Original Article Video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy versus open lobectomy for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer: a case-control study

Jun Xu¹, Xiaoqiang E², Jun Tian¹, Jinglong Yan¹

¹Department of Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical Universuity, 246 Xuefu Road, Harbin 150086, Hei Longjiang, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical Universuity, 23 Youzheng Street, Harbin 150086, Hei Longjiang, People's Republic of China

Received March 23, 2015; Accepted June 3, 2015; Epub February 15, 2016; Published February 29, 2016

Abstract: This study aimed to compare outcomes following video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy (VATS) versus open lobectomy for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer at a China center. Eighty-one consecutive patients who underwent video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy from January 2007 to December 2013 were compared with 94 patients undergoing open surgery during the same time period. Patients were matched for age, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class, location of tumor and clinical TNM stage. Endpoints were short- and long-term perioperative outcomes. The VATS approach was associated with longer operative time, less blood loss, similar surgical margin, and decreased duration of narcotic and epidural use, similar complications and decreased length of stay. There was no difference in the number of lymph nodes harvested, 5-year overall survival and 5-year disease-free survival between the VATS group and open group. VATS is safe and effective for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer.

Keywords: Non-small cell lung cancer, lobectomy, minimally invasive surgery, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery, prognosis

Introduction

Diagnosis of clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer, has been increasing worldwide because of the development of screening methods [1-5]. An aggressive surgical approach of pulmonary resection plus mediastinal lymphadenectomy has long been the standard treatment, even for early stage non small cell lung cancer [6-8]. Non-small cell lung cancer, even at this early stage, tends to metastasize to the mediastinal lymph nodes, and mediastinal lymphadenectomy is often required [9-11]. Recently, videoassisted thoracoscopic lobectomy (VATS) has been introduced to treat patients with clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer. Previous studies demonstrated that VATS is less invasive than open resection, thus leading to faster recovery and better cosmetic outcomes [12-15]. However, the prognosis of patients who underwent VATS for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer was controversial. The objective of this study was to compare VATS and open lobectomy for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer in terms of clinical results and the survival of patients.

Materials and methods

Patient selection

The institutional review board of our institution approved this study. Surgery was performed after all possible alternative procedures or treatments had been explained to the patients and they had given their informed consent.

All patients who underwent VATS for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer with curative intent from January 2007 to December 2013 were identified using a prospectively-maintained database. Patients undergoing palliative resection extend organ resection, or those with neoadjuvant therapy were excluded. Patients who underwent attempted VATS but who required conversion to an open procedure were also excluded. The most common reasons for conversion in these patients were the direct invasion of adjacent organs, uncontrolled bleeding, and positive proximal margin on frozen section analysis. A total of 94 patients who met these criteria above mentioned were identified. These 94 patients were matched to 94 patients who underwent open lobectomy for the same indication during the same time period. Matching variables were age, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class, location of tumor and clinical TNM stage. The TNM stage was based on the 7th edition of the TNM classification of lung cancer, which was proposed by Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) and International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC), and the mediastinal lymph node staging was based on the newest lymph node map proposed by IASLC [16, 17]. For those of the patients operated before 2010, their staging was recalculated to match the 7th edition of TNM classification of lung cancer proposed by UICC and IASLC.

Operative technique for VATS

VATS was performed as previously described [14]. Only trocar and endsocopic instruments were used in VATS approach and no rib spreading was applied. All patients underwent onelung ventilation and were placed in the lateral decubitus position. Mediastinal lymphadenectomy was performed after lobectomy. We perform intra-operative lymph nodes frozen sections. On the left side, lymph node station 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 were systematic dissected. On the right side, dissection of lymph node station 2R, 4R, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 were also performed. Lymph nodes mentioned above were dissected with the integrity of the surrounding structure, the anatomical landmarks clear recognition and with no nodal structures. Lymph node station 10, 11, 12 and the affected lobe were systematic dissected and removed en bloc. Briefly, on the right side, when the mediastinal pleura was opened, the dissection of station 2R and 4R was undertaken up to the lowest visible part of the subclavian artery. After the lung was retracted anteriorly, the dissection of station 7 was performed. Regardless of middle lobectomy and lower lobectomy, station 8 and 9 was systematically harvested. On the left side, station 5 and 6 was systematically harvested. Then, dissection of station 7, 8 and 9 was done.

