Original Article AGBL4, PRL8 and PCSK9 genetic variants and their interactions on dyslipidemia

Tao Guo¹, Rui-Xing Yin¹, Shang-Ling Pan², Wei-Xiong Lin³, De-Zhai Yang³, Feng Huang¹

¹Department of Cardiology, Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital; ²Department of Pathophysiology, School of Premedical Sciences; ³Department of Molecular Genetics, Medical Scientific Research Center; Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, China

Received November 22, 2016; Accepted November 27, 2016; Epub March 1, 2017; Published March 15, 2017

Abstract: This study was designed to comprehensively illuminate the genetic susceptibility to dyslipidemia on the ATP/GTP binding protein-like 4 (AGBL4), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor related protein 8 (LRP8) and proprotein convertase subtilisin-like kexin type 9 (PCSK9) gene cluster regions. Genotypes of 12 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified in a total of 2552 individuals (1020, hypercholesterolemia (HTC); 740, hypertriglyceridemia (HTG); 673, hyper-LDL cholesterol (HLDL-C); and 1177, hyper-apolipoproteinB100 (HApoB100), some participants overlapped more than one kind of dyslipidemia). Consequently, we confirmed previously observed significant associations between cardiometabolic risk, dyslipidemia, and SNPs in the *AGBL4* (rs320018 for HTG, rs320017 for HLDL-C, rs320017 and rs320018 for HApoB100), *LRP8* (rs1288521 for HApoB100) and *PCSK9* (rs584626 and rs585131 for HTC, rs533375 for HTG, rs540796 for HLDL-C, and rs533375 for HApoB100). Furthermore, we elucidated distinct effects of the *AGBL4*, *LRP8* and *PCSK9* interactions towards dyslipidemia (G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G for HTC; A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G and G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-T-A-A-G for HTG; A-A-G-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G, A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G and G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-T-A-A-G for HTG; A-A-G-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G, a-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G and G-G-A-A-C-G-T-C-C-A-A-G for HApoB100). These findings suggest that integrative *AGBL4*, *LRP8* and *PCSK9* genetic variants and their interactions may significantly modify the risk of dyslipidemia, depending on effects of serum lipid levels.

Keywords: ATP/GTP binding protein-like 4 gene, low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein 8 gene, proprotein convertase subtilisin-like kexin type 9 gene, dyslipidemia

Introduction

There is long-standing, well-documented and robust evidence showing dyslipidemia is an independent risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD). Although the primary target of therapy for prevention of ASC-VD was mainly focused on reducing the plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels recommend by current guidelines from ESC/EAS [1], lowering plasma total cholesterol (TC) [2], triglyceride (TG) [3] and apolipoproteinB100 (ApoB100) [4] were found to be more beneficial than lowering LDL-C alone for ASC-VD. Dyslipidemia represents overlapping complex disease attributed to genetic and environmental factors, while the estimated heritability for dyslipidemia is quite high, approximately 40-60% [5].

Despite hundreds of genetic variants hit from very large genome-wide association studies (GWASs) [6], epigenome-wide association studies (EWASs) [7] and transcriptome-wide association studies (TWASs) [8] on dyslipidemia, a large portion of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that appear to influence dyslipidemia, including the ATP/GTP binding protein-like 4 gene (AGBL4; Gene ID: 84871; MIM: 616476; formerly known as CCP6; location: 1p33, exon count: 17) (http://www-ncbi-nlm-nih-gov.ezpprod1.hul.harvard.edu/gene/), the LDL receptor related protein 8 gene (LRP8; Gene ID: 7804; MIM: 602600; formerly known as MCI1, LRP-8, APOER2 and HSZ75190; location: 1p-34, exon count: 22) and the proprotein convertase subtilisin-like kexin type 9 gene (PCSK9; Gene ID: 255738; MIM: 607786; formerly known as FH3, PC9, NARC1, LDLCQ1, NARC-1 and

HTCOLA3; location: 1p32.3, exon count: 14) remains unexplained [9-11].

Population-based association of AGBL4, PRL8 and PCSK9 variants with lipid-related traits has been reported previously [12], while comprehensive analyses of AGBL4, PRL8 and PCSK9 variants and their possible gene-gene interaction on dyslipidemia have never been detected. Therefore, this study was performed to test the hypothesis that (i) AGBL4 (rs320017, rs320018 and rs320019), LRP8 (rs6694764, rs1288519, rs585131, rs1288520 and rs1288521) and PCSK9 (rs533375, rs584626, rs585131 and rs540796) variants associate with dyslipidemia, comprising HTC, HTG, HLDL-C and HApoB100 (in our laboratory, ApoB level mainly means ApoB100 level); (ii) their interactions of the detected variants involved in mechanisms of dyslipidemia; and (iii) the possible gene-gene interaction models among these variants are useful for identifying more precise and distinct susceptible signals of dyslipidemia, comparing with single-locus test.

Materials and methods

Ethics statements

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University. Written informed consent for all the participants is obtained as per the guidelines. This study was carried out following the rules of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 (http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/), revised in 2008.

Subjects

The participants were recruited from three islands: Wanwei, Wutou and Shanxin of Dongxing City, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China. A total of 2552 participants were randomly selected from our stratified, randomized samples [13]. There were 1866 dyslipidemic individuals, comprising hypercholesterolemia (HTC > 5.17 mmol/l), hypertriglyceridemia (HTG > 1.70 mmol/l), high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HLDL-C > 3.10 mmol/l) or high apolipoprotein B100 (HApoB100 > 1.05 g/L) participants and 686 normolipidemic subjects (TC \leq 5.17, TG \leq 1.70, LDL-C \leq 3.10 mmol/l and ApoB100 \leq 1.05 g/L) [14-17], aged 18-80 years [18-20]. Some participants overlap more than one kind of dyslipidemia (HTC, HTG, HLDL-C and/or HApoB100). Then, within the dyslipidemia individuals to assess the association of variants with risk of HTC, HTG, LDL-C and ApoB100 separately. The participants with a history of coronary artery disease, stroke, diabetes, hyper- or hypo-thyroids, and chronic hepatic and renal disease, as well as a history of taking lipid modulating medications such as statins or fibrates were excluded [21].

Epidemiological survey

The epidemiological survey was carried out by using internationally standardized methods and following a common protocol [22]. Information on demographics, socioeconomic status, lifestyle, past medical history and family disease history was collected by using standardized questionnaires. The intake of alcohol was quantified as the number of liangs (about 50 g) of rice wine, corn wine, rum, beer or liquor consumed during the preceding 12 months. Alcohol consumption was categorized into groups of grams of alcohol per day: O (nondrinkers), ≤ 25 and > 25 [23]. Smoking status was categorized into the groups of cigarettes per day: 0 (non-smokers), \leq 20 and > 20 [24]. The methods of blood pressure, height, weight and waist circumference measurements have been described in the previous studies. Body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2) was calculated from the height and weight measurements and was categorized into four groups: under weight (BMI < 18.5), normal weight (18.5 \leq BMI < 24.9), overweight ($25 \le BMI < 29.9$), class I obesity $(30 \le BMI < 34.9)$, class II obesity $(35 \le BMI <$ 39.9), class III obesity (40 < BMI) [25]. Waist circumference was categorized into groups including normal (waist circumference \leq 40 in, male; waist circumference \leq 35 in, female) and central obesity (waist circumference > 40 in, male; waist circumference > 35 in, female) [26].

Laboratory biochemical measurement

Lipid variables and fasting glucose levels were measured by standardized methods in blood samples obtained after a 12-h fast. The normal values of serum TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, ApoA1 and ApoB100 (also called ApoB in our laboratory) levels and the ratio of ApoA1 to Apo-B100 in our Clinical Science Experiment Centre were 3.10-5.17, 0.56-1.70, 1.16-1.42, 2.703.10 mmol/l, 1.20-1.60, 0.80-1.05 g/l and 1.00-2.50; respectively. According to fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels, the subjects were categorized into three groups: normal glucose levels (< 6.1 mmol/L), impaired fasting glucose (\geq 6.1 and < 7) and diabetes mellitus (\geq 7 mmol/L) [27].

DNA isolation and genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leucocytes using the phenol-chloroform method [28, 29]. Genotyping of the detected variants was performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and then direct sequenced by Sanger sequencing technology using ABI Prism 3100 (Applied Biosystems, International Equipment Trading Ltd., Vernon Hills, IL, USA) in Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Services Co. Ltd., Shanghai China.

Statistical methods

A statistical software package SPSS 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the data. The quantitative variables were presented as the mean ± S.D. for those, that are normally distributed, and the medians and interguartile ranges for TG, which is not normally distributed. General characteristics between the two groups were compared by the Student's unpaired t-test. The allele frequency and genotype distribution, as well as haplotype frequency between the groups were analyzed by the Chi-squared test; Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, pair-wise linkage disequilibria and haplotype frequencies among the variants were analyzed using Haploview (version 4.2; Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard). The association between the genotypes and serum lipid phenotypes was tested by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Unconditional logistic regression was used to assess the correlation between the risk of dyslipidemia and genotypes (the minor allele non-carrier = 1, the minor allele carrier = 2). Age, sex, BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption were adjusted for the statistical analysis. Two-sided P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The inter-locus interaction was analyzed by generalized multifactor dimensionality reduction (GMDR) method, using GMDR software. The cross-validation consistency score provides the degree of consistency when the selected interaction is identified as the best model among all possibilities considered. The testing balanced accuracy provides the degree of interaction, which accurately predicts the casecontrol status with scores between 0.50 (indicating that the model predicts no better than the chance) and 1.00 (indicating perfect prediction). A sign test or a per-variant test provides *P*-value for predicting accuracy to measure the significance of an identified model. The best model is selected as the combination of marker with maximum cross-validation consistency and minimum prediction error.

