# Original Article Association between SOD2 rs6917589, rs2842980, rs5746136 and rs4880 polymorphisms and primary open angle glaucoma in a northern Chinese population

Yanlai Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Chengzhi Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Siyuan Guan<sup>1</sup>, Lin Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Qi Li<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China; <sup>2</sup>Chongqing Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Chongqing Eye Institute, Chongqing, China

Received December 24, 2016; Accepted January 15, 2017; Epub March 1, 2017; Published March 15, 2017

**Abstract:** We performed an analysis of the association between SOD2 polymorphisms and development of POAG in a Northern Chinese population. A total of 261 patients and 312 healthy controls were enrolled in our study. Genotyping of rs6917589, rs2842980, rs5746136 and rs4880 was done in a 384-well plate format on the sequenom MassARRAY platform (Sequenom, San Diego, USA). We found that individuals carrying the TT genotype of rs2842980 manifested an increased risk of POAG in comparison with those carrying the AA genotype (OR=3.30, 95% CI=1.83-5.98). In recessive model, we observed that the TT genotype of rs2842980 showed an increased risk of POAG compared with the AA+AT genotype (OR=3.17, 95% CI=1.83-5.49) in recessive model. Moreover, subjects with the AA genotype of rs5746136 presented a high risk of POAG than the GG genotype or G allele carriers in co-dominant (OR=1.95, 95% CI=1.09-3.49) and recessive models (OR=1.80, 95% CI=1.03-3.13). The haplotype analysis revealed that rs6917589 and rs4880 showed linkage disequilibrium (D'=0.59, r<sup>2</sup>=0.019). The ATAT haplotype showed a decreased risk of a reduction risk in DTC risk (OR=0.55, 95% CI=0.35-0.85, P=0.01), while the GAGT haplotype indicated an elevated risk in POAG risk (OR=1.52; 95% CI=1.06-2.20; P=0.01). In conclusion, our study firstly suggests that SOD2 polymorphism plays an important role in the development of POAG in Northern Chinese population.

Keywords: SOD2, polymorphism, primary open angle glaucoma, Northern China

#### Introduction

Glaucoma is the main cause of irreversible blindness. It is estimated that about 90 million people suffer from glaucoma. Primary glaucoma affects about 60.5 million people in 2010, and it is forecasted that 79.6 million people would suffer from glaucoma and 11.2 million would be blindness due to this disease [1]. Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) is the main type of glaucoma in all population worldwide, and patients with POAG usually show no obvious ocular abnormality. The real mechanisms leading to the development of POAG are not clearly understood. Some environmental factors are involved in the pathogenesis of POAG, including a higher age, males, refractive error and a first relative history of glaucoma [2-4]. However, not all individuals with hazardous factors of primary open angle glaucoma would develop this disease, which means some genetic and biological factors may contribute to its progression.

Oxidative stress reflects the imbalance of reactive oxygen species in human body, and it is involved in the development of POAG. As a second messenger, reactive oxygen species play an important role in regulating protein and participating in retinal ganglion cell death signaling pathway. The low activity of anti-oxidative enzymes and low level of molecular weight antioxidants reflect the oxidative stress [5-7]. Imbalance of oxidants and antioxidants in the aqueous humor causes an increase in the production of reactive oxygen species which may induce the trabecular meshwork damage, finally leading to the pathogenesis of POAG [8, 9]. Many antioxidant enzymes are found in aqueous humor, such as orgotein superoxide dis-



Figure 1. Scatter plot of SOD2 SNP rs6917589.



Figure 2. Scatter plot of SOD2 SNP rs2842980.

mutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) glutathione peroxidase and (GPX) [6, 10]. The expression of SOD2 is altered in aqueous humor of patients [10], and the activity of SOD is also low in serum of patients with POAG [11]. SOD2 encodes Mn-dependent superoxide dismutase and a kind of mitochondrial antioxdative enzymes, which is responsible of eliminating reactive oxygen species of mitochondrion and preventing oxidative stress response. Only two studies reported the role of SOD2 polymorphisms in the risk of POAG [12, 13]. Therefore, we firstly performed an analysis of the association between SOD2 polymorphisms and development of POAG in a Northern Chinese population.