Clinical and follow-up data

Clinical and follow-up data were obtained from a prospectively maintained database. A complication was defined as any unintended event occurring within 30 days of pulmonary resection. Complications were graded in severity from 1 to 5 based on a modified Clavien-Dindo system. The definition of Clavien-Dindo system was as follows: Grade 1: oral medication or bedside medical care required; Grade 2: intravenous medical therapy required; Grade 3: radiologic, endoscopic, or operative intervention required; Grade 4: chronic deficit or disability associated with the event; and Grade 5: death related to surgical complication. Minor complications were defined as Grades 1-2 and major complications as Grades 3-5 [18]. During the first year after radical resection and/or adjuvant therapy was completed, patients were seen every 3 months at the outpatient department. In the second year, follow-up took place every 6 months, and then follow-up was performed at the end of each year after treatment. During follow-up, diagnostic investigations were performed. All patients received CT scan of chest before discharge and before each followup visit. Any post-operative complications and medical conditions requiring hospitalization were reviewed. The follow-up was closed in December 2014.

Statistical analysis

Patients were matched one-to-one by age (within 5 years), sex, ASA score, location of tumor and clinical TNM stage. Data were presented as mean and standard deviations for variables following normal distribution and were analyzed by t test. For data following non-normal distribution, results were expressed as median and range and were compared by nonparametric test. Differences of semi-quantitative results were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U-test. Differences of qualitative results were analyzed by chi-square tests or Fisher exact test as appropriate. The overall survival was assessed from the date of radical lobectomy until the last follow up or death of any cause. The diseasefree survival was calculated from the date of radical lobectomy until the date of cancer recurrence or death of any cause. Univariate analyses were performed to identify prognostic vari-

	VATS (<i>n</i> = 94)	Open (<i>n</i> = 94)	P value
Age (y)	63 (42-71)	64 (39-70)	0.485
Gender (Male:Female)	58:36	54:40	0.552
Location of tumor			0.857
Right upper lobe	41	38	
Right middle lobe	4	7	
Right lower lobe	13	14	
Left upper lobe	24	21	
Left lower lobe	12	14	
Clinical stage			0.767
IA	37	39	
IB	57	55	
ASA score			0.463
I	69	73	
II	21	19	
	4	2	

Table 1. Demographic data

Table 2. Intraoperative and postoperative data

	VATS (<i>n</i> = 94)	thoracotomy (n = 94)	P value
Type of resection			0.857
Right upper lobe	41	38	
Right middle lobe	4	7	
Right lower lobe	13	14	
Left upper lobe	24	21	
Left lower lobe	12	14	
Operative time (min)	210 (160-350)	170 (150-320)	0.001
Blood loss (ml)	210 (180-420)	310 (150-600)	0.005
Intravenous narcotic use (day)	3 (1-5)	5 (2-6)	0.020
Epidural use (day)	2 (1-5)	4 (2-6)	0.001
Hospital stay (d)	10 (7-20)	14 (10-29)	0.002
Overall complications	19	24	0.407
Minor complications	16	19	-
Major complications	3	5	-

ables related to overall survival and disease-free survival. Univariate variables with probability values less than 0.10 were selected for inclusion in the multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression model. Adjusted odds ratios (HR) along with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was applied.

Results

Patient demographics

The VATS and open groups were similar for the matched variables of age, sex, ASA score, loca-

tion of tumor and clinical TNM stage. Demographic variables for all patients are summarized in **Table 1**.

Operative characteristics and complications

The VATS and open groups were similar for type of resection. The VATS approach was associated with longer operative time, less blood loss, less postoperative pain measured by decreased duration of IV narcotic use and decreased duration of epidural use for patients who had epidural anesthesia. Length of stay was shorter in the VATS group. Overall, minor and major complications were similar between the groups (**Table 2**).

Pathologic characteristics

No patients had grossly positive margins. Tumor pathological TNM stage, histological subtype and lymph node retrieval were similar between the two groups. Pathologic variables for all patients are summarized in **Table 3**.

Long-term outcomes

Median follow-up period was 36 months in our series. The calculated 5-year disease-free survival rate for all stages was 66% in the VATS group and 62% in the open group (P = 0.675, Figure 1).