Results

Clinical characteristics

Clinical characteristics of the 2552 individuals (some of them overlapped more than one kind of dyslipidemia) in this study are summarized in **Table 1**.

Genotype and allele frequencies

The genotype and allele frequencies of the AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 variants are summarized in Table 2. The genotype frequency of the AGBL4 rs320017, AGBL4 rs320018, AGB-L4 rs320019, PCSK9 rs585131 and PCSK9 rs540796 and the allele frequency of the AGBL4 rs320017-G, PCSK9 rs585131-G and PCSK9 rs540796-A were significantly different between the HTC and non-HTC individuals (P <0.05-0.001). Likewise, the genotype frequency of the AGBL4 rs320017, AGBL4 rs320018, AGBL4 rs320019, LRP8 rs6694764, LRP8 rs-1288519, LRP8 rs872315, LRP8 rs1288520, LRP8 rs1288521, PCSK9 rs533375, PCSK9 rs584626, PCSK9 rs585131 and PCSK9 rs-540796 and the allele frequency of the AGBL4 rs320017-G, AGBL4 rs320018-G, AGBL4 rs-320019-A, LRP8 rs6694764-A, LRP8 rs1288-519-C, LRP8 rs872315-A, LRP8 rs1288520-T, LRP8 rs1288521-T, PCSK9 rs533375-T, PCSK9 rs584626-G. PCSK9 rs585131-G and PCSK9 rs540796-A were significantly different between the HTG and non-HTG individuals (P <0.05-0.001). Furthermore, the genotype frequency of the AGBL4 rs320017, AGBL4 rs32-0018, LRP8 rs6694764, LRP8 rs1288519, LRP8 rs1288520, LRP8 rs1288521, PCSK9 rs533375, PCSK9 rs584626, PCSK9 rs585-131 and PCSK9 rs540796 and the allele fre-

 Table 1. Clinical characteristics among the dyslipidemia individuals

Characteristics	HTC	HTG	HLDL-C	HApoB100
Number	1020	740	673	1177
Gender (Male/Female) [n (%)]	502 (49.2)/518 (50.8)	368 (49.7)/372 (50.3)	314 (46.7)/359 (53.3)	588 (50.0)/589 (50.0)
Age (years)	59.47 ± 13.03	56.44 ± 12.90	58.48 ± 12.78	57.85 ± 12.68
Younger adults < 40 years of age	50 (4.9)	55 (7.4)	35 (5.2)	62 (5.3)
Adults 40-75 years of age	833 (81.7)	613 (82.8)	562 (83.5)	985 (83.7)
Elderly adults > 75 years of age	137 (13.4)	72 (9.7)	76 (11.3)	130 (11.0)
Race/Ethnicity (Jing/Han) [n (%)]	593 (58.1)/427 (41.9)	404 (54.6)/336 (45.4)	344 (51.1)/329 (48.9)	607 (51.6)/570 (48.4)
Height (cm)	157.26 ± 7.84	158.58 ± 8.59	157.61 ± 8.65	158.14 ± 8.09
Weight (kg)	58.10 ± 10.31	61.08 ± 10.93	56.64 ± 9.77	59.47 ± 10.29
Body mass index (kg/m²)	23.41 ± 3.28	24.21 ± 3.36	22.82 ± 3.07	23.71 ± 3.32
BMI < 18.5 (under weight)	62 (6.1)	33 (4.5)	42 (6.2)	62 (5.3)
BMI 18.5-24.9 (normal weight)	676 (66.3)	419 (56.6)	488 (72.5)	751 (63.8)
BMI 25-29.9 (over weight)	249 (24.4)	252 (34.1)	126 (18.7)	318 (27.0)
BMI 30-34.9 (class I obese)	30 (2.9)	34 (4.6)	16 (2.4)	39 (3.3)
BMI 35-39.9 (class II obese)	3 (0.3)	2 (0.3)	1 (0.1)	7 (0.6)
BMI \geq 40 (class III obese [extreme obesity])	0(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	O (O)
Waist circumference(cm)	79.89 ± 9.46	82.99 ± 9.12	78.08 ± 9.09	80.92 ± 9.20
Male (Waist circumference \leq 102 [40 in])	498 (99.2)	366 (99.5)	312 (99.4)	584 (99.3)
Male (Waist circumference > 102 [40 in])	4 (0.8)	2 (0.5)	2 (0.6)	4 (0.7)
Female (Waist circumference \leq 88 [35 in])	445 (86.4)	306 (82.3)	324 (90.5)	493 (84.1)
Female (Waist circumference > 88 [35 in])	70 (13.6)	66 (17.7)	34 (9.5)	93 (15.9)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	133.85 ± 20.87	134.68 ± 19.86	131.98 ± 20.71	133.91 ± 19.74
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	81.86 ± 10.90	83.20 ± 10.64	80.24 ± 10.75	82.02 ± 10.54
Pulse pressure (mmHg)	51.99 ± 16.90	51.48 ± 16.01	51.74 ± 16.39	51.88 ± 15.91
SBP < 120 & DBP < 80	147 (14.4)	72 (9.7)	116 (17.2)	141 (12.0)
Have prehypertension (SBP 120-139 or DBP80-89)	415 (40.7)	326 (44.1)	289 (42.9)	487 (41.4)
Have stage 1 hypertension (SBP 140-159 or DBP90-99)	260 (25.5)	205 (27.7)	163 (24.2)	358 (30.4)
Have stage 2 hypertension (SBP 160-179 or DBP100-19)	141 (13.8)	91 (12.3)	74 (11.0)	144 (12.2)
Have stage 3 hypertension (SBP \ge 180 or DBP \ge 110)	57 (5.6)	46 (6.2)	31 (4.6)	47 (4.0)
Have isolated systolic hypertension (SBP \geq 140 & BP < 90)	172 (16.9)	116 (15.7)	103 (15.3)	193 (16.4)
Tobacco smoking [n (%)]				
Nonsmoker	819 (80.3)	536 (72.4)	541 (80.4)	938 (79.7)
Light to moderate (\leq 20 Tobacco smoking/day)	49 (4.8)	31 (4.2)	25 (3.7)	44 (3.7)
Severe (> 20 Tobacco smoking/day)	152 (14.9)	173 (23.4)	107 (15.9)	195 (16.6)

Alcohol consumption [n (%)]				
Nondrinker	728 (71.4)	527 (71.2)	505 (75.0)	856 (72.7)
Light to moderate (≤ 25 g/day)	114 (11.2)	59 (8.0)	68 (10.1)	105 (8.9)
Severe(> 25 g/day)	178 (17.5)	154 (20.8)	100 (14.9)	216 (18.4)
Blood glucose level (mmol/L)	6.95 ± 1.55	7.04 ± 1.71	6.66 ± 1.43	6.72 ± 1.52
Glucose < 6.1 mmol/L	211 (20.7)	179 (24.2)	242 (36.0)	373 (31.7)
Have impaired fasting glucose (\geq 6.1 & < 7 mmol/L)	384 (37.6)	279 (37.7)	236 (35.1)	439 (37.3)
Have diabetes mellitus (≥ 7 mmol/L)	425 (41.7)	282 (38.1)	195 (29.0)	365 (31.0)
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.89 ± 0.57	5.32 ± 0.92	5.05 ± 0.88	5.45 ± 0.77
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.53 (1.20)	2.19 (1.90)	1.33 (1.05)	1.59 (1.23)
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.82 ± 0.50	1.77 ± 0.49	2.01 ± 0.52	1.81 ± 0.49
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.88 ± 0.42	2.85 ± 0.41	3.37 ± 0.23	2.87 ± 0.43
Apolipoprotein (Apo) A1 (g/L)	1.36 ± 0.26	1.27 ± 0.21	1.34 ± 0.22	1.34 ± 0.24
ApoB100 (g/L)	1.18 ± 0.25	1.15 ± 0.24	1.07 ± 0.26	1.26 ± 0.17
ApoA1/ApoB100	1.20 ± 0.33	1.16 ± 0.34	1.33 ± 0.41	1.08 ± 0.21

HTC: hypercholesterolemia; HTG: hypertriglyceridemia; HLDL-C: hyper low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HApoB100: hyperapolipoprotein (Apo) B100.