### Materials and methods

#### Subjects

A hospital-based case-control study was performed in this study. A total of 261 patients and 312 healthy controls were enrolled in our study. The diagnosis of POAG was according to the following criteria: (1) Intraocular pressure >22 mmHg or more in each eye without therapy; (2) Wide anterior chamber angle (Shaffer III or IV); (3) Glaucomatous optic nerve damage (cup-to-disc ratio >0.5, focal loss of the nerve fiber layer and firm nerve hemorrhage); (4) Visual field loss in line with optic nerve damage. The exclusion criteria for patients with POAG were congenital glaucoma, any secondary glaucoma such as exfoliation syndrome or a history of ocular trauma. These 261 patients were collected from The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University between January 2013 and December 2015.



Figure 3. Scatter plot of SOD2 SNP rs5746136.

During the period mentioned above, the healthy controls were enrolled from individuals who received healthy examination in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region People's Hospital. All the controls were pronounced of congenital glaucoma and any secondary glaucoma. The average age of patients with POAG was  $55.21\pm8.14$  years (ranged 31 to 82 years). The average age of controls was  $53.70\pm9.30$ years (ranged 33-79 years). The mean intraocular pressures of patients with POAG and controls were  $27.40\pm2.73$  mmHg and  $16.72\pm$ 3.14 mmHg, respectively. The mean cup-todisc ratio of patients with POAG and controls were  $0.79\pm0.12$  and  $0.33\pm0.079$ , respectively.

The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with POAG and controls were collected from the medical records. Performance of this study was authorized by the Hospital's Ethics Committee. Written informed consents were obtained from all participants.

## DNA extraction and genotyping

Each patient was asked to provide a 3-mL peripheral venous blood sample before receiving any anti-cancer treatment. These samples were kept in tubes containing 0.5 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood using a TIANamp Blood DNA Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the DNA samples were stored in -20°C until using. We selected four potentially function SNPs in the SOD2, and the SNPs were selected based on population and MAF >5% using dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP). Four SNPs of SOD2 were selected for analysis, including rs6917589, rs28429-80, rs5746136 and rs4880.

SNP genotyping was done in a 384-well plate format on the sequenom MassARRAY platform (Sequenom, San Diego, USA). Primers for polymerase chain

reaction amplification and single base extension assays were designed by Sequenom Assay Design 3.1 software. The PCR reaction for genotyping SOD2 rs6917589, rs2842980, rs5746136 and rs4880 was performed in 5  $\mu$ L, following by the SAP and iPLEX reaction. The samples are then desalted, dispensed to a SpectroCHIP and analyzed with MALDI-TOF MS (Figures 1-4).

## Statistical analysis

Demographic and clinical data are reported as means  $\pm$  standard deviations, or frequencies and percentages. Comparison of these data between groups was performed using the students test or chi-square test. Deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of SOD2 rs69-17589, rs2842980 and rs5746136 in controls was analyzed by Pearson Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test. Relationship between SOD2 rs6917589, rs2842980 and rs5746136 and risk of POAG was analyzed by multivariate logistic regression



Figure 4. Scatter plot of SOD2 SNP rs4880.

analysis, with results being reported as odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cls). The linkage disequilibrium and haplotype analysis were analyzed by SHEsis software (http:// analysis.bio-x.cn/myAnalysis.php) [14]. The statistical analysis was performed by IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). *P*-value <0.05 was considered to represent statistically significant differences.

## Results

Comparison with those of the controls, patients with POAG had higher values of age (t=2.14, P=0.03), intraocular pressures (t=47.80, P< 0.001) and cup-to-disc ratio (t=54.64, P< 0.001), and had a family history of glaucoma ( $\chi^2$ =17.95, P<0.001) (**Table 1**).

The chi-square test indicated significant differences between the patients with POAG and controls in terms of the genotype frequencies of SOD2 rs2842980 ( $\chi^2$ =15.20, P<0.001) and rs5746136 ( $\chi^2$ = 8.54, P=0.01), while no significant difference was seem in regard to rs6917589 ( $\chi^2$ =1.24, P=0.54) and rs4880 ( $\chi^2$ =0.98, P=0.61) (**Table 2**). The genotype distributions of SOD2 rs6917-589, rs2842980 and rs57461-36 were in line with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the controls (*P* value for HWE >0.05), whereas the rs4880 was not (*P* value for HWE=0.007).

The multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that individuals carrying the TT genotype of rs2842980 manifested an increased risk of POAG in comparison with those carrying the AA genotype (OR=3.30, 95% CI=1.83-5.98) (Table 3). In recessive model, we observed that the TT genotype of rs28-42980 showed an increased risk of POAG compared with the AA+AT genotype (OR=3.17, 95% CI=1.83-5.49) in recessive model. Moreover, subjects with the AA genotype of rs5746136 conferred a high risk of POAG

than the GG genotype or G allele carriers in codominant (OR=1.95, 95% CI=1.09-3.49) and recessive models (OR=1.80, 95% CI=1.03-3.13). However, no significant association was found between SOD2 rs6917589 and rs4880 polymorphisms and risk of POAG.

The haplotype analysis revealed that rs69175-89 and rs4880 showed linkage disequilibrium (D'=0.59, r<sup>2</sup>=0.019) (**Figure 5**). Nine common haplotypes (frequency >0.03 in either the patients with POAG and controls has been selected) accounted for the main of the haplotypes in patients with POAG and controls (**Table 4**). The ATAT haplotype showed a reduction risk in DTC risk (OR=0.55, 95% CI= 0.35-0.85, P=0.01), while the GAGT haplotype was associated with an elevated risk in POAG risk (OR=1.52; 95% CI=1.06-2.20; P=0.01). However, the other seven haplotypes were not related to the risk of POAG.

# SOD2 polymorphisms and risk of primary open angle glaucoma

| Variables                   | Patients N=261            | %     | Controls N=312 | %     | t or $\chi^2$ test | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|--------------------|---------|
| Sex                         |                           |       |                |       |                    |         |
| Female                      | 140                       | 53.64 | 150            | 48.08 |                    |         |
| Male                        | 121                       | 46.36 | 162            | 51.92 | 1.76               | 0.19    |
| Age                         | 61.53±10.20               |       | 59.64±10.74    |       | 2.14               | 0.03    |
| Family history of glaucoma  |                           |       |                |       |                    |         |
| No                          | 238                       | 91.19 | 308            | 98.72 |                    |         |
| Yes                         | 23                        | 8.81  | 4              | 1.28  | 17.95              | <0.001  |
| Smoking                     |                           |       |                |       |                    |         |
| No                          | 166                       | 63.60 | 219            | 70.19 |                    |         |
| Yes                         | 95                        | 36.40 | 93             | 29.81 | 2.80               | 0.09    |
| Intraocular pressures       | llar pressures 27.34±2.40 |       | 16.58±2.95     |       | 47.31              | <0.001  |
| Cup-to-disc ratio 0.79±0.12 |                           |       | 0.33±0.08      |       | 54.64              | <0.001  |

| <b>TILAN A 11 1</b>      |                             |                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| lable 1. Demographic and | clinical characteristics of | patients with POAG and controls |

| Table 2. Distributions of SOD2 rs6917589, rs2842980, rs5746136 and rs4880 between the two |
|---|
| study groups  |

| study groups |                   |       |                   |       |                |         |                  |         |
|--------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|----------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Genes        | Patients<br>N=261 | %     | Controls<br>N=312 | %     | X <sup>2</sup> | P value | $\chi^2$ for HWE | P value |
| rs6917589    |                   |       |                   |       |                |         |                  |         |
| AA           | 122               | 46.74 | 136               | 43.59 |                |         |                  |         |
| AG           | 101               | 38.70 | 135               | 43.27 |                |         |                  |         |
| GG           | 38                | 14.56 | 41                | 13.14 | 1.24           | 0.54    | 0.67             | 0.41    |
| rs2842980    |                   |       |                   |       |                |         |                  |         |
| AA           | 116               | 42.34 | 158               | 57.66 |                |         |                  |         |
| AT           | 99                | 42.86 | 132               | 57.14 |                |         |                  |         |
| TT           | 46                | 67.65 | 22                | 32.35 | 15.20          | <0.001  | 0.62             | 0.43    |
| rs5746136    |                   |       |                   |       |                |         |                  |         |
| GG           | 108               | 41.38 | 134               | 42.95 |                |         |                  |         |
| GA           | 112               | 42.91 | 153               | 49.04 |                |         |                  |         |
| AA           | 41                | 15.71 | 25                | 8.01  | 8.54           | 0.01    | 1.72             | 0.19    |
| rs4880       |                   |       |                   |       |                |         |                  |         |
| TT           | 218               | 83.52 | 260               | 83.33 |                |         |                  |         |
| TC           | 37                | 14.18 | 48                | 15.38 |                |         |                  |         |
| CC           | 6                 | 2.30  | 4                 | 1.28  | 0.98           | 0.61    | 7.25             | 0.007   |

