# Original Article The efficacy and safety of sulodexide in patients with diabetic nephropathy: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Hong-Xia Shang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jun-Yu Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Xue Shen<sup>1,3</sup>, Tian Cai<sup>1,3</sup>, Dong-Mei Zhang<sup>2,4</sup>, Jian-Jun Dong<sup>4</sup>, Lin Liao<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Shandong Provincial Qianfoshan Hospital, Jinan 250014, Shandong Province, China; <sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan 250012, Shandong Province, China; <sup>3</sup>Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250014, Shandong Province, China; <sup>4</sup>Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan 250012, Shandong Province, China

Received December 25, 2015; Accepted May 19, 2016; Epub July 15, 2016; Published July 30, 2016

**Abstract:** Background: Several studies reported that sulodexide might reduce proteinuria in patients with diabetic nephropathy (DN), and even investigated its possible mechanisms. However, the results were not consistent. This meta-analysis sought to assess the efficacy and safety of sulodexide in patients with DN. Methods: Literature reviews of PubMed, MEDLINE, The Cochrane Library, Embase, Sinomed, CNKI, Wanfang, VIP and clinical trial register centers in English and Chinese were conducted to identify randomized controlled trials comparing sulodexide with placebo in DN patients. Quality assessment was performed with Cochrane Handbook's tools for assessing risk of bias; meta-analysis was conducted by RevMan 5.3. Results: Up to April 2015, 442 articles were identified. After full-text checking and quality assessment, 22 articles were finally included involving 29 studies, 3681 patients with DN. Compared with the control group, sulodexide reduced the urinary albumin excretion rate (WMD -29.63, 95% *Cl* -50.16 to -9.11, *P*=0.005), 24-hour proteinuria (WMD -0.72, 95% *Cl* -1.14 to -0.31, *P*=0.0006) and systolic blood pressure (WMD -2.37, 95% *Cl* -3.87 to -0.88, *P*=0.002) in DN patients. However, there was no significant improvement in diastolic blood pressure (*P*=0.45) and fasting blood glucose (*P*=0.47). There were slightly more adverse events of skin rash, diarrhea, back pain, muscle and joint symptoms in patients with sulodexide than that in control group, but without statistical significance. Conclusion: Sulodexide can improve kidney function in DN patients. Therefore it can be considered as a beneficial therapeutic regimen for patients with DN.

Keywords: Diabetic nephropathy, sulodexide, randomized controlled trials, meta-analysis

#### Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is a microvascular complication of diabetes mellitus, and the dominating cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), accounting for more than 40% of patients treated with dialysis [1, 2]. Despite attempts at rigorous control of hyperglycemia and hypertension, some patients with DN still experience cardiovascular or renal events [3]. Proteinuria is an important risk factor in aggravating and promoting DN progression. Therefore, effective drug or method to reduce clinical proteinuria is a current focus of attention [4]. Many clinical studies have verified that renin angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors (angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) played a positive role in preventing or delaying the progression of DN, but these agents could cause hyperkalemia and creatinine elevation, most continued to deteriorate, that could not be reversed, and, ultimately, occurred ESRD [5-7]. Sulodexide has been employed as a novel treatment option for patients with DN.

Sulodexide is an exogenous glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) that may reduce albuminuria in DN, favorably interfering with mechanisms responsible for the changed the glomerular basement membrane (GBM), constituent and function (permselectivity) of the mesangial extracellular matrix [8, 9]. A few researches suggested that the function of sulodexide in kidney was complicated, it could possibly modulate the expression of genes involved in renal remodeling. Solini *et al.* in 1997 initiated the study of sulodexide in the treatment of DN, then, multiple clinical studies of sulodexide were conducted in DN [10]. Although some clinical studies have shown that sulodexide plays an active role in delaying the process of DN, Packham DK *et al.* reported sulodexide failed to demonstrate renoprotection in overt type 2 diabetic nephropathy [11]. Given the above background, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate its efficacy and safety, and the possibility mechanisms.

#### Methods

#### Literature search strategy

Relevant articles were conducted by a search of PubMed, MEDLINE, The Cochrane Library, Embase, Sinomed, CNKI, Wanfang and VIP, for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of sulodexide in diabetic nephropathy from the earliest data to April 30, 2015. Additional papers were found through a manual search of reference lists of all eligible articles and correlative review articles. We also searched for additional titles at the clinical trial register centers (http:// www.clinicaltrials.gov). The following search terms were entered as independent terms: (diabetic nephropath\* OR diabetic kidney disease\* OR diabetic kidney injury OR diabetic chronic complication\*) AND (sulodexide OR glucuronyl glucosamine glycan sulfate OR glucuronyl glucosaminoglycan sulfate OR 3-glucosaminoglycan sulfate OR glucuronyl glucosaminoglycan sulfate) AND (randomized controlled trials OR RCT OR controlled clinical trial OR randomized).

# Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

Eligible studies met the following criteria: (1) patients with diabetic nephropathy; (2) primary study comparing sulodexide with placebo or blank control; (3) published in English or Chinese language; (4) RCT design; (5) at least four-week follow-up; (6) reporting at least one of the following outcomes: 24-hour proteinuria, urinary albumin excretion rate (UAER), and/or safety outcome. Studies not designed as randomized control studies, no or incomplete data provided, and animal tests were excluded from this meta-analysis.

#### Study selection and data extraction

According to the inclusion criteria, two investigators independently screened all titles and abstracts and extracted data from included studies. Multiple publications reporting on the same research were accepted only when additional relevant outcomes were presented; they were regarded as one study. Disagreements or uncertainties were adjudicated by consensus. If an agreement could not be reached, a third reviewer would decide. The following information should be extracted: (1) characteristics of trial subjects (including age, sex ratio and duration of diabetes) and its inclusion criteria; (2) type of intervention (including dose of sulodexide and therapy duration); and (3) type of outcome and measurement.