Table 2. AUDL4, LA		05/15 Valla					
SNP	Genotype	Genoty	pe distribution,	n (%)	OR [95% CI]	P-value	Dyslipidemia
		Cases	Controls	P-value			
1001 A 000017 A 10		(n = 1020)	(n = 1532)				
AGBL4 rs320017 A > G	AA AQ (QQ	665 (65.20)	939 (61.29)	0.040		0.070	
	AG/GG	355 (34.80)	593 (38.71)	0.046	0.672[0.435, 1.037]	0.073	HIC
		398 (19.51)	672 (21.93)	0.037			
	HWE (P)	0.405	0.428				
AGBL4 rs320018 A > G	AA	660 (64.71)	929 (60.64)				
	AG/GG	360 (35.29)	603 (39.36)	0.038	0.660 [0.427, 1.020]	0.062	HTC
	MAF	407 (19.95)	679 (22.16)	0.059			
	HWE (P)	0.210	0.910				
AGBL4 rs320019 G > A	GG	668 (65.49)	935 (61.03)			0.470	
	AG/AA	352 (34.51)	597 (38.97)	0.022	0.561 [0.246, 1.282]	0.170	HIC
	MAF	401 (19.66)	672 (21.93)	0.051			
	HWE (<i>P</i>)	0.057	0.845				
PCSK9 rs585131 A > G	AA	934 (91.57)	1356 (88.51)				
	AG/GG	86 (8.43)	1/6 (11.49)	0.013	0.840 [0.106, 6.680]	0.840	HIC
	MAF	88 (4.31)	181 (5.91)	0.013			
	HWE (P)	0.938	0.874				
PCSK9 rs540796 G > A	GG	922 (90.39)	1329 (86.75)				
	AG/AA	98 (9.61)	203 (13.25)	0.005	0.034 [0.006, 0.191]	1.288E-04	HTC
	MAF	104 (5.10)	207 (6.76)	0.015			
	HWE (<i>P</i>)	0.030	0.225				
AGBL4 rs320017 A > G	AA	418 (56.49)	1186 (65.45)			0.400	
	AG/GG	322 (43.51)	626 (34.55)	2.106E-05	2.216 [0.303, 16.202]	0.433	HTG
	MAF	368 (24.86)	702 (19.37)	1.213E-05			
	HWE (<i>P</i>)	0.961	0.228				
AGBL4 rs320018 A > G	AA	420 (56.76)	1169 (64.51)				
	AG/GG	320 (43.24)	643 (35.49)	2.440E-04	0.063 [0.005, 0.851]	0.037	HTG
	MAF	367 (24.78)	719 (19.84)	8.618E-05			
	HWE (<i>P</i>)	0.768	0.490				
AGBL4 rs320019 G > A	GG	416 (56.22)	1187 (65.51)				
	AG/AA	324 (43.78)	625 (34.49)	1.049E-05	5.728 [0.658, 49.894]	0.114	HTG
	MAF	372 (25.14)	701 (19.34)	4.070E-06			
	HWE (<i>P</i>)	0.807	0.217				
LRP8 rs6694764 G > A	GG	222 (30.00)	648 (35.76)				
	AG/AA	518 (70.00)	1164 (64.23)	0.005	0.877 [0.194, 3.965]	0.865	HTG
	MAF	669 (45.20)	1452 (40.07)	0.001			
	HWE (<i>P</i>)	0.976	0.778				
LRP8 rs1288519 A > C	AA	232 (31.35)	677 (37.36)				
	AC/CC	508 (68.65)	1135 (62.64)	0.004	1.005 [0.153, 6.607]	0.996	HTG
	MAF	657 (44.39)	1414 (39.02)	3.883E-04			
	HWE (P)	0.637	0.756				
LRP8 rs8/2315 G > A	GG	684 (92.43)	1/20 (94.92)	0.045	0 750 10 00 4 0 4041		
	AG/AA	56 (7.57)	92 (5.08)	0.015	0.752 [0.234, 2.421]	0.633	HIG
	MAF	62 (4.19)	92 (2.54)	0.002			
1000 1000500 0 · T	HWE (P)	1.66E-05	0.268				
LRP8 rs1288520 C > 1		237 (32.03)	696 (38.41)		0 507 10 074 4 0041	0 50 4	LITO
		503 (67.97)	1116 (61.59)	0.002	0.567 [0.074, 4.321]	0.584	HIG
	MAF	662 (44.73)	1412 (38.96)	1.410E-04			
	HWE (P)	0.104	0.039				
<i>LRP8</i> rs1288521 C > T	CC	253 (34.19)	791 (43.65)				
		487 (65.81)	1021 (56.35)	1.023E-05	1.106 [0.308, 3.964]	0.877	HIG
	MAF	619 (41.82)	1258 (34.71)	1.746E-06			
	HWE (P)	0.700	0.053				
<i>Р</i> С5К9 IS533375 С > Т		493 (66.62)	1356 (74.83)			4 0045 04	
		247 (33.38)	456 (25.17)	2.510E-05	1.578 [2.685, 21.384]	1.301E-04	HIG
	MAF	283 (19.12)	498 (13.74)	1.270E-06			

	Table 2. AGBL4	. LRP8 and P	CSK9 variants on dv	/slipidemia (with P-value	< 0.05)
--	----------------	--------------	---------------------	---------------	--------------	---------

	HWE (P)	0.034	0.123				
PCSK9 rs584626 A > G	AA	643 (86.89)	1635 (90.23)				
	AG/GG	97 (13.11)	177 (9.77)	0.013	0.053 [0.002, 1.824]	0.104	HTG
	MAF	109 (7.36)	177 (4.88)	4.712E-04			
	HWE (P)	1.68E-05	0.029				
PCSK9 rs585131 A > G	AA	646 (87.30)	1644 (90.73)				
	AG/GG	94 (12,70)	168 (9.27)	0.010	13,197 [0,432, 403,079]	0.139	HTG
	MAF	101 (6.82)	168 (4 64)	0.001	101201 [01102, 1001010]	0.200	
		0.040	0.039	0.001			
$DCSKQ re5/10796 G > \Lambda$	66	632 (85 /11)	1610 (80 35)				
FC3N3 13340130 G > A		109 (14 50)	102 (10 65)	0.005	1 125 [0 100 6 792]	0 800	што
		110 (707)	102 (5 22)	2 227E 04	1.133 [0.130, 0.783]	0.850	ma
		110(1.91)	193 (3.33)	5.557E-04			
AODI 4	HVVE (P)	0.008	0.017				
AGBL4 IS320017 A > G	AA	400 (59.44)	1204 (64.08)	0.004	E 700 (4 040, 00 0E0)	0.040	
	AG/GG	276 (40.56)	675 (35.92)	0.024	5.709 [1.016, 32.059]	0.048	HLDL-C
	MAF	309 (22.96)	761 (20.25)	0.036			
	HWE (P)	0.908	0.201				
AGBL4 rs320018 A > G	AA	397 (58.99)	1192 (63.44)				
	AG/GG	276 (41.01)	687 (36.56)	0.041	0.438 [0.059, 3.246]	0.419	HLDL-C
	MAF	308 (22.88)	778 (79.30)	0.094			
	HWE (P)	0.479	0.141				
<i>LRP8</i> rs6694764 G > A	GG	205 (30.46)	665 (35.39)				
	AG/AA	468 (69.54)	1214 (64.61)	0.021	1.412 [0.418, 4.765]	0.579	HLDL-C
	MAF	594 (44.13)	1527 (40.63)	0.025			
	HWE (P)	0.428	0.791				
<i>LRP8</i> rs1288519 A > C	AA	217 (32.24)	692 (36.83)				
	AC/CC	456 (67.76)	1187 (63.17)	0.033	0.349 [0.063, 1.943]	0.230	HLDL-C
	MAF	581 (43.16)	1490 (39.65)	0.024			
	HWE (P)	0.951	0.463				
<i>LRP8</i> rs1288520 C > T	cc	220 (32.69)	713 (37.95)				
	CT/TT	453 (67.31)	1166 (62.05)	0.015	1.191 [0.226, 6.278]	0.837	HLDL-C
	MAF	583 (43.31)	1491 (39.68)	0.020	1.101 [0.110, 0.11, 0]	0.000	
		0 557	0.005	0.020			
1 RP8 rs1288521 C > T	CC	251 (37 30)	793 (42 20)				
LIN 0 131200321 0 × 1		422 (62 70)	1096 (57.90)	0.026	2 240 10 842 6 4051	0 102	
		422 (02.70) 527 (20.00)	1240 (25.66)	0.020	2.340 [0.843, 0.433]	0.105	HEDE-C
		0.205	1340 (35.00)	0.000			
	HWE (P)	0.205	0.129				
PUSN9 15533375 U > 1		467 (69.39)	1382 (73.55)	0.000		0.000	
		206 (30.61)	497 (26.45)	0.038	1.092 [0.507, 2.353]	0.823	HLDL-C
		223 (16.57)	558 (14.85)	0.133			
	HWE (P)	0.681	0.000				
<i>PCSK9</i> rs584626 A > G	AA	586 (87.07)	1692 (90.05)				
	AG/GG	87 (12.93)	187 (9.95)	0.032	0.542 [0.073, 4.008]	0.548	HLDL-C
	MAF	90 (6.69)	196 (5.22)	0.044			
	HWE (P)	0.996	0.070				
PCSK9 rs585131 A > G	AA	588 (87.37)	1702 (90.58)				
	AG/GG	85 (12.63)	177 (9.42)	0.019	0.404 [0.061, 2.679]	0.348	HLDL-C
	MAF	87 (6.46)	182 (4.84)	0.022			
	HWE (P)	0.605	0.767				
PCSK9 rs540796 G > A	GG	573 (85.14)	1678 (89.30)				
	AG/AA	100 (14.86)	201 (10.70)	0.004	7.050 [1.552, 32.017]	0.011	HLDL-C
	MAF	103 (7.65)	208 (5.53)	0.005			
	HWE (P)	0.608	0.583				
<i>LRP8</i> rs1288521 C > T	CC	457 (38.83)	587 (42.69)				
	CT/TT	720 (61.17)	788 (57.31)	0.048	0.357 [0.137, 0.933]	0.036	HApoB100
	MAF	881 (37.43)	996 (36.22)	0.372			-
	HWE (P)	0.631	0.001				

MAF, minor allele frequency; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; AGBL4, ATP/GTP binding protein-like 4; LRP8, LDL receptorrelated protein 8; PCSK9, Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9; OR, odds ratio. HTC, hypercholesterolemia; HTG, hypertriglyceridemia; HLDL-C, hyper low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HApoB100, hyper apolipoprotein (Apo) B100. quency of the AGBL4 rs320017-G, LRP8 rs-6694764-A, LRP8 rs1288519-C, LRP8 rs12-88520-T, LRP8 rs1288521-T, PCSK9 rs5846-26-G, PCSK9 rs585131-G and PCSK9 rs5407-96-A were significantly different between the HLDL-C and non-HLDL-C individuals (P < 0.05-0.001). Moreover, the genotype frequency of the LRP8 rs1288521 was significantly different between HApoB100 and non-HApoB100 (P < 0.05). There was no difference in allele frequency between HApoB100 and non-HApoB-100 (P > 0.05 for all).