There were no differences between the groups with regard to pathologic TNM stage (**Table 4**). The calculated 5-year overall survival rates for all stages were 73% in the VATS group and 68% in the open group (P = 0.806, **Figure 2**). There were no differences between the groups with regard to pathologic TNM stage (**Table 4**).

Fifty-three patients in the VATS group developed tumor recurrence, 23 from distant or hematogenous recurrence, and 15 from locoregional or lymphatic recurrence; the corresponding findings in the open group were 15, and 11, respectively. The pattern of recurrence was similar in the two groups, and no unexpected

	VATS (<i>n</i> = 94)	thoracotomy $(n = 94)$	P value
Histological subtype			0.460
Adenocarcinoma	84	87	
Squamous cell carcinoma	9	5	
Others	1	2	
Pathological stage			0.763
IA	31	34	
IB	45	41	
IIA	8	10	
IIB	6	7	
IIIA	4	2	
Residual tumor (R0/R1/R2)	94/0/0	94/0/0	1.000
Number of harvested lymph nodes	23 (19-31)	24 (19-32)	0.587
Mediastinal lymph nodes dissected	16 (15-24)	17 (16-25)	0.354

Table 3. Pathological data



Figure 1. There was no significant difference in disease-free survival between the VATS and open groups (66% vs. 62%) (P = 0.675).

tumor dissemination or port-site recurrence was observed.

Multivariate analysis identified pathological N stage and pathological venous invasion as the factors with independent effects on disease-free survival (**Table 5**). The type of operative approach (VATS vs open lobectomy) did not influence the recurrence-free survival. In multivariate analysis, the pathological T stage and

pathological N stage had independent effects on overall survival (**Table 6**). The type of operative approach was not important in the multivariate analysis for overall survival.

Discussion

There is a growing interest in video-assisted thoracoscopic surgeries for many different operative procedures [19]. While video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery has been widely adopted for many benign diseases, the role of video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery for malignant diseases continues to evolve. The long-term oncologic result is very important to the use of laparoscopic gastrectomy. The video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery has been described for the management of certain malignant indications. However, data on feasibility, safety, and long-term results with videoassisted thoracoscopic surgery for lung neoplasm have not been well defined [20-25]. One of the objectives of the current study was to establish the feasibility and safety of VATS for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer.

In examining short-term outcomes, VATS compared favorably to an open resection. While the median operative time in the VATS group was slightly longer, blood loss was lower in the VATS group. With regard to postoperative complications, several previous groups had noted a

lower risk of postoperative complications following VATS versus open lobectomy [6]. While we did not note any overall differences in the incidence of complications among the two groups, we did find that there was a slightly higher incidence of minor complications following open lobectomy versus VATS. In the current study, we also noted that the median hospital stay following VATS was fewer than the open lobectomy group.

	VATS (<i>n</i> = 94)	thoracotomy $(n = 94)$	P value
Five-year disease-free survival (%)			
I	75	72	0.587
II	54	56	0.580
III	35	32	0.641
Five-year overall survival (%)			
I	87	85	0.871
II	65	67	0.552
	49	43	0.690



Figure 2. There was no significant difference in overall survival between the VATS and open groups (73% vs. 68%) (*P* = 0.806).

Adequate mediastinal lymphadenectomy is a critical component in radical lobectomy for non small cell lung cancer [24]. Several previous studies have noted no difference in the total number of mediastinal lymph nodes dissected among on small cell lung cancer patients undergoing VATS versus open techniques [20-25]. Early study found that fewer mediastinal lymph nodes were generally retrieved with VATS. In our series, we noted that patients treated with VATS had a comparable number of mediastinal lymph nodes retrieved as with an open approach. These data serve to emphasize that adequate mediastinal lymphadenectomy is feasible with VATS. In addition, consistent with pre-

vious data [20-25], we found that VATS for non small cell lung cancer was very successful in achieving an RO resection. Taken together, these data demonstrate that VATS for non small cell lung cancer can achieve acceptable oncological surgical results.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no multicenter, randomized controlled clinical trials focused on the VATS and open radical pulmonary resection in the treatment of patients with non small cell lung cancer. Thus, before conducting a large multicenter phase III randomized clinical trial comparing VATS with open lobectomy for non small cell lung cancer; it would be good to have the basis of retrospective study on the long-term outcomes. The present comparative study enrolled 188 consecutive patients with clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer that underwent VATS or open lobectomy from a single institute, and long-term results were confirmed in all patients with a 36-month median follow-up period. The longterm results of the present study were acceptable as in other reported studies. Survival of patients who underwent VATS was acceptable and comparable with open radical lobec-

tomy. These long-term results of the present data suggest that VATS for clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer is feasible and safe [26-30].