#### Statistical analysis

The main outcome was the change of urinary albumin excretion rate (UAER), 24-hour proteinuria from the baseline. Other outcomes include: (1) change from baseline in adverse effects (AEs); (2) change from baseline in incidence of fasting blood glucose (FBG); (3) change from baseline in blood pressure. The meta-analysis with fixed model was performed by weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% CI for outcome of continuous variables (change from baseline in FBG and blood pressure). Random model was performed by weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% CI for outcome of continuous variables (change from baseline in UAER and 24-hour proteinuria). Random model was performed by computing odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI for outcomes of dichotomous variables (AEs). The I<sup>2</sup> was calculated as an index of heterogeneity between researches. If l<sup>2</sup> was higher than 50%, sensitivity analysis were performed to determine the source of heterogeneity and to assess whether the heterogeneity significantly impacted the results.

# Quality assessment and risk of bias

To verify the eligibility of randomized trials, two authors independently determined the adequacy of randomization and allocation concealment, blind methods (participants and personnel, and outcome assessment), extent of loss to follow-up, and whether the analysis had followed the intention-to-treat principle. Sensitive analysis was performed in studies with low quality. The analyses were performed using Review Manager 5.2 (Cochrane Collaboration, United Kingdom).

# Results

#### Search results and study characteristics

The initial search strategy found 442 abstracts, of these, 22 articles met the inclusion criteria. The main characteristics of the 22 trials were

Author, year	Average d diabetes	uration of s (years)	Numl partic (I	ber of ipants n)	Mal	e (%)	Average a	ge (years)	Intervention		Flollow up	Adverse effect
	Т	С	Т	С	Т	С	Т	С	Т	С	(monuis)	
Huo, 2014 (low dose)	7.6±2.7	7.5±2.6	16	5	No m	essage	59±9	59±9	Sulodexide	Irbesartan	4	Yes
Huo, 2014 (middle dose)	7.4±2.9	7.5±2.6	14	5	No m	essage	58±8	59±9	Sulodexide	Irbesartan	4	Yes
Huo, 2014 (high dose)	7.7±2.5	7.5±2.6	15	5	No m	essage	59±9	59±9	Sulodexide	Irbesartan	4	No
He, 2012	Not me	ntioned	33	33	63.6	57.6	45±3	42±3	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	2	Not mentioned
Zhang, 2011	0.5±1.5	0.67±1.3	26	26	Not me	entioned	35±66	40±68	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	8	No message
Ren, 2010	Not me	ntioned	23	18	No m	essage	55.8±11.3	54.6±11.2	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	1	Yes
Ma, 2011	7.0±2.6	7.7±2.9	22	20	63.6	60	52.5±5.8	52.7±6.3	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	3	No
Wang, 2009 (1)	9.7	10.7	38	34	47.1	50	No me	ssage	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	3	Not mentioned
Wang, 2009 (2)	10	9.2	30	42	56.7	52.4	No me	ssage	Sulodexide + Benazepril	Benazepril	3	Not mentioned
Kang, 2013	No me	ssage	33	29	Not me	entioned	Not me	ntioned	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	2	No message
Chen, 2013 (low dose)	11.0±2	13.0±3	23	10	61	62	53.0±6	54.0±7	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	4	Yes
Chen, 2013 (high dose)	12.0±5	13.0±3	23	11	65	62	56.0±4	54.0±7	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	4	Yes
Wang, 2010	Not me	ntioned	13	13	No message		No message		Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	1	Not mentioned
Xiong, 2014	7.7±4.3	7.5±4.7	35	30	60	60	50.0±11.0	51.7±10.5	Sulodexide + Losartan Potassium	Losartan Potassium	2	No message
Chen, 2008	12.1	12.9	30	10	60	30	52.5	49.9	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	3	Not mentioned
Achour, 2005	12-20	2-21	30	30	53.3	56.7	21±58	31±53	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	12	Yes
Gambaro, 2002 (low dose)	16.0±9.6	17.2±10.4	56	18	No m	essage	49.0±12.4	47±12.8	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	4	Yes
Gambaro, 2002 (middle dose)	15.8±8.4	17.2±10.4	56	18	No m	essage	47.4±12.1	47±12.8	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	4	Yes
Gambaro, 2002 (high dose)	16.2±10.3	17.2±10.4	56	19	No m	essage	46.9±12.5	47±12.8	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	4	Yes
Solini, 1997	No me	essage	6	6	Not mentioned		Not mentioned		Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	8	No
Packham, 2012	Not me	ntioned	619	629	79	74	62.3±9.4	63.6±9.5	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	18	Yes
Lewis, 2011	No me	essage	524	532	75.2	76.7	62.0±9.7	62.3±9.9	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy	8.5	Yes
Sulikowska, 2006	17.8±1.6	18.0±2.4	30	13	23.3	23.0	34.6±2.1	36.8±2.8	Sulodexide	Routine therapy	1	No
Dedov, 1997 (Microalbuminuria)	Not me	ntioned	9	9	33.3	66.7	27.9±11.2	33.3±2.5	Sulodexide	Placebo	1.5	Not mentioned
Dedov, 1997 (Macroalbuminuria)	Not me	ntioned	9	9	33.3	33.3	29.9±7.4	35.3±3.95	Sulodexide	Placebo	1.5	Not mentioned
Heerspink, 2008 (low dose)	No me	essage	50	23	72.0	70.2	64.1±9.2	60.3±12.1	Sulodexide	Placebo	6	Yes
Heerspink, 2008 (high dose)	No me	essage	52	24	73.1	70.2	61.1±11.2	60.3±12.1	Sulodexide	Placebo	6	Yes
Hu, 2009	Not me	ntioned	33	32	63.6	59.4	80±3.6	82±3.4	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy + Irbesar- tan + Irbesartan	2	No message
Hu, 2010	Not me	ntioned	33	32	63.6	59.4	80±3.6	82±3.4	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy + Perindo- pril + Perindopril	2	No message
Mao, 2010	11.0±6.0	9.0±5.0	30	30	63.3	66.7	61±11	59±5	Sulodexide + Routine therapy	Routine therapy + Benaz- epril + Benazepril	6	Not mentioned

