Original Article Effect of propofol combined dexmedetomidine on anesthesia recovery and postoperative complications in pediatric ophthalmologic surgery

Jun Zhao¹, Yongbin Wang², Xiangxue Jiao³

Departments of ¹Anesthesiology, ²Ophthalmology, Central Hospital of Xianyang, Xianyang, Shanxi, China; ³Department of Anesthesiology, The First People's Hospital, Xianyang, Shanxi, China

Received March 14, 2016; Accepted June 8, 2016; Epub September 15, 2016; Published September 30, 2016

Abstract: Pediatric eye surgery need high quality anesthesia with safety, braking, and analgesia, while avoiding oculocardiac reflex, nausea and vomiting. Dexmedetomidine is a kind of α2 adrenergic agonists characterized as sedative, hypnotic, analgesia, and inhibiting sympathetic activity. This study applied propofol combined dexmedetomidine on ophthalmic surgery to analyze its effect on quality of anesthesia and postoperative complications. 60 pediatric patients receiving eye surgery were enrolled. Different anesthesia methods were applied, including propofol combined dexmedetomidine group and propofol combined normal saline group. Duration of eye opening and extubation were observed. OASS was used to score recovery quality at eye opening, extubation, and after extubation. Cough time and severity during recovery period, and the agitation incidence at 10, 20, 30 min after extubation were recorded. Ramsay score was performed to score sedation. Complications after recovery, including intraoperative awake, nausea, vomiting, lethargy, and bradycardia were evaluated. Compared with propofol combined normal saline, the patients in propofol combined dexmedetomidine showed significantly longer duration of eye opening and extubation, lower OASS score at eye opening, extubation, and after extubation (P < 0.05). They also presented declined cough case number, times, and severity (P < 0.05), obviously lower agitation incidence at 10, 15, 20, 30 min after extubation, and higher Ramsay score (P < 0.05). They appeared lower incidence complications including nausea, vomit, lethargy, and bradycardia (P < 0.05). Propofol combined dexmedetomidine has good anesthesia effect in pediatric eye surgery.

Keywords: Propofol, dexmedetomidine, eye surgery, anesthesia

Introduction

Compared with other surgeries, eye surgery is characterized as limited operation and small impact on whole body. Local anesthesia can reduce anesthesia related complications, shorten hospitalization time, and promote postoperative recovery. However, the effect of simple local anesthesia is poor, leading to incomplete retardation. The patients in surgery may appear pain, fear, and nervous that easily cause posttraumatic stress disorder [1]. Pediatric eye surgery requires higher anesthesia quality by complete retarding, guaranteeing the analgesia effect, and maintaining intraocular pressure stability [2]. Dexmedetomidine is a high selective $\alpha 2$ adrenergic agonist that can play a sedative, analgesic, and anti-anxiety effect [3]. Early in 2002, dexmedetomidine had been used in pediatric ICU in clinic for sedation and anesthesia. It has been successfully applied in many fields including preoperative medication, general anesthesia adjuvant drugs, and postoperative analgesia [4]. Propofol belongs to alkyl phenol general anesthetics that can be used for anesthesia induction, anesthesia maintaining, and sedation, etc. It is an ideal hypnotic intravenous general anesthesia [5]. This study selected eye surgery patients in our hospital and applied propofol combined dexmedetomidine to observe the anesthesia recovery quality and complications, so as to provide theoretical basis for clinical anesthesia selection.

Materials and methods

General information

A total of 60 pediatric patients receiving eye surgery in Central hospital of Xianyang between

Group	Cases	Age (y)	Weight (kg)	Anesthesia time (min)	Extubation time (min)
Propofol combined dexmedetomidine	30	6.6 ± 1.2	31.8 ± 1.5	32.42 ± 7.05	9.13 ± 4.75
Propofol combined normal saline	30	6.2 ± 1.1	31.6 ± 1.9	32.17 ± 9.12	7.98 ± 4.56
P > 0.05 with no statistical difference					

Table 1. General information comparison

> 0.05, with no statistical difference.

		_
Table O Duration of ave anar	ning and extubation, and OASS sc	are comparing (V I c)
Table Z. Duration of eveloper	ing and extubation, and UASS Sc	$OPE COMDANSON (X \pm S)$

		Duration of eye opening (min)	Duration of extubation (min)	OAAS score			
Group	Cases			Eye opening (min)	Extubation (min)	After extuba- tion (min)	
Propofol combined dexmedetomidine	30	11.31 ± 1.31*	12.61 ± 2.27*	3.08 ± 0.51*	3.27 ± 0.68*	4.12 ± 0.38*	
Propofol combined normal saline	30	7.54 ± 2.45	9.01 ± 2.12	3.97 ± 0.98	4.47 ± 0.82	4.98 ± 0.98	

*P < 0.05, compared with propofol combined normal saline.

