Original Article Clinical analysis of continuous blood purification for the treatment of children with fulminant myocarditis

Chu-Qiao Sheng¹, Xin-Lun Dai², Yong Jia³, Yu-Mei Li¹

¹Department of Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU), The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130000, Jilin, China; ²School of Clinical Medicine, Jilin University, Changchun 130000, Jilin, China; ³School of Public Health, Jilin University, Changchun 130000, Jilin, China

Received November 27, 2015; Accepted April 9, 2016; Epub June 15, 2016; Published June 30, 2016

Abstract: In this study, we aimed to investigate the clinical value of continuous blood purification (CBP) for the treatment of children with fulminant myocarditis (FM). Forty-seven children with FM were recruited from the Department of Pediatric Intensive Care Unit of our hospital from November 2011 to April 2015, and were divided into an intervention group (children who underwent CBP) and a control group (children with no intervention). The following data were compared: sex; age; clinical outcomes; vital signs; and results of electrocardiogram (ECG), chest radiography, echocardiogram, and laboratory examinations. The differences in age and sex between the two groups were not statistically significant (P>0.05). The mortality of the intervention group (16.13%) was lower than that of the control group (43.75%), and the length of stay of the intervention group was shorter than that of the control group (P<0.01). After the treatment, the heart rate and blood pressure of the children in both groups improved to varying degrees. Abnormalities on ECG showed improvement, the cardio-thoracic ratio decreased, and impaired ejection fraction was restored. The laboratory examination results were all improved. The myocardial enzyme pattern and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) improved after 72 h in the control group and after 48 h in the intervention group. The levels of myoglobin, MB isoenzyme of creatine kinase, NT-proBNP, and lactic acid all significantly improved in the intervention group (P<0.01). We conclude that CBP could improve the outcomes in children with FM.

Keywords: FM, pediatric critical illness, CBP

Introduction

Fulminant myocarditis (FM) is a severe manifestation of viral myocarditis with acute onset and rapid progression. Congestive heart failure, cardiogenic shock, and other severe hemodynamic disorders may occur in the short term. and the mortality rate is extremely high [1]. Recently, many medical institutions proposed that providing treatment with mechanical circulatory assistance in vitro in the early course of the disease could effectively reduce the burden on the heart and improve circulation, allowing more time for basic medication to take effect [2-7]. However, relatively few studies have reported on the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for the treatment of FM in children. Because of the technical challenges, high cost, and other factors, ECMO has not been widely used in the field of pediatric critical care. Moreover, left ventricular assist devices and percutaneous cardiopulmonary support systems are also rarely used in children because of their operational limitations. Given the high mortality rate of FM, it is important to develop a relatively safe, economical, and practical method of treatment for pediatric critical care patients. Given the therapeutic focus that early stabilization of hemodynamics and removal of inflammatory mediators can reduce immune damage [8], CBP was applied to the treatment of children with FM in this study. The aim of this study was to investigate the clinical therapeutic effect of CBP in children with FM.

Methods

General data

Forty-seven children with FM who met the exclusion criteria were recruited from the Department of Pediatric Intensive Care Unit of the First Hospital of Jilin University, during November 2011 to April 2015. The patients included 27 boys and 20 girls (sex ratio, 1.35:1). The range

