Original Article Association of tea consumption and the risk of thyroid cancer: a meta-analysis

Sugang Ma¹, Chunyan Wang², Jiandong Bai¹, Xipeng Wang¹, Chuandong Li³

Departments of ¹General Surgery, ²Obstetrics and Gynecology, ³Orthopedics, Zhangqiu People's Hospital, Ji'nan, Shandong, 250200, P.R. China

Received June 2, 2015; Accepted July 21, 2015; Epub August 15, 2015; Published August 30, 2015

Abstract: *Objectives*: Epidemiological studies evaluating the association of tea consumption and the risk of thyroid cancer risk have produced inconsistent results. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis to assess the relationship between tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk. *Methods*: Pertinent studies were identified by a search in PubMed and Web of Knowledge. The random effect model was used based to combine the results. Publication bias was estimated using Egger's regression asymmetry test. *Results*: Finally, 11 articles with 14 studies (2 cohort studies and 12 case-control studies) involving 2,955 thyroid cancer cases and 106,447 participants were included in this meta-analysis. The relative risk (95% confidence interval) of thyroid cancer for the highest versus the lowest category of tea consumption was 0.774 (95% CI = 0.619-0.967), and the associations were also significant in Europe and America, but not in the Asia. No publication bias was found. *Conclusions*: Our analysis indicated that higher tea consumption may have a protective effect on thyroid cancer, especially in Europe and America.

Keywords: Tea consumption, thyroid cancer, meta-analysis

Introduction

The worldwide age-standardized incidence of thyroid cancer is 1.5 per 100,000 person-years for men and 4.7 for women, accounting for approximately 1% of all new cancer cases [1]. Although the incidence is relatively low, thyroid cancer is a major form of malignant neoplasm in women under 45 years of age [2]. Consumption of such dietary items as fish, vegetables, and beverages (such as tea) is suspected to be associated with thyroid cancer risk, but no conclusive evidence is available [3-5].

Tea, which is derived from the leaves of the plant Camellia sinensis, is one of the most popular beverages consumed worldwide, and generally the most consumed are black tea and green tea. Evidence from laboratory studies strongly showed the inhibition of tumorigenesis by tea and its constituents [6, 7]. Up to date, a number of epidemiologic studies have been published to explore the relationship between tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk. However, the results are not consistent. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis to (1) first assess the thyroid cancer risk for the highest vs. lowest categories of tea consumption; (2) assess the heterogeneity among studies and publication bias.

Methods

Search strategy

We performed a literature search up to April 2015 using the databases of PubMed and Web of Knowledge. The following search terms were used: 'tea', or 'diet', or 'lifestyle' for exposure factors, and 'thyroid cancer', or 'thyroid oncology' for outcome factors. Moreover, we reviewed the reference lists from retrieved articles to search for further relevant studies. Two investigators searched articles and reviewed of all retrieved studies independently. Disagreements between the two investigators were resolved by consensus with a third reviewer.

Inclusion criteria

All relevant studies reporting the association of tea and thyroid cancer risk were considered for inclusion. The inclusion criteria were as follows:



Figure 1. The flow diagram of screened, excluded, and analyzed publications.

(1) case-control or cohort study;
(2) the exposure of interest was variety of tea consumption;
(3) the outcome of interest was thyroid cancer;
(4) results including relative risk (RR) or odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence intervals (CI), or providing us with sufficient information to calculate them. Accordingly, the following exclusion criteria were also used:
(1) reviews and
(2) repeated or overlapped publications.

Data extraction

The following data were collected from all studies independently by two investigators: the design type (case-control study or cohort study), the first author's last name, publication year, location where the study was performed, sample size and number of cases, RR estimates (we presented all results with RR for simplicity) with corresponding 95% CI for the highest versus lowest categories of tea and thyroid cancer, respectively. For studies that reported results from various covariate analyses, we abstracted the estimates based on the model that included the most potential confounders. If there was disagreement between the two investigators about eligibility of the data, it was resolved by consensus with a third reviewer.

