# Original Article Screening and identification of antisense accessible sites of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene in prostate cancer cell lines

Fangmin Chen<sup>1,3</sup>, Bo Yan<sup>2</sup>, Jiaqi Shi<sup>3</sup>, Yu Chen<sup>4</sup>, Dengbao Li<sup>3</sup>, Xinan Jiang<sup>3</sup>, Deshuai Ren<sup>5</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Urology, <sup>4</sup>Library, Tianjin Third Central Hospital, Tianjin 300171, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, Guizhou Provincial People's Hospital, Guiyang 550004, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang 550004, China; <sup>5</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Qiqiha'er Medical College, Qiqiha'er, China

Received March 2, 2017; Accepted March 25, 2017; Epub May 1, 2017; Published May 15, 2017

Abstract: Selecting optimal antisense binding sites remains difficult during application of antisense oligonucleotide techniques. A random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cutting method combined with software analysis has emerged as an effective strategy. Here, we used the method to explore therapy targeted to the human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene in prostate cancer. A 20 mer random oligonucleotide library was synthesized and then hybridized with total hTERT complementary RNA (cRNA) that had been transcribed in vitro. The RNA in the specific hybrid double-stranded duplex was hydrolyzed by RNase H, so the total hTERT cRNA was cut into fragments. After primer extension and autoradiography, the antisense accessible sites (AAS) of hTERT were selected. Optimal AAS with obvious stem-loop structures were identified after RNA structure software analysis. The complementary antisense oligonucleotides (AS-ODNs) of these AAS were synthesized and transferred into cells expressing high hTERT levels and the effects upon cell growth, apoptosis and expression of hTERT mRNA were determined. There were twenty-six AAS of the hTERT gene screened, and seven AAS, which had obvious stem-loop structures were selected. After transfection of complementary antisense oligonucleotides of these optimal AAS, the hTERT mRNA expression levels in the cells were significantly decreased. Cellular growth was significantly inhibited and apoptosis was detected. Screening antisense accessible sites of a target gene through random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cutting in combination with computer analysis was effective. The resulting complementary antisense oligonucleotides efficiently blocked the biological function of the hTERT gene suggesting their potential for cancer treatment.

Keywords: hTERT, random oligonucleotide library, screening, antisense accessible sites, targeted blocking, stemloop structure

#### Introduction

The human telomerase is mainly composed of human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTE-RT) and human telomerase RNA (hTR). The hTR acts as the reverse transcription template and is complementary to telomeric repeat sequences. The hTERT is the catalytic subunit that catalyzes the reverse transcription reaction. These two components work together to maintain the length of telomeres. The expression of hTERT mRNA is related to the level of telomerase activity and this is considered to be the rate-limiting factor [1, 2]. The expression of hTERT is also associated with cellular aging and tumorigenesis, and high telomerase activity is observed in over 85% of human cancer cells [1-3], whereas normal tissues and cells have minimal expression.

Prostate cancer cell lines have been used extensively to investigate cancer in vitro. PC3 cell lines were established in 1979 from a bone metastasis of a grade IV prostate cancer [4]. These cells do not respond to androgens, glucocorticoids, or epidermal and fibroblast growth factors, but have high metastatic potential. The DU145 cell line was derived from a brain metastasis [5]. DU145 are not hormone-sensitive and do not express prostate-specific antigen (PSA). DU145 cells have moderate metastatic potential. In both these prostate cancer cell lines telomerase activity is likely to be high because telomerase can be used as a tumor marker in the diagnosis of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and prostate cancer [6].

Targeting hTERT in prostate cancer cells may; therefore, provide an effective method of cancer therapy. At present research strategies to inhibit a targeted gene's mRNA expression include antisense oligodeoxynucleotide techniques, RNA interference technology, ribozyme technology, small molecule compounds, and immune therapy, but the first two techniques are the most researched and applied in the area (7). Antisense oligonucleotides have been used as effective methods of studying gene function and gene expression regulation in addition to targeted biological treatments, and telomerase has been successfully targeted with antisense by many investigations [7, 8]. These studies show the potential for antisense therapy in cancer treatment, but the efficacy of the treatment could be improved by selection of antisense oligonucleotides targeted to the optimum antisense accessible sites that most effectively shut down the target mRNA. Although antisense oligodeoxynucleotide techniques have been used for 32 years [9], selection of the most effective antisense binding site is often difficult. Early methods of designing antisense oligonucleotides were mainly based on the primary structure of RNA molecules, such as the translation initiation site. However, this leads to reduced specificity of antisense oligonucleotides due to the high homology of translation initiation sites in a wide range of genes. The effectiveness of antisense accessible site screening by the "Random shotgun" method is only 2% to 5% because the secondary structure of RNA molecules is ignored [10]. Currently, computer-assisted folding programs can forecast the secondary structure of RNA molecules using the free energy principle. From a structural molecular biology perspective, sites without intramolecular base pairing in the loop region are antisense active accessible sites, while the stems are non-active accessible sites. The acknowledged five antisense accessible sites of the hTERT gene are screened out using only a computer-assisted folding program [1]. However, for long-chain RNA, computer-assisted folding programs will form many different structures with similar free energies, which make judging the correct folding conformation very difficult. Using computer folding programs to predict antisense binding sites seems to be ineffective without also considering screening and identification in vitro.