Cases47The selected child- ren were those with a diagnosis of FM weight (kg)12.70 (6.70, 15.75) sion of disease and severe hemodyna- mic changes. The following inclusion gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting Gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting Cardiovascular symptoms such as nausea, vomiting Cardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness Shortness of breath Dense small pulmonary rales13 (27.66) (21.2.8) (1) tachycardia with a heart rate >2 st- andard deviations sbortness of breath Gallop rhythm19 (22.28) (1) tachycardia with a heart rate >2 st- andard deviations above normal (with- out external stimu- lus, chronotropic dri (ii) systolic hypo- theart sounds low and blunt Gardioxsphygmia39 (82.98) (25.53)Inter tac >2 st- andard deviations above normal (with- dia lasting >0.5 (rit.47) dia lastin	Index	Indicators	clusion criteria
Females (cases/%)27 (57.45)The selected child- ren were those with a diagnosis of FM Age (month)Males (cases/%)20 (42.55)a diagnosis of FM a diagnosis of FMAge (month)14.35 (8.00, 32.50)with rapid progres- sion of disease and severe hemodyna- mic changes. The following inclusion Gastrointestinal symptoms such as cough, wheezing21 (44.68)Prodrome (cases/%)21 (44.68)following inclusion (Gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting13 (27.66)Neurological symptoms such as malaise, crying10 (21.28)(i) tachycardia with cardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness3 (6.38)Shortness of breath39 (82.98)andard deviations above normal (with- Dense small pulmonary rales36 (76.59)Interrupted apnea2 (4.25)ugs, or pain), or pro- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycardigressive bradycardi a dia lasting >0.5 h; dia lasting >0.	Cases	47	
Males (cases/%)20 (42.55)ref were those with a diagnosis of FM a diagnosis of FM with rapid progres- sion of disease and severe hemodyna- mic changes. The Fodrome (cases/%)20 (42.55)a diagnosis of FM with rapid progres- sion of disease and severe hemodyna- mic changes. The following inclusion cardiovascular symptoms such as nausea, vomiting cardiovascular symptoms such as nausea, vomiting a diagnosis of FM (i) tact/ycardia with cardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness Shortness of breath20 (42.55)a diagnosis of FM with rapid progres- sion of disease and severe hemodyna- mic changes. The following inclusion cardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness 3 (6.38)a heart rate >2 st- andard deviations above normal (with- out external stimu- us, chronotropic dr- us, chronotropic dr- us, chronotropic dr- us, chronotropic dr- us, chronotropic dr- us, chronotropic dr- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythm38 (80.85) s (74.47)grees with a biasting >0.5 h; dia lasting >0.	Females (cases/%)	27 (57.45)	The selected child-
Age (month)14.35 (8.00, 32.50)Weight (kg)12.70 (6.70, 15.75)Course before admission (d)2.55±1.54Prodrome (cases/%)Respiratory symptoms such as cough, wheezing Gastrointestinal symptoms such as malaise, crying10 (21.28)Gastrointestinal symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness3 (6.38)Cinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)3 (6.59)Cinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)a datagrosizeCinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)3 (76.59)Dense small pulmonary rales3 (6 (76.59)Interrupted apnea2 (4.25)Weakening pulse38 (80.85)Gallop rhythm8 (17.02)Gallop rhythm8 (17.02)Gallop rhythm9 (19.15)Hepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)Cardiac shock7 (14.89)Chages of ECG (cases/%)3 (70.21)Sinus tachycardia3 (10.63)Wentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)Sinus tachycardia3 (70.21)Ventricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)Shifted down ST-T4 (8.51)Shifted down ST-T3 (6.38)Shifted down ST-T3 (6.38)Cincces cardiac chamber19 (40.4)Pericardial effusion2 (4.25)National effusion2 (4.25)Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Cordiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04	Males (cases/%)	20 (42.55)	ren were those with
Weight (kg)12.70 (6.70, 15.75)Sion of disease and severe hemodyna- mic changes. The following inclusion (d)2.55±1.54Sion of disease and severe hemodyna- mic changes. The following inclusion (i) tachycardia with a heart rate >2 st. andard deviationsRespiratory symptoms such as nausea, vomiting Gastrointestinal symptoms such as malaise, crying (i) tachycardia with Cardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness Shortness of breath3 (6.38) a heart rate >2 st. andard deviationsDense small pulmonary rales Interrupted apnea2 (4.25) (4.25)ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycard deviations below for age without the cardiac shockPathologic murrur Shortness of Eacly Weakening pulse38 (80.85) (1) systolic hypo- pathologic murrur12 (25.53) (1) systolic hypo- pathologic murrurPathologic Gases/%) Shortness of Eacly Sychnosphygmia33 (70.21) (1) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- 33 (70.21)(1) mo- gressive bradycard deviations below drugs; and (iii) mo- ming of central venous pressure first degree A-V block33 (70.21) (CP). All children with a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as shifted down ST-T3 (6.38) (6.38)UCG Increase cardiac chamber19 (40.4) Pericardia leffusion2 (4.25) (24.25) (24.25)UCG Increase value of EF38 (80.8) (24.25) (24.25)congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as (24.25) (24.25)Varior chest (Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04crea calontion	Age (month)	14.35 (8.00, 32.50)	a diagnosis of Fivi
Course before admission (d)2.55±1.54Short of locates and of locates and mic changes. The respiratory symptoms such as acueh, wheezing Gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting (i) tachycardia with Cardiovascular symptoms such as nalaise, crying Cardiovascular symptoms such as nalaise, crying (i) tachycardia with Cardiovascular symptoms such as nalaise, crying (i) tachycardia with Cardiovascular symptoms such as nalatise, crying (i) tachycardia with Cardiovascular symptoms such as nalatise, crying (i) tachycardia with Cardiovascular symptoms such as nalatise, crying (i) tachycardia with Cardiovascular symptoms and signs (cases/%) Shortness of breath Dense small pulmonary rales (interrupted apnea (interrupted apnea (interrupted apnea (interrupted apnea (ii) systolic hypo- gressive bradycardia (ii) systolic hypo- gressive bradycardia (ii) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- Sychnosphygmia Shortnes of Cases/%) Shortnes of Cases/%)Interrupted apnea (ii) systolic hypo- gressive bradycardia (iii) systolic hypo- gressive bradycardia (iii) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- sychnosphygmia Sinus tachycardiaStange (iii) systolic hypo- itension 2 standa- (iii) systolic hypo- itension 2 standa- (iii) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- (iii) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- (iii) systolic hypo- intoring of central venous pressure (CVP). All children (iii) mo- nitoring of central vascular malforma- toring second degree A-V blockStifted down ST-T (iii) se	Weight (kg)	12.70 (6.70, 15.75)	sion of disease and
Prodrome (cases/%)Derice homeges/me	Course before admission (d)	2.55±1.54	severe hemodyna-
Respiratory symptoms such as cough, wheezing21 (44.68)following inclusionGastrointestinal symptoms such as nalaise, crying10 (21.28)(i) tachycardia withCardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness3 (6.38)a heart rate >2 stClinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)39 (82.98)above normal (with-Shortness of breath39 (82.98)out external stimu-Dense small pulmonary rales36 (76.59)lus, chronotropic dr-Interrupted apnea2 (4.25)ugs, or pain), or pro-Weakening pulse38 (80.85)gressive bradycar-Heart sounds low and blunt35 (74.47)(ii) systolic hypo-Pathologic murmur12 (25.53)tension 2 standa-Sychnosphygmia38 (80.85)the normal valueBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal valueHeatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without theCardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactiveGruice a-V block2 (4.25)vasouciar cardio-Nins tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of centralVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Raised ST-T3 (6.38)congenital cardio-Shifted down ST-T3 (6.38)congenital cardio-VCGIncrease cardiac chamber19 (40.4)Pericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-UCGCardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04	Prodrome (cases/%)		mic changes. The
Gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting13 (27.66)criteria were used:Neurological symptoms such as malaise, crying10 (21.28)(i) tachycardia withCardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness3 (6.38)a heart rate >2 stShortness of breath39 (82.98)out external stimulus, chronotropic drDense small pulmonary rales36 (76.59)uus, chronotropic drInterrupted apnea2 (4.25)ugs, or pain), or pro-Weakening pulse38 (80.85)gressive bradycardiaHeart sounds low and blunt35 (74.47)dia lasting >0.5 ft;Gallop rhythm8 (17.02)(ii) systolic hypo-Hehologic murmur12 (25.53)tension 2 standa-Sychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations belowBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal valueHepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without theCardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactiveGruise achycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of centralVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block4 (8.51)congenital cardio-Naised ST-T4 (8.51)vascular malforma-Shifted down ST-T3 (6.38)children with malig-Increase cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chestCardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04	Respiratory symptoms such as cough, wheezing	21 (44.68)	following inclusion