Table 3. Cough in recovery period comparison

	31 1			
Item	Propofol combined dexmedetomidine	Propofol combined normal saline		
Cough case number (n%)				
Intubation	12 (40)*	24 (80)		
Extubation	9 (30)*	24 (80)		
Cough times				
Intubation	0 (0-3)*	2 (0-6)		
Extubation	0 (0-4)*	0 (0-9)		
Cough severity				
Intubation	0 (0-2)*	2 (0-3)		
Extubation	0 (0-2)*	0 (0-3)		
First cough time (min)	11.1 ± 2.2	10.9 ± 4.5		

*P < 0.05, compared with propofol combined normal saline.

Jan 2014 and Jan 2015 were enrolled. There were 35 males and 25 females with mean age 4.6 ± 1.2 (1-10) years old and ASA I~II. No statistical difference was observed on gender, age, and weight (P > 0.05) (**Table 1**).

The subjects were randomly divided into two groups, including propofol combined dexmedetomidine group and propofol combined normal saline group.

The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Central hospital of Xianyang, and all patients gave their informed consent before study commencement.

Inclusion criteria: age > 1 year old; intubation anesthesia

Exclusion criteria: Congenital nerve or mental disease history; intraoperative severe organ failure in need; organ dysfunction leads to delayed awakening or cannot breathe out of machine; severe mental state changes; organic brain disease.

Anesthetic monitoring

Routinely monitor electrocardiogram, blood pressure, blood oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, and PCO₂. Pay attention to open the vein tunnel.

Anesthesia induction

2 mg/kg phenobarbital and 0.01 mg/ kg scopolamine were intramuscular injected at 30 min before surgery. 4

ml mixture of 0.5% ropivacaine and 1% lidocaine was applied for local anesthesia. Endotracheal intubation was performed after anesthesia induction and breathing machine was used for mechanical ventilation. Tidal volume was 8-10 mL/kg, oxygen concentration was 100%, inspiratory/expiratory ratio was 1:2, and respiratory frequency was 12-16 times/ min.

Anesthesia maintenance

Propofol combined dexmedetomidine group: Propofol was intravenous pumped at 4-6 mg/ kg/h. Dexmedetomidine was intravenous infused at 5 μ g/kg/h.

Propofol combined normal saline group: Propofol was intravenous pumped at 4-6 mg/ kg/h. Normal saline was intravenous infused at $5 \,\mu g/kg/h$.

Group	Casaa	Agitation incidence				Romoov oppro	
	Cases	10 min	15 min	20 min	30 min	Ramsay score	
Propofol combined dexmedetomidine	30	2 (6.67)*	1 (3.33)*	1 (3.33)*	0*	2.2 ± 0.7*	
Propofol combined normal saline	30	6 (20)	9 (30)	7 (23.33)	6 (20)	1.2 ± 0.4	

				_	
Table 4.	Agitation	incidence	comparison	(X	+ s)
	.B. co. c. o		00	· · ·	/

*P < 0.05, compared with propofol combined normal saline.

Anesthesia recovery

Propofol was stopped at 10 min before the end of surgery. Extubation was performed after autonomous respiration recover, oxyhemoglobin saturation \geq 95%, and tidal volume \geq 8 ml/ kg. All the patients received intravenous painkiller.

Index observation

Duration of eye opening and extubation were observed. OASS was used to score recovery quality at eye opening, extubation, and after extubation.

Cough time and severity during recovery period were observed. 0: no cough; 1: single cough; 2: moderate cough, more than 1 time, duration for < 5 s; 3: severe cough, duration for > 5 s [6].

The agitation incidence at 10, 20, 30 min after extubation were recorded. Ramsay score was performed to score sedation.

Complications after recovery, including intraoperative awake, nausea, vomiting, lethargy, and bradycardia were evaluated.

Standard of evaluation

Agitation criteria [7]: 1, sleep; 2, sober, quiet; 3, irritability, emotional lability; 4, inconsolable cry; 5, unquiet, agitation, aggression. Score 1, 2, and 3 were non-awakening period agitation. Score 4 and 5 were pediatric anesthesia emergence delirium.

OASS criteria [8]: 1, response to noxious stimulation; 2, slight body response; 3, response to call; 4, slow response; 5, wide awake, right response.

Ramsay criteria [9]: 1, restless, anxious, or both; 2, cooperation, quiet, normal orientation; 3, only response to order; 4, sleep, but response to intense sound or brow stimulus; 5, sleep, slow response to intense sound or brow stimulus; 6, no response.

