Original Article Roles of IncRNA H19 and MALAT1 as biomarkers in patients with white-coat hypertension

Huiyuan Ma, Peng Su, Nan Wang

Department of Cardiology, Gansu Provincial Hospital, Lanzhou, Gansu, People's Republic of China

Received December 9, 2016; Accepted January 21, 2017; Epub March 1, 2017; Published March 15, 2017

Abstract: Background and aim: White-coat hypertension (WCH), characterized by elevated clinic blood pressure (BP) but normal home or ambulatory BP, is a clinical observation that requires recognition and timely diagnostic follow-up. Until now, the role of long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) in WCH has been unclear. In this study, we have identified deregulated IncRNAs in patients with WCH and assessed their diagnostic value. Methods: The expression levels of IncRNAs in subjects with WCH (n=35) as well as those with hypertension (HT; n=35) and normotension (NT) were acquired by microarray analysis and validated by quantitative PCR. The relationship between the IncRNA expression and clinical BP or 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) was analyzed using the Pearson correlation test. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was performed to assess the diagnostic value of the selected IncRNAs. Results: Microarray data revealed 29 aberrantly expressed IncRNAs between the groups. Among these, *H19* and *MALAT1* were markedly upregulated in the WCH group compared to those in the NT and HT groups (P<0.01). The expression of circulating *H19* and *MALAT1* was negatively associated with ABPM, whereas there was no rectilinear correlation between their expression levels and clinical BP. The values for area under the curve (AUC) (95% Cl) for *H19* and *MALAT1* were 0.76 (0.65-0.86) and 0.80 (0.69-0.89), respectively, for distinguishing WCH from HT. Conclusion: The expression levels of *H19* and *MALAT1* were significantly increased in subjects with WCH compared to those with NT and HT, suggesting their potential role as novel noninvasive biomarkers.

Keywords: White-coat hypertension, diagnosis, IncRNAs

Introduction

Hypertension (HT) is the most important risk factor for death and disability worldwide, affecting more than one billion individuals and causing an estimated 9.4 million deaths every year [1]. Chronic exposure to increased blood pressure (BP) induces several changes in the structure and function of tissues and organ systems [2], and under these circumstances, medical treatment should be provided without delay [3]. Hypertension is defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mmHg, which are conventionally measured at the doctor's office. On the other hand, guidelines recommend ruling out white-coat hypertension (WCH) before starting antihypertensive treatment [4]. WCH is defined by the occurrence of elevated BP at the doctor's office and low ambulatory or home BP, and is widely regarded as a condition reflecting a pronounced pressor response to BP measurement in the clinic in normotensive (NT) individuals [5]. However, it remains controversial as to whether WCH has the same cardiovascular risk as sustained HT [6-8]. Since the use of 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is limited in the clinic environment, it would be useful to find new noninvasive biomarkers that could distinguish WCH from sustained HT.

Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) are transcribed RNA molecules >200 nucleotides in length, that have no protein-coding potential [9]. Accumulating evidence indicates that IncRNAs play important roles in various physiological processes, including gene imprinting, modulation of apoptosis and invasion, cell differentiation, and organogenesis [10-12]. Recent studies have indicated that IncRNAs also function in embryonic heart development as well as the development of heart disease [13-15]. LncRNAs are measurable in blood and urine, which makes them ideal potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for diseases such as heart failure and myocardial infarction [16] and myocardial infarction [17]. However, whether circulating IncRNAs could become promising biomarkers for the diagnosis of WCH or essential HT remains elusive.

This study indicates the feasibility of IncRNA expression quantification in the peripheralblood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of patients with elevated clinical BP, and shows that the IncRNAs H19 and MALAT1 could serve as novel noninvasive diagnostic biomarkers for differentiating WCH from HT. Aberrantly expressed IncRNAs in the PBMCs of subjects were determined using microarray analysis and were subsequently validated by quantitative PCR (qPCR). LncRNAs, H19 and MALAT1, which were previously demonstrated to have cardiac disease involvement, were explored as candidate circulating biomarkers for the diagnosis of WCH by performing receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis.