Neurological symptoms such as malaise, crying10 (21.28)(i) tachycardia with a heart rate >2 st- andard deviationsClinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)3 (6.38)a heart rate >2 st- andard deviationsShortness of breath39 (82.98)above normal (with- out external stimu- us, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythm38 (80.85)Heart sounds low and blunt35 (74.47) (ii) systolic hypo- pathologic murmur12 (25.53)tension 2 standa- deviations below bradycardiaBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal walue deviations below67 (24.89)use of vasoactive drugs; and (iii) mo- mitoring of central ventous low and blockChanges of ECG (cases/%)33 (70.21)for age without the out get a history of congenital cardio- weakenting block33 (70.21)Sinus tachycardia93 (70.21)for age without the ornal walue for age without the deviations below drugs; and (iii) mo- sinus tachycardia33 (70.21)First degree A-V block5 (10.63)(CVP). All children with a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with maligrUCG Increase cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.Variational effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- cors exelutionUCG Increase value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu- ded.X-ray of chestCardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04	Gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting	13 (27.66)	criteria were used:
Cardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness3 (6.38)a heart rate >2 st- andard deviationsClinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)39 (82.98)above normal (with- out external stimu- lus, chronotropic dr- uls, schronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; dia lasting <0.5 h; dia lasting <0.5 h; dia lasting <0.5 h; dia lasting <	Neurological symptoms such as malaise, crying	10 (21.28)	(i) tachycardia with
Clinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)andard deviations above normal (with- Dense small pulmonary ralesandard deviations above normal (with- Dense small pulmonary ralesInterrupted apnea2 (4.25)us, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythm38 (80.85)gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmHeart sounds low and blunt35 (74.47)dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythm8 (17.02)(ii) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- sychnosphygniaPathologic murmur12 (25.33)tension 2 standa- Sychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations below drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central venous pressureChanges of ECG (cases/%)7 (14.89)use of vasoactive drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central venous pressureFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)(CVP). All children with a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tons, as well as shifted down ST-T3 (6.38)children with mailg- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and holic diseases, and deviations dis- congenital ffusionUCG10 (40.4)bolic diseases, and pericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with mailg- net tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and ded.UCG2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with mailg- net cardia effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- children with mailg- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and ded.UCGCardiothoracic ratio2	Cardiovascular symptoms such as palpitation, chest tightness	3 (6.38)	a heart rate >2 st-
Shortness of breath39 (82.98)above normal (with- out external stimu- lus, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmabove normal (with- out external stimu- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmabove normal (with- out external stimu- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmabove normal (with- out external stimu- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmabove normal (with- out external stimu- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmabove normal (with- out external stimu- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmPathologic murmur12 (25.53)tension 2 standa- sychnosphygmiaSychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations below BradycardiaBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal value HepatosplenomegalyChanges of ECG (cases/%)7 (14.89)use of vasoactive drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central Ventricular arrhythmiaSinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of central venous pressureVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)(CVP). All children with a history of vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- lncrease cardiac chamberUCG102 (4.25)chromosomal dis- chromosomal dis- Decrease value of EFVerticular effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04<	Clinical symptoms and signs (cases/%)		andard deviations
Dense small pulmonary rales36 (76.59)out external stimulic, thronotropic drivesInterrupted apnea2 (4.25)lus, chronotropic drivesWeakening pulse38 (80.85)gressive bradycardiaHeart sounds low and blunt35 (74.47)dia lasting >0.5 h;Gallop rhythm8 (17.02)(ii) systolic hypoPathologic murmur12 (25.53)tension 2 standa-Sychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations belowBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal valueHepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without theCardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactivedrugs; and (iii) mo-sinus tachycardia33 (70.21)Ventricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)venous pressureRaised ST-T3 (6.38)congenital cardio-Shifted down ST-T3 (6.38)tions, as well asChromese cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chest38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04congenital cardio-	Shortness of breath	39 (82.98)	above normal (with-
Interrupted apnea2 (4.25)IUS, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmUss, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmUss, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmUss, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmUss, chronotropic dr- ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmStating >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmPathologic murmur12 (25.53)tension 2 standa- standa- SychnosphygmiaStating >0.5 h; deviations belowBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal value HepatosplenomegalyGal (70.21)for age without the drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central venous pressure drugs; and (iii) mo- sinus tachycardiaChanges of ECG (cases/%)Gallop (20.4)nitoring of central venous pressure of central venous pressureFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)(CVP). All children venous pressure vascular malforma- tions, as well as congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and Pericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- ded.UCGIncrease cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and pericardial effusioncrea seleation ded.Verified down ST-T38 (80.8)orders, were exclu- ded.Vary of chest0Core seleationCardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Core seleation <td>Dense small pulmonary rales</td> <td>36 (76.59)</td> <td>out external stimu-</td>	Dense small pulmonary rales	36 (76.59)	out external stimu-
Weakening pulse38 (80.85)ugs, or pain), or pro- gressive bradycar- dia lasting >0.5 h; Gallop rhythmGallop rhythm8 (17.02)(ii) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- SychnosphygmiaSychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations below BradycardiaHeat sounds low and blunt9 (19.15)the normal value HepatosplenomegalyGardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactive drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central Ventricular arrhythmiaFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)venous pressure congenital cardio- vascular malforma- Raised ST-TShifted down ST-T4 (8.51)tions, as well as shifted down ST-TUCG10 (40.4)bolic diseases, and pericardial effusionUCG19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and pericardia effusionUCG2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.Acadiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04	Interrupted apnea	2 (4.25)	lus, chronotropic dr-
