Original Article The ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism contributes to cancer susceptibility in Chinese population: a meta-analysis of 40827 subjects

Hui Zhang1*, Li Ma2*, Wen-Zhi Guo2*, Gang Liu2, Ya-Qun Ma2

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Air Force General Hospital of The Chinese PLA, 30 Fucheng Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100142, China; ²Department of Anesthesiology, Beijing Military General Hospital, 5 Nanmencang Road, Dongcheng District, Beijing 100700, China. ^{*}Equal contributors.

Received September 22, 2015; Accepted January 15, 2016; Epub February 15, 2016; Published February 29, 2016

Abstract: Associations between ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer risk have been evaluated in worldwide population and Indian population, but results are still unknown in Chinese population. We performed the present meta-analysis to derive a precise estimation of the associations in Chinese population. Systematic searches of electronic databases PubMed, Embase and Chinese Biomedical (CBM) databases, as well as hand searching of the references of identified articles were performed. Based on our search criteria, a total of 60 eligible articles containing 63 studies were included in the final meta-analysis, comprising 19044 cases and 21783 controls. Overall, significant association was found in all genetic models (for allelic model: OR = 1.23, 95% CI = 1.12-1.36, P = 0.000; for additive model: OR = 1.95, 95% CI = 1.73-2.19, P = 0.000; for dominant model: OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.10-1.35, P = 0.000; and for recessive model: OR = 1.80, 95% CI = 1. 60-2.02, P = 0.000). The results suggested that the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism was associated with the susceptibility to cancer in Chinese population. However, due to the high heterogeneity and publication bias in the meta-analysis, the results should be interpreted with caution.

Keywords: ERCC2, XPD, cancer, polymorphism, meta-analysis

Introduction

The excision repair cross-complementing rodent repair deficiency, complementation group 2 (ERCC2)/xeroderma pigmentosum complementary group D (XPD) protein, 761 amino acids in length, is considered to be a key enzyme in nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway and plays an important role in the repair of DNA damages [1]. Several important single nucleotide polymorphisms have been identified in the ERCC2 locus. Among them, ERCC2 K7510 polymorphism (rs13181) is one of the most commonly studied polymorphisms. ERCC2 K7510 is an A to C mutation at the codon 751 of exon 23, resulting in an amino acid alteration from lysine (Lys [K]) to glycine (Gln [Q]) [2]. This SNP can give rise to repair and transcription defects, and altered DNA repair capacity can render a higher risk of developing different types of cancer [3].

Recently, numerous molecular epidemiological studies have evaluated the relationship be-

tween ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer susceptibility. However, the results remain conflicting rather than conclusive. Metaanalyses of studies in worldwide population [4, 5] and Indian population [6] have revealed significant associations of the ERCC gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer susceptibility; however, results are still unknown in Chinese population. Considering that genotype frequency of various polymorphic loci may manifest racial differences, we conducted a meta-analysis by collecting and sorting the previously published studies in Chinese population.

Materials and methods

Literature search and inclusion/exclusion criteria

We searched PubMed, Embase and Chinese Biomedical (CBM) databases for relevant articles (up to August 28, 2015). The search terms were as follows: ("excision repair cross-complementing rodent repair deficiency complementation group 2" OR "ERCC2" OR "xeroderma pigmentosum complementary group D" OR "XPD" OR "DNA repair gene") AND ("polymorphism" OR "mutation" OR "variant") AND ("cancer" OR "neoplasms"). The equivalent Chinese terms were applied in the Chinese databases. All eligible reports were restricted to English and Chinese language articles. Additionally, the reference lists of all identified studies and review articles were also screened.

Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) case-control studies focusing on association between the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer susceptibility; (2) sufficient information provided to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (Cls); (3) no overlapping data with other investigations. If studies had the same or overlapping data, only the largest study should be included in the final analysis. Studies were excluded based on the following criteria: animal studies, abstracts, reviews, case report, letters, editorials, comments and conference proceedings.

Data extraction

Two authors (Ma L and Zhang H) independently extracted data from all eligible studies. Disagreements would be discussed and resolved by the third author (Ma YQ). The following information was collected from each study: first author's surname, publication date, region and ethnicity (Han or Minority) of study population, source of controls (population-based [PB] study or hospital-based [HB] study), total numbers of cases and controls, and distribution of genotypes and alleles in cases and controls, respectively. Besides, evidence of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was also collected.

Quality score assessment

Two authors (Ma L and Guo WZ) of this article independently assessed the quality of included studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) [7]. The NOS ranges between zero and nine stars, and studies with a score of seven stars or greater were considered to be of high quality. Disagreement was settled as aforementioned.

Statistical analysis

We estimated the association between the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer

risk based on four genetic models: allelic model (C allele versus A allele), additive model (C/C versus A/A), dominant model (C/C+A/C versus A/A), and recessive model (C/C versus A/ C+A/A) [8].

The fixed-effects model was used when no inter-study heterogeneity was observed, otherwise the random-effects model was used [9, 10]. The Cochran's Q statistic and I² statistic were adopted to measure heterogeneity, P<0.10 and I²>50% indicated existence of heterogeneity [11, 12]. To detect the potential sources of heterogeneity, Galbraith plot was used. Subgroup analyses were performed based on cancer types (if one cancer type contained less than three individual studies, it was combined into the "other cancer" group), ethnicity (Chinese Han ethnic) and source of controls (PB and HB). Sensitivity analysis was conducted by limiting the meta-analysis to studies conforming to HWE (P<0.05 of HWE was considered significant) and to the high quality studies (NOS score \geq 7). In addition, publication bias was investigated with Begg's funnel plot and Egger's regression test (publication bias was considered to be statistically significant when P<0.05) [13]. All the statistical analyses were performed using Stata 12.0 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX).