In this study, a random oligonucleotide library/ RNase H cutting method was combined with computer software analysis that has been successfully used in other studies to screen the antisense binding sites of target sequences in vitro [11]. Twenty-six antisense accessible sites of the hTERT gene were screened to select the optimal ones [10, 12, 13]. Stem-loop structures were selected as these have been shown to have higher activity than other common mRNA structures, and have been shown to have better accessibility than other mRNA structures [14, 15]. The complementary phosphorothioate antisense oligonucleotides of these antisense accessible sites were then synthesized and transferred into the prostate cancer cell lines PC-3 and DU145 that express high levels of hTERT, in order to study their influence on the biological behavior of prostate cancer cells. To our knowledge, this is the first report using the random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cutting method combined with software analysis to explore targeted therapy of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene in prostate cancer.

#### Materials and methods

# Design and synthesis of the random oligonucleotide library

#### In vitro transcription of the target gene

The pcDNA-3.1 (+) plasmid that contained the hTERT mRNA structure gene was gifted to us by Dr Cao Song of London University. In vitro tran-

61	10	
U L	.40	

scription of the gene was performed by the fol-

lowing steps. The plasmid was digested by the restriction enzyme Xba I (Fermentas company)

to linearize it, and then transcribed to comple-

mentary RNA (cRNA) using the T7 transcription

kit (Fermentas company) in vitro [18]. The total

Table 1. Antisense accessible regions of hTERT shown by prim	er
extension analysis	

	Origin	Product size	Termination	3' end of Antisense		
Primer	site of	of primer	site of	RNase H	accessible	
	primer	extension (bp)	extension	cleavage (bp)	sites (bp)	
P3	598	200	399	398	379~398	
		70	529	528	509~528	
		60	539	538	519~538	
		35	564	563	544~563	
P4	707	38	670	669	650~669	
P5	809	100	710	709	690~709	
		38	772	771	752~771	
P6	940	190	751	750	731~750	
		82	859	858	839~858	
		70	871	870	851~870	
		66	875	874	855~874	
		35	906	905	896~905	
P7	1148	147	1002	1001	982~1001	
		35	1114	1113	1094~1113	
P8	1302	90	1213	1212	1193~1212	
		30	1273	1272	1253~1272	
Р9	1463	150	1314	1313	1294~1313	
		66	1398	1397	1378~1397	
		38	1426	1425	1406~1425	
P10	1648	70	1579	1578	1559~1578	
		26	1623	1622	1603~1622	
P11	1831	210	1622	1621	1602~1621	
		105	1727	1726	1707~1726	
		30	1802	1801	1782~1801	
		25	1807	1806	1787~1806	
P12	1989	140	1850	1849	1830~1849	
		30	1960	1959	1940~1959	
P13	2245	30	2216	2215	2196~2215	
P14	2333	82	2252	2251	2232~2251	
		30	2304	2303	2284~2303	
P15	2477	30	2448	2447	2428~2447	
P16	2575	66	2510	2509	2490~2509	
		30	2546	2545	2526~2545	
P17	2760	118	2643	2642	2623~2642	
P18	2946	38	2909	2908	2889~2908	
P19	3160	85	3076	3075	3056~3075	
		30	3131	3130	3111~3130	
P20	3396	66	3331	3330	3311~3330	

reaction volume was 50 µl and was incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. RNase-free DNase I was added to a concentration of 1 U DNase/1 µg template DNA and then incubated for 15 min at 37°C to remove the DNA templates. 85 µl of diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water was then added with 15 µl of 3 M sodium acetate. The cRNA was extracted first with an equal volume of 1:1 phenol/ chloroform mixture, and then, twice, with an equal volume of chloroform. The aqueous phase was collected and transferred into a new tube. The cRNA was then precipitated, by adding 2 volumes of ethanol and incubating at -20°C for 30 min. After centrifugation, to remove the supernatant, the pellet was rinsed with 500 µl of cold 70% ethanol. The cRNA was resuspended in 20 µl of DEPC-treated water and then stored at -70°C after its concentration was determined by UV spectrophotometry and it was identified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

#### RNase H cleavage reaction

The random oligonucleotide library and cRNA were hybridized in a reaction system at a total volume of 150  $\mu$ l. 60  $\mu$ l (27.1 pmol/ $\mu$ L) of the random oligonucleotide library was aliquoted into a new RNase-free tube, then denatured at 95°C for 5 min and put on ice for 5 min. The RNase H cleavage was then performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Fermentas Company).

According to the mRNA sequence of the hTERT

gene contained in the pcDNA-3.1 plasmid (+) (3402 bp) and the technical bulletin of the

Primer Extension Kit (Promega Corporation),

Design of the extension primers



**Figure 1.** Seven obvious binding site regions and fragment size obtained by primer extension. Primer extension analysis for the selection of antisense accessible sites of hTERT by random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cleavage method. The seven selected sites are highlighted and labeled with their corresponding serial number. M:  $\varphi$ X174/ Hinf I DNA Marker; P1~P20: Primer extension products of P1~P20; the positive control product is an 87 mer.