Heart sounds low and blunt35 (74.47)glessive bradycartGallop rhythm8 (17.02)(ii) systolic hypo-Pathologic murmur12 (25.53)tension 2 standa-Sychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations belowBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal valueHepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without theCardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactiveChanges of ECG (cases/%)drugs; and (iii) mo-Sinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of centralVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block4 (8.51)congenital cardio-Second degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Raised ST-T4 (8.51)tions, as well asShifted down ST-T3 (6.38)children with malig-Increase cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chest2 (8.20.04)case calcutionCardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04case calcution	Weakening pulse	38 (80.85)	ugs, or pain), or pro-
Gallop rhythm8 (17.02)(ii) systolic hypo- (ii) systolic hypo- tension 2 standa- sychnosphygmiaSychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations below BradycardiaHepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without the cardiac shockChanges of ECG (cases/%)7 (14.89)use of vasoactive drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central ventricular arrhythmiaFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)venous pressure congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as shifted down ST-TUCGIncrease cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and pericardial effusionUCG2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and pericardial effusion2 (4.25)UCG2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- children with malig- Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)Operease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu- ded.X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Cara caloption	Heart sounds low and blunt	35 (74.47)	dia lasting >0.5 h
Pathologic murmur12 (25.3)tension 2 standa- tension 2 standa- standa- ychnosphygmiaSychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations belowBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal valueHepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without theCardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactiveChanges of ECG (cases/%)drugs; and (iii) mo-Sinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of centralVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)(CVP). All childrenSecond degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Third degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Raised ST-T3 (6.38)children with malig- nant tumors, meta-Increase cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.X-ray of chest38 (80.8)orders, were exclu- ded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Cara caloption	Gallop rhythm	8 (17.02)	(ii) systolic hypo-
Sychnosphygmia38 (80.85)rd deviations belowBradycardia9 (19.15)the normal valueHepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without theCardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactiveChanges of ECG (cases/%)drugs; and (iii) mo-Sinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of centralVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block4 (8.51)congenital cardio-Second degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Third degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Raised ST-T4 (8.51)tions, as well aschildren with malig-19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Cara caloation	Pathologic murmur	12 (25.53)	tension 2 standa-
Bradycardia9 (19.15)the normal valueHepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without theCardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactiveChanges of ECG (cases/%)drugs; and (iii) mo-Sinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of centralVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)with a history ofSecond degree A-V block2 (4.25)congenital cardio-Nind degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Raised ST-T4 (8.51)tions, as well aschildren with malig-19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Cace coloration	Sychnosphygmia	38 (80.85)	rd deviations below
Hepatosplenomegaly33 (70.21)for age without the use of vasoactive drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central venous pressureChanges of ECG (cases/%)33 (70.21)nitoring of central venous pressureSinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of central venous pressureVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressure (CVP). All children with a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and Pericardial effusion2 (4.25)Varay of chest19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Cace selection	Bradycardia	9 (19.15)	the normal value
Cardiac shock7 (14.89)use of vasoactive drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central venous pressureChanges of ECG (cases/%)33 (70.21)nitoring of central venous pressureSinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of central venous pressureVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressure (CVP). All children with a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and Pericardial effusion2 (4.25)VCG19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.Varay of chest38 (80.8)orders, were exclu- ded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Case solvation	Hepatosplenomegaly	33 (70.21)	for age without the
Changes of ECG (cases/%)drugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central venous pressure (CVP). All children with a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and Pericardial effusion Decrease value of EFdrugs; and (iii) mo- nitoring of central venous pressure (CVP). All children with a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and orders, were exclu- ded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04	Cardiac shock	7 (14.89)	use of vasoactive
Sinus tachycardia33 (70.21)nitoring of centralVentricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)venous pressureFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)(CVP). All childrenSecond degree A-V block4 (8.51)with a history ofThird degree A-V block2 (4.25)congenital cardio-Raised ST-T4 (8.51)tions, as well asShifted down ST-T3 (6.38)children with malig-UCG19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Case selection	Changes of ECG (cases/%)		drugs; and (iii) mo-
Ventricular arrhythmia5 (10.63)Venous pressureFirst degree A-V block5 (10.63)(CVP). All childrenSecond degree A-V block4 (8.51)congenital cardio-Third degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Raised ST-T4 (8.51)tions, as well asShifted down ST-T3 (6.38)children with malig-UCG19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Case selection	Sinus tachycardia	33 (70.21)	nitoring of central
First degree A-V block5 (10.63)(CVP). All childrenSecond degree A-V block4 (8.51)vith a history ofThird degree A-V block2 (4.25)vascular malforma-Raised ST-T4 (8.51)tions, as well asShifted down ST-T3 (6.38)children with malig-UCGIncrease cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Case coloction	Ventricular arrhythmia	5 (10.63)	venous pressure
Second degree A-V block4 (8.51)With a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and Pericardial effusion2 (4.25)With a history of congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Case coloration	First degree A-V block	5 (10.63)	(CVP). All children
Third degree A-V block2 (4.25)Congenital Cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and Pericardial effusion2 (4.25)congenital cardio- vascular malforma- tions, as well as children with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.X-ray of chest0.66±0.04Case coloration	Second degree A-V block	4 (8.51)	with a history of
Raised ST-T4 (8.51)Vascular manormation ration ratio r	Third degree A-V block	2 (4.25)	vaccular malforma
Shifted down ST-T3 (6.38)down dia discreteUCGchildren with malig- nant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and Pericardial effusion19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and bolic diseases, and dis- orders, were exclu- ded.X-ray of chest38 (80.8)orders, were exclu- ded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Case selection	Raised ST-T	4 (8.51)	tions as well as
UCGnant tumors, meta- bolic diseases, and bolic diseases, and chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.UCG19 (40.4)bolic diseases, and bolic diseases, and chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded.Veray of chest38 (80.8)orders, were exclu- ded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04Case coloction	Shifted down ST-T	3 (6.38)	children with malig-
Increase cardiac chamber19 (40.4)bolic diseases, andPericardial effusion2 (4.25)chromosomal dis-Decrease value of EF38 (80.8)orders, were exclu-X-ray of chestded.Cardiothoracic ratio0.66±0.04	UCG		nant tumors, meta-
Pericardial effusion 2 (4.25) chromosomal dis- orders, were exclu- ded. X-ray of chest ded. Cardiothoracic ratio 0.66±0.04 Case selection	Increase cardiac chamber	19 (40.4)	bolic diseases, and
Decrease value of EF 38 (80.8) orders, were excluded. X-ray of chest ded. Cardiothoracic ratio 0.66±0.04	Pericardial effusion	2 (4.25)	chromosomal dis-
X-ray of chest ded. Cardiothoracic ratio 0.66±0.04	Decrease value of EF	38 (80.8)	orders, were exclu-
Cardiothoracic ratio 0.66±0.04	X-ray of chest		ded.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Cardiothoracic ratio	0.66±0.04	Case selection