# Table 1. Characteristics of randomized controlled trials included in the meta-analysis





Figure 4.	Forest	plot of	of 24-	hour	proteinuria	from	baseline.
-----------	--------	---------	--------	------	-------------	------	-----------

	Exp	eriment	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% (	CI IV, Random, 95% CI
Chen 2008	56.64	32.96	30	85.7	26.61	10	11.8%	-29.06 [-49.34, -8.78	3]
He 2012	78.44	43.22	33	129.55	40.81	33	11.8%	-51.11 [-71.39, -30.83	3] ————————————————————————————————————
Huo 2014 (high dose)	90	4	15	130	7	5	13.2%	-40.00 [-46.46, -33.54	4]
Huo 2014 (low dose)	156	8	16	130	7	5	13.1%	26.00 [18.72, 33.20	3] —
Huo 2014 (middle dose)	114	6	14	130	7	5	13.1%	-16.00 [-22.89, -9.11	ij —
Ma 2011	118.2	36.6	22	164.2	47.9	20	11.0%	-46.00 [-71.97, -20.03	3]
Mao 2010	50	22	30	95	23	30	12.8%	-45.00 [-56.39, -33.6	
Zhang 2011	99	12	26	140	15	26	13.1%	-41.00 [-48.38, -33.62	2]
Total (95% CI)			186			134	100.0%	-29.63 [-50.16, -9.11	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 821.	.55; Chi²	= 252.3	5, df =	7 (P < 0.0	)0001);	l <sup>2</sup> = 979	%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	2.83 (P =	0.005)							Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 5. Forest plot of weighted mean difference in change of UAER from base line.

funnel plot was shown in **Figure 3** which indicated no publication bias existed.

#### Efficacy

Effect of sulodexide on 24-hour proteinuria: Six studies included 24-hour proteinuria with a total of 339 participants, 173 in sulodexide and 166 in control group. Random-effect model was used to merge SMD values (heterozygosity test, Chi<sup>2</sup>=164.45, P<0.00001, I<sup>2</sup>=97%). The heterozygosity may be caused by small samples or poor quality of the included studies. The pooled data was -0.72 (95% Cl: -1.41 to -0.31, Z=343, P=0.0006; Figure 4) which suggested that there was statistically significant reduction in 24-hour proteinuria in sulodexide treated group.

#### Urinary albumin excretion rate (UAER)

Six studies reported UAER, 320 participants were evaluated, 186 in sulodexide treated group and 134 in control group. Random-effect model was used to merge SMD values (hetero-zygosity test, Chi<sup>2</sup>=252.35, P<0.00001, I<sup>2</sup>= 97%). The heterozygosity may come from allocation concealment, unstrict implementation of randomization and double-blind and so on.

The pooled data was -29.63 (95% *Cl*: -50.16 to -9.11, *Z*=2.83, *P*=0.005; **Figure 5**) which indicated that there was statistically significant reduction in UAER in sulodexide treated group.

#### Fasting blood glucose (FBG)

Nine studies (ten groups) reported FBG and there was no significant heterozygosity among them. Sulodexide did not reduce FBG compared with control in these studies (**Figure 6**).

#### Blood pressure

The pooled data from all nine studies showed no statistically difference in DBP decline from baseline in sulodexide treatment compared with control group (WMD: -0.30; 95% *Cl*: -1.08 to 0.48, Z=0.75, P=0.45). However, a statistically significant reduction in SBP was found (WMD: -2.37; 95% *Cl*: -3.87 to -0.88, Z=3.11, P=0.002). The heterogeneity among trials were not significant ( $l^2$ =0%) (**Figures 7** and **8**).

#### Safety

Adverse events (AEs), although detailed data was not provided, including skin rash, diarrhea,

# Sulodexide for diabetic nephropathy

	Expe	rimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Chen 2008	6.15	1.42	30	6.3	0.78	10	6.1%	-0.15 [-0.85, 0.55]	
Hu 2009	6.2	3.27	33	6.38	2.24	32	1.6%	-0.18 [-1.54, 1.18]	
Hu 2010	6.1	3.27	33	6.37	2.25	32	1.6%	-0.27 [-1.63, 1.09]	
Kang 2013	6.63	1.47	33	6.51	1.27	29	6.5%	0.12 [-0.56, 0.80]	
Ren 2010	6.19	0.4	23	6.28	0.36	18	55.3%	-0.09 [-0.32, 0.14]	<b>#</b>
Solini 1997	6.13	0.34	6	8.85	1.77	6	1.4%	-2.72 [-4.16, -1.28]	
Wang 2009(1)	6.71	1.67	38	6.47	1.66	34	5.1%	0.24 [-0.53, 1.01]	
Wang 2009(2)	6.33	1.74	30	6.1	1.56	42	4.9%	0.23 [-0.55, 1.01]	- <del>-</del>
Wang 2010	6.03	0.62	13	5.96	0.72	13	11.3%	0.07 [-0.45, 0.59]	
Zhang 2011	6.03	1.33	26	6	1.25	26	6.1%	0.03 [-0.67, 0.73]	- <u>+</u> -
Total (95% CI)			265			242	100.0%	-0.06 [-0.24, 0.11]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	15.00, d	lf = 9 (F	P = 0.09	3); I <sup>2</sup> = 4	0%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.73	(P = 0	.47)					F	avours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 6. Forest plot of effects on fluctuation of FBG.