Single-variant on dyslipidemia

Figure 1 depicts the association between the AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 genetic variants and serum lipid variables in the HTC and non-HTC individuals. The levels of TC (rs585131). TG (rs-320017, rs1288519, rs872315, rs533375, rs-584626 and rs585131), ApoA1 (rs6694764, rs1288519, rs1288520 and rs1288521), Apo-B100 (rs872315) and the ratio of ApoA1 to ApoB100 (rs6694764, rs1288519 and rs12-88520) in the HTC individuals were different between the genotypes (P < 0.05 - 0.001), whereas the levels of TG (rs320017, rs320018, rs320019, rs6694764, rs1288519, rs1288-520, rs1288521, rs533375, rs584626, rs58-5131 and rs540796), HDL-C (rs584626) and ApoB100 (rs320019) in the non-HTC individuals were different between the genotypes (P <0.05-0.001).

Figure 2 depicts the association between the genotypes and serum lipid phenotypes in the HTG and non-HTG individuals. The levels of TC (rs320017, rs320018 and rs320019), TG (rs320017, rs320019, rs872315, rs1288521 and rs533375), HDL-C (rs6694764), LDL-C (rs-872315, rs585131 and rs540796), ApoA1 (rs-320017, rs320018, rs320019, rs6694764, rs1288519, rs1288520 and rs1288521), Apo-B100 (rs872315 and rs533375) and the ratio of ApoA1 to ApoB100 (rs533375) in the HTG individuals were different between the genotypes (P < 0.05-0.001); whereas the levels of HDL-C (rs872315, rs584626, rs585131 and rs540796) in the non-HTG individuals were different between the genotypes (P < 0.05-0.001).

Figure 3 depicts the association between the genotypes and serum lipid phenotypes in the HLDL-C and non-HLDL-C individuals. The levels

of HDL-C (rs872315, rs584626 and rs585131), LDL-C (rs320017, rs320018 rs320019, and rs1288521) and ApoA1 (rs6694764, rs1288-519, rs1288520 and rs1288521) in the HLDL-C individuals were different between the genotypes (P < 0.05-0.001); whereas the levels of TG (rs320017, rs320018, rs320019, rs6694-764, rs1288519, rs872315, rs1288520, rs-1288521, rs533375, rs584626, rs585131 and rs540796), HDL-C (rs533375) and ApoA1 (rs584626 and rs585131) in the non-HLDL-C individuals were different between the genotypes (P < 0.05-0.001).

Figure 4 depicts the association between the genotypes and serum lipid phenotypes in the HApoB100 and non-HApoB100 individuals. The levels of TC (rs320017, rs320018 and rs32-0019), TG (rs320017, rs320018, rs320019, rs6694764, rs1288519, rs1288520, rs128-8521, rs533375, rs585131 and rs540796), HDL-C (rs6694764), ApoA1 (rs6694764, rs12-88519, rs1288520, and rs1288521), ApoB100 (rs320017, rs320018 and rs320019, rs669-4764, rs872315 and rs533375) and the ratio of ApoA1 to ApoB100 (rs320018, rs6694764, rs1288519, rs1288520, and rs1288521) in the HApoB100 individuals were different between the genotypes (P < 0.05 - 0.001); whereas the levels of TG (rs320017, rs320018, rs32-0019, rs6694764, rs1288519, rs872315, rs-1288520, rs1288521, rs533375 and rs584-626) and HDL-C (rs1288521, rs584626 and rs585131) in the non-HApoB100 individuals were different between the genotypes (P <0.05-0.001).

After adjusting gender, age, race/ethnicity, BMI, tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption, logistic regression analysis demonstrated that the SNPs of rs584626 and rs540796 were associated with HTC; rs320018 and rs-533375 were associated with HTG; rs320017 and rs540796 were associated with HLDL-C; rs320017, rs320018, rs1288521 and rs53-3375 were associated with HApoB100 (P <0.05; **Table 2**).

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) analyses

The LD analyses of the detected SNPs with their pair-wise *D*'value and r^2 values revealed 9 gene-gene interaction models with $r^2 > 0.8$ (r^2 = 0.90 for rs320017 and rs320018; r^2 = 0.95 for rs320018 and rs320019; r^2 = 0.88 for





Figure 1. AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 variants on high total cholesterol (HTC); ^aP < 0.05; ^bP < 0.01; ^cP < 0.001 vs. control.





Figure 2. AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 variants on high triglyceride (HTG); °P < 0.05; °P < 0.01; °P < 0.001 vs. control.





Figure 3. AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 variants on high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HLDL-C); *P < 0.05; *P < 0.01; *P < 0.001 vs. control.





Figure 4. AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 variants on high apolipoprotein B100 (HApoB100); ^aP < 0.05; ^bP < 0.01; ^cP < 0.001 vs. control.

Haplotype	Cases, n (feq)	Control, n (feq)	Х ²	P Fisher's	P Pearson's	OR (95% CI)	Dyslipidemia
G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G	105.04 (0.051)	199.22 (0.065)	4.001	0.045537	0.045500	0.781 [0.612~0.996]	HTC
A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G	745.59 (0.504)	2163.95 (0.597)	37.36	1.06E-09	1.01E-09	0.685 [0.607~0.774]	HTG
G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-T-A-A-G	175.54 (0.119)	287.97 (0.079)	19.504	1.03E-05	1.02E-05	1.559 [1.279~1.901]	HTG
A-A-G-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G	216.00 (0.160)	510.65 (0.136)	4.908	0.02678	0.026757	1.216 [1.023~1.445]	HLDL-C
A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G	732.98 (0.545)	2176.57 (0.579)	4.849	0.027711	0.027688	0.869 [0.766~0.985]	HLDL-C
G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-T-G-G-A	37.01 (0.027)	59.68 (0.016)	7.197	0.007326	0.007319	1.752 [1.157~2.653]	HLDL-C
A-A-G-A-C-G-T-C-C-A-A-G	67.02 (0.028)	113.94 (0.041)	6.23	0.01259	0.012579	0.678 [0.499~0.922]	HApoB100
	Rare Hap (frequency < 3%) have been dropped						

Table 3. AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 interactions on dyslipidemia (with P-value < 0.05)

Order: AGBL4 rs320017, AGBL4 rs320018, AGBL4 rs320019, LRP8 rs6694764, LRP8 rs1288519, LRP8 rs872315, LRP8rs1288520, LRP8 rs1288521, PCSK9 rs533375, PCSK9 rs584626, PCSK9 rs585131, PCSK9 rs540796. HTC, hypercholesterolemia; HTG, hypertriglyceridemia; HLDL-C, hyper low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HApoB100, hyper apolipoprotein (Apo) B100.

Table 4. Best inter-locus interaction models identified by the generalized multifactor dimensionality reduction method

Locus no	Best combination for HTC	Cross-validation consistency	Testing accuracy	P-value
2	rs320019 rs540796	7/10	0.5111	0.8281
3	rs320019 rs1288521 rs540796	10/10	0.5322	0.0547
Locus no	Best combination for HTG	Cross-validation consistency	Testing accuracy	P-value
2	rs320019 rs540796	6/10	0.5449	0.0010
3	rs320017 rs320019 rs540796	3/10	0.5392	0.0107
Locus no	Best combination for HLDL-C	Cross-validation consistency	Testing accuracy	P-value
2	rs1288520 rs540796	7/10	0.5273	0.0547
3	rs320017 rs1288520 rs540796	4/10	0.5235	0.0547
Locus no	Best combination for HApoB100	Cross-validation consistency	Testing accuracy	P-value
2	rs320019 rs540796	8/10	0.5208	0.0107
3	rs1288521 rs320019 rs540796	8/10	0.5310	0.0107

HTC, hypercholesterolemia; HTG, hypertriglyceridemia; HLDL-C, hyper low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HApoB100, hyper apolipoprotein (Apo) B100.

rs320017 and rs320019; $r^2 = 0.94$ for rs6694764 and rs1288519; $r^2 = 0.93$ for rs6694764 and rs1288520; $r^2 = 0.93$ for rs1288519 and rs1288520; $r^2 = 0.83$ for rs1288519 and rs1288521; $r^2 = 0.82$ for rs1288520 and rs1288521; and $r^2 = 0.83$ for rs584626 and rs585131), 6 gene-gene interaction models with r^2 between 0.5 to 0.8 and another 51 gene-gene interaction models with $r^2 < 0.5$ in this study.