of length of hospital stay was 10-27 days, and the length of stay after the initiation of treatment ranged from 9 h to 7 days. This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. This study was conducted with approval from the Ethics Committee of Jilin University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Related data are shown in Table 1.

Children with FM who were admitted to the hospital without having undergone CBP were set as the control group (n = 16) and received standard care. The controls included 10 boys and 6 girls aged 3 months to 9 years with a weight range of 3.8-17 kg. The intervention group consisted of 31 children with FM who received standard care plus CBP. The intervention group included 17 boys and 14 girls aged 2

Inclusion and ex-

Table 2. Catheter selection of children with
different weight

Weight	Diameter	Lumen
<6 kg	4~5 F	Single
6~12 kg	6.5~7.5 F	Double
12~20 kg	8.5~9 F	Double
>20 kg	9~11 F	Double

Table 3. Selection of filtering membrane area
and filter of children with different weight

		-
Weight	Membrane area	Filter
<3 kg (infants)	0.1 m ²	AV paed
<20 kg	0.2-0.3 m ²	AV 400 S
>20 kg	0.4-0.6 m ²	AV 600 S

months to 14 years with a weight range of 4.4-40 kg.

Data collection

Laboratory examinations including those for C-reactive protein, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, myocardial enzymes, and blood gas analysis were performed on admission. These examinations were repeated at 48 and 72 h after treatment. The vital signs (temperature, heart rate, blood pressure, respiration, CVP), electrocardiogram (ECG), echocardiogram (chamber dimensions, ejection fraction [EF] value, cardiac output, chest wall motion, and presence or absence of pericardial effusion), and chest radiograph (cardio-thoracic ratio) of all study subjects were recorded on admission and at 48 and 72 h after admission.

Intervention

Children in the control group were given standard support including ECG monitoring, ventilation, sedation, and usual treatment. The controls were treated with antiviral agents (ganciclovir and vidarabine), creatine phosphate sodium for myocardial nutrition, and digitalis and diuretics. Dopamine, norepinephrine, and other vasoactive drugs were added to increase cardiac output and support blood pressure. Patients were given high-dose corticosteroids (10 mg×kg¹×day¹, 3 days) and intravenous immunoglobulin (1 g×kg¹×day⁻¹, 2 days) to modulate their immune responses once at admission. Children with a low heart rate were given isoproterenol, as a temporary pacemaker could be implanted due to the existence of a third compartment. Lidocaine was administered in patients with ventricular tachycardia.

In addition to the above treatment, the intervention group was also treated with CBP. Written informed consent was obtained from all families. Extracorporeal circulation was established by inserting a single-needle double-lumen catheter in the femoral vein, with the type of catheter chosen according to the weight of the patient as shown in Table 2. Fresenius (Fresenius Medical Care AG, Frankfurter, Germany) polysulfone membrane transfusion filters were selected with the choice of filtrate according to the patient's body surface area, as shown in Table 3. The Fresenius Multifiltrate was used in the continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration/continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVHDF/CVVH) mode. Heparin sodium (30 U/kg) was given before CBP treatment, and CBP was performed after the activated clotting time (ACT) exceeded 160 s. During treatment, 10-20 U/kg heparin sodium was continuously infused to maintain the ACT in the range of 160-180 s (activated partial thromboplastin time [APTT], 60-80 s). The initial flow velocity of blood was 10-20 mL/min and gradually increased to the target velocity of 3-5 mL×kg⁻¹×min⁻¹. The velocity of replacement fluid was 30-50 mL×kg⁻¹×h⁻¹, and the flow rate of the dialysis fluid was 25-50 mL×kg⁻¹×h⁻¹. The treatment parameters and content of the dialysate were adjusted on a per-patient basis.

Vasoactive agents were used as required, including adrenaline (0.1-0.3 mg×kg⁻¹×min⁻¹), dopamine (10-15 mg×kg⁻¹×min⁻¹), and milrinone (0.5 mg×kg⁻¹×min⁻¹). Colloidal fluids (suspension of red blood cells, human serum albumin, or hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.4 sodium chloride injection) were used to expand systemic capacity to avoid a rapid decrease of blood pressure at the initial stage of CBP treatment. In the some cases, digoxin was used to improve the function of the left ventricle. During treatment with CBP, patient responses were evaluated by monitoring vital signs and laboratory indicators, and the CBP treatment time was adjusted accordingly. When cardiac function and circulatory stability were restored, the dose of vasoactive drugs was gradually tapered and CBP treatment was stopped.