	Experimental Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Gambaro 2002 (high dose)	137.9	24	55	139.7	18.2	19	2.1%	-1.80 [-12.15, 8.55]	
Gambaro 2002(low dose)	138	15.9	56	139.7	18.2	18	2.5%	-1.70 [-11.08, 7.68]	
Gambaro 2002(middle dose)	137.1	16.3	56	139.7	18.2	18	2.5%	-2.60 [-12.03, 6.83]	
Heerspink 2008 (low dose)	131	14	50	129	16	23	3.9%	2.00 [-5.60, 9.60]	
Heerspink 2008(high dose)	129	15	52	129	16	24	3.9%	0.00 [-7.59, 7.59]	
Huo 2014 (high dose)	133	17	15	127	17	5	0.8%	6.00 [-11.21, 23.21]	
Huo 2014 (low dose)	126	14	16	127	17	5	0.8%	-1.00 [-17.40, 15.40]	
Huo 2014 (middle dose)	131	15	14	127	17	5	0.8%	4.00 [-12.85, 20.85]	
Kang 2013	121.63	9.86	33	127.65	11.52	29	7.7%	-6.02 [-11.40, -0.64]	
Ma 2011	135	4.9	22	136	5.4	20	22.9%	-1.00 [-4.13, 2.13]	-
Mao 2010	125	13	30	127	14	30	4.8%	-2.00 [-8.84, 4.84]	
Ren 2010	125.1	6.4	23	125.6	87	18	0.1%	-0.50 [-40.78, 39.78]	
Wang 2009(1)	125.24	11.36	38	126.17	12.98	34	7.0%	-0.93 [-6.59, 4.73]	
Wang 2009(2)	122.46	10.57	30	122.47	9.48	42	9.9%	-0.01 [-4.76, 4.74]	-
Zhang 2011	125	5	26	130	5	26	30.3%	-5.00 [-7.72, -2.28]	-
Total (95% CI)			516			316	100.0%	-2.37 [-3.87, -0.88]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 10.48, df:	= 14 (P = 1	0.73); I <sup>2</sup>	= 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.11 (	P = 0.002	)						-	-20 -10 0 10 20
		r						F	avours (experimental) Favours (control)

Figure 7. Forest plot of effects on SBP.

	Experimental Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Gambaro 2002 (high dose)	80.4	13.1	55	82.1	8.4	19	2.3%	-1.70 [-6.82, 3.42]	
Gambaro 2002(low dose)	82	6.9	56	82.1	8.4	18	3.3%	-0.10 [-4.38, 4.18]	
Gambaro 2002(middle dose)	81.9	6.8	56	82.1	8.4	18	3.3%	-0.20 [-4.47, 4.07]	
Heerspink 2008 (low dose)	71	11	50	71	11	23	2.0%	0.00 [-5.43, 5.43]	
Heerspink 2008(high dose)	73	10	52	71	11	24	2.3%	2.00 [-3.17, 7.17]	
Huo 2014 (high dose)	79	10	15	78	8	5	0.8%	1.00 [-7.65, 9.65]	
Huo 2014 (low dose)	77	7	16	78	8	5	1.0%	-1.00 [-8.81, 6.81]	
Huo 2014 (middle dose)	81	7	14	78	8	5	1.0%	3.00 [-4.91, 10.91]	
Kang 2013	81.53	7.39	33	81.63	6.44	29	5.1%	-0.10 [-3.54, 3.34]	
Ma 2011	80	1.9	22	81	2.1	20	40.9%	-1.00 [-2.22, 0.22]	
Mao 2010	79	6	30	80	5	30	7.7%	-1.00 [-3.79, 1.79]	
Ren 2010	82.7	6.2	23	79.7	4.5	18	5.6%	3.00 [-0.28, 6.28]	
Wang 2009(1)	85.21	6.68	38	82.17	7.43	34	5.6%	3.04 [-0.24, 6.32]	
Wang 2009(2)	76.62	7.16	30	79.32	5.78	42	6.3%	-2.70 [-5.80, 0.40]	
Zhang 2011	75	4	26	75	4	26	12.8%	0.00 [-2.17, 2.17]	<del></del>
Total (95% CI)			516			316	100.0%	-0.30 [-1.08, 0.48]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 13.64, df:	= 14 (P =	0.48);	$ ^{2} = 09$	6					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.75$ (	P = 0.45	)		-					-10 -5 0 5 10
	5.40	, ,						I	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 8. Forest plot of effects on DBP.

back pain, muscle and joint symptoms, were more in patients of the treatment group than

that in control group, however, there was no statistically difference in the incidence of total

	Experim	ental	Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI
Achour 2005	1	30	0	30	2.7%	3.10 [0.12, 79.23]	
Chen 2013	2	46	0	21	2.9%	2.42 [0.11, 52.55]	
Gambaro 2002	4	167	1	55	5.2%	1.33 [0.14, 12.11]	
Heerspink 2008	20	102	4	47	13.8%	2.62 [0.84, 8.16]	+
Huo 2014	2	45	0	15	2.9%	1.78 [0.08, 39.20]	
Lewis 2011	57	524	65	532	29.1%	0.88 [0.60, 1.28]	-
Ma 2011	0	22	0	20		Not estimable	
Packham 2012	218	619	203	629	31.8%	1.14 [0.90, 1.44]	+
Sulikowska 2006	0	30	0	13		Not estimable	
Zhang 2011	5	26	19	26	11.6%	0.09 [0.02, 0.32]	<b>_</b>
Total (95% CI)		1611		1388	100.0%	0.94 [0.54, 1.63]	▲
Total events	309		292				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.23; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 18.62	2, df = 7 (l	P = 0.00	09); I <sup>2</sup> = 62	%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.21 (F	P = 0.83	)				U.U1 U.1 1 10 100
			, ,				-avours (experimental) Favours (control)

Figure 9. Forest plot of OR in incidence of the total AEs.