There are several limitations that need to be considered when interpreting our data. Limitations of this study include its retrospective nature and limited follow-up period. Despite efforts to control for baseline factors by matching, this was not a prospective, randomized trial and the two groups of patients were not the same. Furthermore, the median follow-up is not too long, so later cancer recurrence did not observe.

	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P value
Pathological N stage			
NO-1	1.00		
N2	1.78	1.31-3.45	0.008
Pathological venous invasion			
Negative	1.00		
Positive	2.08	1.36-3.30	0.005

Table 5. Prognostic factors related to disease-free survival

Table 6.	Prognostic factors related t	o overall
survival		

	Hazard ratio	95% Cl	P value
Pathological T stage			
T1-2	1.00		
ТЗ	1.88	1.18-2.98	0.023
Pathological N stage			
NO-1	1.00		
N2	3.48	2.01-5.88	0.001

In conclusion, VATS to clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer was associated with adequate mediastinal lymph node dissection, a high incidence of RO resection, and comparable longterm oncological outcomes versus open radical lobectomy. As such, in appropriately selected patients, VATS should be considered a surgically and oncologically appropriate approach to patients with clinical stage I non small cell lung cancer.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 81301530), the scientific research project of Health Department of Heilongjiang Province (2012-602), and the scientific research project of Education Department of Heilongjiang Province (12541531).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Jinglong Yan, Department of Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical Universuity, 246 Xuefu Road, Harbin 150086, Hei Longjiang, People's Republic of China. Tel: +86-0451-86605612; Fax: +86-0451-86605612; E-mail: jinglongyanmed@163.com

References

- [1] Chen G, Qiu X, Liu Y, Qiao Y, Shi T, Chen J and Zhou Q. Primary mediastinal adenocarcinoma originating from a calcified nodule. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 1898-1903.
- [2] Zhang XD, Li W, Zhang N, Hou YL, Niu ZQ, Zhong YJ, Zhang YP and Yang SY. Identification of adipophilin as a potential diagnostic tumor marker for lung adenocarcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 1190-1196.
- [3] Zhao X, Wang H, Hu X, Liu J and Jiang G. Left middle lobe resection for typical carcinoid in a patient with complete situs inversus. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 2928-2931.
- [4] Siegel R, Naishadham D and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin 2013; 63: 11-30.
- [5] She J, Yang P, Hong Q and Bai C. Lung cancer in China: challenges and interventions. Chest 2013; 143: 1117-1126.
- [6] Tan Q, Huang J, Ding Z, Lin H, Lu S and Luo Q. Meta-analysis for curative effect of lobectomy and segmentectomy on non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 2599-2604.
- [7] Zhang X, Yan J, Ren Y, Shen C, Ying X and Pan S. Robot-assisted versus laparoscopic partial nephrectomy for localized renal tumors: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 4770-4779.
- [8] Sabuncuoglu MZ, Sabuncuoglu A, Sozen I, Benzin MF, Cakir T and Cetin R. Minimally invasive surgery using mini anterior incision for thyroid diseases: a prospective cohort study. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 3404-3409.
- [9] Chen D, Cheng P, Ding D and Ke Z. Feasibility and safety of a novel reverse puncture device (RPD) for laparoscopic esophagogastrostomy/ esophagojejunostomy. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014; 7: 2497-2503.
- [10] Dómine Gómez M, Morán Bueno T, Artal Cortés A, Remon Masip J and Lianes Barragán P. SEOM clinical guidelines for the treatment of small-cell lung cancer 2013. Clin Transl Oncol 2013; 15: 985-990.
- [11] Camps C, Felip E, García-Campelo R, Trigo JM and Garrido P. SEOM clinical guidelines for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) 2013. Clin Transl Oncol 2013; 15: 977-984.
- [12] Zhong C, Fang W, Mao T, Yao F, Chen W and Hu D. Comparison of thoracoscopic segmentectomy and thoracoscopic lobectomy for smallsized stage IA lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2012; 94: 362-367.
- [13] Lee HS and Jang HJ. Thoracoscopic mediastinal lymph node dissection for lung cancer. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012; 24: 131-141.