**Figure 2.** In vitro experiments showed a higher rate of apoptosis of antisense AS-ODN<sub>3</sub> in comparison with AS-ODN<sub>0</sub> (control) of prostate cancer cells. The right hand section of the flow cytometry graphs represents the apoptotic cell population. AS-ODN<sub>3</sub> had higher apoptosis rates than the AS-ODN<sub>0</sub> control.

we used primer premier 5.0 to design a reverse extension primer every 150 to 300 bases from the 5' to 3' end of the RNA [19]. There were a total of 20 primers designed and synthesized by Beijing Sunbiotech Co., Ltd (**Table 1**).

#### Primer extension reaction

The primer extension reaction involved primer labeling, marker labeling and the primer extension reaction, which were all performed by standard techniques and according to the manufacturer's guidelines (purchased from Beijing Yahui Biological Engineering Company).

#### Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and autoradiography

The primer extension products were analyzed on a 16×18 cm denaturing polyacrylamide gel

containing 8% acrylamide (19:1 acrylamide:bis), 7 M urea and TBE 1×buffer.

Antisense binding site selection and the design of control antisense oligonucleotides

RNA structure software was used to predict the full length secondary structure of hTERT mRNA [20]. Combined with the results of the in vitro random oligonucleotide library/

RNase H digestion and screening, the best significant stem-loop structures of the region were designated as the best antisense binding sites. We based these best binding sites on the stem-loop structures because we expect these to be easily accessible because the activity of a stem-loop structure is higher than that of other common mRNA structures. Seven of the best antisense accessible sites were screened and named AS-ODN,~AS-ODN, respectively. A selected region (1468~1487 bp), which had an obvious stem-loop structure according to the computer analysis, but did not have cleavage sites in the random oligonucleotide library/ RNase H digest method was used as a control antisense accessible site (see Figure 2) and the synthesized complementary control antisense oligonucleotide was named AS-ODN<sub>o</sub>, the sequence was 5'-GAGATGAACTTCTTGGTG-

TT-3'. Another control was the most efficient hTERT antisense oligonucleotide of the five that were published by AOBase, the sequence was 5'-TTGAAGGCCTTGCGGACGTG-3' and this was named AS-ODN<sub>AOBase</sub>. This control sequence was one used previously by Kraemer et al. [3] and was listed as ASt2315 in their study to reflect its location start point of 2315 in the mRNA sequence.

# Cell culture and gene transfection

Human prostate cancer cell lines, androgenindependent PC-3 cells [21] and DU145 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and maintained in RPMI 1640 medium for PC-3 cells or DMEM for DU145 cells, with 10% fetal calf serum, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO atmosphere and passaged once every 3 days. At logarithmic growth phase the PC-3 cells were seeded in culture plates, following the protocol of the liposome Oligofectamine<sup>™</sup> Reagent kit (Invitrogen Company, Cat. No. 12252-011) for the transfection of the AS-ODNs (200 nM). To avoid oligonucleotide decomposition in the cells, three bases at both ends of oligonucleotides were phosphorothioated at the time of synthesis [22]. The transfection efficiency was between 57.7% and 58.1%.

#### MTT assay for detecting cell growth activity

100 µl PC-3 prostate cancer cells were seeded in each well of 96-well plates at a density of  $5\times10^3/100$  µl. The wells were set as the untransfected control group, control group (AS-ODN<sub>o</sub>), AOBase control group (AS-ODN<sub>AOBase</sub>) and AS-ODN,~AS-ODN, experimental groups, each oligonucleotide was also set at 200, 400 and 600 nmol/L concentrations. Each group had five duplicate wells. 10 µl of 5 mg/ml MTT (pH = 7.4) was added after transfection, for 12, 24 and 36 hours. The cells were continued in culture for 4 hours and the supernatant was removed after centrifuging at 4000 r/min for 10 minutes. 100  $\mu I$  DMSO was added and left at room temperature for 10 minutes to fully dissolvethecrystals. A microplate reader was used to detect the absorbance  $(A_{{}_{490,\ 630}}$  dualwavelength method). The cell growth inhibition rate (%) = (1-the average  $A_{490, 630}$  value of experimental group/the average A490, 630 value of control group)×100% [23].

# Detection of apoptosis

DU145 prostate cancer cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of  $5 \times 10^3/100 \,\mu$ l with each well inoculated with 500  $\mu$ l. The conditions were set as the untransfected control group, control group (AS-ODN<sub>o</sub>), AOBase control group (AS-ODN<sub>aOBase</sub>) and AS-ODN<sub>1</sub>~AS-ODN<sub>7</sub> experimental groups, each oligonucle-otide was also used at 200, 400 and 600 nmol/L concentrations. Each group used five duplicate wells. Annexin V-FITC and PI double staining flow cytometry was used to detect cell apoptosis after transfection at 12, 24 and 36 hours [24].

