beta-amyloid precursor protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000; 97: 1456-1460.
- [5] Holsinger RM, McLean CA, Beyreuther K, Masters CL, Evin G. Increased expression of the amyloid precursor beta-secretase in Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 2002; 51: 783-786.
- [6] Yang LB, Lindholm K, Yan R, Citron M, Xia W, Yang XL, Beach T, Sue L, Wong P, Price D, Li R, Shen Y. Elevated β -secretase expression and enzymatic activity detected in sporadic Alzheimer disease. Nat Med 2003; 9: 3-4.
- [7] Cai H, Wang Y, McCarthy D, Wen H, Borchelt DR, Price DL, Wong PC. BACE1 is the major beta-secretase for generation of Abeta peptides by neurons. Nat Neurosci 2001; 4: 233-234.
- [8] Luo Y, Bolon B, Kahn S, Bennett BD, Babu-Khan S, Denis P, Fan W, Kha H, Zhang J, Gong Y, Martin L, Louis JC, Yan Q, Richards WG, Citron M, Vassar R. Mice deficient in BACE1, the Alzheimer's beta-secretase, have normal phenotype and abolished beta-amyloid generation. Nat Neurosci 2001; 4: 231-232.
- [9] Blacker D, Bertram L, Saunders AJ, Moscarillo TJ, Albert MS, Wiener H, Perry RT, Collins JS, Harrell LE, Go RC, Mahoney A, Beaty T, Fallin MD, Avramopoulos D, Chase GA, Folstein MF, McInnis MG, Bassett SS, Doheny KJ, Pugh EW, Tanzi RE; NIMH Genetics Initiative Alzheimer's Disease Study Group. Results of a high-resolution genome screen of 437 Alzheimer's disease families. Hum Mol Genet 2003; 12: 23-32.
- [10] Kirschling CM, Kölsch H, Frahnert C, Rao ML, Maier W, Heun R. Polymorphism in the BACE gene influences the risk for Alzheimer's disease. Neuroreport 2003; 14: 1243-1246.
- [11] Shi J, Zhang S, Tang M, Liu X, Li T, Wang Y, Han H, Guo Y, Hao Y, Zheng K, Kong X, Su Z, Tong Y, Ma C. The 1239G/C Polymorphism in Exon 5 of BACE1 Gene May Be Associated with sporadic Alzheimer's disease in Chinese Hans. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2004; 124: 54-57.
- [12] Kan R, Wang B, Zhang C, Jin F, Yang Z, Ji S, Lu Z, Zheng C, Wang L. Genetic association of BACE1 gene polymorphism C786G With lateonset Alzheimer's disease in Chinese. J Mol Neurosci 2005; 25: 127-131.

- [13] Wang S, Jia J. Promoter polymorphisms which modulate BACE1 expression are associated with sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2010; 153: 159-66.
- [14] Clarimón J, Bertranpetit J, Calafell F, Boada M, Tàrraga L, Comas D. Association study between Alzheimer's disease and genes involved in Aβ biosynthesis, aggregation and degradation: suggestive results with BACE1. J Neurol 2003; 250: 956-961.
- [15] Jo SA, Ahn K, Kim E. Boada M, Tàrraga L, Comas D. Association of BACE1 gene polymorphism with Alzheimer's disease in Asian populations: meta-analysis including Korean samples. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2008; 25: 165-169.
- [16] Murphy T, Yip A, Brayne C, Easton D, Evans JG, Xuereb J, Cairns N, Esiri MM, Rubinsztein DC. The BACE gene: genomic structure and candidate gene study in late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Neuroreport 2001; 12: 631-634.
- [17] Nowotny P, Kwon JM, Chakraverty S, Nowotny V, Morris JC, Goate AM. Association studies using novel polymorphisms in BACE1 and BACE2. Neuroreport 2001; 12: 1799-1802.
- [18] Liu HC, Leu SJ, Chang JG, Sung SM, Hsu WC, Lee LS, Hu CJ. The association of beta-site APP cleaving enzyme (BACE) C786G polymorphism with Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res 2003; 961: 88-91.
- [19] Gold G, Blouin JL, Herrmann FR, Michon A, Mulligan R, Duriaux Saïl G, Bouras C, Giannakopoulos P, Antonarakis SE. Specific BACE1 genotypes provide additional risk for late-onset Alzheimer disease in APOE e4 carriers. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2003; 119: 44-47.
- [20] Todd S, McKnight AJ, Liu WW, Carson R, Heggarty S, McGuinness B, Irvine GB, Craig D, Passmore AP, Johnston JA. BACE1 polymorphisms do not influence platelet membrane beta-secretase activity or genetic susceptibility forAlzheimer's disease in the Northern Irish population. Neuromolecular Med 2008; 10: 368-376.
- [21] Laws SM, Eckart K, Friedrich P, Kurz A, Förstl H, Riemenschneider M. Lack of evidence to support the association of polymorphisms within the alpha-and beta-secretase genes (ADAM10/BACE1) with Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2011; 32: 541-543.
- [22] Cousin E, Macé S, Rocher C, Dib C, Muzard G, Hannequin D, Pradier L, Deleuze JF, Génin E, Brice A, Campion D. No replication of genetic association between candidate polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2011; 32: 1443-1451.