Quality assessment

The quality of studies was examined and controlled in accordance with checklists of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses for randomized trials (PRISMA) [8]. To determine the quality score of included studies, two reviewers independently performed the quality assessment by using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, which is a validated scale for non-randomized studies in meta-analyses [9]. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale is a nine-point scale that allocates points based on the selection process of cohorts (0-4 points), the comparability of cohorts (0-2 points), and the identification of the exposure and the outcomes of study participants (0-3 points). We assigned scores

of 0 -3, 4 -6, and 7 -9 for low, moderate, and high quality of studies, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The pooled measure was calculated as the inverse variance-weighted mean of the logarithm of RR with 95% CI, to assess the association between tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk. Random-effects model was used to combine study-specific RR (95% CI), which considers both within-study and between-study variation [10]. The I² was used to assess heterogeneity, and I^2 values of 0, 25, 50 and 75% represent no, low, moderate and high heterogeneity [11], respectively. Meta-regression with restricted maximum likelihood estimation was performed to assess the potentially important covariates that might exert substantial impact on between-study heterogeneity [12]. Publication bias was evaluated using Egger regression asymmetry test [13]. A study of influence analysis [14] was conducted to describe how robust the pooled estimator was to removal of individual studies. An individual study was suspected of excessive influence if the point estimate of its omitted analysis lay outside the 95% CI of the combined analysis. All statistical

Author (year)	Country	Study design	Quality score	Participants (case)	Age range	RR (95% CI) for highest vs. lowest category
D'Avanzo et al. (1995)	Italy	HCC	6	1016 (399)	≤ 75	1.13 (0.82-1.56)
Galanti et al. (1997)	Norway	PCC	7	312 (116)	18-75	1.16 (0.51-2.65)
Glattre et al. (1993)	Norway	HCC	7	552 (92)	20-59	1.33 (0.61-2.90)
Hallquist et al. (1993)	Sweden	PCC	6	540 (180)	20-70	0.93 (0.59-1.48)
Kolonel et al. (1990)	United States	PCC	7	632 (191)	≥18	0.75 (0.52-1.08)
Linos et al. (1989)	Greece	HCC	7	141 (70)	Na	0.76 (0.43-1.33)
Mack et al. (2002)	United States	PCC	6	584 (292)	15-54	0.3 (0.1-1.0)
Michikawa et al. (2011)	Japan	Cohort	8	100507 (159)	40-69	Females: 0.91 (0.56-1.48)
						Males: 0.71 (0.22-2.28)
Preston-Martin et al. (1987)	United States	PCC	7	220 (110)	15-40	0.51 (0.25-1.03)
Preston-Martin et al. (1993)	China	PCC	7	414 (207)	18-54	1.00 (0.25-4.03)
Riza et al. (2015)	Greece	HCC	6	1529 (1139)	42.8	Chamomile tea
						0.25 (0.10-0.62)
						Sage tea
						0.34 (0.09-1.21)
						Mountain tea
						0.55 (0.21-1.41)

 Table 1. Characteristics of studies between tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk

Abbreviations: Na: not available; PCC: population-based case-control study; HCC: hospital-based case-control study; BMI: body mass index.

analyses were conducted with STATA version 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA). Two-tailed *p*-value \leq 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Results

Search results and study characteristics

The search strategy identified 246 articles from PubMed and 364 from the Web of Knowledge, and 52 articles were reviewed in full after reviewing the title/abstract. One article [15] reported the green tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk for females and males respectively, and one article [16] reported three varieties of tea consumption for thyroid cancer. So, 11 articles [15-25] with 14 studies (2 cohort studies and 12 case-control studies) involving 2,955 thyroid cancer cases and 106,447 participants were used in this meta-analysis after reviewed in full articles. The detailed steps of our literature search are shown in Figure 1. Four studies come from Greece, 3 from United States, 2 from Norway, 2 from Japan, 1 from Italy, 1 from Sweden and 1 from China. The characteristics of these studies are presented in Table 1.

High versus low analyses

Data from 14 studies including 2,955 thyroid cancer cases were used in this meta-analysis. Only 2 studies reported that tea consumption could reduce the risk of thyroid cancer, while no significant association was reported in 12 studies. Pooled results suggested that highest tea consumption versus lowest amount was significantly associated with reduced the risk of thyroid cancer [summary RR=0.774, 95% CI = 0.619-0.967, I² = 36.5%] (Figure 2).