# Detection of hTERT expression levels

Cultured cells were collected 12-24 h after transfection and Trizol reagent was used to isolate total RNA. This was reverse transcribed into cDNA. PCR primers were synthesized according to references, P1: 5'-CGGCTTTTGTTC-AGATGCC-3' (sense), P2: 5'-AGCACACATGCGT-GAAACCT-3' (antisense). The product length was expected to be 301 bp (30). The PCR internal reference was GAPDH, 5'-GAAGGTGAA-GGTCGGAGTC-3' (sense), 5'-GAAGATGGTGATG-GGATTTC-3' (antisense), the product length was expected to be 226 bp. The PCR reaction system was: 1 µl DNA template, 0.5 µl 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 µl 10×PCR buffer, 1.5 µl 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 µl of two 10 mM primers, 1 µl 25 U/µl Tag enzyme, 16.5 µl ddH<sub>2</sub>0 to a total volume of 25 µl. The cycling conditions were a first predenaturation at 95°C for 5 min, then 94°C for 40 sec, 60°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 60 sec for 30 cycles and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. The products were electrophoresed on 2.5% agarose gels and observed under a UV lamp and photographed. The band density was measured using ImageJ and calculated for each group: gray value of hTERT/GAPDH. hTERT expression inhibition rate (%) = [1-the average](hTERT/GAPDH) value of experimental group/ the average (hTERT/GAPDH) value of untransfected control group]×100%.

#### Morphological observation

Cell growth and morphological changes were observed under an inverted microscope before and after transfection. Prostate cancer cells were collected after transfection and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 30 minutes. After con-

	·····	0.00			·····	
Serial number	Base sequence	Origin-end sites	Base no.	MTT assay inhibition	Apoptosis results	RT-PCR results
	AAGTCTGCCGTTGCCCAAGA	690~709	20			
AS-ODN <sub>1</sub>	TCTTGGGCAACGGCAGACTT			35.3±1.9%	30.9±1.3%	28.6±1.4%
ODN <sub>2</sub>	CTGCCGTTGCCCAAGAGGCC	752~771	20			
AS-ODN <sub>2</sub>	GGCCTCTTGGGCAACGGCAG			33.9±1.3%	21.2±0.9%	32.5±2.3%
ODN <sub>3</sub>	ACCAAGCACTTCCTCTACTC	982~1001	20			
AS-ODN <sub>3</sub>	GAGTAGAGGAAGTGCTTGGT			40.6±1.0%	35.4±1.2%	35.8±1.2%
ODN <sub>4</sub>	TCTGGGTTCCAGGCCCTGGA	1094~1113	20			
AS-ODN <sub>4</sub>	TCCAGGGCCTGGAACCCAGA			1.4±0.2%	19.2±1.0%	12.3±3.8%
$ODN_5$	ACGTCTCTACCTTGACAGAC	2284~2303	20			
AS-ODN₅	GTCTGTCAAGGTAGAGACGT			20.3±3.4%	18.7±0.6%	11.2±2.1%
ODN <sub>6</sub>	GGCTCCATCCTCTCCACGCT	2490~2509	20			
AS-ODN <sub>6</sub>	AGCGTGGAGAGGATGGAGCC			0.4±0.05%	19.5±0.8%	17.9±0.6%
ODN <sub>7</sub>	GTCGGAAGCTCCCGGGGACG	3311~3330	20			
AS-ODN <sub>7</sub>	CGTCCCCGGGAGCTTCCGAC			17.3±0.9%	16.4±0.6%	15.2±1.0%
AS-ODN <sub>o</sub>	GAGATGAACTTCTTGGTGTT'	1542~1561		9.7±1.7%	13.2±1.0%	9.4±1.1%
AS-ODN	TTGAAGGCCTTGCGGACGTG	2315~2334		23.5±1.2%	20.5±0.6%	20.6±0.9%

**Table 2.** Antisense accessible sites and their complementary oligonucleotide sequences selected by

 random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cleavage combined with RNA structure analysis

ventional embedding and slicing, the changes in the cell's ultrastructure were observed under a transmission electron microscope.

#### Statistical analysis

SPSS11.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis and the outcomes were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error. Differences were evaluated using two independent samples with Student's t test, a *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

# hTERT mRNA primer extension reaction and autoradiography

As shown in **Figure 1**, P1 and P2 located in the non-coding region of hTERT had no clear extension product that could be seen after the primer extension reaction and autoradiography. There were 26 antisense binding sites selected after analysis of the extension products of P3~P20. The size of the fragment obtained from the primer binding with antisense and the start and end sites of the product are shown in **Table 1**. These are the primer initiation site, extension of the product size, RNase H 3' end cleavage site and the corresponding antisense binding sites.

# RNA structure analysis

Analysis of the secondary structure of hTERT mRNA, showed that among the 26 antisense accessible sites, seven sites had obvious stemloop structures as forecast by RNA structure software. The 7 antisense accessible sites of the hTERT gene that were considered to be the best because of the high chance of being a stem-loop are represented as ODN1-7 in **Table** 2, and were located in the 690 bp~709 bp, 752 bp~771 bp, 982 bp~1001 bp, 1094 bp~1114 bp, 2284 bp~2303 bp, 2490 bp~2509 bp, and 3311 bp~3330 bp areas of the hTERT mRNA. The seven complementary antisense oligonucleotide sites were AS-ODN<sub>1</sub>~AS-ODN<sub>7</sub> (shown in **Table 2**).