Materials and methods

Study population

From 2013 to 2015, 35 untreated patients with WCH (23 males, 12 females; Age 44.2±8.4 years) and 35 newly diagnosed and untreated patients with HT (25 males, 10 females; Age 45.3±5.6 years) as well as 35 NT volunteers (25 males, 10 females; Age 46.1±4.7 years) were recruited from Gansu Provincial Hospital. Subjects were instructed to sit in a quiet room for at least 5 min prior to checking their blood pressure, which was taken using a mercury sphygmomanometer on three occasions within a span of five days. Home or office BP measurements were taken twice in the morning and twice in the evening for five to seven consecutive days [18]. The aveage of the three measurements was calculated as the SBP and DBP. ABPM (TM-2430, A&D Medical, Japan) was then performed on the left arm. Daytime BP was recorded at 20-min intervals from 8:00 AM to 10:00 PM and was considered for analysis. WCH was diagnosed by the following critria: Clinical systolic BP≥140 mmHg and diastolic BP≥90 mmHg; Elevated office BP≥140/90 mmHg and 24-h ABPM<130/80 mmHg; Daytime AB-PM<135/85 mmHg; nighttime ABPM<120/70 mmHg [19]. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) Patients with malignant tumors and other severe systemic diseases (such as renal failure or hepatic disease); (b) Unavailable baseline information on conventional BP, ABPM, and cardiovascular disease risk factors; (c) Antihy pertensive drug treatment at baseline; and (d) A history of stent or surgery. The Research Ethics Committee of the Gansu Provincial Hospital approved this study, and informed consent was obtained from each patient. Whole peripheral venous blood samples were drawn into commonly used test tubes containing EDTA and stored at -80°C within one hour.

RNA extraction and complementary DNA synthesis

One milliliter (mL) of peripheral blood was carefully transferred to a centrifuge tube containing equal amounts of lymphocyte separation medium (Haoyang Biological Manufacture CO. LTD, Tianjin, China) followed by centrifugation at 1500×g for 15 min. PMBCs were then transferred to a new tube for RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted from PMBCs using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), strictlyaccording to the manufacturer's protocol. The reaction mixture (20 µL) containing 2 µg of total RNA was reversely transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using a Reverse Transcription Kit (Takara, Dalian, China). Reverse transcription was performed at 65°C for 1 min, 30°C for 5 min, 37°C for 15 min, and 90°C for 5 min, and the cDNA obtained was stored at -20°C.

Microarray analysis and data analysis

To screen the differential IncRNA expression in WCH and HT patients, we performed IncRNA expression profiling in PMBC samples from the WCH and HT groups, and 35 healthy donors using the Arraystar IncRNA Array (V2.0) system. Samples with an RNA integrity number >8 were processed for hybridization. After isolation, RNA samples were labeled using the Quick Amp Labeling kit (Arraystar, Rockville, MD) and hybridized on a Human LncRNA Array (version 2.0) station. Scanned images were imported into an Axon GenePix 4000B microarray scanner (KangChen Bio-tech, Shanghai, China). Quantile normalization and data processing were performed using the GeneSpringGX v. 11.0 software package (KangChen Bio-tech) for data analysis. The threshold value for significance used to define upregulation or downregulation of IncRNA expression was a fold change >1.5, with a value of P<0.05 calculated by the Student's t test.

Parameters	NT (n=35)	WCH (n=35)	HT (n=35)	P value
Ratio of women/men (%)	25/10	23/12	25/10	0.531
Age, years	46.1±4.7	44.2±8.4	45.3±5.6	0.101
BMI, kg/m ²	23.6±1.6	24.5±2.0	24.8±1.4	0.464
Glucose, mg/dL	85.2±5.6	86.7±6.8	87.2±7.6	0.117
Hemoglobin a1c, %	5.0±0.5	5.6±0.7	5.5±0.6	0.272
T. cholesterol, mg/dL	180.0±27.4	182.4±28.1	185.1±28.8	0.099
HDL, mg/dL	57.5±12.4	53.9±10.5	52.2±11.7	0.101
LDL, mg/dL	109.7±20.5	114.1±24.9	117.3±22.3	0.069
Thyroid stimulating hormone, mIU/mL	1.9±0.7	2.1±0.4	2.0±0.3	0.551
Creatinine clearance, mL/min	121.0±30.1	120.4±32.5	119.2±29.7	0.220
NT-proBNP pg/mL	35.3±4.6	52.2±6.4	79.6±9.6	0.027*
Clinical SBP, mmHg	119.2±7.1	142.5±4.8	149.3±5.0	0.002*
Clinical DBP, mmHg	73.5±5.6	93.7±4.2	95.1±6.7	0.013*
24-hour ABPM				
SBP, mmHg	117.0±4.2	119.8±5.1	145.6±3.2	<0.001*
DBP, mmHg	71.9±7.4	75.3±4.2	92.8±4.0	<0.001*

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, clinical blood pressure, and abpm of study groups

Note: ABPM = ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, BMI = body mass index, HDL = High-density lipoprotein, LDL = Low-density lipoprotein, NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide, HT = hypertension, WCH = white coat hypertension, NT = normotensive, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure. *P<0.05.