AEs (19.18% vs. 21.04%, respectively; *OR*: 0.94; 95% *Cl*: 0.54-1.63; **Figure 9**).

#### Discussion

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is a widely recognized microvascular complication of diabetes and the leading cause of end-stage kidney failure responsible for morbidity and mortality [32]. To delay the progression of DN, current guidelines recommend intensive treatment of hypertension with ACEIs and ARBs, optimization of glucose control and protein restriction [33]. Despite these interventions, some patients with DN either do not respond to or tolerate therapy, and consequently progress. In Irbesartan diabetic nephropathy trial (IDNT), only 40% of patients with overt proteinuria treated with irbesartan achieved a 50% reduction in proteinuria [34]. We long for new drugs to treat DN eagerly.

Sulodexide was benefit for nephropathy both in human and animal [35-37]. But there were some negative opinions [11, 17]. Our analysis suggested that sulodexide could reduce proteinuria and UAER significantly with few side effects. To get a better understanding of the underlying mechanism, we analyzed its effects on blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, triglycerides and cholesterol. It was found that sulodexide did not reduce the diastolic blood pressure and fasting blood glucose, suggesting that the renal protective of sulodexide was probably independent of blood pressure, fasting blood glucose and other pathways or mechanisms may exist.

Sulodexide is a preparation of low-molecular weight porcine glycosaminoglycan (GAG) poly-

saccharides comprised of fast-moving heparin (75-80%), and dermatan sulfate (20%) with a mean molecular weight of 11,000-15,000 Da [30]. It can be administrated orally, intramuscularly or intravenously [38]. Although it was first evaluated as an anti-thrombotic drug, it had no anticoagulant effect in oral administration [39], and had been proved to improve blood flow by lowering viscosity [40, 41], reduce cardiovascular events and vascular disease-associated skin ulcers [42-44]. Gambaro first observed the relationship between GAG and diabetic proteinuria in animal models and the suggested the administration of sulodexide in the treatment of DN [27, 45]. Then, several clinical studies demonstrated that sulodexide reduced albuminuria in patients with DN.

Sulodexide apparently reduces proteinuria by a non-blood pressure, non-RAAS related mechanism [46]. So, it increases a therapeutic option in those patients who failed to respond to RAAS inhibition. Moreover, it may add further reduction in patients' response to RAAS inhibition. Such additive effects were helpful. Some researchers suggested several mechanisms that may be involved in the protective of sulodexide.

# Glomerular basement membranes (GBM) and sulodexide

Changes in GBM macromolecular composition are assumed to be the basis for its loss of size and charge selectivity [47, 48]. It is reported that sulodexide may alter the constituent and thickening of GBM, correct the anionic charge barrier depletion of GBM via direct deposition, induction the synthesis and sulfation of heparin sulfate proteoglycan [49-51].

### Extracellular matrix (ECM) and sulodexide

Changes in the ECM are notable characteristics in DN. The ECM plays an pivotal role in regulating the structure and function of adjacent cells, influencing cell anchorage and intercellular communication and accumulation of ECM can activate TGF- $\beta$ 1 [52, 53]. Data from animal and in vitro studies have demonstrated that sulodexide suppress high-glucose-induced overexpression of TGF- $\beta$ 1 and fibronectin in mesangial cells, meanwhile, suppress the enhanced expression of mesangial matrix and collagens TGF- $\beta$ 1 mediated [54-56]. It is notified that sulodexide alter the permeability of the mesangial ECM [8, 9].

#### Heparanase-1 and sulodexide

Heparanase-1 is upregulated in renal epithelial cells under high glucose conditions and has been implicated in the development of proteinuria in DN. Sulodexide may block the activity of heparanase-1 by recovering of heparan sulfate levels in podocytes [57, 58], completely blocking the albumin permeability in a glomerular basement membrane assay. Further experiments *in vitro* suggested that sulodexide restored the expression of cell surface heparin sulphate [59].

# Inflammation, fibrosis and sulodexide

Hyperglycemia induces overexpression of all isoforms of TGF $\beta$ , which in turn play a vital role in inflammation and fibrosis that characterize DN. Sulodexide may inhibit synthesis of TGF $\beta$ , suppress production of inflammation factors, restrain albuminuria-induced and endothelin-mediated fibrosis in varieties of pathological conditions [60-62]. In addition, it can suppress the infiltration and activation of macrophage, decrease the apoptosis of glomerular cells and the pathological proliferation of mesangial cell, and modulate the expression of gene involved in renal remodeling [63-66].

Safety is a very important issue for a drug. In the light of our analysis, sulodexide did not increase the incidence of AEs significantly, including skin rash, diarrhea, back pain, muscle, and joint symptoms. Due to the small sample size in the majority of studies, trials in large samples and long-term course of trial are needed to better illuminate the influence of sulodexide on DN.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China Grants (81070-637, 81570742), Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China Grants (No. Y20-06C76, Y2008C73, ZR2010HM044), Shandong Provincial Science & Technology Development Program, China (2009GGB14001, 2010GSF-10228, 2012GGH11862, 2014GSF-118118), Fund for the Returned Oversea Scholars Sponsored by National Ministry of Personnel (2008, No. 102), Grant for Excellent Young, and Middleaged Scientists of Shandong Province (No. 2004BS02016).