Haplotype frequencies

The haplotype frequencies are list in **Table 3**. The commonest haplotype was A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G (in order of *AGBL4* rs320017, *AGBL4* rs320018, *AGBL4* rs320019, *LRP8* rs6694-764, *LRP8* rs1288519, *LRP8* rs872315, *LRP8* rs1288520, *LRP8* rs1288521, *PCSK9* rs533-375, *PCSK9* rs584626, *PCSK9* rs585131 and *PCSK9* rs540796; > 50% of the samples; HTC), A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G (> 50% of the sam-

ples; HTG), A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G (> 50% of the samples; HLDL-C) and A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G (> 50% of the samples; HApoB100). After dropping rare haplotypes (frequency < 3%), the haplotype frequencies of the G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G were different between the HTC and non-HTC individuals. The G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G was associated with a reduced risk of HTC (OR: 0.781, 95% CI: 0.612-0.996, P < 0.05).The haplotype frequencies of the A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G and G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-A-A-G were different between the HTG and non-HTG individuals. The A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G was associated with a reduced risk of HTG (OR: 0.685, 95% CI: 0.607-0.774, P < 0.001), and the G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-A-A-G was associated with increased risk of HTG (OR: 1.559, 95% CI: 1.279-1.901, P < 0.001). The A-A-G-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G, A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G and G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-G-G-A were different between the HLDL-C and non-HLDL-C individuals. The A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G was associated with



Figure 5. AGBL4, PRL8 and PCSK9 interactions on dyslipidemia; $^{\circ}P < 0.05$; $^{\circ}P < 0.01$; $^{\circ}P < 0.001$ vs. control.

a reduced risk of HLDL-C (OR: 0.869, 95% CI: 0.766-0.985, P < 0.05), and A-A-G-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G (OR: 1.216, 95% CI: 1.023-1.445, P < 0.05) and G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-T-G-G-A (OR: 1.752, 95% CI: 1.157-2.653, P < 0.01) were associated with increased risk of HLDL-C. The A-A-G-A-C-G-T-C-C-A-A-G was different between the HApoB100 and non-HApo-B100 individuals. The A-A-G-A-C-G-T-C-C-A-A-G (OR: 0.678, 95% Cl: 0.499-0.922, P < 0.05) was associated with a reduced risk of HApoB100.

Gene-gene interaction models analyses

Table 4 shows the impacts of combination among the AGBL4, PRL8 and PCSK9 variants, which were analyzed by GMDR. The twoand three-locus models showed a significant association with the risk of HTG and HApoB100 (P < 0.05-0.005) and there is no significant statistical association two-and three-locus models with the risk of HTC and HLDL-C (P > 0.05). The two-locus model was chosen as the best one, owing to the fact of having the highest level of testing accuracy (54.49% for HTG and 52.08% for Hapo-B100) and good cross-validation consistency (6/10 for HTG and 8/10 for HapoB100). The threelocus model was chosen as the best one, owing to the fact of having the highest level of testing accuracy (53.92% for HTG and 53.10% for HapoB100) and good cross-validation consistency (3/10 for HTG and 8/10 for HapoB100).

AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 interactions on dyslipidemia

The correlation of the haplotypes of the *AGBL4*, *PRL8* and *PCSK9* and serum lipid phenotypes is shown in **Figure 5**. Rare Hap (frequency < 3%) has been dropped.

The carriers of G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G had lower TC level in HTC individuals than the noncarriers of G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G (P < 0.01). There were no differences in serum lipid phenotypes between the carriers and non-carriers of G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G in non-HTC individuals. The A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-A-A-G carriers had higher serum HDL-C and ApoA1 and lower TG levels in HTG individuals than the A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G non-carriers (P < 0.05-0.001). There were no differences in serum lipid phenotypes between the carriers and non-carriers of A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G in non-HTG individuals. The G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-A-A-G carriers had higher serum TG levels in HTG individuals and lower serum HDL-C levels in non-HTG individuals than the G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-A-A-G non-carriers (P < 0.01 for each). The A-A-G-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G carriers had higher serum TC levels in HTG individuals and lower serum ApoA1 levels in non-HTG individuals than the A-A-G-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G non-carriers (P < 0.05 for each). The A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G had lower serum TG and higher ApoA1 levels in HLDL-C individuals and higher ApoA1 levels in non-HTG individuals than the A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G non-carriers (P < 0.05-0.001). The G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-G-G-A carriers had higher serum TC levels in HTG individuals and lower ratio of ApoA1 to ApoB100 in non-HTG individuals than the G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-T-G-G-A non-carriers (P < 0.05-0.01). There were no differences in serum lipid phenotypes between the carriers and non-carriers of A-A-G-A-C-G-T-C-C-A-A-G in both HApoB100 and non-HApoB100 individuals (P > 0.05 for all).

Discussion

In the present study, we illuminated that (*i*) the *AGBL4*, *PRL8* and *PCSK9* variants associate with dyslipidemia, comprising HTC, HTG, HLDL-C and HApoB100; (*ii*) their haplotypes of the detected variants involved in mechanisms of dyslipidemia; and (*iii*) the possible gene-gene interaction models among these variants are useful for identifying more precise and distinct susceptible signals of dyslipidemia, comparing with single-locus test. This is the first study to comprehensively explore the inter-locus interactions among the *AGBL4*, *PRL8* and *PCSK9* genetic variants on dyslipidemia.

There is almost universal agreement that patients with established ASCVD should receive cholesterol-lowering drugs. Ideally, LDL-C and non-HDL-C should be reduced to very low level (at least a therapeutic target level). Yet, many patients cannot achieve these very low levels, even with high doses of powerful statins [30]. A newer class of drugs, called PCSK9 inhibitors, powerfully reduces LDL-C; PCSK9 inhibitors are currently being tested to determine how much additional risk reduction occurs when combined with high doses of statins [31]. Not only an emerging therapeutic target, PCSK9 but also is the third causal gene of familial hypercholesterolemia [32]. The gain-of-function variants, comprising E32K in PCSK9 [33] were found commonly in Japanese familial hypercholesterolemia. Consequently, we investigated PCSK9, AGBL4 and LRP8, which their cytogenetic locations are very closely of human chromosome 1 [34].

This is the first report that the AGBL4 variants on serum lipid levels. A previous study reported that the LRP8 R9520 (rs5174) was associated with TG levels in 358 Gene Quest Caucasian probands, and this finding was replicated in one other independent population of 134 patients with early-onset myocardial infarction [35]. Moreover, several papers reported that (i) the PCS-K9 E670G variant in a European population was associated with increased LDL-C in men but not in women; (ii) the L46 allele not only decreased LDL-C but also protected against myocardial infarction in the Italian populations [36]; (iii) the PCSK9 rs11591147 was associated with low cholesterol levels in Scotland (Glasgow), Ireland (Cork), and the Netherlands (Leiden) [37]; and (iv) one person who was homozygous for PCSK9 R46L had LDL-C levels of 11 mg/dL. In one family, 6 out of 8 members carrying the R46L variant had LDL-C levels below the lower 10% percentile of LDL-C among all study participants in the Strong Heart Family Study (SHFS) [38]. In current study, we demonstrated that the PCSK9 rs584626 G-allele carries increased risk of HTC and PCSK9 rs540796 A-allele carries protected against HTC: AGBL4 rs320018 G-allele carries protected against HTG and PCSK9 rs533375 T-allele carries increased risk of HTG; AGBL4 rs320017 G- and PCSK9 rs540796 A-allele carries increased risk of HLDL-C; AGBL4 rs320017 G- and PCSK9 rs533375 T-allele carries increased risk of HApoB100 and AGBL4 rs320018 G- and LRP8 rs1288521 T-allele carries protected against HApoB100.

Dyslipidemia is a complex disease; single gene is difficult to perfectly explain the mechanism. The one gene significantly results may be the consequence of linkage disequilibrium with other genes. In this study, we noticed that the AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 interaction model of G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-C-A-A-G, the PCSK9 rs58-4626 G-allele non-carries, protected against HTC: A-A-G-G-A-G-C-C-C-A-A-G, the PCSK9 rs533375 T-allele non-carries, was associated with reduced risk of HTC, and G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-T-A-A-G, the PCSK9 rs533375 T-allele carries, was associated with increased risk of HTG. G-G-A-A-C-G-T-T-G-G-A, the AGBL4 rs32-0017 G- and PCSK9 rs540796 A-allele carries was associated increased risk of HLDL-C; and A-A-G-A-C-G-T-C-C-A-A-G, the AGBL4 rs320017 G- and PCSK9 rs533375 T-allele non-carries protected against HApoB100.

On GMDR analysis, an inter-locus interaction among the AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 on dyslipidemia was found in present study. The interactions of AGBL4 rs320019-PCSK9 rs540796 and AGBL4 rs320017-AGBL4 rs320019-PCSK9 rs540796 were associated with the risk of HTG, and AGBL4 rs320019-PCSK9 rs540796 and LRP8 rs1288521-AGBL4 rs320019-PCSK9 rs540796 were associated with the risk of HApoB100. In multi-locus (GMDR) analyses, a significant association with HTG and HApoB100 was found in two-to threelocus models. These findings indicate that a potential gene-gene interaction might exist among the AGBL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 variants. Unfortunately, no previous study has investigated the inter-locus interaction among AG-BL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 variants, and therefore we cannot make comparisons with our results. Although, a statistically significant haplotype was noted in this study, the biological mechanism underlying these genes and their interactions are still yet to be defined.