Real-time PCR

To confirm the findings obtained by analyzing the IncRNA profiles, quantitative realtime PCR was performed using the Kapa SYBR fast qPCR kit (Kapa Biosystems, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. GAPDH was used as an endogenous control for data normalization. Sequences of primers used are as follows: H19 forward, 5'-ATCGGCTCTGGAAGGT-GAAG-3'; H19 reverse, 5'-TGGTGGCTGGTGGTC-AAC-3'; MALAT1 forward, 5'-CAGCAGTTCGT-GGTGAAGATAG-3'; MALAT1 reverse, 5'-GCCT-CCTCCGTGTGGTTG-3'; β-actin forward, 5'-AGT-TGCGTTACACCCTTTCTTG-3'; and β-actin reverse, 5'-CACCTTCACCGTTCCAGTTTT-3'. Quantitative PCR was performed at 95°C for 2 min, folowed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min, using the Corbett Research 6000 Detection System (Applied Biosystems, CA). All samples were analyzed in triplicate. The fold change for IncRNA expression was obtained using the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method and results are presented as the mean ± standard error of samples.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically signifi-

cant. Data for continuous variables are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages. The Student's *t*-test or non-parametric ANOVA (Mann-Whitney test) were used to compare the differences in IncRNA levels between the groups. Associations between IncRNA expression and AMPB levels were determined using the Pearson correlation test. The Spearman correlation test was used for nominal data. To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of IncRNA for separate WCH from sustained HT or healthy individuals, we built ROC curves. The AUC was used to assess the diagnostic values of IncRNAs.

Results

Clinical characteristics of study subjects

As shown in **Table 1**, among several clinical parameters compared between the groups, except for BP, N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) was expressed at higher levels in the WCH group than in the NT group (P=0.017) and NT-proBNP was significantly upregulated in the HT group compared to that in the WCH group (P=0.004). This phenomenon was in accordance with a previous finding that NT-proBNP in plasma is a new biomarker that differentiates WCH from sustained hyperten-



sion [20]. However, there were no statistically significant differences detected in other selected clinical indexes among the groups. The clinical BP of each subject is listed in **Table 1**. The results of 24-hour ABPM showed that subjects in the NT and WCH groups had lower BP than patients in the HT group (P<0.01 for all).

LncRNA expression profiles in PMBC of patients with WCH and HT

To obtain an IncRNA signature from the PMBCs of patients with WCH and HT, we performed microarray analyses of healthy volunteers and patients with WCH and HT. Interestingly, microarray data revealed 29 aberrantly expressed IncRNAs among the three study groups. **Figure 1** shows seven IncRNAs were downregulated in the HT group compared with those in other groups, and 20 IncRNAs were upregulated in

patients with HT compared with those measured in patients with WCH as well as healthy volunteers (fold change, ≥ 1.5 , P<0.05). However, only IncRNA H19 and MALAT1 showed high expression in the WCH group and low expression in the HT and NT groups, thus prompting us to explore their potential for differentiating WCH from HT. Expression levels of IncRNA H19 and MALAT1 were determined in the PMBCs of 70 patients with elevated clinical BP and 35 healthy individuals by using quantitative real-time PCR. In agreement with the microarray data, the relative expression of H19 and MALAT1 was significantly higher in the WCH group than in the NT and HT groups (P<0.01 for all; Figure 2). The expression level of H19 was higher in the HT group than in the NT group (P<0.01; Figure 2A), but MALAT1 did not exhibit a significant difference between the HT and NT groups (Fig-ure 2B).



Figure 2. Relative expression levels of *H19* (A), and *MALAT1* (B) in the PBMC samples of healthy individuals (NT), patients with white-coat hypertension (WCH), and patients with essential hypertension (HT). *P<0.05 versus NT group, ***P*<0.05 Versus HT group, ***P*<0.05 NT group versus HT group.