Data processing and statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS17.0 software. Measurement data were presented as means and standard deviation ($\overline{x} \pm S$). Differences between multiple groups were analyzed by t test or chi-square test. P < 0.05 was considered as significant difference.

Results

Duration of eye opening and extubation, and OASS score comparison

Duration of eye opening and extubation, and OASS score in two groups were observed. Compared with propofol combined normal saline, the patients in propofol combined dexmedetomidine showed significantly longer duration of eye opening and extubation, lower OASS score at eye opening, extubation, and after extubation (P < 0.05) (**Table 2**).

Cough in recovery period comparison

Cough in recovery period comparison showed that the patients received propofol combined dexmedetomidine presented declined cough case number, times, and severity (P < 0.05). Two group showed no significant difference in first cough time (P > 0.05) (**Table 3**).

Agitation incidence comparison

Agitation incidence at different time point was compared. It revealed that obviously lower agitation incidence at 10, 15, 20, 30 min after extubation appeared in propofol combined dexmedetomidine compared with propofol combined normal saline (P < 0.05). Ramsay score showed that it significantly increased in propofol combined dexmedetomidine compared with propofol combined normal saline (P < 0.05) (**Table 4**).



Figure 1. Complications comparison. *P < 0.05, compared with propofol combined normal saline.

Complications comparison

The patients in propofol combined dexmedetomidine presented nausea at 6.67%, vomit at 3.33%, and lethargy at 10%, which were all obviously lower than that in propofol combined normal saline group (P < 0.05) (**Figure 1**).

Discussion

Patients often appear sympathetic nervous excitement caused by fear and anxiety during eye surgery, leading to catecholamine excess secretion. At this time, no sedation therapy cannot eliminate fear and anxiety even with good preoperative local anesthesia effect [10]. As a common perioperative complication, anxiety is most obvious in younger and hotheaded mood children. Benzodiazepines drugs usually do not have significant effect on such patients [11]. Dexmedetomidine showed 1600:1 binding ratio between $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 1$ adrenergic receptors as a high selective $\alpha 2$ adrenergic agonist. It can act on $\alpha 2$ adrenergic receptor in the brain and spinal cord to play the role of sedation, analgesia, and antianxiety. For dexmedetomidine has relatively unique sedative effect, it presents the dose dependent without no addiction, thus no strong inhibition to respiration [12, 13].

In this study, we selected pediatric patients receiving eye surgery in our hospital and applied two anesthetic methods. The patients in propofol combined dexmedetomidine showed significantly longer duration of eye opening and extubation, lower OASS score at eye opening,

extubation, and after extubation, suggesting that propofol combined dexmedetomidine had good anesthetic effect. Previous study revealed that applying propofol laryngeal mask anesthesia in patients with eye surgery can ensure intraoperative breathe easy, spontaneous breathing, rapid recover after surgery, no intraocular pressure elevation, and low incidence of adverse reactions such as nausea and vomiting. Related study confirmed that compared with patients oral taking 0.5 mg/

kg midazolam, 1 g/kg dexmedetomidine showed better sedation effect and more stable hemodynamics [14, 15]. This study further analyzed cough status in recovery period and found that propofol combined dexmedetomidine group presented declined cough case number, times, and severity, obviously lower agitation incidence at 10, 15, 20, 30 min after extubation, and higher Ramsay score. It suggested that propofol combined dexmedetomidine can reduce cough incidence and severity, and agitation incidence in recovery period without affecting extubation time. As a high selective $\alpha 2$ adrenergic agonist, dexmedetomidine acts on postsynaptic $\alpha 2$ adrenergic receptor in the brain and spinal cord to activate pertussis toxin sensitive G protein and improve potassium ion permeability, producing sedative and analgesic effect [16, 17].

Patients in propofol combined dexmedetomidine group appeared lower incidence complications including nausea, vomit, lethargy, and bradycardia. The reason of bradycardia might be dexmedetomidine can inhibit norepinephrine release in neuro-effector-junctor, and it can act on the sympathetic nervous system to slow the heart rate [18, 19]. When patients appear bradycardia, it should stop administration at one, increase oxygen inhalation, give vasopressors or anticholinergic agent [20]. Even so, dexmedetomidine is more effective and safer in pediatric perioperative usage compared with other drugs.