## Discussion

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are DNA sequence polymorphisms caused by a single nucleotide variation. The mutations include the transformation of a single base by transversion, insertion, or deletion, and SNPs are thought to affect susceptibility to human diseases [15]. Genetic polymorphism affects the expression and activity of SOD2, and thereby influences the risk to diseases. In the present study, we analyzed the correlation of SOD2 rs6917589, rs2842980, rs5746136 and rs4880 with the susceptibility to POAG, and we showed that the TT genotype of rs2842980 and AA genotype of rs5746136 were associated with an increased risk of POAG in co-dominant and recessive genotype models. The ATAT and GAGT haplotypes had association with the risk of this disease.

SOD2 is located on the chromosome 6q25 and includes five exons, encoding mitochondrial protein to form homologous tetramer. SOD2 has a function of eliminating active oxygen species (ROS) in mitochondria, thereby preventing

# SOD2 polymorphisms and risk of primary open angle glaucoma

| Genes       | Patients N=261 | %     | Controls N=312 | %     | OR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup> | P value |
|-------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| rs6917589   |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| Co-dominant |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| AA          | 122            | 46.74 | 136            | 43.59 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| AG          | 101            | 38.7  | 135            | 43.27 | 0.97 (0.57-1.66)         | 0.91    |
| GG          | 38             | 14.56 | 41             | 13.14 | 1.17 (0.68-2.01)         | 0.56    |
| Dominant    |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| AA          | 122            | 46.74 | 136            | 43.59 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| AG+GG       | 139            | 53.26 | 176            | 56.41 | 0.89 (0.63-1.25)         | 0.49    |
| Recessive   |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| AA+AG       | 223            | 85.44 | 271            | 86.86 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| GG          | 38             | 14.56 | 41             | 13.14 | 1.10 (0.67-1.81)         | 0.71    |
| rs2842980   |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| Co-dominant |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| AA          | 116            | 44.44 | 158            | 50.64 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| AT          | 99             | 37.93 | 132            | 42.31 | 1.02 (0.71-1.48)         | 0.91    |
| TT          | 46             | 17.62 | 22             | 7.05  | 3.30 (1.83-5.98)         | <0.001  |
| Dominant    |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| AA          | 116            | 44.44 | 158            | 50.64 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| AT+TT       | 145            | 55.56 | 154            | 49.36 | 1.32 (0.94-1.86)         | 0.11    |
| Recessive   |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| AA+AT       | 215            | 82.38 | 290            | 92.95 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| TT          | 46             | 17.62 | 22             | 7.05  | 3.17 (1.83-5.49)         | <0.001  |
| rs5746136   |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| Co-dominant |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| GG          | 108            | 41.38 | 134            | 42.95 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| GA          | 112            | 42.91 | 153            | 49.04 | 1.60 (0.89-2.88)         | 0.12    |
| AA          | 41             | 15.71 | 25             | 8.01  | 1.95 (1.09-3.49)         | 0.03    |
| Dominant    |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| GG          | 108            | 41.38 | 134            | 42.95 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| GA+AA       | 153            | 58.62 | 178            | 57.05 | 0.99 (0.70-1.39)         | 0.94    |
| Recessive   |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| GG+GA       | 220            | 84.29 | 287            | 91.99 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| AA          | 41             | 15.71 | 25             | 8.01  | 1.80 (1.03-3.13)         | 0.04    |
| rs4880      |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| Co-dominant |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| TT          | 218            | 83.52 | 260            | 83.33 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| TC          | 37             | 14.18 | 48             | 15.38 | 2.18 (0.59-8.01)         | 0.24    |
| CC          | 6              | 2.3   | 4              | 1.28  | 2.65 (0.67-10.37)        | 0.16    |
| Dominant    |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| TT          | 218            | 83.52 | 260            | 83.33 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| TC+CC       | 43             | 16.48 | 52             | 16.66 | 0.91 (0.57-1.44)         | 0.68    |
| Recessive   |                |       |                |       |                          |         |
| TT+TC       | 255            | 97.7  | 308            | 98.71 | 1.0 (Ref.)               |         |
| CC          | 6              | 2.3   | 4              | 1.28  | 2.26 (0.62-8.25)         | 0.22    |