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

#### None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Jian-Jun Dong, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, No. 107, Wenhua West Road, Lixia District, Jinan 250014, Shandong Province, China. Tel: +86-1379112291; Fax: +86-1379112291; E-mail: dongjianjun@medmail.com.cn; Dr. Lin Liao, Department of Endocrinology, Shandong Provincial Qianfoshan Hospital, No. 16776, Jingshi Road, Lixia District, Jinan 250014, Shandong Province, China. Tel: +86-15168888260; Fax: +86-15168888260; E-mail: liaolin009@sina.com

#### References

- [1] Krairittichai U, Mahannopkul R, Bunnag S. An open label, randomized controlled study of oral calcitriol for the treatment of proteinuria in patients with diabetic kidney disease. J Med Assoc Thai 2012; 95: S41-S47.
- [2] Chen JL, Francis J. Pyridoxamine, Advanced Glycation Inhibition, and Diabetic Nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2012; 23: 6-8.
- [3] Remuzzi G, Benigni A, Remuzzi A. Mechanisms of progression and regression of renal lesions of chronic nephropathies and diabetes. J Clin Invest 2006; 116: 288-96.
- [4] Anderson S, Komers R. Inhibition of the reninangiotensin system: is more better? Kidney Int 2009; 75: 12-14.
- [5] Strippoli GF, Bonifati C, Craig M, Navaneethan SD, Craig JC. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists for preventing the progression of diabetic

kidney disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006: D6257.

- [6] Tobe SW, Clase CM, Gao P, McQueen M, Grosshennig A, Wang X, Teo KK, Yusuf S, Mann JF; ONTARGET and TRANSCEND Investigators. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with telmisartan, ramipril, or both in people at high renal risk: results from the ONTARGET and TRANSCEND studies. Circulation 2011; 123: 1098-107.
- [7] Rossini M, Naito T, Yang H, Freeman M, Donnert E, Ma LJ, Dunn SR, Sharma K, Fogo AB. Sulodexide ameliorates early but not late kidney disease in models of radiation nephropathy and diabetic nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010; 1: 1803-1810.
- [8] Gambaro G, Skrha J, Ceriello A. Glycosaminoglycan therapy for long-term diabetic complications? Diabetologia 1998; 41: 975-979.
- [9] Gambaro G, van der Woude FJ. Glycosaminoglycans: use in treatment of diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2000; 11: 359-368.
- [10] Solini A, Vergnani L, Ricci F, Crepaldi G. Glycosaminoglycans delay the progression of nephropathy in NIDDM. Diabetes Care 1997; 20: 819-23.
- [11] Packham DK, Wolfe R, Reutens AT, Berl T, Heerspink HL, Rohde R, Ivory S, Lewis J, Raz I, Wiegmann TB, Chan JC, de Zeeuw D, Lewis EJ, Atkins RC. Sulodexide fails to demonstrate renoprotection in overt type 2 diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2012; 23: 123-130.
- [12] Huo SY, Huang MZ, Liu YH. Clinical observation of sulodexide in treatment of in reducing proteinuria in type 2 diabetic nephropathy patients (in Chinese). Hebei Medical Journal 2014; 36: 191-192.
- [13] He XH, Ge ZY. Curative effect of sulodexide in diabetic nephropathy patients (in Chinese). Clinical Focus 2012; 27: 1626-1627.
- [14] Zhang HB, Kang P, Li JH, Du J, Sun W, Xie CY and Yu L. Study of the effect of Chulo on albuminuria in diabetic nephropathy. Modern Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine 2011; 20: 405-406, 416.
- [15] Ren J, Su J, Huang C, et al. Effect of sulodexide on microalbuminuria of the third stage of type 2 diabetic nephropathy. MMJC 2010; 12: 31-33.
- [16] Ma JY, Jiang HW, Cui QL, Song BL. Clinical observation of sulodexide in reducing microalbuminuria in diabetic nephropathy patients (in Chinese). Modern Preventive Medicine 2011; 38: 2918-2919.
- [17] Wang TJ, Wang ZX, Yang F, et al. Clinical observation of sulodexide in treatment of type 2 diabetes with early nephropathy. Medical & Pharmaceutical World 2009; 11: 769-771.