- [23] Cruts M, Dermaut B, Rademakers R, Roks G, Van den Broeck M, Munteanu G, van Duijn CM, Van Broeckhoven C. Amyloid b secretase gene (BACE) is neither mutated in nor associated with early-onset Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett 2001; 313: 105-107.
- [24] Cai L, Tang G, Chen L, Zhang B, Jiang S, Ren D. Genetic studies of A2M and BACE1 genes in Chinese Han Alzheimer's disease patients. Neuroreport 2005; 16: 1023-1026.
- [25] Randall CN, Strasburger D, Prozonic J, Morris SN, Winkie AD, Parker GR, Cheng D, Fennell EM, Lanham I, Vakil N, Huang J, Cathcart H, Huang R, Poduslo SE. Cluster analysis of risk factor genetic polymorphisms in Alzheimer's disease. Neurochem Res 2009; 34: 23-28.
- [26] Roberds SL, Anderson J, Basi G, Bienkowski MJ, Branstetter DG, Chen KS, Freedman SB, Frigon NL, Games D, Hu K, Johnson-Wood K, Kappenman KE,Kawabe TT, Kola I, Kuehn R, Lee M, Liu W, Motter R, Nichols NF, Power M, Robertson DW, Schenk D, Schoor M, Shopp GM, Shuck ME, Sinha S, Svensson KA,Tatsuno G, Tintrup H, Wijsman J, Wright S, McConlogue L. BACE knockout mice are healthy despite lacking the primary beta-secretase activity in brain: implications for Alzheimer's disease therapeutics. Hum Mol Genet 2001; 10: 1317-1324.
- [27] Sun X, Wang Y, Qing H, Christensen MA, Liu Y, Zhou W, Tong Y, Xiao C, Huang Y, Zhang S, Liu X, Song W. Distinct transcriptional regulation and function of the human BACE2 and BACE1 genes. FASEB J 2005; 19: 739-749.
- [28] Sun X, He G, Song W. BACE2, as a novel APP theta-secretase, is not responsible for the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease in Down syndrome. FASEB J 2006; 20: 1369-1376.
- [29] Fukumoto H, Cheung BS, Hyman BT, Irizarry MC. Beta-secretase protein and activity are increased in the neocortex in Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2002; 59: 1381-139
- [30] Fukumoto H, Rosene DL, Moss MB, Raju S, Hyman BT, Irizarry MC. Beta-secretase activity increases with aging in human, monkey, and mouse brain. Am J Pathol 2004; 164: 719-725.
- [31] Vassar R, Bennett BD, Babu-Khan S, Kahn S, Mendiaz EA, Denis P, Teplow DB, Ross S, Amarante P, Loeloff R, Luo Y, Fisher S, Fuller J, Edenson S, Lile J, Jarosinski MA, Biere AL, Curran E, Burgess T, Louis JC, Collins F, Treanor J, Rogers G, Citron M. Beta-Secretase cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by the transmembrane aspartic protease BACE. Science 286: 735-741
- [32] Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies

of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959; 22: 719-748.

- [33] DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177-88.
- [34] McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-A DRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 1984; 34: 939-44.
- [35] Nicolaou M, Song YQ, Sato CA, Orlacchio A, Kawarai T, Medeiros H, Liang Y, Sorbi S, Richard E, Rogaev El, Moliaka Y, Bruni AC, Jorge R, Percy M, Duara R,Farrer LA, St Georg-Hyslop P, Rogaeva EA. Mutations in the open reading frame of the β -site APP cleaving enzyme (BACE) locus are not a common cause of Alzheimer's disease. Neurogenetics 2001; 3: 203-206.