Results

Study characteristics

The study selection process is shown in the Figure 1. Based on our search criteria, a total of 60 eligible articles contained 63 studies were included in the final meta-analysis [14-73], comprising 19044 cases and 21783 controls. The characteristics of selected studies are presented in Table 1. Of the 63 studies, 11 investigated lung cancer, 10 investigated esophageal cancer, 9 investigated liver cancer, 6 investigated gastric cancer, 4 investigated breast cancer, 4 investigated colorectal cancer. Thirty-two of these studies were conducted in Chinese Han ethnic populations. Controls were PB in 8 studies and HB in 54 studies. In addition, the genotypes of control group showed significant deviation from HWE in 12 studies (P<0.05) [28, 35, 36, 41, 42, 45, 47, 48, 62, 65, 67, 72]. The NOS results of 60 articles showed that the average score was 7.7, which indicated that the methodological quality was generally good.



Quantitative synthesis

Results of pooled analysis on the association between the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer susceptibility were shown in **Table 2**. Significant inter-study heterogeneity existed in the allelic model ($I^2 = 77.0\%$) and dominant model ($I^2 = 72.3\%$), but not in the additive model ($I^2 = 48.0\%$) and recessive model ($I^2 = 41.0\%$). Thus, we chose the randomeffects model to synthesize the data of allelic model and dominant model, and used fixedeffects model to analyze the data of additive model and recessive model. Overall, significant association was found in all genetic models (for allelic model: OR = 1.23, 95% CI = 1.12-1.36, P = 0.000; for additive model: OR = 1.95, 95% CI = 1.73-2.19, P = 0.000; for dominant model: OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.10-1.35, P = 0.000; and for recessive model: OR = 1.80, 95% CI = 1. 60-2.02, P = 0.000). In the subgroup analysis by cancer types, significant association was found between the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and susceptibility to esophageal and liver cancer in all genetic models. But positive results were only obtained in additive and dominant models in subgroups of gastric and colorectal cancer, and in allelic and recessive models in lung cancer. No association was found in all genetic models in breast cancers. In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, only the data of Han ethic population was analyzed. It turned out that there was significant association between the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymor-

No.	First author	Year	Region	Ethnic- ity	Type of cancer	Source of controls	Sample size (case/control)	Genotype distribution (case/control) HWE Y/N NC						
								A/A	A/C	C/C	A	С	(p)	score
	Xing et al	2002	Beijing	Han	Esophageal cancer	PB	433/524	367/451	63/70	3/3	797/972	69/76	Y (0.874)	9
2	Chen et al	2002	Jiangsu	NA	Lung cancer	PB	109/109	51/41	47/48	11/20	149/130	69/88	Y (0.373)	9
3	Liang et al	2003	Beijing	Han	Lung cancer	PB	1006/1020	839/848	153/166	14/6	1831/1862	181/178	Y (0.488)	9
4	Xu et al	2004	Jiangsu	Han	Liver cancer	PB	70/136	57/125	13/10	0/0	127/260	13/12	Y (0.135)	9
5	Yu et al	2004	Hubei	Han	Esophageal cancer	HB	135/152	108/133	16/17	11/2	232/283	38/21	Y (0.108)	8
6	Yeh et al	2005	Taiwan	NA	Colorectal cancer	HB	727/736	622/631	112/96	3/4	1356/1358	118/104	Y (0.866)	8
7	Zhang et al	2005	Henan	NA	Breast cancer	HB	220/310	74/99	112/165	34/46	260/363	180/257	Y (0.089)	7
8	Yin et al	2005	Jiangsu	Han	Esophageal cancer	HB	106/106	91/95	14/11	1/0	196/201	16/11	Y (0.573)	7
9	Chen et al	2005	Taiwan	NA	Liver cancer	PB	570/381	496/322	72/55	2/4	1064/699	76/63	Y (0.346)	9
10	Liang et al	2006	Shanghai	Han	Biliary tract cancer	PB	443/448	369/383	69/63	5/2	807/829	79/67	Y (0.730)	9
11	Hu et al	2006	Mix	Han	Lung cancer	HB	975/997	827/865	141/127	7/5	1795/1857	155/137	Y (0.884)	8
12	Lou et al	2006	Liaoning	Han	Gastric cancer	HB	238/200	205/164	30/33	3/3	440/361	36/39	Y (0.377)	7
13	Zhoua et al	2007	Hebei	Han	Esophageal cancer	HB	327/612	274/522	51/86	2/4	599/1130	55/94	Y (0.824)	8
14	Zhoub et al	2007	Hebei	Han	Gastric cardiac cancer	HB	253/612	224/522	26/86	3/4	474/1130	32/94	Y (0.824)	8
15	Yang et al	2007	Sichuan	Han	Nasopharyngeal cancer	HB	153/168	128/124	24/43	1/1	280/291	26/45	Y (0.181)	7
16	Bau et al	2007b	Taiwan	NA	Prostate cancer	HB	123/479	111/441	10/33	2/5	232/915	14/43	N (<0.001)	7
17	Shao et al	2007	Jiangsu	Han	Bladder cancer	HB	215/245	167/211	47/32	1/2	381/454	49/36	Y (0.525)	8
18	Bau et al	2007a	Taiwan	NA	Oral cancer	HB	154/105	134/89	18/15	2/1	286/193	22/17	Y (0.682)	7
19	Song et al	2008	Shandong	Han	Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma	HB	309/305	261/270	43/32	5/3	565/572	53/38	Y (0.075)	7
20	Chen et al	2008	Jiangsu	NA	Esophageal cancer	PB	321/392	237/311	79/76	5/5	553/698	89/86	Y (0.884)	9
21	Yin et al	2008	Liaoning	Han	Lung cancer	HB	239/236	213/217	25/19	1/0	451/453	27/19	Y (0.519)	7
22	Li et al	2008	Shanghai	Han	Breast cancer	HB	486/479	432/392	51/80	3/7	915/864	57/94	Y (0.218)	8
23	Kong et al	2008	Shandong	Han	Lung cancer	HB	114/114	96/101	13/9	5/4	205/211	23/17	N (<0.001)	7
24	He et al	2008	Zhejiang	NA	Cervical cancer	HB	134/200	105/164	27/31	2/5	237/359	31/41	N (0.026)	8
25	Zeng et al	2009	Guangxi	Mix	Liver cancer	HB	300/312	263/270	32/39	5/3	558/579	42/45	Y (0.244)	8
26	Yang et al	2009	Mix	NA	Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma	HB	72/354	64/304	7/48	1/2	135/656	9/52	Y (0.944)	7
27	Wang et al	2009	Liaoning	Han	Colon cancer	HB	170/200	143/164	19/33	8/3	305/361	35/39	Y (0.377)	7
28	Zhai et al	2009	Henan	Han	Esophageal cancer	HB	200/200	167/148	31/51	2/1	365/347	35/53	Y (0.122)	8
29	Chang et al	2009	Taiwan	NA	Bladder cancer	HB	308/308	280/278	21/22	7/8	581/578	35/38	N (<0.001)	8
30	Long et al	2009	Guangxi	Mix	Liver cancer	HB	618/712	272/464	222/187	124/61	766/1115	470/309	N (<0.001)	8
31	Yin et al	2009	Liaoning	Han	Lung cancer	HB	285/285	220/242	61/40	4/3	501/524	69/46	Y (0.361)	8
32	Tian et al	2010	Guizhou	NA	Laryngeal Carcinoma	HB	72/72	34/30	35/36	3/6	103/96	41/48	Y (0.289)	7
33	Ma et al	2010	Heilongjiang	Han	Lung cancer	PB	222/222	128/194	62/24	32/4	318/412	126/32	N (0.004)	8
34	Ming-Shiean et al	2010	Taiwan	NA	Breast cancer	HB	401/533	334/450	60/77	7/6	728/977	74/89	Y (0.196)	8
35	Wang et al	2010	Taiwan	NA	Breast cancer	HB	1232/1433	1136/1316	81/96	15/21	2353/2728	111/138	N (<0.001)	8