1. Adjusted for sex, age, family history of glaucoma and smoking habit.

oxidative stress response. Because the eyes are sensitive to oxidative stress, the more light,

ultraviolet radiation and environmental pollution could reduce production of ROS and failure



Figure 5. The linkage disequilibrium of SOD2 rs6917589, rs2842980, rs5746136 and rs4880.

of oxidation mechanism [16, 17]. Oxidative stress response causes the damages of eye tissue lipid, protein and nucleic acid, and plays an important role in the risk of glaucoma [5]. The expression of SOD2 gene in aqueous humour significantly increased in patients with POAG [18, 19]. Majsterek et al. reported an significantly decreased of SOD expression in glaucoma patients with comparison to controls [20]. Ghanem et al. reported that an increased level of aqueous humor SOD may contribute to the development of POAG [21].

Currently, only a few studies reported the correlation between SOD2 polymorphisms and POAG risk [12, 13, 22]. Zhou et al. performed a study in Western China, and reported the relationship between rs6917589 rs2842980, rs5746136 and rs4880 polymorphisms and POAG risk [12]. Zhou et al. revealed that SNPs rs6917589 and rs5746136 conferred an increased risk of POAG [12], which was partly in line with our results. Celojevic et al. conducted a study with 239 patients and 185 controls in Sweden, indicating that rs2842980 in SOD2 was significantly correlated with the pathogenesis of POAG in a multivariate analysis [13]. Our results also reported similar results that the SOD2 SNP rs2842980 was correlated with an elevated risk.

Moreover, we firstly reported significant linkage disequilibrium between rs6917589 and rs4880, and we observed that the haplotype ATAT conferred a reduced risk of POAG, whereas the haplotype GAGT showed an increased risk. A previous study indicated a significant relationship between haplotype ATGT and POAG risk [12]. The differences of these results may be attributed to different populations and sample sizes. Therefore, further studies with more subjects are greatly needed to confirm our results.

There were two limitations to our study. First, the POAG patients and controls were enrolled from one hospital only, which

may bring selection bias in our study. Only 261 patients and 312 healthy controls were collected into our study, and the results may be undervalued due to the limited number of subjects.

In conclusion, our study firstly suggests that SOD2 rs2842980 and rs5746136 polymorphisms play an important role in the development of POAG in Northern Chinese population, and we offers insight into the influence of SOD2 gene in the susceptibility of POAG.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported from project of Chongqing Health Bureau (2008-2-405).

# Disclosure of conflict of interest

## None.

Address correspondence to: Qi Li, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China; Chongqing Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Chongqing Eye Institute, Chongqing, China. Tel: 86-23-89012155; Fax: 86-23-89012-536; Email: yz4005@126.com

| Haplotype | Patients | %     | Controls | %     | OR (95% CI)       | P value |
|-----------|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| AAAT      | 87       | 13.90 | 78       | 15.00 | 0.92 (0.66-1.29)  | 0.64    |
| AAGC      | 22       | 3.51  | 22       | 4.23  | 0.84 (0.46-1.54)  | 0.57    |
| AAGT      | 165      | 26.36 | 117      | 22.50 | 1.26 (0.95-1.65)  | 0.10    |
| ATAT      | 36       | 5.75  | 52       | 10.00 | 0.55 (0.35-0.85)  | 0.01    |
| ATGT      | 79       | 12.62 | 56       | 10.77 | 1.21 (0.841-1.75) | 0.30    |
| GAAT      | 40       | 6.39  | 36       | 6.92  | 0.93 (0.58-1.48)  | 0.75    |
| GAGT      | 116      | 18.53 | 69       | 13.27 | 1.52 (1.06-2.20)  | 0.01    |
| GTAT      | 20       | 3.19  | 11       | 2.12  | 1.62 (0.76-3.45)  | 0.21    |
| GTGT      | 25       | 3.99  | 54       | 10.38 | 0.35 (0.22-0.58)  | < 0.001 |

Table 4. Haplotype analysis of the association between SOD2 rs6917589-rs2842980-rs5746136-rs4880 and POAG risk

Overall P<0.001.