- [18] Kang ZQ. Study on effect of sulodexide in treatment of diabetic nephropathy stage III. Chinese Pharmaceutical Guide 2013; 11: 25-26.
- [19] Chen L, Yang RY. Effect of sulodexide on urine protein excretion in type 2 diabetes with proteinuria. Medical Journal of Wuhan University 2015; 34: 450-452, 456.
- [20] Wang H. Effect of sulodexide in diabetic nephropathy (in Chinese). Prac J Med & Pharm 2010; 27: 315-316.
- [21] Xiong YZ. Treatment of sulodexide on albuminuria in early diabetic nephropathy. J Jianghan Univ (Nat Sci Ed) 2014; 42: 90-92.
- [22] Chen SJ, Wei M, Gao Y, Hu Y, Xiong Y, Zhang SW, Shi JP, Li TF, Song JD. A randomized controlled trial of sulodexide for early diabetic nephropathy. Chin J Evid-based Med 2008; 8: 162-166.
- [23] Hu M, Lv BN, Meng YQ, Zhou JG, Xu XH, Xiao XR. Therapeutic effects of sulodexide combined with irbesartan on elderly patients with diabetic nephropathy. Pract Geriatr 2009; 23: 276-278.
- [24] Hu M, Lv BN, Meng YQ, Zhou JG, Xu XH, Xiao XR. Therapeutic effects of perindopril combined with sulodexide on elderly early patients with diabetic nephropathy (in Chinese). Southwest National Defense Medicine 2010; 20: 501-503.
- [25] Mao LP, Zhong XL. Effect of sulodexide combined with benazepril on urinary albumin in patients with type 2 diabetes (in Chinese). China Modern Medicine 2010; 17: 68-69.
- [26] Achour A, Kacem M, Dibej K, Skhiri H, Bouraoui S, El May M. One year course of oral sulodexide in the management of diabetic nephropathy. J Nephrol 2005; 18: 568-74.
- [27] Gambaro G, Kinalska I, Oksa A, Pont'uch P, Hertlová M, Olsovsky J, Manitius J, Fedele D, Czekalski S, Perusicová J, Skrha J, Taton J, Grzeszczak W, Crepaldi G. Oral sulodexide reduces albuminuria in microalbuminuric and macroalbuminuric type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients: the di.n.a.s. randomized trial. J Am Soc Nephrol 2002; 13: 1615-1625.
- [28] Lewis EJ, Lewis JB, Greene T, Hunsicker LG, Berl T, Pohl MA, de Zeeuw D, Heerspink HL, Rohde RD, Atkins RC, Reutens AT, Packham DK, Raz I. Sulodexide for kidney protection in type 2 diabetes patients with microalbuminuria: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Kidney Dis 2011; 58: 729-736.
- [29] Sulikowska B, Olejniczak H, Muszyńska M, Odrowaz-Sypniewska G, Gaddi A, Savini C, Cicero AF, Laghi L, Manitius J. Effect of sulodexide on albuminuria, NAG excretion and glomerular filtration response to dopamine in diabeticpatients. Am J Nephrol 2006; 26: 621-8.

- [30] Dedov I, Shestakova M, Vorontzov A, Palazzini E. A randomized, controlled study of sulodexide therapy for the treatment of diabetic nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1997; 12: 2295-300.
- [31] Heerspink HL, Greene T, Lewis JB, Raz I, Rohde RD, Hunsicker LG, Schwartz SL, Aronoff S, Katz MA, Eisner GM, Mersey JH, Wiegmann TB. Effects of sulodexide in patients with type 2 diabetes and persistent albuminuria. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008; 23: 1946-1954.
- [32] Scully T. Diabetes in numbers. Nature 2012; 485: S2-3.
- [33] American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2007. Diabetes Care 2007; 30: S4-S41.
- [34] Atkins RC, Briganti EM, Lewis JB, Hunsicker LG, Braden G, Champion de Crespigny PJ, DeFerrari G, Drury P, Locatelli F, Wiegmann TB, Lewis EJ. Proteinuria reduction and progression to renal failure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and overt nephropathy. Am J Kidney Dis 2005; 45: 281-287.
- [35] Cha JJ, Kang YS, Hyun YY, Han SY, Jee YH, Han KH, Han JY, Cha DR. Sulodexide improves renal function through reduction of vascular endothelial growth factor in type 2 diabetic rats. Life Sci 2013; 92: 1118-24.
- [36] Yung S, Chau MK, Zhang Q, Zhang CZ, Chan TM. Sulodexide decreases albuminuria and regulates matrix protein accumulation in C57BL/6 mice with streptozotocin-induced type I diabetic nephropathy. PLoS One 2013; 8: e54501.
- [37] Rossini M, Naito T, Yang H, Freeman M, Donnert E, Ma LJ, Dunn SR, Sharma K, Fogo AB. Sulodexide ameliorates early but not late kidney disease in models of radiation nephropathy and diabetic nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010; 25: 1803-10.
- [38] Callas DD, Hoppensteadt DA, Jeske W, Iqbal O, Bacher P, Ahsan A, Fareed J. Comparative pharmacologic profile of a glycosaminoglycan mixture, sulodexide, and a chemically modified heparin derivative, suleparoide. Semin Thromb Hemost 1993; 19 Suppl 1: 49-57.
- [39] Andriuoli G, Mastacchi R, Barbanti M. Antithrombotic activity of a glycosaminoglycan (sulodexide) in rats. Thromb Res 1984; 34: 8-86.
- [40] Calabro A, Rossi A, Baiocchi MR, Coscetti G, Fellin R, Crepaldi G. Effect of sulodexide on hemorheological parameters in a group of patients with peripheral arteriosclerotic vascular disease. Ric Clin Lab 1985; 15 Suppl 1: 455-463.
- [41] Crepaldi G, Rossi A, Coscetti G, Abbruzzese E, Calveri U, Calabrò A. Sulodexide oral administration influences blood viscosity and fibrinolysis. Drugs Exp Clin Res 1992;18: 189-195.