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in this meta-analysis

36	Long et al	2010	Guangxi	Mix	Gastric cancer	HB	361/616	139/400	151/164	71/52	429/964	293/268	N (<0.001)	8
37	Cui et al	2010	Liaoning	NA	Liver cancer	HB	94/111	69/97	24/14	1/0	162/208	26/14	Y (0.478)	7
38	Xiao et al	2010	Guizhou	NA	Acute leukemia	NA	100/100	83/90	16/10	1/0	182/190	18/10	Y (0.599)	7
39	Chen et al	2011	Mix	NA	Gastric cancer	HB	208/339	166/282	40/55	2/2	372/619	44/59	Y (0.698)	7
40	Qian et al	2011	Tianjing	Han	Lung cancer	HB	580/601	477/507	97/87	6/7	1051/1101	109/101	Y (0.144)	8
41	Ma et al	2011	Gansu	Han	Cervical cancer	HB	200/200	172/167	28/31	0/2	372/365	28/35	Y (0.678)	7
42	Wang et al	2011	Taiwan	NA	Urothelial Carcinoma	HB	460/540	390/472	70/67	0/1	850/1011	70/69	Y (0.386)	8
43	Huang et al	2012	Xinjiang	Mix	Esophageal cancer	HB	213/358	150/274	55/79	8/5	355/627	71/89	Y (0.796)	8
44	Wang et al	2012	Henan	Han	Esophageal cancer	HB	405/405	264/289	115/100	26/16	643/678	167/132	Y (0.056)	8
45	Wu et al	2012	Henan	Han	Esophageal cancer	HB	235/235	136/142	86/79	13/14	358/363	112/107	Y (0.499)	8
46	Chen et al	2012	Hubei	NA	Glioma	HB	393/410	139/175	198/186	56/49	476/536	310/284	Y (0.969)	7
47	Zhou et al	2012	Shanghai	NA	Lung cancer	HB	103/103	86/87	17/16	0/0	189/190	17/16	Y (0.393)	7
48	Guo et al	2012	Liaoning	NA	Liver cancer	HB	410/410	190/233	183/159	37/18	563/625	257/195	Y (0.158)	8
49	Jianga et al	2012	Henan	Han	Gastric cancer	HB	98/80	49/54	32/20	17/6	130/128	66/32	Y (0.050)	7
50	Jiangb et al	2012	Henan	Han	Liver cancer	HB	76/80	32/54	26/20	18/6	90/128	62/32	Y (0.050)	7
51	Jiangc et al	2012	Henan	Han	Colorectal cancer	HB	95/80	55/54	24/20	16/6	134/128	56/32	Y (0.050)	7
52	Tian et al	2013	Guangdong	Han	Laryngeal carcinoma	HB	233/102	179/81	39/15	15/6	397/177	69/27	N (<0.001)	7
53	Ouyang et al	2013	Hunan	Han	Lung cancer	HB	82/201	68/167	14/34	0/0	150/368	14/34	Y (0.190)	7
54	Ni et al	2014	Jiangsu	NA	Colorectal cancer	HB	213/240	176/201	35/38	2/1	387/440	39/40	Y (0.573)	8
55	Lu et al	2014	Henan	NA	Laryngeal Carcinoma	HB	176/176	85/94	60/56	31/26	230/244	122/108	N (<0.001)	8
56	Wu et al	2014	Hainan	NA	Hepatocellular carcinoma	HB	218/277	105/156	93/111	20/10	303/423	133/131	Y (0.068)	7
57	Wang et al	2014	Hebei	NA	gastric cancer	HB	300/300	96/99	114/177	90/24	306/375	294/225	N (<0.001)	7
58	Hui et al	2014	Henan	NA	glioma	HB	138/276	72/158	56/106	10/12	200/422	76/130	Y (0.269)	8
59	Li et al	2014	Beijing	Han	Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma	HB	282/231	240/194	37/34	5/3	517/422	47/40	Y (0.291)	7
60	Zhu et al	2014	Shanghai	Han	esophageal cancer	HB	1122/1111	937/954	175/149	10/8	2049/2057	195/165	Y (0.413)	8
61	Zhu et al	2014	Jiangsu	Han	bladder cancer	HB	287/282	233/220	50/58	4/4	516/498	58/66	Y (0.936)	7
62	Du et al	2014	Shanghai	NA	lung cancer	HB	120/120	78/96	24/16	18/8	180/208	60/32	N (<0.001)	7
63	Zhao et al	2014	Qinghai	Tibetan	Hepatocellular carcinoma	HB	102/102	80/91	20/11	2/0	180/193	24/11	Y (0.565)	8