Figure 3. Pearson correlation test demonstrating the relationship between IncRNA H19 (A) and MALAT1 (B) relative expression levels with clinical systolic blood pressure (SBP) and clinical diastolic blood pressure (DBP).

The value of IncRNAs for distinguishing WCH from NT and HT

To explore the diagnostic value of IncRNAs in differentiating patients with WCH from HT or NT

subjects, correlation analyses between IncRNA expression levels and BP levels were peformed. Results of the Pearson correlation test did not show rectilinear correlation between the expression of these two IncRNAs and clinical



Figure 4. Pearson correlation test demonstrating the relationship between IncRNA H19 (A) and MALAT1 (B) relative expression levels with ambulatory 24-hour systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). P<0.001 for all, r = coefficient of product-moment correlation.

Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity, AUC,	cut-off, and asymptomatic signifi-
cance of ROC analysis of IncRNAs	

	AUC (95% CI)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Cut-off	Youden	P value
NT vs WCH						
H19	0.88 (0.78-0.95)	82.7	77.2	3.00	0.61	<0.001
MALAT1	0.85 (0.76-0.93)	83.0	77.1	2.95	0.60	<0.001
WCH vs HT						
H19	0.76 (0.65-0.86)	74.29	68.57	3.52	0.43	<0.001
MALAT1	0.80 (0.69-0.89)	77.14	74.29	3.13	0.52	<0.001

ROC = receiver operating characteristic, AUC = area under the curve, CI = confidence interval, HT = hypertension, NT = normotensive, WCH = white coat hypertension.

SBP (**Figure 3B**) or DBP (**Figure 3B**). The ambulatory 24-hour SBP and DBP were negatively correlated with *H19* expression levels (r=-0.7231, P<0.01 and r=-0.5489, P<0.01, respectively; **Figure 4A**) and with *MALAT1* expression levels (r=-0.6084, P<0.01 and r=-0.4376, P<0.01, respectively; **Figure 4B**). ROC curves were then constructed and AUC values were generated to assess the power of the IncRNA *H19* and *MALAT1* for distinguishing

between the NT, WCH and HT groups. As illustrated in **Table 2**, IncRNA *H19* and *MALAT1* had AUC values of 0.88 (0.78-0.95) and 0.85 (0.76-0.93), respectively, which demonstrates their sufficiency in distinguishing WCH from NT individuals (**Figure 5A**). When differentiating patients with WCH from HT, IncRNA *H19* and *MALAT1* had AUC values of 0.76 (0.65-

0.86) and 0.80 (0.69-0.89), respectively (**Figure 5B**). Detailed information on the ability of IncRNA *H19* and *MALAT1* to differentiate between patients with or without real HT is presented in **Table 2**.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated IncRNA expression signature in the PBMCs of patients with



Figure 5. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis demonstrating the diagnostic performance of IncRNA *H19* and *MALAT1* in the PBMC samples to distinguish normotensive (NT) subjects from patients with white coat hypertension (WCH) (A) and to distinguish WCH patients from those with hypertension (HT) (B).

sustained hypertension and white-coat hypertension, compared with healthy subjects. The data revealed that the expression levels of *H19* and *MALAT1* were significantly increased in subjects with WCH compared to those with NT and HT and further exploration find these two IncRNAs showed good performance to distinguish WCH from HT individuals. To our knowledge, we are the first to report a link between IncRNA and WCH.

Emerging evidence suggests that IncRNA signatures of normal cancer tissues and metastases are used to classify different cancer types, indicating the potential of these IncRNAs as biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy [21-23]. Recent studies also revealed that IncRNAs are potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets in cardiovascular disease [24]. The H19 gene, discovered 25 years ago, produces a non-coding RNA, which is abundantly expressed during embryonic development and is down-regulated after birth [25]. A recent study suggested that the physiological role of H19 is to limit growth of the placenta prior to birth by regulating the Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor through miR-675 [26]. Emerging evidence had highlighted the important roles of H19 during the complex process of tumorigenesis [27]. Meanwhile, it might predict progression and metastasis in cancers, such that the expression of H19 was associated with histological grade TNM and tumor invasion depth in gastric cancer [28]. Furthermore, studies are gradually uncovering the function of H19 in the heart. Liu et al detected the RNA level of H19 and its encoded miR-675 expression level in both normal and diseased hearts with pathological cardiac hypertrophy in mice, and verified their upregulation in pathological cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure. Further experiments revealed a novel function of H19-miR-675 axis that targets CaMKIId, a multifunctional serine/ threonine protein kinase mainly found in the heart, as a negative regulator of cardiomyocyte hypertrophy [29]. Greco et al found IncRNA H19 was significantly upregulated in the left ventricular biopsies of 18 non-end-stage ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy patients compared with 17 control subjects, and confirmed its upregulation in a mouse model of cardiac hypertrophy [30]. In the present study, we determined the upregulation of IncRNA H19 in the PBMCs of patients with increased clinical BP, and ROC analysis suggested it had enough power to distinguish WCH from HT individuals.