In stratified analysis by study design, the association was found in the case-control studies [summary RR = 0.747, 95% CI = 0.574-0.972], but not in the cohort studies. In subgroup analvses for geographic locations, highest tea consumption level versus lowest level was significantly associated with reduced the risk of thyroid cancer in Europe [summary RR = 0.765, 95% CI = 0.575-0.981] and America [summary RR = 0.602, 95% CI = 0.390-0.928], but not in the Asia. When we conducted the subgroup analysis by sources of control, the association was significant in the population-based controls [summary RR = 0.761, 95% CI = 0.580-0.998], but not in the hospital-based controls. The detailed results are summarized in Table 2.

Sources of heterogeneity and meta-regression

As seen in the pooled results, low to moderate heterogeneity ($I^2 = 36.5\%$, $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.084$) was found in the analysis. In order to explore the low to moderate between-study heterogeneity founded in the pooled results, univariate meta-regression with the covariates of publica-

Author	Year	Cases	ES (95% CI)	% Weight
D'Avanzo	1995	399	1.13 (0.82, 1.56)	15.37
Galanti	1997	116	1.16 (0.51, 2.65)	5.54
Glattre	1993	92	1.33 (0.61, 2.90)	6.01
Hallquist	1993	180	0.93 (0.59, 1.48)	11.53
Kolonel	1990	191	0.75 (0.52, 1.08)	14.06
Linos	1989	70	0.76 (0.43, 1.33)	9.24
Mack	2002	292	0.30 (0.10, 1.00)	3.22
Michikawa 1	2011	133	0.91 (0.56, 1.48)	10.91
Michikawa 2	2011	26	0.71 (0.22, 2.28)	3.14
Preston-Martin	1987	110	0.51 (0.25, 1.03)	6.90
Preston-Martin	1993	207	1.00 (0.25, 4.03)	2.31
Riza 1	2015	377 • • •	0.25 (0.10, 0.62)	4.73
Riza 2	2015	387	0.34 (0.09, 1.21)	2.61
Riza 3	2015	375	0.55 (0.21, 1.41)	4.42
Overall (I-squar	red = 36	.5%, p = 0.084)	0.77 (0.62, 0.97)	100.00
NOTE: Weights	are fro	n random effects analysis		
		1 I .08 1	5	

Figure 2. The forest plot between highest versus lowest categories of tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk.

eeneampeien ana a	191010 00				
Subgroups	No. (cases)	No. studies	Risk estimate (95% Cl)	Heterogene- ity test I ² (%) <i>P</i> -value	
All studies	2955	14	0.774 (0.619-0.967)	36.5	0.084
Study design					
Prospective	159	2	0.877 (0.560-1.374)	0.0	0.701
Case-control	2796	12	0.747 (0.574-0.972)	45.7	0.042
Geographic locations					
Europe	1996	8	0.805 (0.575-1.127)	51.3	0.045
America	593	3	0.602 (0.390-0.928)	27.9	0.250
Asia	366	3	0.888 (0.580-1.361)	0.0	0.915
Sources of control					
Population-based	1096	6	0.761 (0.580-0.998)	11.1	0.344
Hospital-based	1700	6	0.699 (0.431-1.133)	64.2	0.016

Table 2. Summary risk estimates of the association between tea

 consumption and thyroid cancer risk

tion year, location where the study was conducted, study design (case-control or cohort), sources of control (population-based or hospital-based) and number of cases were performed. No significant findings were found in the above-mentioned analysis.

Influence analysis and publication bias

Influence analysis showed that no individual study had excessive influence on the association of tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk (**Figure 3**). Egger's test (P =0.148) showed no evidence of significant publication bias between tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk.

Discussion

Finding from this meta-analysis suggested that the higher tea consumption could reduce the risk of thyroid can-

cer. The associations were also found in Europe and America for tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk.

Previous research in vitro and in vivo has suggested that tea polyphenols provide protective



Figure 3. Analysis of influence of individual study on the pooled estimate in tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk. Open circle, the pooled RR, given named study is omitted. Horizontal lines represent the 95% Cls.

effects against several types of cancer [26-28]. Meta-analysis has suggested that a favorable effect of green tea consumption and risk of lung cancer [29]. Significant associations were also found in esophageal cancer among female with green tea consumption [30, 31]. A metaanalysis had reported that tea consumption might be the protective factor for prostate cancer [32]. Furthermore, tea consumption could reduce the oral cancer risk [33]. In our study, the results are consistent with these meta-analyses.