#### Results of the MTT assay

Cell growth was investigated as a measure of the antisense oligonucleotide's potential for anti-cancer therapy. After transfection with 200 nmol/L of AS-ODN<sub>o</sub>, AS-ODN<sub>AOBase</sub>, and AS-ODN<sub>1</sub>~AS-ODN<sub>7</sub> for 12-36 h, the growth of the PC-3 cell growth slowed down, each to a different extent. Each group exhibited the most significant inhibition after 24 h transfection, and the inhibition rates were  $9.7\pm1.7\%$ ,  $23.5\pm1.2\%$ ,  $35.3\pm1.9\%$ ,  $33.9\pm1.3\%$ ,  $40.6\pm1.0\%$ ,  $1.4\pm0.2\%$ ,  $20.3\pm3.4\%$ ,  $0.4\pm0.05\%$ , and



**Figure 3.** After seven antisense oligonucleotides (AS-ODN<sub>n</sub>) and control group were transfected into PC-3 cells, hTERT was blocked as detected by RT-PCR electrophoresis. RT-PCR detection of the blocking effects of antisense oligonucleotides on hTERT mRNA expression of PC-3 cells: 1. not transfected control. 2. AS-ODN<sub>0</sub>. 3. AS-ODN<sub>ADBase</sub>. 4. AS-ODN<sub>1</sub>. 5. AS-ODN<sub>2</sub>. 6. AS-ODN<sub>3</sub>. 7. AS-ODN<sub>4</sub>. 8. AS-ODN<sub>5</sub>. 9. AS-ODN<sub>6</sub>. 10. AS-ODN<sub>7</sub>. M: PCR marker (100 bp~600 bp); GAPDH as an inner control (226 bp). The experiment was repeated six times and the mean values are presented in the graph.



**Figure 4.** Antisense (AS-ODN<sub>3</sub>) and the control group were observed 24 hours after transfection of PC-3 cells in cell morphology. A: The cell morphology before antisense oligonucleotide was transfected. B: The cell morphology 24 h after 200 nmol/L AS-ODN<sub>3</sub> was transfected.

17.3 $\pm$ 0.9% respectively. The difference was statistically significant between the groups (P<0.05) so different oligonucleotides had different efficiencies at targeting cell growth and AS-ODN<sub>3</sub> was most effective. The three different concentrations of oligonucleotides had no

significant difference in the inhibition of growth (P>0.05).

#### Detection of apoptosis

After transfection with 200 nmol/L of AS-ODN<sub>o</sub>, AS-ODN<sub>AOBase</sub>, and AS-ODN<sub>1</sub>~AS-ODN, for 12-36 h, the cells in each group showed the most obvious apoptosis after 24 h. The apoptosis rates were 13.2±1.0%, 20.5±0.6%, 30.9±1.3%, 21.2±0.9%, 35.4± 1.2%, 19.2±1.0%, 18.7±0.6%, 19.5±0.8% and 16.4±0.6% respectively. The difference was statistically significant between the groups (P<0.05) so different oligonucleotides had different efficiencies at causing apoptosis and AS-ODN, was most effective. The three different concentrations of oligonucleotides showed no significant differences in the rate of apoptosis (P>0.05) (Figure 2).

#### Detection of hTERT expression levels

After transfection with 200 nmol/L of AS-ODN, AS- $ODN_{AOBase}$ , and  $AS-ODN_{1}$ ~AS-ODN, for 12-36 h, the hTERT/ GAPDH ratio in each group decreased most significantly after 24 h. The RT-PCR detection is shown in Figure 3. The inhibition rates of the hTERT mRNA expression levels were 9.4±1.1%, 20.6± 0.9%.28.6±1.4%.32.5±2.3%. 35.8±1.2%, 12.3±3.8%, 11.2± 2.1%, 17.9±0.6%, and 15.2± 1.0%, respectively. The difference was statistically significant between the groups (P<

0.05) so different oligonucleotides had different efficiencies at inhibition of hTERT and AS- $ODN_3$  was most effective. The effect of different concentrations of oligonucleotides was not significant on the inhibition of hTERT mRNA's expression (P>0.05).



**Figure 5.** Antisense (AS-ODN<sub>3</sub>) and the control group were observed 24 hours after transfection of PC-3 cells in cell ultrastructure. A: Control group (×1.0 k). The nucleus is large and microvilli are dense. B: 24 h after 200 nmol/L AS-ODN<sub>3</sub> was transfected (×1.0 k). The nucleus was condensed, nuclear membrane had shrunk, chromatin was marginalized and budding phenomenon appeared.

#### Morphological observation

Under an inverted microscope, the prostate cancer cells in the control group were found to be polygonal in shape. After the cells were transfected with the antisense oligonucleotides, the cell volume decreased and the cell morphology was irregular (**Figure 4**). Most of the cells showed changes typical of apoptosis under electron microscopy after transfection, including condensed nucleus, shrunk nuclear membrane, and marginalized and budded chromatin, compared to control cells with large nucleus and dense microvilli (**Figure 5**).