Index	Group	n	Before treatment	48 h after treatment	72 h after treatment
Heart rate (times/minute)	Control	9	194.89±17.87	161.11±14.92	138.44±5.77*
	Experiment	26	202.23±15.07	158.56±15.19*	132.64±4.54
Respiration (times/minute)	Control	9	57.23±27.05	40.63±15.72	31.58±6.27*
	Experiment	26	61.42±13.51	38.13±9.26*	29.65±7.32
CVP (cmH ₂ 0)	Control	9	11.71±1.90	10.68±1.23	9.58±1.23*
	Experiment	26	12.33±2.01	10.12±0.92*	7.84±0.83**

Table 4. The changes of vital signs in two groups of children survived

Note: *Compare with the condition before treatment, P<0.05, **Compare with the condition before treatment, P<0.01.

Table 5. Comparison of laboratory examination indicators in two groups of children with survived

Index Indicator	Group	n	Before treatment	48 h after treatment	72 h after treatment
Troponin (ng/mL)	Control	9	3.23 (2.17, 5.9)	2.42 (1.78, 3.14)	1.01 (0.69, 1.35)*
	Experiment	26	5.06 (2.78, 6.44)	3.27 (1.86, 3.74)*	0.71 (0.63, 1.12)
Myoglobin (ug/L)	Control	9	521.90 (286.90, 610.1)	347.02 (207.10, 414.46)	212.23 (120.45, 250.34)**
	Experiment	26	793.46 (563.51, 1346.34)	510.56 (237.35, 702.12)**	201.27(124.09, 354.66)
CKMB (ng/mL)	Control	9	431.90 (336.98, 476.44)	248.28 (216.46, 302.35)*	110.22 (99.11, 141.43)
	Experiment	26	442.04 (343.70, 585.33)	247.11 (191.35, 267.40)**	98.37 (51.29, 113.20)
CK (IU/L)	Control	9	485.70 (371.31, 802.11)	309.67 (249.67, 410.16)	182.36 (146.28, 202.42)*
	Experiment	26	594.00 (553.36, 1706.04)	325.01 (296.31, 1221.00)*	148.48 (123.80, 567.44)
NT-proBNP (pg/ml)	Control	9	8747.0 (5194.0, 11060.0)	6201.0 (5001.0, 8933.0)	3891.0 (2691.0, 5440.0)*
	Experiment	26	30744.0 (9780.0, 10.0900.0)	14633.0 (5776.0, 63420.0)**	5267.0 (4603.0, 29002.0)
WBC (×109/L)	Control	9	17.94 (2.93, 25.56)	13.88 (3.80, 21.02)	8.90 (3.86, 16.54)**
	Experiment	26	17.62 (3.68, 23.33)	14.1 (3.67, 17.33)*	7.49 (3.99, 14.54)
LAC (mmol/L)	Control	9	5.10 (1.70, 5.90)	3.10 (1.30, 4.60)*	1.70 (1.30, 4.30)
	Experiment	26	7.30 (2.80, 13.50)	2.70 (1.88, 5.70)**	1.50 (1.30, 2.20)
AST (u/L)	Control	9	172.15 (78.68, 219.33)	127.66 (79.20, 144.58)*	64.84 (50.38, 95.94)*
	Experiment	26	1195.75 (770.78, 1255.05)	633.85 (373.28, 885.78)*	217.80 (195.42, 298.74)

Note: *Compare with the condition before treatment, P<0.05, **Compare with the condition before treatment, P<0.01.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by using the statistical software package SPSS 20.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), and nonnormal data were measured as median (interquartile range), P_{50} (P_{25} , P_{75}). Normally distributed data were measured as \overline{x} ±s. Paired t-test was used for measurement data. Wilcoxon rank sum test and independent-sample Mann-Whitney U-test were used for comparisons between the two groups. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Forty-seven children with FM, including 27 boys and 20 girls, were enrolled in the study. The age range was 2 months to 14 years with a median age of 14.35 (8.00, 32.50) months. The weight range was 3.8-4.0 kg with a median weight of 12.70 (6.70, 15.75) kg. The differences in age and weight between the groups were not statistically significant (P>0.05). In the control group, 9 children with FM survived and 7 died (mortality rate, 43.75%), whereas in the intervention group, 26 children with FM survived and 5 died (mortality rate, 16.13%). The average hospitalization time of the control group and the intervention group was 20.38 ± 4.59 days and 16.05 ± 2.13 days, respectively, and the difference was statistically significant (P<0.01).

Improvements were seen during 24-48 h in heart rate, respiration, CVP, and other vital signs in the survivors in both groups (**Table 4**). Two patients with cardiogenic shock died, whereas three patients survived in the intervention group. After CBP treatment for 24-48 h, the blood pressure of the survivors gradually increased to within the normal range. In both groups, there were varying degrees of improvement in children with ventricular arrhythmia,



Figure 1. Diagram of femoral vein puncture.

atrioventricular block, and other abnormal changes of ECG after active treatment. The cardio-thoracic ratio of the children increased initially, and then gradually recovered after active treatment (0.57±0.03). The EF in both groups initially decreased significantly on echo-cardiography (25-32%), and then gradually increased to 31-38% after treatment. Cardiac chamber enlargement (particularly enlargement of the left ventricle and atrium) and pericardial effusion progressively reduced. There were no significant differences in the changes of ECG, chest radiograph, and echocardiogram findings (P>0.05).