PB, population-based; HB, hospital-based; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; Y, yes; N, no.

-		-								
Ostara	Study	Sample size	C versus A		C/C versus A/	A	C/C+A/C versus	A/A	C/C versus A/C+A/A	
Category	(n)	(case/control)	OR (95% CI)	l² (%)	OR (95% CI)	l² (%)	OR (95% CI)	l² (%)	OR (95% CI)	l ² (%)
Overall	63	19044/21783	1.23 [1.12, 1.36]ª	77.0	1.95 [1.73, 2.19]	48.0	1.22 [1.10, 1.35]ª	72.3	1.80 [1.60, 2.02]	41.0
SA based on cancer t	ypes									
Lung cancer	11	3835/4008	1.38 [1.03, 1.86]ª	84.5	1.79 [0.88, 3.63]ª	69.9	1.39 [1.04, 1.85]ª	79.3	1.69 [0.89, 3.20]ª	64.1
Esophageal cancer	10	3497/4095	1.20 [1.08, 1.33]	42.5	1.65 [1.17, 2.32]	0.0	1.19 [1.06, 1.33]	28.6	1.57 [1.12, 2.22]	0.0
Liver cancer	9	2458/2521	1.62 [1.22, 2.15]ª	81.4	3.00 [2.33, 3.87]	20.9	1.66 [1.21, 2.26]ª	78.8	2.47 [1.93, 3.16]	0.0
Gastric cancer	6	1458/2147	1.38 [0.94, 2.02]ª	87.3	3.45 [2.58, 4.61]	0.8	1.29 [0.77, 2.16]ª	89.4	3.13 [2.38, 4.11]	35.0
Breast cancer	4	2399/2755	0.88 [0.69, 1.13]ª	66.4	0.91 [0.63, 1.32]	0.0	0.86 [0.66, 1.13]ª	59.8	0.95 [0.67, 1.36]	0.0
Colorectal cancer	4	1205/1256	1.19 [0.98, 1.44]	0.0	2.11 [1.09, 4.09]	0.0	1.13 [0.92, 1.40]	0.0	2.09 [1.09, 4.03]	0.0
SA based on ethnicity	/									
Han	32	10074/10869	1.21 [1.05, 1.40]ª	75.4	1.87 [1.51, 2.31]	22.9	1.18 [1.02, 1.36]ª	71.1	1.77 [1.43, 2.19]	10.2
SA based on source of	of contro	ls								
HB	54	15770/18451	1.22 [1.11, 1.35]ª	73.8	1.96 [1.73, 2.22]	41.0	1.20 [1.08, 1.34]ª	69.3	1.81 [1.61, 2.04]	33.9
РВ	8	3174/3232	1.31 [0.90, 1.92]ª	89.2	1.48 [0.58, 3.81]ª	75.2	1.33 [0.92, 1.92]ª	86.0	1.44 [0.61, 3.40]ª	70.7
Sensitivity analysis										
BH	51	15103/17001	1.15 [1.06, 1.25]ª	55.4	1.53 [1.31, 1.79]	7.4	1.14 [1.07, 1.20]	49.5	1.44 [1.24, 1.68]	0.0

Table 2. Meta-analysis of ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer risk in each subgroup

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; SA: Subgroup analysis; HB, hospital-based; PB: population-based. BH: based on HWE (studies without HWE were excluded). *Significant heterogeneity: the random-effects model was chosen to summarize the results.



Figure 2. Funnel plots for ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphisms and cancer risk. A (allelic model: C allele vs. A allele); B (additive model: C/C vs. A/A); C (dominant model: C/C+A/C vs. A/A); D (recessive model: C/C vs. A/C+A/A).

phism and cancer susceptibility in all genetic models. When stratified by source of controls, a positive result was obtained in all genetic models in the HB subgroup. But no significant association was found in the PB subgroup.

Heterogeneity analysis

In the present meta-analysis, significant interstudy heterogeneity existed in the allelic model and dominant model. To clarify the sources of heterogeneity, we conducted the subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis. However, heterogeneity was not effectively removed. We further created a Galbraith plot to graphically assess the sources of heterogeneity. A total of 11 studies [15, 22, 27, 34, 40, 42, 45, 47, 48, 61, 72] were identified as the main sources of heterogeneity (10 studies [15, 22, 27, 40, 42, 45, 47, 48, 61, 72] for the allelic model; 7 studies [27, 34, 40, 42, 45, 48, 61] for the dominant model). After the outlier studies were excluded, the heterogeneity was effectively removed (for allelic model: I² = 37.9%; for dominant model: $I^2 = 20.7\%$) while the corresponding pooled ORs were not materially altered in all comparisons (for allelic model: OR = 1.19, 95% CI = 1.13-1.25, P = 0.000; for dominant model: OR = 1.17, 95% CI = 1.10-1.23, P = 0.000).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis was performed by removing studies that did not conform to HWE. The corresponding pooled ORs were not materially altered, indicating that our results were statistically convincing. The results of sensitivity analysis were shown in **Table 2**.