As a meta-analysis of published studies, our findings showed some advantages. First, large number of cases and participants were included, allowing a much greater possibility of reaching reasonable conclusions between tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk. Second, no significant publication bias was found, indicating that our results are stable. However, there were some limitations in this meta-analysis. First, as a meta-analysis of observational studies, it was prone to biases (eg, recall and selection bias) inherent in the original studies. Cohort studies are less susceptible to bias than case-control studies because, in the prospective design, information on exposures is collected before the diagnosis of the cancer. Although the results of the meta-regression showed no evidence of significant heterogeneity between subgroups, summary association estimates was different in subgroup analyses by study design. It is possible that a small number of cohort studies were included and the relations reported by case-control studies may have been overstated as a result of recall or interviewer bias. Thus, more large studies, especially prospective studies, are warranted in the future. Second, for the subgroups of geographic locations, the associations were significant only in the Europe and America, but not in the Asia. And only 3 studies with 366 cases were conducted in Asia. Due to this limitation, the results are applicable to Europe and America, but cannot be extended to populations elsewhere. More studies originating in other countries are required to investigate the association between tea consumption and thyroid cancer risk. Third, low to moderate

between-study heterogeneity was found in the pooled analysis, but the between-study heterogeneity was not successfully explained by the subgroup analysis and meta-regression. However, other genetic and environment variables, as well as their possible interaction may be potential contributors to this disease-effect unconformity.

In summary, results from this meta-analysis suggested that the higher tea consumption might reduce the risk of thyroid cancer, especially in Europe and America.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Chunyan Wang, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Zhangqiu People's Hospital, No. 1920, Huiquan Road, Ji'nan 250200, Shandong, P.R. China. Tel: +8653183250-573; Fax: +8653183250573, E-mail: chunyanwang12@163.com

References

- [1] Sherman SI. Thyroid carcinoma. Lancet 2003; 361: 501-11.
- [2] Wu X, Groves FD, McLaughlin CC, Jemal A, Martin J and Chen VW. Cancer incidence patterns among adolescents and young adults in the United States. Cancer Causes Control 2005; 16: 309-20.
- [3] Dal Maso L, Bosetti C, La Vecchia C and Franceschi S. Risk factors for thyroid cancer: an epidemiological review focused on nutritional factors. Cancer Causes Control 2009; 20: 75-86.
- [4] Preston-Martin S, Franceschi S, Ron E and Negri E. Thyroid cancer pooled analysis from 14 case-control studies: what have we learned? Cancer Causes Control 2003; 14: 787-9.
- [5] Mack WJ, Preston-Martin S, Dal Maso L, Galanti R, Xiang M, Franceschi S, Hallquist A, Jin F, Kolonel L, La Vecchia C, Levi F, Linos A, Lund E, McTiernan A, Mabuchi K, Negri E, Wingren G and Ron E. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer: cigarette smoking and consumption of alcohol, coffee, and tea. Cancer Causes Control 2003; 14: 773-85.
- [6] Yang CS, Wang H, Li GX, Yang Z, Guan F and Jin H. Cancer prevention by tea: Evidence from laboratory studies. Pharmacol Res 2011; 64: 113-22.
- [7] Lambert JD and Elias RJ. The antioxidant and pro-oxidant activities of green tea polyphenols:

a role in cancer prevention. Arch Biochem Biophys 2010; 501: 65-72.