#### Discussion

The aim of this study was to use a random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cutting method combined with software analysis to explore targeted therapy of the hTERT gene in prostate cancer. The results showed that targeting seven optimal antisense accessible sites decreased hTERT mRNA expression levels in the prostate cancer cell lines and significantly inhibited cell growth producing detectable levels of apoptosis. An alternative method for targeted anticancer therapy is RNA interference (interference RNA, RNAi) which induces sequence-specific posttranscriptional gene silencing by use of double-stranded small interfering RNA (SiRNA) is characterized by strong inhibition, high stability, and easier cellular uptake. This method has been used successfully to study gene function and in anti-tumor research [25, 26]. However, SiRNA has some disadvantages; it cannot be used to bind anti-cancer drugs because of its double-stranded structure which has lower specificity than antisense oligodeoxynucleotides and has a less efficient transfer into cells, decreased specificity due to energy diffusion in later stage silencing, and poor stability in the body, also, it has not been widely used in mammalian systems [27]. For these reasons, we used antisense therapy in this study.

It can be challenging finding the optimum antisense accessible sites in the target gene and there are many different methods for selecting them, including oligonucleotide arrays [28], reverse transcription with random oligodeoxynucleotide libraries [29], mRNA accessible site tagging [30] serial analysis of antisense binding sites [31], and mRNA antisense-accessible sites library using native mRNA [32]. A random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cutting method combined with computer software analysis has been used successfully elsewhere and in this study we referred to the experience of Ho et al. [16] and Lloyd et al. [33], and synthesized

an oligonucleotide library which contained all possible random 20 mer sequences with a number of methylated bases on both ends. The sites identified for the oligonucleotides hybridized with the hTERT template chain sites, also referred to antisense accessible sites. In this study, 26 antisense accessible sites were screened in the hTERT gene, 7 of which were in significant stem-loop regions suggesting better accessibility when combined with RNA structure computer software analysis, so these were considered to be the best antisense accessible sites. Synthesis of the complementary strands of the best antisense accessible sites were designated as AS-ODN, we transfected the AS-ODN into prostate cancer cells and this significantly inhibited their growth. The highest inhibition rate was with AS-ODN, (40.6±1.0%). Apoptosis was highest for the AS-ODN, group (35.39±1.2%), the hTERT mRNA expression level was also significantly inhibited and by the highest amount with AS-ODN, (35.8±1.2%). In this study, of the 7 selected binding sites of the antisense oligonucleotides targeted against hTERT, AS-ODN, was most effective at shutting down hTERT expression. Each oligonucleotide was used at three different concentrations 200, 400 and 600 nmol/L but we found that there were no significant differences in the slowing of cell growth, apoptosis rates or expression levels between the different concentrations used. The fact that these concentrations are guite low and that the lowest concentration had similar effects to the highest one. suggests that these oligonucleotides are acting in a sequence specific manner rather than by general nonspecific inhibition. The most effective time point was apparently 24 hours in terms of cell growth inhibition, apoptosis and hTERT expression levels. We investigated at 12, 24 and 36 hours and so this is slightly surprising as the longest time period might be expected to show greater effects.

The Beijing Institute of Nuclear Medicine has built a database of different antisense oligonucleotides that have been identified in published literature. The database uses an English interface and is free of charge. We used this to inquire into different antisense oligonucleotides [34] (http://www.bioit.org.cn/ao/aobase). The database contains five antisense oligonucleotides targeted at hTERT mRNA. These five are all located in different areas to the seven

best locations we discovered using the RNase H method in combination with computer software. We selected the most efficient antisense oligonucleotide of the five as an experimental control. We also selected an obvious stem-loop structure on the basis of the hTERT mRNA secondary structure prediction by the RNA structure software, which does not have cleavage sites in the region of the random oligonucleotide library/RNase H cutting method as another control. Ultimately, these results show that these two control AS-ODNs have no significant difference in efficiency, and are lower than the experimental screening of the AS-ODN<sub>2</sub>. An important point to consider is the time course of these studies. We found effects at very short time periods when compared to previous studies where effects were seen at 15 days [7]. It is probably to be expected that a longer time period would be needed to fully shutdown the telomere's influence in cancer cells because they are usually very long and take time to degrade [35].

This study has some limitations, we have considered the secondary and tertiary structures that the RNA is likely to adopt, but in vivo the RNA will form a complex with proteins, these may interfere with access to these sequences. Before the efficacy of the different AS-ODNs can be fully understood, they need to be tested in vivo and over longer time periods. We therefore intend to undertake further studies in cell culture and animals.