Publication bias of selected literatures was assessed by performing the Begg's funnel plot and Egger's regression test. The effect size was asymmetrically distributed with publication bias visually present (for additive model), shown in **Figure 2B**. The results of Egger's regression test also provided statistical evidence for publication bias (P = 0.018 for additive model). No obvious asymmetry was observed in other genetic models according to the visual assessment of funnel plot (**Figure 2**). In addition, no statistical evidence for publication bias in Egger's regression test (P = 0.199 for allelic model; P = 0.499 for dominant model; P = 0.067 for recessive model).

Discussion

Cancer is one of multifactorial diseases and is due in part to abnormal gene function [74]. The association between ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer susceptibility has been widely studied. However, results in different studies have been inconsistent. In Chinese population, most studies showed that there were no significant associations between ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer susceptibility. Some study demonstrated that ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism was associated with developing cancer [17, 18, 29, 42, 43, 45, 48, 49, 58, 60, 61, 72, 73]. In addition, some study revealed that the ERCC2 gene-K751Q polymorphism was significantly associated with a protective effect of developing cancer [27, 34, 40]. Those controversial results may be due to the limitations of individual studies, such as the small size and low statistic power. To better understand the association between ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer risk, a meta-analysis with larger sample is necessary.

The present meta-analysis focused on only Chinese populations and included 19044 cancer cases and 21783 controls. The pooled results suggested that there was a significant association between ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and susceptibility to cancer under all genetic models, which suggested that the C allele was associated with an increased risk of cancer in Chinese population. The result of the allelic model showed that the risk of developing cancer in C allele carriers was 1.23-fold higher than in those with the A allele. Furthermore, individuals with the C/C genotype had a significantly higher risk for developing cancer (OR = 1.95 in the additive model and OR = 1.80 in the recessive model) compared to those with the A/C or A/A genotype. Moreover, the result of the dominant model suggested that the risk of developing cancer in C allele carriers was 1.22fold higher than in those with the A/A genotype.

In the subgroup analysis by cancer types, the results indicated that ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism was associated with increased risk of esophageal and liver cancer. Moreover, we found that the polymorphism was also associated with increased risk in subgroups of gastric and colorectal cancer (for C/C vs. A/A genotype and for C/C vs. A/C+A/A genotype), and lung cancer (for C allele vs. A allele and for C/C+A/C vs. A/A genotype). However, no association was found in breast cancer. The exact mechanism for the varying association between different tumor types and ERCC2 gene-K751Q polymorphism is still unknown, and it is suspected that ERCC2 genetic variants may exert different effects in different cancer types.

When stratified by ethnicity, ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism was also associated with increased risk of cancer among Chinese Han ethic populations. Subgroup analyses by source of controls indicated that ERCC2 gene K7510 polymorphism was associated with an increased risk of cancer in the HB subgroups; while no significant association was found in the PB subgroup. The diverse results might be due to differences in interactions between complex gene-environment, different control matching criteria and selection biases. Furthermore, considering that the results produced from genetic association case-control studies may be inconvincible when the genotype distribution of controls does not conform to HWE [75], we performed sensitivity analysis by removing studies deviating from HWE, and similar results to that of the overall study were obtained. The results of subgroup and sensitivity analyses further strengthened the conclusion that the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism contributed to increased risk of cancer.

Significant inter-study heterogeneity existed in the allelic model and dominant model, which may potentially affect the interpretation of the overall results. Heterogeneity may attribute to differences in sample-sizes, cancer types, ethnicity, control sources or the interaction with other risk factors. Herein, we performed subgroup and sensitivity analyses to further explore the sources of heterogeneity. However, heterogeneity still could not be fully removed. Therefore, we created a Galbraith plot to assess the heterogeneity and to identify potential outlier studies. A total of 11 studies were identified as the main contributors to heterogeneity. After excluding the outlier studies, the above-mentioned heterogeneity was effectively removed while the corresponding pooled ORs were not materially altered, indicating that the overall results of this meta-analysis were statistically robust.

To better interpret our results, some limitations of this study could not be ignored. First, publication bias existed in the present meta-analysis, which might influence the interpretation of our final results supporting the role of the ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism in cancer risk in Chinese population. Asymmetrical "missing" data, which was in the right part of the funnel plot, suggested that the positive results of studies between ERCC2 gene K751Q polymorphism and cancer risk in the additive model may be unreported or unpublished. Second, significant inter-study heterogeneity was found in this meta-analysis. Although we identified that the outlier studies were the main contributors to heterogeneity according to Galbraith plot, heterogeneity was still an inevitable problem that may affect the precision of overall results. Third, only the unadjusted ORs and CIs were used in the present meta-analysis. Moreover, some methodological deficiencies of meta-analysis are inevitable, such as their retrospective nature that limited our further evaluation of the effects of the gene-gene and geneenvironment interactions in cancer development.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis indicated that the ERCC2 gene K751Q C allele was associated with an increased risk of cancer in Chinese population. The SNP in this locus may be a candidate biomarker of cancer susceptibility in Chinese population. However, the result should be interpreted with caution due to its limitations.

Acknowledgements

The authors are most grateful to all the participants in the present study.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Authors' contribution

ZH and ML participated in the study design. All authors provided study material and were

involved in the manuscript writing. All read and approved the final manuscript.