- [8] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009; 6: e1000097.
- [9] Rong Y, Chen L, Zhu T, Song Y, Yu M, Shan Z, Sands A, Hu FB and Liu L. Egg consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. BMJ 2013; 346: e8539.
- [10] DerSimonian R and Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177-88.
- [11] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ and Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557-60.
- [12] Higgins JP and Thompson SG. Controlling the risk of spurious findings from meta-regression. Stat Med 2004; 23: 1663-82.
- [13] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M and Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-34.
- [14] Tobias A. Assessing the in fluence of a single study in the meta-analysis estimate. Stata Tech Bull 1999; 47: 15-7.
- [15] Michikawa T, Inoue M, Shimazu T, Sasazuki S, Iwasaki M, Sawada N, Yamaji T and Tsugane S. Green tea and coffee consumption and its association with thyroid cancer risk: a population-based cohort study in Japan. Cancer Causes Control 2011; 22: 985-93.
- [16] Riza E, Linos A, Petralias A, de Martinis L, Duntas L and Linos D. The effect of Greek herbal tea consumption on thyroid cancer: a case-control study. Eur J Public Health 2015; [Epub ahead of print].
- [17] D'Avanzo B, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S, Negri E and Talamini R. History of thyroid diseases and subsequent thyroid cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1995; 4: 193-9.
- [18] Galanti MR, Hansson L, Bergstrom R, Wolk A, Hjartaker A, Lund E, Grimelius L and Ekbom A. Diet and the risk of papillary and follicular thyroid carcinoma: a population-based case-control study in Sweden and Norway. Cancer Causes Control 1997; 8: 205-14.
- [19] Glattre E, Haldorsen T, Berg JP, Stensvold I and Solvoll K. Norwegian case-control study testing the hypothesis that seafood increases the risk of thyroid cancer. Cancer Causes Control 1993; 4: 11-6.
- [20] Hallquist A, Hardell L, Degerman A and Boquist L. Occupational exposures and thyroid cancer: results of a case-control study. Eur J Cancer Prev 1993; 2: 345-9.
- [21] Kolonel LN, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Fukunaga FH and Hinds MW. An epidemiologic study of

thyroid cancer in Hawaii. Cancer Causes Control 1990; 1: 223-34.

- [22] Linos A, Linos DA, Vgotza N, Souvatzoglou A and Koutras DA. Does coffee consumption protect against thyroid disease? Acta Chir Scand 1989; 155: 317-20.
- [23] Mack WJ, Preston-Martin S, Bernstein L and Qian D. Lifestyle and other risk factors for thyroid cancer in Los Angeles County females. Ann Epidemiol 2002; 12: 395-401.
- [24] Preston-Martin S, Bernstein L, Pike MC, Maldonado AA and Henderson BE. Thyroid cancer among young women related to prior thyroid disease and pregnancy history. Br J Cancer 1987; 55: 191-5.
- [25] Preston-Martin S, Jin F, Duda MJ and Mack WJ. A case-control study of thyroid cancer in women under age 55 in Shanghai (People's Republic of China). Cancer Causes Control 1993; 4: 431-40.
- [26] Qin J, Xie LP, Zheng XY, Wang YB, Bai Y, Shen HF, Li LC and Dahiya R. A component of green tea, (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate, promotes apoptosis in T24 human bladder cancer cells via modulation of the PI3K/Akt pathway and Bcl-2 family proteins. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2007; 354: 852-7.
- [27] Adhami VM, Ahmad N and Mukhtar H. Molecular targets for green tea in prostate cancer prevention. J Nutr 2003; 133: 2417S-24S.

- [28] Sartippour MR, Heber D, Ma J, Lu Q, Go VL and Nguyen M. Green tea and its catechins inhibit breast cancer xenografts. Nutr Cancer 2001; 40: 149-56.
- [29] Wang Y, Yu X, Wu Y and Zhang D. Coffee and tea consumption and risk of lung cancer: a dose-response analysis of observational studies. Lung Cancer 2012; 78: 169-70.
- [30] Zheng P, Zheng HM, Deng XM and Zhang YD. Green tea consumption and risk of esophageal cancer: a meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies. BMC Gastroenterol 2012; 12: 165.
- [31] Sang LX, Chang B, Li XH and Jiang M. Green tea consumption and risk of esophageal cancer: a meta-analysis of published epidemiological studies. Nutr Cancer 2013; 65: 802-12.
- [32] Fei X, Shen Y, Li X and Guo H. The association of tea consumption and the risk and progression of prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 3881-91.
- [33] Wang W, Yang Y, Zhang W and Wu W. Association of tea consumption and the risk of oral cancer: a meta-analysis. Oral Oncol 2014; 50: 276-81.