Metastasis associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (*MALAT1*), which was first associated with metastasis of lung tumors [31], is a typical multifunctional gene that is important in a wide array of cancers [32, 33], and *MALAT1* silencing may be an effective therapeutic

approach against tumors [34]. A recent study has revealed that MALAT1 deregulation is implicated in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy and its knockdown could regulate retinal endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and tube formation and ameliorate diabetic retinopathy in vivo [35]. In addition, Katharina et al elucidated that genetic ablation or pharmacological inhibition of MALAT1 inhibited proliferation of endothelial cells and reduces vascular growth in mice [36]. Furthermore, MALAT1 was significantly upregulated in human umbilical vein endothelial cells subjected to transforming growth factor-1 treatment [37]. However, MALAT1 IncRNA has not yet been studied in HT or WCH. We revealed that circulating MALAT1 expression was higher in patients with WCH than in NT or HT subjects, and identified its diagnostic value for differentiating WCH from NT and HT. To our knowledge, we are the first to demonstrate deregulated expression of IncRNA H19 and MALAT1 in the PMBCs of patients with elevated BP, and to establish them as novel potential noninvasive biomarkers for differentiating between WCH and HT. This is important as misdiagnosis of WCH can contribute to inappropriate anti-hypertensive treatment and adverse events. Furthermore, similar observations have been made for WCH, suggesting a complementary role of out-of-office and office BP values in the determination of patients' prognoses [38]. At present, ABPM, which may accurately grade the severity of hypertension and predict the cardiovascular risk of patients, is the gold standard in the identification of WCH. However, the disadvantages of ABPM are its limited availability and discomfort as well as the reluctance of some patients to use it.

In summary, our data suggest that IncRNA H19 and MALAT1 could serve as novel noninvasive biomarkers for distinguishing WCH from HT individuals, and provide crucial insights into the diagnosis of WCH and could extend the understanding of the role of IncRNAs in cardiovascular disease. However, this study has limitations. We explored the diagnostic value of IncRNA H19 and MALAT1 in a small cohort and did not confirm our findings in another group with a larger number of subjects. In addition, we did not investigate the expression levels of these IncRNAs in samples after anti-hypertensive treatment and compare the differences, which may help to discover more differential IncRNAs during this process. Thus, further studies are

required to understand the exact mechanisms underlying these observations in WCH and HT.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Gansu province natural science foundation project (No: 1208RJZA110).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Nan Wang, Department of Cardiology, Gansu Provincial Hospital, 204 Donggangxilu, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu Province, People's Republic of China. E-mail: wangnan_gs@126.com

References

- [1] Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, Anderson SG, Callender T, Emberson J, Chalmers J, Rodgers A and Rahimi K. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2016; 387: 957-967.
- [2] Perlini S and Grassi G. Hypertension-related target organ damage: Is it a continuum? J Hypertens 2013; 31: 1083-1085.
- [3] Harbaoui B, Courand PY, Defforges A, Khettab F, Milon H, Girerd N and Lantelme P. Cumulative effects of several target organ damages in risk assessment in hypertension. Am J Hypertens 2016; 29: 234-244.
- [4] Blacher J, Halimi JM, Hanon O, Mourad JJ, Pathak A, Schnebert B, Girerd X; Société française d'hypertension artérielle. [Management of arterial hypertension in adults: 2013 guidelines of the French Society of Arterial Hypertension]. Presse Med 2013; 42: 819-825.
- [5] Mancia G, Bombelli M, Seravalle G and Grassi G. Diagnosis and management of patients with white-coat and masked hypertension. Nat Rev Cardiol 2011; 8: 686-693.
- [6] Yavuzer S, Yavuzer H, Cengiz M, Erman H, Altiparmak MR, Korkmazer B, Balci H, Simsek G, Yaldiran AL, Karter Y and Uzun H. Endothelial damage in white coat hypertension: Role of lectin-like oxidized low-density lipoprotein-1. J Hum Hypertens 2015; 29: 92-98.
- [7] Stergiou GS, Asayama K, Thijs L, Kollias A, Niiranen TJ, Hozawa A, Boggia J, Johansson JK, Ohkubo T, Tsuji I, Jula AM, Imai Y, Staessen JA; International Database on Home blood pressure in relation to Cardiovascular Outcome (ID-HOCO) Investigators. Prognosis of white-coat and masked hypertension: International database of home blood pressure in relation to car-