Abbreviations

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; ERCC2, the excision repair cross-complementing rodent repair deficiency, complementation group 2; XPD, xeroderma pigmentosum complementary group D; NER, nucleotide excision repair; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses; CBM, Chinese Biomedical; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; PB, population-based; HB, hospital-based; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Ya-Qun Ma, Department of Anesthesiology, Beijing Military General Hospital, 5 Nanmencang Road, Dongcheng District, Beijing 100700, China. E-mail: ma_yq126@126. com

References

- [1] Lehmann AR. The xeroderma pigmentosum group D (XPD) gene: one gene, two functions, three diseases. Genes Dev 2001; 15: 15-23.
- [2] Shen MR, Jones IM, Mohrenweiser H. Nonconservative amino acid substitution variants exist at polymorphic frequency in DNA repair genes in healthy humans. Cancer Res 1998; 58: 604-608.
- [3] Lunn RM, Helzlsouer KJ, Parshad R, Umbach DM, Harris EL, Sanford KK, Bell DA. XPD polymorphisms: effects on DNA repair proficiency. Carcinogenesis 2000; 21: 551-555.
- [4] Wang F, Chang D, Hu FL, Sui H, Han B, Li DD, Zhao YS. DNA repair gene XPD polymorphisms and cancer risk: a meta-analysis based on 56 case-control studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008; 17: 507-517.
- [5] Wu KG, He XF, Li YH, Xie WB, Huang X. Association between the XPD/ERCC2 Lys-751Gln polymorphism and risk of cancer: evidence from 224 case-control studies. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 11243-11259.
- [6] Mandal RK, Yadav SS, Panda AK. Metaanalysis on the association of nucleotide excision repair gene XPD A751C variant and cancer susceptibility among Indian population. Mol Biol Rep 2014; 41: 713-719.
- [7] Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Robertson J, Peterson J, Welch V, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa Health Research Institute 2011:www. ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed Oct 20, 2011).

- [8] Lewis CM. Genetic association studies: design, analysis and interpretation. Brief Bioinform 2002; 3: 146-153.
- [9] DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177-188.
- [10] Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959; 22: 719-748.
- [11] Berkey CS, Hoaglin DC, Mosteller F, Colditz GA. A random-effects regression model for metaanalysis. Stat Med 1995; 14: 395-411.
- [12] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557-560.
- [13] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-634.
- [14] Xing D, Qi J, Miao X, Lu W, Tan W, Lin D. Polymorphisms of DNA repair genes XRCC1 and XPD and their associations with risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a Chinese population. Int J Cancer 2002; 100: 600-605.
- [15] Chen S, Tang D, Xue K, Xu L, Ma G, Hsu Y, Cho SS. DNA repair gene XRCC1 and XPD polymorphisms and risk of lung cancer in a Chinese population. Carcinogenesis 2002; 23: 1321-1325.
- [16] Liang G, Xing D, Miao X, Tan W, Yu C, Lu W, Lin D. Sequence variations in the DNA repair gene XPD and risk of lung cancer in a Chinese population. Int J Cancer 2003; 105: 669-673.
- [17] Xu L, Wu Y, Jing Y, Yu Y, Qian G. A case-control study on polymorphism of DNA repair gene XPD and susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. Tumor 2004; 24: 526-529.
- [18] Yu HP, Wang XL, Sun X, Su YH, Wang YJ, Lu B, Shi LY, Xiong CL, Li YY, Li F, Xu SQ. Polymorphisms in the DNA repair gene XPD and susceptibility to esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2004; 154: 10-15.
- [19] Yeh CC, Hsieh LL, Tang R, Chang-Chieh CR, Sung FC. MS-920: DNA repair gene polymorphisms, diet and colorectal cancer risk in Taiwan. Cancer Lett 2005; 224: 279-288.
- [20] Zhang L, Zhang Z, Yan W. Single nucleotide polymorphisms for DNA repair genes in breast cancer patients. Clin Chim Acta 2005; 359: 150-155.
- [21] Yin L, Pu Y, Song Y, Hu X, Liu Y, Kai H. Polymorphisms of susceptible genes for esophageal cancer risk in Huaian population in Jiangsu province. Tumor 2005; 25: 357-361.
- [22] Chen CC, Yang SY, Liu CJ, Lin CL, Liaw YF, Lin SM, Lee SD, Chen PJ, Chen CJ, Yu MW. Association of cytokine and DNA repair gene

polymorphisms with hepatitis B-related hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Epidemiol 2005; 34: 1310-1318.

- [23] Liang G, Cheng JR, Zhang XH, Deng J, Gao YT. Single nucleotide polymorphism of DNA repair gene XPD and genetic susceptibility of biliary tract cancers. Tumor 2006; 26: 444-449.
- [24] Hu Z, Xu L, Shao M, Yuan J, Wang Y, Wang F, Yuan W, Qian J, Ma H, Wang Y, Liu H, Chen W, Yang L, Jing G, Huo X, Chen F, Jin L, Wei Q, Wu T, Lu D, Huang W, Shen H. Polymorphisms in the two helicases ERCC2/XPD and ERCC3/ XPB of the transcription factor IIH complex and risk of lung cancer: a case-control analysis in a Chinese population. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006; 15: 1336-1340.
- [25] Lou Y, Song QB, He XM. Association of single nucleotide polymorphism in DNA repair gene XPD with gastric cancer in Han population from northeast region of China. Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi 2006; 14: 3143-3146.
- [26] Zhou RM, Li Y, Wang N, Dong XJ, Zhang XJ, Guo W. Correlation between single nucleotide polymorphism of DNA repair gene XPD and the risks of esophageal squalnous cell carcinoma and gastric cardiac adenocarcinoma. Tumor 2007; 27: 118-122, 133.
- [27] Yang ZH, Du B, Wei YS, Zhang JH, Zhou B, Liang WB, Jia J, Zhang BL, Zhang L. Genetic polymorphisms of the DNA repair gene and risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. DNA Cell Biol 2007; 26: 491-496.
- [28] Bau DT, Wu HC, Chiu CF, Lin CC, Hsu CM, Wang CL, Wang RF, Tsai FJ. Association of XPD polymorphisms with prostate cancer in Taiwanese patients. Anticancer Res 2007; 27: 2893-2896.
- [29] Shao J, Gu M, Xu Z, Hu Q, Qian L. Polymorphisms of the DNA gene XPD and risk of bladder cancer in a Southeastern Chinese population. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2007; 177: 30-36.
- [30] Bau DT, Tsai MH, Huang CY, Lee CC, Tseng HC, Lo YL, Tsai Y, Tsai FJ. Relationship between polymorphisms of nucleotide excision repair genes and oral cancer risk in Taiwan: evidence for modification of smoking habit. Chin J Physiol 2007; 50: 294-300.
- [31] Song B, Zhu JY, Liu J, Wang ZH, Shi Y, Lu LY, Zheng Y. Association of gene polymorphisms in the DNA repair gene XPD with risk of Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. J Exp Hematol 2008; 16: 97-100.
- [32] Chen MR, Wang JM, Guo GP, Hua ZL, Zhou Q, Xu B. Polymorphism of DNA repair gene XPD and XRCC1 and its relationship with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Fudan Univ J Med Sci 2008; 35: 273-277, 281.
- [33] Yin J, Vogel U, Ma Y, Qi R, Wang H. Haplotypes of nine single nucleotide polymorphisms on