Screening for optimum antisense accessible sites is beneficial for antisense oligonucleotide design. This study into inhibiting the expression of the hTERT gene using antisense oligonucleotides has suggested several application prospects: 1. These antisense oligonucleotides are expected to be developed as an anticancer drug; 2. The activity of both siRNA and AS-ODN aimed at the same locus have been shown to be significantly correlated, while highly activated antisense nucleic acid had better activity than siRNA [36]; therefore, screening for optimum antisense accessible sites also provides an important reference for RNA interference; 3. These hTERT-specific antisense oligonucleotides can bind anticancer drugs at the 3' terminal end, to provide both gene shutdown and drug treatment, reducing the systemic side effects of antitumor drugs. This has the potential

for the dual function treatment of tumors by targeted therapy combined with anti-cancer drugs, such as curcumin and theprubicin as a prodrug and trigger for the catalytic release of a drug in a highly sequence specific manner. This approach could potentially be used to selectively kill prostate cancer cells. However, this would not be possible with siRNA because of its double stranded nature [37].

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30-860284), the Education Department Emphasis Foundation of Guizhou province (Science and Education No. 2007027 in Guizhou) and the Guiyang Science and Agriculture Key Foundation of Guiyang City (No. 3-009).

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Fangmin Chen, Department of Urology, Tianjin Third Central Hospital, Tianjin 300171, China; Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang 550004, China. Tel: +86-13984319766; Fax: +86-21-64085875; E-mail: cfm0410@163.com; Jiaqi Shi, Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang 550004, China. Tel: 86-13809420456; E-mail: shijiaqigy@ aliyun.com

#### References

- [1] Takakura M, Kyo S, Kanaya T, Tanaka M and Inoue M. Expression of human telomerase subunits and correlation with telomerase activity in cervical cancer. Cancer Res 1998; 58: 1558-1561.
- [2] Krams M, Claviez A, Heidorn K, Krupp G, Parwaresch R, Harms D and Rudolph P. Regulation of telomerase activity by alternate splicing of human telomerase reverse transcriptase mRNA in a subset of neuroblastomas. Am J Pathol 2001; 159: 1925-1932.
- [3] Kraemer K, Fuessel S, Schmidt U, Kotzsch M, Schwenzer B, Wirth MP and Meye A. Antisensemediated hTERT inhibition specifically reduces the growth of human bladder cancer cells. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9: 3794-3800.
- [4] Kaighn ME, Narayan KS, Ohnuki Y, Lechner JF and Jones LW. Establishment and characterization of a human prostatic carcinoma cell line (PC-3). Invest Urol 1979; 17: 16-23.

- [5] Stone KR, Mickey DD, Wunderli H, Mickey GH and Paulson DF. Isolation of a human prostate carcinoma cell line (DU 145). Int J Cancer 1978; 21: 274-281.
- [6] Glybochko PV, Zezerov EG, Glukhov AI, Alyaev YG, Severin SE, Polyakovsky KA, Varshavsky VA, Severin ES and Vinarov AZ. Telomerase as a tumor marker in diagnosis of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and prostate cancer. Prostate 2014; 74: 1043-1051.
- [7] Schindler A, Fiedler U, Meye A, Schmidt U, Fussel S, Pilarsky C, Herrmann J and Wirth MP. Human telomerase reverse transcriptase antisense treatment downregulates the viability of prostate cancer cells in vitro. Int J Oncol 2001; 19: 25-30.
- [8] Yatabe N, Kyo S, Kondo S, Kanaya T, Wang Z, Maida Y, Takakura M, Nakamura M, Tanaka M and Inoue M. 2-5A antisense therapy directed against human telomerase RNA inhibits telomerase activity and induces apoptosis without telomere impairment in cervical cancer cells. Cancer Gene Ther 2002; 9: 624-630.
- [9] Gabler A, Krebs S, Seichter D and Forster M. Fast and accurate determination of sites along the FUT2 in vitro transcript that are accessible to antisense oligonucleotides by application of secondary structure predictions and RNase H in combination with MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Nucleic Acids Res 2003; 31: e79.
- [10] Sohail M and Southern EM. Selecting optimal antisense reagents. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2000; 44: 23-34.
- [11] Zheng L, Tong Q, Chen F, Zeng F, Wang L and Dong J. Selection of optimal antisense accessible sites of uroplakin II mRNA for bladder urothelium. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci 2009; 29: 344-349.
- [12] Scherr M, Rossi JJ, Sczakiel G and Patzel V. RNA accessibility prediction: a theoretical approach is consistent with experimental studies in cell extracts. Nucleic Acids Res 2000; 28: 2455-2461.
- [13] Ding Y and Lawrence CE. A statistical sampling algorithm for RNA secondary structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 2003; 31: 7280-7301.
- [14] Joli F, Bouchemal N, Laigle A, Hartmann B and Hantz E. Solution structure of a purine rich hexaloop hairpin belonging to PGY/MDR1 mRNA and targeted by antisense oligonucleotides. Nucleic Acids Res 2006; 34: 5740-5751.
- [15] Yano A, Horiya S, Minami T, Haneda E, Ikeda M and Harada K. Identification of antisense RNA stem-loops that inhibit RNA-protein interactions using a bacterial reporter system. Nucleic Acids Res 2010; 38: 3489-3501.
- [16] Ho SP, Britton DH, Stone BA, Behrens DL, Leffet LM, Hobbs FW, Miller JA and Trainor GL. Potent antisense oligonucleotides to the human

multidrug resistance-1 mRNA are rationally selected by mapping RNA-accessible sites with oligonucleotide libraries. Nucleic Acids Res 1996; 24: 1901-1907.