- [42] Coccheri S, Scondotto G, Agnelli G, Palazzini E, Zamboni V; Arterial Arm of the Suavis (Sulodexide Arterial Venous Italian Study) group. Sulodexide in the treatment of intermittent claudication. Results of a randomized, double-blind, multicentre, placebo-controlled study. Eur Heart J 2002; 23: 1057-1065.
- [43] Condorelli M, Chiariello M, Dagianti A, Penco M, Dalla Volta S, Pengo V, Schivazappa L, Mattioli G, Mattioli AV, Brusoni B. IPO-V2: a prospective, multicenter, randomized, comparative clinical investigation of the effects of sulodexide in preventing cardiovascular accidents in the first year after acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1994; 23: 27-34.
- [44] Koblik T, Sieradzki J, Sendur R, Biernat J, Czarnobilski K, Gryz E, Pawlik W, Szczudlik A, Gaddi A. The effect of insulin and sulodexide (vessel due F) on diabetic foot syndrome: pilot study in elderly patients. J Diabetes Complications 2001; 15: 69-74.
- [45] Abaterusso C, Gambaro G. The role of glycosaminoglycans and sulodexide in the treatment of diabetic nephropathy. Treat Endocrinol 2006; 5: 211-222.
- [46] Hansen HP, Rossing P, Tarnow L, Nielsen FS, Jensen BR, Parving HH. Increased glomerular filtration rate after withdrawal of long-term antihypertensive treatment in diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 1995; 47: 1726-1731.
- [47] Deckert T, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Djurup R, Deckert M. Glomerular size and charge selectivity in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Kidney Int 1988; 33: 100-106.
- [48] Gambaro G, Baggio B. Role of glycosaminoglycans in diabetic nephropathy. Acta Diabetol 1992; 29: 149-155.
- [49] Gamnaro G, Cavazzana AO, Luzi P, Piccoli A, Borsatti A, Crepaldi G, Marchi E, Venturini AP, Baggio B. Glycosaminoglycans prevent morphological renal alterations and albuminuria in diabetic rats. Kidney Int 1992; 42: 285-91.
- [50] Gamnaro G, Venturini AP, Noonan DM, Fries W, Re G, Garbisa S, Milanesi C, Pesarini A, Borsatti A, Marchi E. Treatment with glycosaminoglycan formulation ameliorates experimental diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 1994; 46: 797-806.
- [51] Osfosu FA. Pharmacological actions of sulodexide. Semin Thromb Hemost 1998; 24: 127-38.
- [52] George EL, Georges-Labouesse EN, Patel-King RS, Rayburn H, Hynes RO. Defects in mesoderm, neural tube and vascular development in mouse embryos lacking fibronectin. Development 1993; 119: 1079-1091.
- [53] Mason RM, Wahab NA. Extracellular matrix metabolism in diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2003; 14: 1358-1373.

- [54] Gambaro G, Venturini AP, Noonan DM, Fries W, Re G, Garbisa S, Milanesi C, Pesarini A, Borsatti A, Marchi E, Baggio B. Treatment with a glycosaminoglycan formulation ameliorates experimental diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 1994; 46: 797-806.
- [55] Ceol M, Nerlich A, Baggio B, Anglani F, Sauer U, Schleicher E, Gambaro G. Increased glomerular 1(IV) collagen expression and deposition in long-term diabetic rats is prevented by chronic glycosaminoglycan treatment. Lab Invest 1996; 74: 484-495.
- [56] Ceol M, Gambaro G, Sauer U, Baggio B, Anglani F, Forino M, Facchin S, Bordin L, Weigert C, Nerlich A, Schleicher ED. Glycosaminoglycan therapy prevents TGF-1 overexpression and pathologic changes in renal tissue of long-term diabetic rats. J Am Soc Nephrol 2000; 11: 2324-2336.
- [57] Maxhimer JB, Somenek M, Rao G, Pesce CE, Baldwin D Jr, Gattuso P, Schwartz MM, Lewis EJ, Prinz RA, Xu X. Heparanase-1 gene expression and regulation by high glucose in renal epithelial cells: a potential role in the pathogenesis of proteinuria in diabetic patients. Diabetes 2005; 54: 2172-2178.
- [58] Xu X, Rao G, Maxhimer JB, et al. Mechanism of action of sulodexide-mediated control of diabetic proteinuria: inhibition of heparanase-1 activity [abstract]. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005; 16: 673A.
- [59] van dcn Hoven M, Rops A, Bakker M. Increased expression of heparanase in overt diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 2006; 70: 2100-8.
- [60] Chen J, Cui W, Zhang Q, Jia Y, Sun Y, Weng L, Luo D, Zhou H, Yang B. Low molecular weight fucoidan ameliorates diabetic nephropathy via inhibiting epithelial-mesenchymal transition and fibrotic processes. Am J Transl Res 2015; 7: 1553-63.

- [61] Lu Q, Zuo WZ, Ji XJ, Zhou YX, Liu YQ, Yao XQ, Zhou XY, Liu YW, Zhang F, Yin XX. Ethanolic Ginkgo biloba leaf extract prevents renal fibrosis through Akt/mTOR signaling in diabetic nephropathy. Phytomedicine 2015; 22: 1071-8.
- [62] McClelland AD, Herman-Edelstein M, Komers R, Jha JC, Winbanks CE, Hagiwara S, Gregorevic P, Kantharidis P, Cooper ME. miR-21 promotes renal fibrosis in diabetic nephropathy by targeting PTEN and SMAD7. Clin Sci (Lond) 2015; 129: 1237-49.
- [63] Katz A, Van-Dijk DJ, Aingorn H, Erman A, Davies M, Darmon D, Hurvitz H, Vlodavsky I. Involvement of human heparanase in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy. Isr Med Assoc J 2002; 4: 996-1002.
- [64] Van Den Hoven MJ, Rops AL, Bakker MA, Aten J, Rutjes N, Roestenberg P, Goldschmeding R, Zcharia E, Vlodavsky I, van der Vlag J, Berden JH. Increased expression of heparanase in overt diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 2006; 70: 2100-8.
- [65] Ceol M, Gambaro G, Nerlich A, Schleicher ED. Glycosaminoglycan therapy prevents TGF-1 over-expression and pathological changes in renal tissue of long-term diabetic rats. J Am Soc Nephrol 2000; 11: 2324-36.
- [66] Weiss R, Niecestro R, Raz I. The role of sulodexide in the treatment of diabetic nephropathy. Drugs 2007; 67: 2681-96.