There are several potential limitations in our study. Firstly, the number of participants available for minor allele frequency (MAF) of some variants was not high enough to calculate a strong power as compared with many previous GWASs and replication studies. Secondly, we were unable to alleviate the effect of diet, such as rans, saturated, polyunsaturated (n-3 and n-6), and monounsaturated fatty acids, during the statistical analysis. Thirdly, the relevance of this finding has to be defined in further high

caliber of studies including incorporating the genetic information of the *AGBL4*, *LRP8* and *PCSK9* variants and their interactions *in vitro* and *in vivo* functional studies to confirm the impact of a variant on a molecular level. What's more, discussion of race and ethnicity in medicine must rigorously avoid polarization and the further perpetuation of disparate health care.

Conclusions

Our study confirmed that the genetic variants are replicable in the Chinese HTC/HTG/HLDL-C/ HApoB100 and non-dyslipidemia populations. Haplotypes could explain much more serum lipid variation than any single-variant alone. Differences in serum lipid phenotypes among these populations might partially attribute to *AGBL4, LRP8* and *PCSK9* variants and their haplotypes. However, further functional studies of these genes are still required to clarify which variants are functional and how these genes actually affect serum lipid phenotypes.

Taken all of facts into consideration, it is possible that the significant variants identified in the *AGBL4*, *LRP8* and *PCSK9* region might be in high linkage disequilibrium with some of the functional variants in other genes, which is known to affect the risk of dyslipidemia. Thus, an in-depth study of the biological actions of these genes is crucial to clarify which variants are functional and how these genes actually affect the risk of dyslipidemia. It is expected that the physiological function of *AGBL4*, *LRP8* and *PCSK9* will be elucidated in a not too distant future.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 8116-0111) and the Innovation Project of Guangxi Graduate Education. The authors are grateful to the participants and their families, as well as to the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Rui-Xing Yin, Department of Cardiology, Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, 22 Shuangyong Road, Nanning 530021, Guangxi, China. Tel: +867715358832; E-mail: yinruixing@163.com

References

- [1] Catapano AL, Graham I, De Backer G, Wiklund O, Chapman MJ, Drexel H, Hoes AW, Jennings CS, Landmesser U, Pedersen TR, Reiner Ž, Riccardi G, Taskinen MR, Tokgozoglu L, Verschuren WM, Vlachopoulos C, Wood DA, Zamorano JL; Authors/Task Force Members and Additional Contributor. 2016 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: the task force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the european society of cardiology (ESC) and european atherosclerosis society (EAS) developed with the special contribution of the european assocciation for cardiovascular prevention & rehabilitation (EACPR). Eur Heart J 2016; 37: 2999-3058.
- [2] Elshazly MB, Quispe R, Michos ED, Sniderman AD, Toth PP, Banach M, Kulkarni KR, Coresh J, Blumenthal RS, Jones SR and Martin SS. Patient-level discordance in population percentiles of the total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio in comparison with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and nonhigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol: the very large database of lipids study (VLDL-2B). Circulation 2015; 132: 667-676.
- [3] Sahebkar A, Chew GT and Watts GF. Recent advances in pharmacotherapy for hypertriglyceridemia. Prog Lipid Res 2014; 56: 47-66.
- [4] Borén J, White A, Wettesten M, Scott J, Graham L and Olofsson SO. The molecular mechanism for the assembly and secretion of ApoB-100containing lipoproteins. Prog Lipid Res 1991; 30: 205-218.
- Teslovich TM, Musunuru K, Smith AV, Edmond-[5] son AC, Stylianou IM, Koseki M, Pirruccello JP, Ripatti S, Chasman DI, Willer CJ, Johansen CT, Fouchier SW, Isaacs A, Peloso GM, Barbalic M, Ricketts SL, Bis JC, Aulchenko YS, Thorleifsson G, Feitosa MF, Chambers J, Orho-Melander M, Melander O, Johnson T, Li X, Guo X, Li M, Shin Cho Y, Jin Go M, Jin Kim Y, Lee JY, Park T, Kim K, Sim X, Twee-Hee Ong R, Croteau-Chonka DC, Lange LA, Smith JD, Song K, Hua Zhao J, Yuan X, Luan J, Lamina C, Ziegler A, Zhang W, Zee RY, Wright AF, Witteman JC, Wilson JF, Willemsen G, Wichmann HE, Whitfield JB, Waterworth DM, Wareham NJ, Waeber G, Vollenweider P, Voight BF, Vitart V, Uitterlinden AG, Uda M, Tuomilehto J, Thompson JR, Tanaka T, Surakka I, Stringham HM, Spector TD, Soranzo N, Smit JH, Sinisalo J, Silander K, Sijbrands EJ, Scuteri A, Scott J, Schlessinger D, Sanna S, Sa-Iomaa V, Saharinen J, Sabatti C, Ruokonen A, Rudan I, Rose LM, Roberts R, Rieder M, Psaty BM, Pramstaller PP, Pichler I, Perola M, Pen-

ninx BW, Pedersen NL, Pattaro C, Parker AN, Pare G, Oostra BA, O'Donnell CJ, Nieminen MS, Nickerson DA, Montgomery GW, Meitinger T, McPherson R, McCarthy MI, McArdle W, Masson D, Martin NG, Marroni F, Mangino M, Magnusson PK, Lucas G, Luben R, Loos RJ, Lokki ML, Lettre G, Langenberg C, Launer LJ, Lakatta EG, Laaksonen R, Kyvik KO, Kronenberg F, König IR, Khaw KT, Kaprio J, Kaplan LM, Johansson A, Jarvelin MR, Janssens AC, Ingelsson E, Igl W, KeesHovingh G, Hottenga JJ, Hofman A, Hicks AA, Hengstenberg C, Heid IM, Hayward C, Havulinna AS, Hastie ND, Harris TB, Haritunians T, Hall AS, Gyllensten U, Guiducci C, Groop LC, Gonzalez E, Gieger C, Freimer NB, Ferrucci L, Erdmann J, Elliott P, Ejebe KG, Döring A, Dominiczak AF, Demissie S, Deloukas P, de Geus EJ, de Faire U, Crawford G, Collins FS, Chen YD, Caulfield MJ, Campbell H, Burtt NP, Bonnycastle LL, Boomsma DI, Boekholdt SM, Bergman RN, Barroso I, Bandinelli S, Ballantyne CM, Assimes TL, Quertermous T, Altshuler D, Seielstad M, Wong TY, Tai ES, Feranil AB, Kuzawa CW, Adair LS, Taylor HA Jr, Borecki IB, Gabriel SB, Wilson JG, Holm H, Thorsteinsdottir U, Gudnason V, Krauss RM, Mohlke KL, Ordovas JM, Munroe PB, Kooner JS, Tall AR, Hegele RA, Kastelein JJ, Schadt EE, Rotter JI, Boerwinkle E, Strachan DP, Mooser V, Stefansson K, Reilly MP, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, Cupples LA, Sandhu MS, Ridker PM, Rader DJ, van Duijn CM, Peltonen L, Abecasis GR, Boehnke M and Kathiresan S. Biological, clinical and population relevance of 95 loci for blood lipids. Nature 2010; 466:707-713.

- [6] Mamtani M, Kulkarni H, Dyer TD, Göring HH, Neary JL, Cole SA, Kent JW, Kumar S, Glahn DC, Mahaney MC, Comuzzie AG, Almasy L, Curran JE, Duggirala R, Blangero J and Carless MA. Genome- and epigenome-wide association study of hypertriglyceridemic waist in Mexican american families. Clin Epigenetics 2016; 8: 6.
- [7] Xu X, Su S, Barnes VA, De Miguel C, Pollock J, Ownby D, Shi H, Zhu H, Snieder H and Wang X. A genome-wide methylation study on obesity: differential variability and differential methylation. Epigenetics 2013; 8:522-533.
- [8] Gusev A, Ko A, Shi H, Bhatia G, Chung W, Penninx BW, Jansen R, de Geus EJ, Boomsma DI, Wright FA, Sullivan PF, Nikkola E, Alvarez M, Civelek M, Lusis AJ, Lehtimäki T, Raitoharju E, Kähönen M, Seppälä I, Raitakari OT, Kuusisto J, Laakso M, Price AL, Pajukanta P and Pasaniuc B. Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2016; 48: 245-252.
- [9] Gregory SG, Barlow KF, McLay KE, Kaul R, Swarbreck D, Dunham A, Scott CE, Howe KL, Woodfine K, Spencer CC, Jones MC, Gillson C,