diovascular outcome. Hypertension 2014; 63: 675-682.

- [8] Mancia G. Clinical significance of white-coat hypertension. J Hypertens 2016; 34: 623-626.
- [9] Chen YA and Aravin AA. Non-coding RNAs in transcriptional regulation: the review for current molecular biology reports. Curr Mol Biol Rep 2015; 1: 10-18.
- [10] Fatica A and Bozzoni I. Long non-coding RNAs: New players in cell differentiation and development. Nat Rev Genet 2014; 15: 7-21.
- [11] Bouckenheimer J, Assou S, Riquier S, Hou C, Philippe N, Sansac C, Lavabre-Bertrand T, Commes T, Lemaitre JM, Boureux A and De Vos J. Long non-coding RNAs in human early embryonic development and their potential in ART. Hum Reprod Update 2016; 23: 19-40.
- [12] Ulitsky I and Bartel DP. lincRNAs: Genomics, evolution, and mechanisms. Cell 2013; 154: 26-46.
- [13] Jiang X and Ning Q. The emerging roles of long noncoding RNAs in common cardiovascular diseases. Hypertens Res 2015; 38: 375-379.
- [14] Visel A, Zhu Y, May D, Afzal V, Gong E, Attanasio C, Blow MJ, Cohen JC, Rubin EM and Pennacchio LA. Targeted deletion of the 9p21 noncoding coronary artery disease risk interval in mice. Nature 2010; 464: 409-412.
- [15] Matkovich SJ, Edwards JR, Grossenheider TC, de Guzman Strong C and Dorn GW 2nd. Epigenetic coordination of embryonic heart transcription by dynamically regulated long noncoding RNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111: 12264-12269.
- [16] Kumarswamy R, Bauters C, Volkmann I, Maury F, Fetisch J, Holzmann A, Lemesle G, de Groote P, Pinet F and Thum T. Circulating long noncoding RNA, LIPCAR, predicts survival in patients with heart failure. Circ Res 2014; 114: 1569-1575.
- [17] Yan Y, Zhang B, Liu N, Qi C, Xiao Y, Tian X, Li T and Liu B. Circulating long noncoding RNA UCA1 as a novel biomarker of acute myocardial infarction. Biomed Res Int 2016; 2016: 8079372.
- [18] Shimbo D, Abdalla M, Falzon L, Townsend RR and Muntner P. Role of ambulatory and home blood pressure monitoring in clinical practice: a narrative review. Ann Intern Med 2015; 163: 691-700.
- [19] Parati G, Stergiou G, O'Brien E, Asmar R, Beilin L, Bilo G, Clement D, de la Sierra A, de Leeuw P, Dolan E, Fagard R, Graves J, Head GA, Imai Y, Kario K, Lurbe E, Mallion JM, Mancia G, Mengden T, Myers M, Ogedegbe G, Ohkubo T, Omboni S, Palatini P, Redon J, Ruilope LM, Shennan A, Staessen JA, vanMontfrans G, Verdecchia P, Waeber B, Wang J, Zanchetti A, Zhang Y; European Society of Hypertension

Working Group on Blood Pressure Monitoring and Cardiovascular Variability. European Society of Hypertension practice guidelines for ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. J Hypertens 2014; 32: 1359-1366.