chromosome 19q13.2-3 associated with susceptibility of lung cancer in a Chinese population. Mutat Res 2008; 641: 12-18.

- [34] Li J, Jin W, Chen Y, Di G, Wu J, Shao ZM. Genetic polymorphisms in the DNA repair enzyme ERCC2 and breast tumour risk in a Chinese population. J Int Med Res 2008; 36: 479-488.
- [35] Kong FJ, Xu WS, Wang HL, Wang ZZ. Association of the mono-nucleotide polymorphism in DNA repair gone XPD with the risk of lung cancer. Prac J Med Pharm 2008; 25: 1161-1163.
- [36] He X, Ye F, Zhang J, Cheng Q, Shen J, Chen H. Susceptibility of XRCC3, XPD, and XPG genetic variants to cervical carcinoma. Pathobiology 2008; 75: 356-363.
- [37] Zeng XY, Qiu XQ, Ji L, Yu HP. Study on the relationship between hepatoceilular carcinoma and the interaction between polymorphisms in DNA repair geneXPD and environmental factors. Chin J Epidemiol 2009; 30: 702-705.
- [38] Yang F, Shi JY, Xu L, Ren LY, Zhang QH, Zhao WL, Shen ZX. Genetic susceptibility of single nucleotide polymorphism in MGMT to non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Chin J Hematol 2009; 30: 622-625.
- [39] Wang LL, Lou Y, Fang CQ, Yuan ZW. Association of single nucleotide polymorphism in XPD with susceptibility of colon cancer in Han population from northeast region of China. Chin J Cancer Prev Treat 2009; 16: 1284-1286.
- [40] Zhai XD, Mo YN, Xue XQ, Zhao GS, Gao LB, Ai HW, Ye Y. XRCC1 codon 280 and ERCC2 codon 751 polymorphisms and risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a Chinese population. Bull Cancer 2009; 96: E61-65.
- [41] Chang CH, Wang RF, Tsai RY, Wu HC, Wang CH, Tsai CW, Chang CL, Tsou YA, Liu CS, Bau DT. Significant association of XPD codon 312 single nucleotide polymorphism with bladder cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. Anticancer Res 2009; 29: 3903-3907.
- [42] Long XD, Ma Y, Zhou YF, Yao JG, Ban FZ, Huang YZ, Huang BC. XPD codon 312 and 751 polymorphisms, and AFB1 exposure, and hepatocellular carcinoma risk. BMC Cancer 2009; 9: 400.
- [43] Yin Z, Su M, Li X, Li M, Ma R, He Q, Zhou B. ERCC2, ERCC1 polymorphisms and haplotypes, cooking oil fume and lung adenocarcinoma risk in Chinese non-smoking females. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2009; 28: 153.
- [44] Tian H, Yang Y, He S, Hu C. Association of the XRCC1 and XPD polymorphism with the risk of laryngeal carcinoma. Chin J Rehabil 2010; 25: 9-12.
- [45] Ma Y, Sun X, Wang X, Wang B, Tang Y. Association of genetic polymorphism in the DNA repair gene XPD and risk of lung cancer in non-smoking females. J Pract Oncol 2010; 24: 108-111.

- [46] Ming-Shiean H, Yu JC, Wang HW, Chen ST, Hsiung CN, Ding SL, Wu PE, Shen CY, Cheng CW. Synergistic effects of polymorphisms in DNA repair genes and endogenous estrogen exposure on female breast cancer risk. Ann Surg Oncol 2010; 17: 760-771.
- [47] Wang HC, Liu CS, Wang CH, Tsai RY, Tsai CW, Wang RF, Chang CH, Chen YS, Chiu CF, Bau DT, Huang CY. Significant association of XPD Asp312Asn polymorphism with breast cancer in Taiwanese patients. Chin J Physiol 2010; 53: 130-135.
- [48] Long XD, Ma Y, Huang YZ, Yi Y, Liang QX, Ma AM, Zeng LP, Fu GH. Genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair genes XPC, XPD, and XRCC4, and susceptibility to Helicobacter pylori infectionrelated gastric antrum adenocarcinoma in Guangxi population, China. Mol Carcinog 2010; 49: 611-618.
- [49] Cui XM, Su HY. A case-control study on the polymorphism of gene XPD and the susceptibility of primary hepatic carcinoma. Med J Chin People's Health 2010; 22: 912-914, 994.
- [50] Xiao Y, Wu K, Liu YM. Relationship between XPD Lys751Gln polymorphisms and the risk of acute leukemia. J Leuk Lymph 2010; 19: 613-615.
- [51] Chen Z, Zhang C, Xu C, Li K, Hou R, Li D, Cheng X. Effects of selected genetic polymorphisms in xeroderma pigmentosum complementary group D on gastric cancer. Mol Biol Rep 2011; 38: 1507-1513.
- [52] Qian B, Zhang H, Zhang L, Zhou X, Yu H, Chen K. Association of genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair pathway genes with non-small cell lung cancer risk. Lung Cancer 2011; 73: 138-146.
- [53] Ma W, Jin P, Guo Y. Single nucleotide polymorphisms of the DNA repair genes XPD and XRCC1 and the susceptibility to cervical squamous cell carcinoma. Prog Obstet Gynecol 2011; 20: 881-885.
- [54] Wang YH, Yeh SD, Shen KH, Shen CH, Tung MC, Liu CT, Chiou HY. Association of hOGG1 and XPD polymorphisms with urothelial carcinoma in Taiwan. Anticancer Res 2011; 31: 3939-3944.
- [55] Huang CG, Liu T, Lv GD, Liu Q, Feng JG, Lu XM. Analysis of XPD genetic polymorphisms of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a population of Yili Prefecture, in Xinjiang, China. Mol Biol Rep 2012; 39: 709-714.
- [56] Wang LZ, Wu XB, Wang P, Song CH, Wang CJ, Wang KJ, Zhang JY, Dai LP. Association of ERCC2 gene polymorphisms with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a Hehan Han population: a case-control study. Chin J Prev Med 2012; 46: 187-189.
- [57] Wu XB, Wang P, Yun YX, Wang K, Wang LZ, Wang KJ, Zhang JY, Dai LP. Association of XPD