- [14] Ramos R, Girard P, Masuet C, Validire P and Gossot D. Mediastinal lymph node dissection in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer: totally thoracoscopic vs thoracotomy. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012; 41: 1342-1348.
- [15] Yang H, Li XD, Lai RC, She KL, Luo MH, Li ZX and Lin YB. Complete mediastinal lymph node dissection in video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy versus lobectomy by thoracotomy. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013; 61: 116-123.
- [16] Rami-Porta R, Bolejack V, Giroux DJ, Chansky K, Crowley J, Asamura H, Goldstraw P and International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Staging and Prognostic Factors Committee, Advisory Board Members and Participating Institutions. The IASLC lung cancer staging project: the new database to inform the eighth edition of the TNM classification of lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2014; 9: 1618-1624.
- [17] Tsim S, O'Dowd CA, Milroy R and Davidson S. Staging of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a review. Respir Med 2010; 104: 1767-1774.
- [18] Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, Vauthey JN, Dindo D, Schulick RD, de Santibañes E, Pekolj J, Slankamenac K, Bassi C, Graf R, Vonlanthen R, Padbury R, Cameron JL and Makuuchi M. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. Ann Surg 2009; 250: 187-196.
- [19] Watanabe A, Koyanagi T, Obama T Ohsawa H, Mawatari T, Takahashi N, Ichimiya Y and Abe T. Assessment of node dissection for clinical stage I primary lung cancer by VATS. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2005; 27: 745-752.
- [20] Palade E, Passlick B, Osei-Agyemang T, Günter J and Wiesemann S. Video-assisted vs open mediastinal lymphadenectomy for Stage I nonsmall-cell lung cancer: results of a prospective randomized trial. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2013; 44: 244-249.
- [21] Reck M, Heigener DF, Mok T, Soria JC and Rabe KF. Management of non-small-cell lung cancer: recent developments. Lancet 2013; 382: 709-719.
- [22] D'Andrilli A, Venuta F and Rendina EA. The role of lymphadenectomy in lung cancer surgery. Thorac Surg Clin 2012; 22: 227-237.
- [23] Scott WJ, Allen MS, Darling G, Meyers B, Decker PA, Putnam JB, McKenna RW, Landrenau RJ, Jones DR, Inculet RI and Malthaner RA. Video-assisted thoracic surgery versus open lobectomy for lung cancer: a secondary analysis of data from the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0030 randomized clinical trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2010; 139: 976-981; discussion 981-983.

- [24] Verhagen AF, Schoenmakers MC, Barendregt W, Smit H, van Boven WJ, Looijen M, van der Heijden EH and van Swieten HA. Completeness of lung cancer surgery: is mediastinal dissection common practice? Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012; 41: 834-838.
- [25] Murthy S. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery for the treatment of lung cancer. Cleve Clin J Med 2012; 79 Electronic Suppl 1: eS23-Es25.
- [26] Lee PC, Nasar A, Port JL, Paul S, Stiles B, Chiu YL, Andrews WG and Altorki NK. Long-term survival after lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer by video-assisted thoracic surgery versus thoracotomy. Ann Thorac Surg 2013; 96: 951-960.
- [27] Thomas P, Doddoli C, Yena S, Thirion X, Sebag F, Fuentes P, Giudicelli R. VATS is an adequate oncological operation for stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2002; 21: 1094-1099.
- [28] Shiraishi T, Shirakusa T, Hiratsuka M, Yamamoto S and Iwasaki A. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery lobectomy for c-T1NOMO primary lung cancer: its impact on locoregional control. Ann Thorac Surg 2006; 82: 1021-1026.
- [29] Flores RM, Park BJ, Dycoco J, Aronova A, Hirth Y, Rizk NP, Bains M, Downey RJ and Rusch VW. Lobectomy by video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) versus thoracotomy for lung cancer. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009; 138: 11-18.
- [30] Stephens N, Rice D, Correa A, Hoffstetter W, Mehran R, Roth J, Walsh G, Vaporciyan A and Swisher S. Thoracoscopic lobectomy is associated with improved short-term and equivalent oncological outcomes compared with open lobectomy for clinical Stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a propensity-matched analysis of 963 cases. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014; 46: 607-613.