The levels of laboratory indicators of myocardial injury were significantly elevated initially and decreased after treatment, as shown in Table 5. Significant improvements (P<0.05) were seen in the levels of myoglobin, MB isoenzyme of creatine kinase (CKMB), NT-proBNP, and lactic acid (P<0.01). After standard treatment for 72 h, the laboratory parameters of children with FM in the control group improved significantly, whereas those in the CBP group showed significantly more rapid improvement of laboratory parameters. During CBP treatment in the intervention group, there were four cases of hypotension (12.9%), six cases of hypothermia (19.35%), three cases of severe exudation at the injection site (9.67%), five cases of hematuria (16.13%), nine cases of decreased platelets (29.03%), and one case of deep vein thrombosis (3.23%). No fistulae at the puncture site or catheter-related infections occurred, and no allergic reactions or aeroembolism developed.

Discussion

With acute onset, FM can rapidly result in hemodynamic deterioration and severe myocardial injury with a high associated mortality rate [1, 7, 9]. The efficacy of conservative treatment with drugs is not ideal. CBP can effectively improve hemodynamics, remove systemic inflammatory mediators, rapidly correct acidbase imbalances, and improve the reactivity of blood vessels to vasoactive drugs [10-12], thus improving the chances for survival of children with FM.

CVVHDF/CVVH was selected as the treatment mode in this study as it is the standard mode of CBP for children [13]. Solute and water were removed continuously, osmotically, and slowly with CBP, and the changes in capacity and colloid osmotic pressure per unit of time were small. This approach ensures adequate perfusion of body tissues [14] and stable hemodynamics, an approach that is applicable to smaller critically ill children. In this study, we observed that the surviving children with FM benefitted from the stable hemodynamics of CBP treatment. In addition, removing inflammatory mediators nonspecifically by using a highpermeability membrane can block the inflammatory cascade in vivo, thereby relieving the systemic inflammatory reaction and improving the prognosis of children with FM [15]. The troponin, BNP, and CKMB of children in the intervention group increased rapidly to peak within 24 h, and then decreased rapidly after CBP especially in the first 48 h, suggesting that CBP treatment can effectively remove a number of inflammatory mediators in vivo and block the inflammatory cascade. The mortality of children with FM was considered to be associated with a delay in hospital admission, rapid progression of the condition, recalcitrant cardiogenic shock, and malnutrition or other underlying illnesses.

In this study, we encountered several challenges in the CBP treatment of children with FM, as follows: (i) determination of the earliest treatment time and the optimal duration of treatment; (ii) establishment of vascular access; (iii) anticoagulation in the process of treatment; (iv) ensuring stable hemodynamics during treatment; (v) fluid and electrolyte balance; (vi) clearance of drugs; and (vii) complications.

For children with FM, there is no specific evidence-based recommendation for the timing of initiation of circulatory assistance in vitro. However, given the clinical experience demonstrated in this study, the decision of the time to start treatment is based on the accurate prediction of the development of clinical complications and the overall condition of the patient with FM. The decision cannot wait for the test indicators to achieve a certain degree of severity, or it will be too late to apply CBP treatment. Meanwhile, the length of time of CBP treatment can be adjusted according to factors such as the degree of functional recoverv and the recovery of laboratory examination indicators. Clinicians are advised to monitor objective results and avoid repeated procedures that can lead to large fluctuations in blood volume and increased burden on the heart.

The establishment of good vascular access is a basic requirement for the process of CBP. It was relatively difficult for us to establish effective vascular access owing to the tenuous blood vessels of some of the patients, necessitating the selection of femoral venous punctures to place a catheter with a single needle and double lumen, as shown in **Figure 1**. For children with a low body weight and fragile veins, catheters with a single needle and a single lumen can be chosen to ensure the blood flow needed in the treatment [12, 16].

In this study, heparin sodium was used as an anticoagulant. The ACT/APTT was carefully monitored during treatment, and generally measured every 1-2 h to maintain an ACT result in the 160-180 s range (APTT, 60-80 s). Protamine was immediately administered in cases of bleeding [17, 18].

For hemodynamic control, vascular access and dialyzers for children were used to reduce the impact on the effective circulating blood volume in the early phases of treatment [14]. Objective evaluation of the patient condition, appropriate selection of the treatment line and mode, and sufficient preload can effectively promote the safety of treatment. For children with a low body weight, colloidal solutions can be used in the initial treatment in order to gradually improve perfusion. Physiological colloids such as a suspension of red blood cells are preferred. During treatment, the relative stability of the effective circulating blood volume should be maintained, and the rate of target therapy should be controlled at 30-50 mL/kg. The transmembrane pressures and pressures in the lines, arteries, and veins should be closely monitored to avoid stagnation of the blood in the extracorporeal circuit, which may result in thrombosis and hemorrhage. Vital signs and the volume of fluid per hour were closely monitored to avoid increasing the physiologic burden of the CBP treatment. In addition, blood gasses and calorie intake were monitored, and the internal environment and acid-base balance was adjusted regularly.

Pharmacological therapies such as anti-infectives and organ support are still needed in the process of CBP treatment. As drugs of small molecular weight or low protein binding rate can be cleared [19-21] through CBP, the dosages were adjusted during CBP treatment.

In this study, complications developed during CBP treatment, including hypotension, hypothermia, exudation at the injection site, hematuria, decreased platelets, and deep vein thrombosis. No fistulae at the puncture sites and no catheter-related infections occurred, and there were no incidences of allergy or aeroembolism. Before treatment, a full assessment of the effective circulatory volume was estimated and fluids were supplemented appropriately. Administration of vasoactive drugs may prevent the occurrence of hypotension. Hypothermia occurred in patients with a low body weight during treatment with CBP, which was considered to result from higher extracorporeal circulation volume and longer treatment times. We used double heaters before dialysis and filtration with the Fresenius blood purification machine. Adjusting the temperature appropriately and using external warming blankets can effectively protect patients from hypothermia.