Searle S, Zhou Y, Kokocinski F, McDonald L, Evans R, Phillips K, Atkinson A, Cooper R, Jones C, Hall RE, Andrews TD, Lloyd C, Ainscough R, Almeida JP, Ambrose KD, Anderson F, Andrew RW, Ashwell RI, Aubin K, Babbage AK, Bagguley CL, Bailey J, Beasley H, Bethel G, Bird CP, Bray-Allen S, Brown JY, Brown AJ, Buckley D, Burton J, Bye J, Carder C, Chapman JC, Clark SY, Clarke G, Clee C, Cobley V, Collier RE, Corby N, Coville GJ, Davies J, Deadman R, Dunn M, Earthrowl M, Ellington AG, Errington H, Frankish A, Frankland J, French L, Garner P, Garnett J, Gay L, Ghori MR, Gibson R, Gilby LM, Gillett W, Glithero RJ, Grafham DV, Griffiths C, Griffiths-Jones S, Grocock R, Hammond S, Harrison ES, Hart E, Haugen E, Heath PD, Holmes S, Holt K, Howden PJ, Hunt AR, Hunt SE, Hunter G, Isherwood J, James R, Johnson C, Johnson D, Joy A, Kay M, Kershaw JK, Kibukawa M, Kimberley AM, King A, Knights AJ, Lad H, Laird G, Lawlor S, Leongamornlert DA, Lloyd DM, Loveland J, Lovell J, Lush MJ, Lyne R, Martin S, Mashreghi-Mohammadi M, Matthews L, Matthews NS, McLaren S, Milne S, Mistry S, Moore MJ, Nickerson T, O'Dell CN, Oliver K, Palmeiri A, Palmer SA, Parker A, Patel D, Pearce AV, Peck Al, Pelan S, Phelps K, Phillimore BJ, Plumb R, Rajan J, Raymond C, Rouse G, Saenphimmachak C, Sehra HK, Sheridan E, Shownkeen R, Sims S, Skuce CD, Smith M, Steward C, Subramanian S, Sycamore N, Tracey A, Tromans A, Van Helmond Z, Wall M, Wallis JM, White S, Whitehead SL, Wilkinson JE, Willey DL, Williams H, Wilming L, Wray PW, Wu Z, Coulson A, Vaudin M, Sulston JE, Durbin R, Hubbard T, Wooster R, Dunham I, Carter NP, McVean G, Ross MT, Harrow J, Olson MV, Beck S, Rogers J, Bentley DR, Banerjee R, Bryant SP, Burford DC, Burrill WD, Clegg SM, Dhami P, Dovey O, Faulkner LM, Gribble SM, Langford CF, Pandian RD, Porter KM and Prigmore E. The DNA sequence and biological annotation of human chromosome 1. Nature 2006; 441: 315-321.

- [10] Fox CS, Hall JL, Arnett DK, Ashley EA, Delles C, Engler MB, Freeman MW, Johnson JA, Lanfear DE, Liggett SB, Lusis AJ, Loscalzo J, MacRae CA, Musunuru K, Newby LK, O'Donnell CJ, Rich SS, Terzic A; American Heart Association Council on Functional Genomics and Translational Biology, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research, and Council on Epidemiology and Prevention. Future translational applications from the contemporary genomics era: a scientific statement from the american heart association. Circulation 2015; 131: 1715-1736.
- [11] Christoffersen M and Tybjærg-Hansen A. Novel genes in LDL metabolism--a comprehensive overview. Curr Opin Lipidol 2015; 26: 179-187.

- [12] Guo T, Yin RX, Yao LM, Huang F, Pan L, Lin WX, Yang DZ and Pan SL. Integrative mutation, haplotype and G×G interaction evidence connects ABGL4, LRP8 and PCSK9 genes to cardiometabolic risk. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 37375.
- [13] Liao PJ, Xie RB, Yin RX, Wei DX, Huang J, Huang F, Li H, Lin WX, Wu JZ and Pan SL. Serum lipid profiles, the prevalence of dyslipidemia and the risk factors in two isolated Chinese minorities. Int J ClinExp Med 2015; 8: 19200-19211.
- [14] Cavallini DC, Manzoni MS, Bedani R, Roselino MN, Celiberto LS, Vendramini RC, de Valdez G, Abdalla DS, Pinto RA, Rosetto D, Valentini SR and Rossi EA. Probiotic soy product supplemented with isoflavones improves the lipid profile of moderately hypercholesterolemic men: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrients 2016; 8: E52.
- [15] Boizel R, Benhamou PY, Lardy B, Laporte F, Foulon T and Halimi S. Ratio of triglycerides to HDL cholesterol is an indicator of LDL particle size in patients with type 2 diabetes and normal HDL cholesterol levels. Diabetes Care 2000; 23: 1679-1685.
- [16] Berthold HK, Sudhop T and von Bergmann K. Effect of a garlic oil preparation on serum lipoproteins and cholesterol metabolism: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1998; 279: 1900-1902.
- [17] Leino A, Impivaara O, Kaitsaari M and Järvisalo J. Serum concentrations of apolipoprotein A-I, apolipoprotein B, and lipoprotein(a) in a population sample. Clin Chem 1995; 41: 1633-1636.
- [18] Kastelein JJ, Besseling J, Shah S, Bergeron J, Langslet G, Hovingh GK, Al-Saady N, Koeijvoets M, Hunter J, Johnson-Levonas AO, Fable J, Sapre A and Mitchel Y. Anacetrapib as lipid-modifying therapy in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (REALIZE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet 2015; 385: 2153-2161.
- [19] Raal FJ, Stein EA, Dufour R, Turner T, Civeira F, Burgess L, Langslet G, Scott R, Olsson AG, Sullivan D, Hovingh GK, Cariou B, Gouni-Berthold I, Somaratne R, Bridges I, Scott R, Wasserman SM, Gaudet D; RUTHERFORD-2 Investigators. PCSK9 inhibition with evolocumab (AMG 145) in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (RUTHERFORD-2): a randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2015; 385: 331-340.
- [20] Serruys PW, de Feyter P, Macaya C, Kokott N, Puel J, Vrolix M, Branzi A, Bertolami MC, Jackson G, Strauss B, Meier B; Lescol Intervention Prevention Study (LIPS) Investigators. Fluvastatin for prevention of cardiac events following successful first percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2002; 287: 3215-3222.

- [21] Guo T, Yin RX, Lin WX, Wang W, Huang F and Pan SL. Association of the variants and haplotypes in the DOCK7, PCSK9 and GALNT2 genes and the risk of hyperlipidaemia. J Cell Mol Med 2016; 20: 243-265.
- [22] Guo T, Yin RX, Bin Y, Nie RJ, Chen X and Pan SL. Association of the SPT2 chromatin protein domain containing 1 gene rs17579600 polymorphism and serum lipid traits. Int J ClinExp-Pathol 2015; 8: 12995-13010.
- [23] Choi MG, Park MJ and Kim SH. Reference values of lead in blood and related factors among Korean adolescents: the korean national health and nutrition examination survey 2010-2013. Korean J Pediatr 2016; 59: 114-119.
- [24] Norioka N, Takemoto Y, Kobayashi M, Makuuchi A, Yoshikawa J, Yamazaki Y, Kamiyama Y, Shuto T and Yoshiyama M. Low-flow mediated constriction incorporated indices as indicators of cardiovascular risk in smokers. Atherosclerosis 2016; 251: 132-138.
- [25] Lang A and Froelicher ES. Management of overweight and obesity in adults: behavioral intervention for long-term weight loss and maintenance. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2006; 5: 102-114.
- [26] Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, Donato KA, Hu FB, Hubbard VS, Jakicic JM, Kushner RF, Loria CM, Millen BE, Nonas CA, Pi-Sunyer FX, Stevens J, Stevens VJ, Wadden TA, Wolfe BM, Yanovski SZ, Jordan HS, Kendall KA, Lux LJ, Mentor-Marcel R, Morgan LC, Trisolini MG, Wnek J, Anderson JL, Halperin JL, Albert NM, Bozkurt B, Brindis RG, Curtis LH, DeMets D, Hochman JS, Kovacs RJ, Ohman EM, Pressler SJ, Sellke FW, Shen WK, Smith SC Jr, Tomaselli GF; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and Obesity Society. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the american college of cardiology/american heart association task force on practice guidelines and the obesity society. Circulation 2014; 129: S102-138.
- [27] Vijan S. In the clinic. Type 2 diabetes. Ann Intern Med 2010; 152: ITC31-15.
- [28] Guo T, Yin RX, Huang F, Yao LM, Lin WX and Pan SL. Association between the DOCK7, PCSK9 and GALNT2 gene polymorphisms and serum lipid levels. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 19079.
- [29] Guo T, Yin RX, Pan SL, Wu JZ, Yang DZ and Lin WX. The Influence of INSIG2 rs7566605 polymorphism on serum lipid traits in the Han and Mulao ethnic groups. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2016; 9: 1504-1519.
- [30] Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, BaireyMerz CN, Blum CB, Eckel RH, Goldberg

AC, Gordon D, Levy D, Lloyd-Jones DM, Mc-Bride P, Schwartz JS, Shero ST, Smith SC Jr, Watson K, Wilson PW; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the american college of cardiology/american heart association task force on practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63: 2889-2934.

- [31] Koren MJ, Giugliano RP, Raal FJ, Sullivan D, Bolognese M, Langslet G, Civeira F, Somaratne R, Nelson P, Liu T, Scott R, Wasserman SM, Sabatine MS; OSLER Investigators. Efficacy and safety of longer-term administration of evolocumab (AMG 145) in patients with hypercholesterolemia: 52-week results from the openlabel study of long-term evaluation against LDL-C (OSLER) randomized trial. Circulation 2014; 129: 234-243.
- [32] Ohta N, Hori M, Takahashi A, Ogura M, Makino H, Tamanaha T, Fujiyama H, Miyamoto Y and Harada-Shiba M. Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin 9 V4I variant with LDLR mutations modifies the phenotype of familial hypercholesterolemia. J Clin Lipidol 2016; 10: 547-555.
- [33] Bauer RC, Khetarpal SA, Hand NJ and Rader DJ. Therapeutic targets of triglyceride metabolism as informed by human genetics. Trends Mol Med 2016; 22: 328-340.
- [34] Locke AE, Kahali B, Berndt SI, Justice AE, Pers TH, Day FR, Powell C, Vedantam S, Buchkovich ML, Yang J, Croteau-Chonka DC, Esko T, Fall T, Ferreira T, Gustafsson S, Kutalik Z, Luan J, Mägi R, Randall JC, Winkler TW, Wood AR, Workalemahu T, Faul JD, Smith JA, Hua Zhao J, Zhao W, Chen J, Fehrmann R, Hedman ÅK, Karjalainen J, Schmidt EM, Absher D, Amin N, Anderson D, Beekman M, Bolton JL, Bragg-Gresham JL, Buyske S, Demirkan A, Deng G, Ehret GB, Feenstra B, Feitosa MF, Fischer K, Goel A, Gong J, Jackson AU, Kanoni S, Kleber ME, Kristiansson K, Lim U, Lotay V, Mangino M, Mateo Leach I, Medina-Gomez C, Medland SE, Nalls MA, Palmer CD, Pasko D, Pechlivanis S, Peters MJ, Prokopenko I, Shungin D, Stančáková A, Strawbridge RJ, Ju Sung Y, Tanaka T, Teumer A, Trompet S, van der Laan SW, van Setten J, Van Vliet-Ostaptchouk JV, Wang Z, Yengo L, Zhang W, Isaacs A, Albrecht E, Ärnlöv J, Arscott GM, Attwood AP, Bandinelli S, Barrett A, Bas IN, Bellis C, Bennett AJ, Berne C, Blagieva R, Blüher M, Böhringer S, Bonnycastle LL, Böttcher Y, Boyd HA, Bruinenberg M, Caspersen IH, Ida Chen YD, Clarke R, Daw EW, de Craen AJ, Delgado G, Dimitriou M, Doney AS, Eklund N, Estrada K, Eury E, Folkersen L, Fraser RM, Garcia ME, Geller F, Giedraitis V, Gigante B, Go AS,