#### References

- Quigley HA and Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. Br J Ophthalmol 2006; 90: 262-267.
- [2] Tham YC, Li X, Wong TY, Quigley HA, Aung T and Cheng CY. Global prevalence of glaucoma and projections of glaucoma burden through 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ophthalmology 2014; 121: 2081-2090.
- [3] Quigley HA. Number of people with glaucoma worldwide. Br J Ophthalmol 1996; 80: 389-393.
- [4] Kwon YH, Fingert JH, Kuehn MH and Alward WL. Primary open-angle glaucoma. N Engl J Med 2009; 360: 1113-1124.
- [5] Benoist d'Azy C, Pereira B, Chiambaretta F and Dutheil F. Oxidative and anti-oxidative stress markers in chronic glaucoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0166915.
- [6] Ferreira SM, Lerner SF, Brunzini R, Evelson PA and Llesuy SF. Oxidative stress markers in aqueous humor of glaucoma patients. Am J Ophthalmol 2004; 137: 62-69.
- [7] Aslan M, Cort A and Yucel I. Oxidative and nitrative stress markers in glaucoma. Free Radic Biol Med 2008; 45: 367-376.
- [8] Zhao J, Wang S, Zhong W, Yang B, Sun L and Zheng Y. Oxidative stress in the trabecular meshwork (Review). Int J Mol Med 2016; 38: 995-1002.
- [9] Nita M and Grzybowski A. The role of the reactive oxygen species and oxidative stress in the pathomechanism of the age-related ocular diseases and other pathologies of the anterior and posterior eye segments in adults. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2016; 2016: 3164734.
- [10] Bagnis A, Izzotti A, Centofanti M and Sacca SC. Aqueous humor oxidative stress proteomic levels in primary open angle glaucoma. Exp Eye Res 2012; 103: 55-62.

- [11] Engin KN, Yemisci B, Yigit U, Agachan A and Coskun C. Variability of serum oxidative stress biomarkers relative to biochemical data and clinical parameters of glaucoma patients. Mol Vis 2010; 16: 1260-1271.
- [12] Zhou Y, Shuai P, Li X, Liu X, Wang J, Yang Y, Hao F, Lin H, Zhang D and Gong B. Association of SOD2 polymorphisms with primary open angle glaucoma in a Chinese population. Ophthalmic Genet 2015; 36: 43-49.
- [13] Celojevic D, Nilsson S, Kalaboukhova L, Tasa G, Juronen E, Sjolander A, Zetterberg H and Zetterberg M. Genetic variation of superoxide dismutases in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma. Ophthalmic Genet 2014; 35: 79-84.
- [14] Shi YY and He L. SHEsis, a powerful software platform for analyses of linkage disequilibrium, haplotype construction, and genetic association at polymorphism loci. Cell Res 2005; 15: 97-98.
- [15] Keeling D. Predicting the future: it's not a SNP. J Thromb Haemost 2008; 6: 749-750.
- [16] Liton PB, Lin Y, Gonzalez P and Epstein DL. Potential role of lysosomal dysfunction in the pathogenesis of primary open angle glaucoma. Autophagy 2009; 5: 122-124.
- [17] Ster AM, Popp RA, Petrisor FM, Stan C and Pop VI. The role of oxidative stress and vascular insufficiency in primary open angle glaucoma. Clujul Med 2014; 87: 143-146.
- [18] Zanon-Moreno V, Marco-Ventura P, Lleo-Perez A, Pons-Vazquez S, Garcia-Medina JJ, Vinuesa-Silva I, Moreno-Nadal MA and Pinazo-Duran MD. Oxidative stress in primary open-angle glaucoma. J Glaucoma 2008; 17: 263-268.
- [19] Ergan E, Ozturk F, Beyazyildiz E, Elgin U, Sen E, Cankaya AB and Celik T. Oxidant/antioxidant balance in the aqueous humor of patients with glaucoma. Int J Ophthalmol 2016; 9: 249-252.
- [20] Majsterek I, Malinowska K, Stanczyk M, Kowa-Iski M, Blaszczyk J, Kurowska AK, Kaminska A,

Szaflik J and Szaflik JP. Evaluation of oxidative stress markers in pathogenesis of primary open-angle glaucoma. Exp Mol Pathol 2011; 90: 231-237.

- [21] Ghanem AA, Arafa LF and El-Baz A. Oxidative stress markers in patients with primary openangle glaucoma. Curr Eye Res 2010; 35: 295-301.
- [22] Lascaratos G, Garway-Heath DF, Willoughby CE, Chau KY and Schapira AH. Mitochondrial dysfunction in glaucoma: understanding genetic influences. Mitochondrion 2012; 12: 202-212.