In conclusion, Propofol combined dexmedetomidine has good anesthesia effect in pediatric eye surgery by reducing cough incidence and severity, declining agitation incidence, while not affecting extubation in recovery period. It is worth to be widely applied in clinic with fewer complications and safe. It is a relative ideal selection in eye surgery anesthesia.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Xiangxue Jiao, Department of Anesthesiology, The First People's Hospital, 78, Xianyang 712000, Shanxi Province, China. Tel: +86-29-33233930; Fax: +86-29-3323-3930; E-mail: jiaoxiangxue@126.com

References

- Hudek K. Emergence delirium: a nursing perspective. AORN J 2009; 89: 509-516; quiz 517-509.
- [2] Yucel A BZ, Ozgul U. The effect of magnesium on emergence agitation in children undergoing adenotonsil lectomy under sevoflurane general anesthesia: a prospective randomised clinical trial. Health Med 2012; 6: 11-12.
- [3] Bergendahl H, Lonnqvist PA, Eksborg S. Clonidine: an alternative to benzodiazepines for premedication in children. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2005; 18: 608-613.
- [4] Afonso J, Reis F. Dexmedetomidine: current role in anesthesia and intensive care. Rev Bras Anestesiol 2012; 62: 118-133.
- [5] Fudickar A, Bein B. Propofol infusion syndrome: update of clinical manifestation and pathophysiology. Minerva Anestesiol 2009; 75: 339-344.
- [6] Costi D, Cyna AM, Ahmed S, Stephens K, Strickland P, Ellwood J, Larsson JN, Chooi C, Burgoyne LL, Middleton P. Effects of sevoflurane versus other general anaesthesia on emergence agitation in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; 9: CD007084.
- [7] Abdel-Ma'boud MA. Effect of dexemeditomedine and propofol on the prevention of emergence agitation following sevoflurane anesthesia in Egyptian children. J Egypt Soc Parasitol 2014; 44: 687-694.
- [8] Cole JW, Murray DJ, McAllister JD, Hirshberg GE. Emergence behaviour in children: defining the incidence of excitement and agitation following anaesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth 2002; 12: 442-447.
- [9] Kim YH, Yoon SZ, Lim HJ, Yoon SM. Prophylactic use of midazolam or propofol at the end of surgery may reduce the incidence of emergence agitation after sevoflurane anaesthesia. Anaesth Intensive Care 2011; 39: 904-908.

- [10] Shiiba M, Takei M, Nakatsuru M, Bukawa H, Yokoe H, Uzawa K, Tanzawa H. Clinical observations of post operative delirium after surgery for oral carcinoma. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009; 38: 661-665.
- [11] Mei W, Seeling M, Franck M, Radtke F, Brantner B, Wernecke KD, Spies C. Independent risk factors for postoperative pain in need of intervention early after awakening from general anaesthesia. Eur J Pain 2010; 14: 149, e141-147.
- [12] Mason KP, Lubisch NB, Robinson F, Roskos R. Intramuscular dexmedetomidine sedation for pediatric MRI and CT. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011; 197: 720-725.
- [13] Aksu R, Kumandas S, Akin A, Bicer C, Gumus H, Guler G, Per H, Bayram A, Boyaci A. The comparison of the effects of dexmedetomidine and midazolam sedation on electroencephalography in pediatric patients with febrile convulsion. Paediatr Anaesth 2011; 21: 373-378.
- [14] Yuen VM, Hui TW, Irwin MG, Yuen MK. A comparison of intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam for premedication in pediatric anesthesia: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. Anesth Analg 2008; 106: 1715-1721.
- [15] Talon MD, Woodson LC, Sherwood ER, Aarsland A, McRae L, Benham T. Intranasal dexmedetomidine premedication is comparable with midazolam in burn children undergoing reconstructive surgery. J Burn Care Res 2009; 30: 599-605.
- [16] Shukry M, Miller JA. Update on dexmedetomidine: use in nonintubated patients requiring sedation for surgical procedures. Ther Clin Risk Manag 2010; 6: 111-121.
- [17] Gerlach AT, Murphy CV, Dasta JF. An updated focused review of dexmedetomidine in adults. Ann Pharmacother 2009; 43: 2064-2074.
- [18] Gerlach AT, Dasta JF, Steinberg S, Martin LC, Cook CH. A new dosing protocol reduces dexmedetomidine-associated hypotension in critically ill surgical patients. J Crit Care 2009; 24: 568-574.
- [19] Jung HS, Joo JD, Jeon YS, Lee JA, Kim DW, In JH, Rhee HY, Choi JW. Comparison of an intraoperative infusion of dexmedetomidine or remifentanil on perioperative haemodynamics, hypnosis and sedation, and postoperative pain control. J Int Med Res 2011; 39: 1890-1899.
- [20] Menon DV, Wang Z, Fadel PJ, Arbique D, Leonard D, Li JL, Victor RG, Vongpatanasin W. Central sympatholysis as a novel countermeasure for cocaine-induced sympathetic activation and vasoconstriction in humans. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007; 50: 626-633.