- [20] Courand PY, Harbaoui B, Serraille M, Berge C and Lantelme P. Ruling out white coat hypertension with NT-proBNP: A new paradigm away from blood pressure assessment. Int J Cardiol 2016; 207: 57-58.
- [21] Meng J, Li P, Zhang Q, Yang Z and Fu S. A fourlong non-coding RNA signature in predicting breast cancer survival. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2014; 33: 84.
- [22] Chen H, Xu J, Hong J, Tang R, Zhang X and Fang JY. Long noncoding RNA profiles identify five distinct molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer with clinical relevance. Mol Oncol 2014; 8: 1393-1403.
- [23] Zhou M, Zhong L, Xu W, Sun Y, Zhang Z, Zhao H, Yang L and Sun J. Discovery of potential prognostic long non-coding RNA biomarkers for predicting the risk of tumor recurrence of breast cancer patients. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 31038.
- [24] Bar C, Chatterjee S and Thum T. Long noncoding RNAs in cardiovascular pathology, diagnosis, and therapy. Circulation 2016; 134: 1484-1499.
- [25] Gabory A, Jammes H and Dandolo L. The H19 locus: Role of an imprinted non-coding RNA in growth and development. Bioessays 2010; 32: 473-480.
- [26] Keniry A, Oxley D, Monnier P, Kyba M, Dandolo L, Smits G and Reik W. The H19 lincRNA is a developmental reservoir of miR-675 that suppresses growth and lgf1r. Nat Cell Biol 2012; 14: 659-665.
- [27] Raveh E, Matouk IJ, Gilon M and Hochberg A. The H19 long non-coding RNA in cancer initiation, progression and metastasis-a proposed unifying theory. Mol Cancer 2015; 14: 184.
- [28] Jing W, Zhu M, Zhang XW, Pan ZY, Gao SS, Zhou H, Qiu SL, Liang CZ and Tu JC. The significance of long noncoding RNA H19 in predicting progression and metastasis of cancers: a meta-analysis. Biomed Res Int 2016; 2016: 5902678.
- [29] Liu L, An X, Li Z, Song Y, Li L, Zuo S, Liu N, Yang G, Wang H, Cheng X, Zhang Y, Yang X and Wang J. The H19 long noncoding RNA is a novel negative regulator of cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Cardiovasc Res 2016; 111: 56-65.
- [30] Greco S, Zaccagnini G, Perfetti A, Fuschi P, Valaperta R, Voellenkle C, Castelvecchio S, Gaetano C, Finato N, Beltrami AP, Menicanti L and Martelli F. Long noncoding RNA dysregulation in ischemic heart failure. J Transl Med 2016; 14: 183.

- [31] Ji P, Diederichs S, Wang W, Boing S, Metzger R, Schneider PM, Tidow N, Brandt B, Buerger H, Bulk E, Thomas M, Berdel WE, Serve H and Muller-Tidow C. MALAT-1, a novel noncoding RNA, and thymosin beta4 predict metastasis and survival in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene 2003; 22: 8031-8041.
- [32] Wu XS, Wang XA, Wu WG, Hu YP, Li ML, Ding Q, Weng H, Shu YJ, Liu TY, Jiang L, Cao Y, Bao RF, Mu JS, Tan ZJ, Tao F and Liu YB. MALAT1 promotes the proliferation and metastasis of gallbladder cancer cells by activating the ERK/ MAPK pathway. Cancer Biol Ther 2014; 15: 806-814.
- [33] Ying L, Chen Q, Wang Y, Zhou Z, Huang Y and Qiu F. Upregulated MALAT-1 contributes to bladder cancer cell migration by inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Mol Biosyst 2012; 8: 2289-2294.
- [34] Ren D, Li H, Li R, Sun J, Guo P, Han H, Yang Y and Li J. Novel insight into MALAT-1 in cancer: Therapeutic targets and clinical applications. Oncol Lett 2016; 11: 1621-1630.

- [35] Liu JY, Yao J, Li XM, Song YC, Wang XQ, Li YJ, Yan B and Jiang Q. Pathogenic role of IncRNA-MALAT1 in endothelial cell dysfunction in diabetes mellitus. Cell Death Dis 2014; 5: e1506.
- [36] Michalik KM, You X, Manavski Y, Doddaballapur A, Zornig M, Braun T, John D, Ponomareva Y, Chen W, Uchida S, Boon RA and Dimmeler S. Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates endothelial cell function and vessel growth. Circ Res 2014; 114: 1389-1397.
- [37] Singh KK, Matkar PN, Quan A, Mantella LE, Teoh H, Al-Omran M and Verma S. Investigation of TGFbeta1-Induced long noncoding RNAs in endothelial cells. Int J Vasc Med 2016; 2016: 2459687.
- [38] Mancia G and Verdecchia P. Clinical value of ambulatory blood pressure: Evidence and limits. Circ Res 2015; 116: 1034-1045.