Golay A, Goodall AH, Gordon SD, Gorski M, Grabe HJ, Grallert H, Grammer TB, Gräßler J, Grönberg H, Groves CJ, Gusto G, Haessler J, Hall P, Haller T, Hallmans G, Hartman CA, Hassinen M, Hayward C, Heard-Costa NL, Helmer Q, Hengstenberg C, Holmen O, Hottenga JJ, James AL, Jeff JM, Johansson Å, Jolley J, Juliusdottir T, Kinnunen L, Koenig W, Koskenvuo M, Kratzer W, Laitinen J, Lamina C, Leander K, Lee NR, Lichtner P, Lind L, Lindström J, Sin Lo K, Lobbens S, Lorbeer R, Lu Y, Mach F, Magnusson PK, Mahajan A, McArdle WL, McLachlan S, Menni C, Merger S, Mihailov E, Milani L, Moayyeri A, Monda KL, Morken MA, Mulas A, Müller G, Müller-Nurasyid M, Musk AW, Nagaraja R. Nöthen MM, Nolte IM, Pilz S, Rayner NW, Renstrom F, Rettig R, Ried JS, Ripke S, Robertson NR, Rose LM, Sanna S, Scharnagl H, Scholtens S, Schumacher FR, Scott WR, Seufferlein T, Shi J, Vernon Smith A, Smolonska J, Stanton AV, Steinthorsdottir V, Stirrups K, Stringham HM, Sundström J, Swertz MA, Swift AJ, Syvänen AC, Tan ST, Tayo BO, Thorand B, Thorleifsson G, Tyrer JP, Uh HW, Vandenput L, Verhulst FC, Vermeulen SH, Verweij N, Vonk JM, Waite LL, Warren HR, Waterworth D, Weedon MN, Wilkens LR, Willenborg C, Wilsgaard T, Wojczynski MK, Wong A, Wright AF, Zhang Q; LifeLines Cohort Study, Brennan EP, Choi M, Dastani Z, Drong AW, Eriksson P, Franco-Cereceda A, Gådin JR, Gharavi AG, Goddard ME, Handsaker RE, Huang J, Karpe F, Kathiresan S, Keildson S, Kiryluk K, Kubo M, Lee JY, Liang L, Lifton RP, Ma B, McCarroll SA, McKnight AJ, Min JL, Moffatt MF, Montgomery GW, Murabito JM, Nicholson G, Nyholt DR, Okada Y, Perry JR, Dorajoo R, Reinmaa E, Salem RM, Sandholm N, Scott RA, Stolk L, Takahashi A, Tanaka T, Van'tHooft FM, Vinkhuyzen AA, Westra HJ, Zheng W, Zondervan KT; ADIPOGen Consortium; AGEN-BMI Working Group; CAR-DIOGRAMplusC4D Consortium; CKDGen Consortium; GLGC; ICBP; MAGIC Investigators; MuTHER Consortium; MIGen Consortium; PAGE Consortium; ReproGen Consortium; GE-NIE Consortium; International Endogene Consortium, Heath AC, Arveiler D, Bakker SJ, Beilby J, Bergman RN, Blangero J, Bovet P, Campbell H, Caulfield MJ, Cesana G, Chakravarti A, Chasman DI, Chines PS, Collins FS, Crawford DC, Cupples LA, Cusi D, Danesh J, de Faire U, den Ruijter HM, Dominiczak AF, Erbel R, Erdmann J, Eriksson JG, Farrall M, Felix SB, Ferrannini E, Ferrières J, Ford I, Forouhi NG, Forrester T, Franco OH, Gansevoort RT, Gejman PV, Gieger C, Gottesman O, Gudnason V, Gyllensten U, Hall AS, Harris TB, Hattersley AT, Hicks AA, Hindorff LA, Hingorani AD, Hofman A, Homuth G, Hovingh GK, Humphries SE, Hunt SC, Hyppönen E, Illig T, Jacobs KB, Jarvelin MR, Jöckel KH, Johansen B, Jousilahti P, Jukema JW, Jula AM, Kaprio J, Kastelein JJ, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi SM, Kiemeney LA, Knekt P, Kooner JS, Kooperberg C, Kovacs P, Kraja AT, Kumari M, Kuusisto J, Lakka TA, Langenberg C, Le Marchand L, Lehtimäki T, Lyssenko V, Männistö S, Marette A, Matise TC, McKenzie CA, McKnight B, Moll FL, Morris AD, Morris AP, Murray JC, Nelis M, Ohlsson C, Oldehinkel AJ, Ong KK, Madden PA, Pasterkamp G, Peden JF, Peters A, Postma DS, Pramstaller PP, Price JF, Qi L, Raitakari OT, Rankinen T, Rao DC, Rice TK, Ridker PM, Rioux JD, Ritchie MD, Rudan I, Sa-Iomaa V, Samani NJ, Saramies J, Sarzynski MA, Schunkert H, Schwarz PE, Sever P, Shuldiner AR, Sinisalo J, Stolk RP, Strauch K, Tönjes A, Trégouët DA, Tremblay A, Tremoli E, Virtamo J, Vohl MC, Völker U, Waeber G, Willemsen G, Witteman JC, Zillikens MC, Adair LS, Amouyel P, Asselbergs FW, Assimes TL, Bochud M, Boehm BO, Boerwinkle E, Bornstein SR, Bottinger EP, Bouchard C, Cauchi S, Chambers JC, Chanock SJ, Cooper RS, de Bakker PI, Dedoussis G, Ferrucci L, Franks PW, Froguel P, Groop LC, Haiman CA, Hamsten A, Hui J, Hunter DJ, Hveem K, Kaplan RC, Kivimaki M, Kuh D, Laakso M, Liu Y, Martin NG, März W, Melbye M, Metspalu A, Moebus S, Munroe PB, Njølstad I, Oostra BA, Palmer CN, Pedersen NL, Perola M, Pérusse L, Peters U, Power C, Quertermous T, Rauramaa R, Rivadeneira F, Saaristo TE, Saleheen D, Sattar N, Schadt EE, Schlessinger D, Slagboom PE, Snieder H, Spector TD, Thorsteinsdottir U, Stumvoll M, Tuomilehto J, Uitterlinden AG, Uusitupa M, van der Harst P, Walker M, Wallaschofski H, Wareham NJ, Watkins H, Weir DR, Wichmann HE, Wilson JF, Zanen P, Borecki IB, Deloukas P, Fox CS, Heid IM, O'Connell JR, Strachan DP, Stefansson K, van Duijn CM, Abecasis GR, Franke L, Frayling TM, McCarthy MI, Visscher PM, Scherag A, Willer CJ, Boehnke M, Mohlke KL, Lindgren CM, Beckmann JS, Barroso I, North KE, Ingelsson E, Hirschhorn JN, Loos RJ and Speliotes EK. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. Nature 2015; 518: 197-206.

- [35] Shen GQ, Li L and Wang QK. Genetic variant R952Q in LRP8 is associated with increased plasma triglyceride levels in patients with early-onset CAD and MI. Ann Hum Genet 2012; 76:193-199.
- [36] Guella I, Asselta R, Ardissino D, Merlini PA, Peyvandi F, Kathiresan S, Mannucci PM, Tubaro M and Duga S. Effects of PCSK9 genetic variants on plasma LDL cholesterol levels and risk of

premature myocardial infarction in the Italian population. J Lipid Res 2010; 51: 3342-3349.

- [37] Postmus I, Trompet S, de Craen AJ, Buckley BM, Ford I, Stott DJ, Sattar N, Slagboom PE, Westendorp RG and Jukema JW. PCSK9 SNP rs11591147 is associated with low cholesterol levels but not with cognitive performance or noncardiovascular clinical events in an elderly population. J Lipid Res 2013; 54: 561-566.
- [38] Tsai CW, North KE, Tin A, Haack K, Franceschini N, SarojaVoruganti V, Laston S, Zhang Y, Best LG, MacCluer JW, Beaty TH, Navas-Acien A, Kao WH and Howard BV. Both rare and common variants in PCSK9 influence plasma lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol level in American Indians. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015; 100: E345-349.