- [17] Ho SP, Bao Y, Lesher T, Malhotra R, Ma LY, Fluharty SJ and Sakai RR. Mapping of RNA accessible sites for antisense experiments with oligonucleotide libraries. Nat Biotechnol 1998; 16: 59-63.
- [18] Liu CL, Bernstein BE and Schreiber SL. Whole genome amplification by T7-based linear amplification of DNA (TLAD): III. Sample purification. CSH Protoc 2008; 2008: pdb prot5004.
- [19] Calzone FJ, Britten RJ and Davidson EH. Mapping of gene transcripts by nuclease protection assays and cDNA primer extension. Methods Enzymol 1987; 152: 611-632.
- [20] Seetin MG and Mathews DH. TurboKnot: rapid prediction of conserved RNA secondary structures including pseudoknots. Bioinformatics 2012; 28: 792-798.
- [21] Suenaga M, Soda H, Oka M, Yamaguchi A, Nakatomi K, Shiozawa K, Kawabata S, Kasai T, Yamada Y, Kamihira S, Tei C and Kohno S. Histone deacetylase inhibitors suppress telomerase reverse transcriptase mRNA expression in prostate cancer cells. Int J Cancer 2002; 97: 621-625.
- [22] Stessl M, Marchetti-Deschmann M, Winkler J, Lachmann B, Allmaier G and Noe CR. A proteomic study reveals unspecific apoptosis induction and reduction of glycolytic enzymes by the phosphorothioate antisense oligonucleotide oblimersen in human melanoma cells. J Proteomics 2009; 72: 1019-1030.
- [23] Sargent JM. The use of the MTT assay to study drug resistance in fresh tumour samples. Recent Results Cancer Res 2003; 161: 13-25.
- [24] Mulik RS, Monkkonen J, Juvonen RO, Mahadik KR and Paradkar AR. Transferrin mediated solid lipid nanoparticles containing curcumin: enhanced in vitro anticancer activity by induction of apoptosis. Int J Pharm 2010; 398: 190-203.
- [25] Motavaf M and Alavian SM. RNA interference: a promising approach for the treatment of viral hepatitis. Hepat Mon 2012; 12: 7-8.
- [26] Chen G, Kronenberger P, Teugels E, Umelo IA and De Greve J. Targeting the epidermal growth factor receptor in non-small cell lung cancer cells: the effect of combining RNA interference with tyrosine kinase inhibitors or cetuximab. BMC Med 2012; 10: 28.

- [27] Ashihara E. [RNA interference for cancer therapies]. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho 2010; 37: 2033-2041.
- [28] Sun Y, Duan M, Lin R, Wang D, Li C, Bo X and Wang S. A novel integrated strategy (full length gene targeting) for mRNA accessible site tagging combined with microarray hybridization/RNase H cleavage to screen effective antisense oligonucleotides. Mol Vis 2006; 12: 1364-1371.
- [29] Allawi HT, Dong F, Ip HS, Neri BP and Lyamichev VI. Mapping of RNA accessible sites by extension of random oligonucleotide libraries with reverse transcriptase. RNA 2001; 7: 314-327.
- [30] Zhang HY, Mao J, Zhou D, Xu Y, Thonberg H, Liang Z and Wahlestedt C. mRNA accessible site tagging (MAST): a novel high throughput method for selecting effective antisense oligonucleotides. Nucleic Acids Res 2003; 31: e72.
- [31] Fang H, Yue X, Li X and Taylor JS. Identification and characterization of high affinity antisense PNAs for the human unr (upstream of N-ras) mRNA which is uniquely overexpressed in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Nucleic Acids Res 2005; 33: 6700-6711.
- [32] Fang H, Shen Y and Taylor JS. Native mRNA antisense-accessible sites library for the selection of antisense oligonucleotides, PNAs, and siRNAs. RNA 2010; 16: 1429-1435.
- [33] Lloyd BH, Giles RV, Spiller DG, Grzybowski J, Tidd DM and Sibson DR. Determination of optimal sites of antisense oligonucleotide cleavage within TNFalpha mRNA. Nucleic Acids Res 2001; 29: 3664-3673.
- [34] Bo X, Lou S, Sun D, Yang J and Wang S. AOBase: a database for antisense oligonucleotides selection and design. Nucleic Acids Res 2006; 34: D664-667.
- [35] Corey DR. Telomerase inhibition, oligonucleotides, and clinical trials. Oncogene 2002; 21: 631-637.
- [36] Vickers TA, Koo S, Bennett CF, Crooke ST, Dean NM and Baker BF. Efficient reduction of target RNAs by small interfering RNA and RNase H-dependent antisense agents. A comparative analysis. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 7108-7118.
- [37] Ma Z and Taylor JS. Nucleic acid triggered catalytic drug and probe release: a new concept for the design of chemotherapeutic and diagnostic agents. Bioorg Med Chem 2001; 9: 2501-2510.