gene polymorphisms with susceptibility of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Henan province. Chin J Public Health 2012; 28: 446-449.

- [58] Chen DQ, Yao DX, Zhao HY, Yang SJ. DNA repair gene ERCC1 and XPD polymorphisms predict glioma susceptibility and prognosis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012; 13: 2791-2794.
- [59] Zhou M, Wan HY, Gao BL, Ding YJ, Jun RX. Genetic polymorphisms of XPD and CDA and lung cancer risk. Oncology Lett 2012; 4: 247-251.
- [60] Guo LY, Jin XP, Niu W, Li XF, Liu BH, Wang YL. Association of XPD and XRCC1 genetic polymorphisms with hepatocellular carcinoma risk. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012; 13: 4423-4426.
- [61] Jiang Y, Yin M, Yu Z, Kang Y, Deng J, Liu B. Relationship of hOGG1 and XPD Gene Polymorphisms with the Risk of Gastric Cancer, Liver Cancer, and Colorectal Cancer. Chin J Clin Oncol 2012; 39: 1358-1362.
- [62] Tian S, Xiao Q, Zhang J, Yan X, Guo Z, Chen F, Li Q, Guan Z. The association between genetic polymorphisms of DNA repair genes XPD, XPC and susceptibility to laryngeal carcinoma. J Clin Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013; 27: 1199-1205.
- [63] Ouyang FD, Yang FL, Chen HC, Khan MA, Huang FM, Wan XX, Xu AH, Huang X, Zhou MJ, Fang Q, Zhang DZ. Polymorphisms of DNA repair genes XPD, XRCC1, and OGG1, and lung adenocarcinoma susceptibility in Chinese population. Tumour Biol 2013; 34: 2843-2848.
- [64] Ni M, Zhang WZ, Qiu JR, Liu F, Li M, Zhang YJ, Liu Q, Bai J. Association of ERCC1 and ERCC2 polymorphisms with colorectal cancer risk in a Chinese population. Sci Rep 2014; 4: 4112.
- [65] Lu B, Li J, Gao Q, Yu W, Yang Q, Li X. Laryngeal cancer risk and common single nucleotide polymorphisms in nucleotide excision repair pathway genes ERCC1, ERCC2, ERCC3, ERCC4, ERCC5 and XPA. Gene 2014; 542: 64-68.
- [66] Wu JS, Chen YP, Wang LC, Yang YJ, Deng CW, Hou BX, He ZL, Chen JX. Implication of polymorphisms in DNA repair genes with an increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. Genet Mol Res 2014; 13: 3812-3818.

- [67] Wang C, Ren CL, Wang HG, Liu R, Zhang Z, Zhang J. Polymorphisms of XRCC1 and ERCC2 genes in stomach cancer. Mod Prev Med 2014; 41: 2800-2803.
- [68] Hui L, Yue S, Gao G, Chang H, Li X. Association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in ERCC1 and ERCC2 with glioma risk. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 7451-7457.
- [69] Li SX, Zhu HL, Guo B, Yang Y, Wang HY, Sun JF, Cao YB. Correlation between XPD genetic polymorphism and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Acad J Chin PLA Med Sch 2014; 35: 853-857.
- [70] Zhu ML, He J, Wang M, Sun MH, Jin L, Wang X, Yang YJ, Wang JC, Zheng L, Xiang JQ, Wei QY. Potentially functional polymorphisms in the ERCC2 gene and risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Chinese populations. Sci Rep 2014; 4: 6281.
- [71] Zhu C, Deng Q, Xu Z, Zhu J. A study on the association of polymorphisms in XPC, XPD, XPG risk of bladder cancer and its clinicopathological parameters. Acta Univ Med Nanjing (Nat Sci) 2014; 34: 1355-1359, 1370.
- [72] Du Y, He Y, Mei Z, Qian L, Shi J, Jie Z. Association between genetic polymorphisms in XPD and XRCC1 genes and risks of non-small cell lung cancer in East Chinese Han population. Clin Respir J 2014; [Epub ahead of print].
- [73] Zhao J, Li H, Di J. Relation of polymorphisms of the XPD and GSTMI genes with susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma in Qinghai Tibetans. Chin J Hepatol 2014; 22: 831-836.
- [74] Pharoah PD, Dunning AM, Ponder BA, Easton DF. Association studies for finding cancer-susceptibility genetic variants. Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4: 850-860.
- [75] Zintzaras E, Lau J. Synthesis of genetic association studies for pertinent gene-disease associations requires appropriate methodological and statistical approaches. J Clin Epidemiol 2008; 61: 634-645.