To minimize bleeding with the use of heparin, careful monitoring of coagulation was required and the ACT range was strictly controlled during treatment. Moreover, fluctuations in blood platelet levels and in the pressure of arteries, veins, and transmembranes needed to be closely observed. Once the treatment finished, ultrasound of the deep veins as well as catheter cultures were obtained and addressed appropriately to avoid complications.

Owing to the small number of FM cases and the short amount of time patients spent at our department, there was no long-term follow-up performed. In addition, the levels of various cytokines in these patients should be studied in the future.

Conclusions

CBP can effectively stabilize the hemodynamics and reduce mortality in children with FM. The levels of myoglobin, troponin, BNP, and lactic acid in children with FM can be decreased significantly with CBP treatment.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address corresponding to: Yu-Mei Li, Department of Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU), The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130000, Jilin, China. Tel: +86 15804302907; E-mail: yumeilidoc@163.com

References

- [1] Sankar J, Khalil S, Jeeva Sankar M, Kumar D and Dubey N. Short-term outcomes of acute fulminant myocarditis in children. Pediatr Cardiol 2011; 32: 885-890.
- [2] Bratincsák A, El-Said HG, Bradley JS, Shayan K, Grossfeld PD and Cannavino CR. Fulminant myocarditis associated with pandemic H1N1 influenza A virus in children. J Am Col Cardiol 2010; 55: 928-929.
- [3] Allan CK, Thiagarajan RR, del Nido PJ, Roth SJ, Almodovar MC and Laussen PC. Indication for initiation of mechanical circulatory support impacts survival of infants with shunted singleventricle circulation supported with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2007; 133: 660-667.
- [4] Guglin M and Miller L. Myocardial recovery with left ventricular assist devices. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med 2012; 14: 370-383.
- [5] Mirabel M, Luyt CE, Leprince P, Trouillet JL, Léger P, Pavie A, Chastre J and Combes A. Outcomes, long-term quality of life, and psychologic assessment of fulminant myocarditis patients rescued by mechanical circulatory support. Crit Care Med 2011; 39: 1029-1035.
- [6] Rajagopal SK, Almond CS, Laussen PC, Rycus PT, Wypij D and Thiagarajan RR. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for the support of in-

fants, children, and young adults with acute myocarditis: a review of the Extrecorporeal Life Support Organization Registry. Crit Care Med 2010; 38: 382-387.

- [7] Nakamura T, Ishida K, Taniguchi Y, Nakagawa T, Seguchi M, Wada H, Sugawara Y, Funayama H, Mitsuhashi T and Momomura S. Prognosis of patients with fulminant myocarditis managed by peripheral venoarterial extracorporeal membranous oxygenation support: a retrospective single-center study. J Intensive Care 2015; 3: 5.
- [8] Canter CE and Simpson KP. Diagnosis and treatment of myocarditis in children in the current era. Circulation 2014; 129: 115-128.
- [9] Kodama M, Oda H, Okabe M, Aizawa Y and Izumi T. Early and long-term mortality of the clinical subtypes of myocarditis. Jpn Circ J 2001; 65: 961-964.
- [10] Lu GP, Lu ZJ, Zhang LE, He J, Hu J and Wu F. Continuous blood purification therapy in 22 children with sepsis. Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi 2006; 44: 573-578.
- [11] Wang HL and Yu KJ. Three cases of electrical storm in fulminant myocarditis treated by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 6304-6309.
- [12] Hanna W and Wong HR. Pediatric sepsis: challenges and adjunctive therapies. Crit Care Clin 2013; 29: 203-222.
- [13] Honoré PM, Jacobs R, Boer W, Joannes-Boyau O, De Regt J, De Waele E, Van Gorp V, Collin V and Spapen HD. New insights regarding rationale, therapeutic target and dose of hemofiltration and hybrid therapies in septic acute kidney injury. Blood Purif 2012; 33: 44-51.
- [14] Rimmelé T and Kellum JA. Clinical review: blood purification for sepsis. Crit Care 2011; 15: 205.
- [15] Sheng CQ, Zhang Z, Li YM and Jia Y. Efficacy of continuous blood purification in the treatment of childhood fulminant myocarditis. Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi 2015; 17: 638-641.
- [16] Duncan BW, Bohn DJ, Atz AM, French JW, Laussen PC and Wessel DL. Mechanical circulatory support for thetreatment of children with acute fulminant myocarditis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2001; 122: 440-448.
- [17] Hirsh J, Raschke R, Warkentin TE, Dalen JE, Deykin D and Poller L. Heparin: mechanism of action, pharmacokinetics, dosing considerations, monitoring, efficacy, and safety. Chest 1995; 108: 258S-275S.
- [18] Jaques LB. Protamine--antagonist to heparin. Can Med Assoc J 1973; 108: 1291-1297.
- [19] Choi G, Gomersall CD, Tian Q, Joynt GM, Freebairn R and Lipman J. Principles of antibacterial dosing in continuous renal replace-

ment therapy. Crit Care Med 2009; 37: 2268-2282.

- [20] Wieczorek A, Tokarz A, Gaszynski W and Gaszynski T. The doripenem serum concentrations in intensive care patients suffering from acute kidney injury, sepsis, and multi organ dysfunction syndrome undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy slow low-efficiency dialysis. Drug Des Devel Ther 2014; 8: 2039-2044.
- [21] Hassan Y, Al-Ramahi RJ, Aziz NA and Ghazali R. Impact of a renal drug dosing service on dose adjustment in hospitalized patients with chronic kidney disease. Ann Pharmacother 2009; 43: 1589-1605.