Original Article Combined therapy with cisplatin and 5-AZA-2CdR modifies methylation and expression of DNA repair genes in oral squamous cell carcinoma

Dieila Giomo Lima, Guilherme Castro Lima Silva do Amaral, Aline Cristiane Planello, Gabriell Bonifacio Borgato, Gustavo Narvaes Guimarães, Ana Paula de Souza

Department of Bioscience, Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil

Received October 13, 2021; Accepted January 30, 2022; Epub March 15, 2022; Published March 30, 2022

Abstract: The methylation and expression of DNA repair system genes has been studied in several tumor types. These genes have been associated with resistance to chemotherapy treatments by epigenetic regulation. Studies have yet to show the effects of combined therapy using an epigenetic drug (5-aza-2CdR) and cisplatin (CDDP) on DNA repair genes in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). This study proposed to investigate the effects of CDDP in combination with 5-aza-2CdR on the methylation of MGMT and MLH1 genes in oral cancer cells. Oral squamous cell carcinoma cell lineages (SCC-9, SCC-15, and SCC-25) were submitted to 72 hours of treatment: 0.1 µM CDDP (or 4.44 µM SCC-9), 0.1 µM and 0.3 µM 5-aza-2CdR (or 1 µM and 3 µM SCC-9), and the drugs in combination. Cell viability was assessed by MTT, DNA methylation of MGMT and MLH1 genes by Methylation Sensitivity High-Resolution Melting (MS-HRM), and the relative expression of the genes by RT-qPCR. The results show that all treatments reduced cell viability; however, in SCC-15 and SCC-9 (IC₅₀ value), 5-aza-2CdR promotes cell sensitization to cytotoxic effect of cisplatin. The MGMT promoter region was 100% demethylated in the SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells but partially (50%) methylated in SCC-9 before drug treatment. Treatment with IC_{co} CDDP value kept the methylation status and decreased MGMT expression in SCC-9; MGMT gene in SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells became downregulated after treatment with 5-aza-2CdR. MLH1 was demethylated, but the treatments with low-doses and combined drugs decreased the expression in SCC-9 and SCC-25; however high doses of 5-aza-2CdR and drug combination with IC_{ED} value CDDP increased expression of MLH1 in SCC-9. The data presented suggest that epigenetic drugs associated with chemotherapy have clinical translational potential as a therapy strategy to avoid or reverse cancer resistance. requiring further investigation.

Keywords: Oral squamous cell carcinoma, DNA methylation, DNA repair, cisplatin, combination therapy

Introduction

Oral cancer is one of the most frequent types of cancer, with a high worldwide mortality rate and high incidence in low- and middle-income countries [1] and more than 90% of these cases represented by oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) [2-4]. OSCC frequently affects the anterior two-thirds of the tongue, floor of the mouth, retromolar region, gingiva and palate region [5]. OSCC occurrence is more common on the tongue and is associated with a poor prognosis [6]. Such an association may be related to the frequent appearance of OSCC in the mobile region of the tongue, a highly vascularized site that can facilitate the appearance of tumor metastasis [7]. Oral cancer's etiology and main risk factors include: chemical factors such as tobacco and alcohol consumption; biological factors such as certain viral infections, including the human papilloma virus (HPV), often associated with the appearance of tumors in the oropharyngeal region [8]; syphilis; and genetic and epigenetic factors [9-11].

Cisplatin (CDDP) is an alkylating agent and a member of the platinum complex group (carboplatin, oxaloplatin), being the first-line drug for postoperative chemotherapy in OSCC patients with potent and effective antitumor activity against various types of solid tumors, including head and neck cancer [12]. Several molecular mechanisms are associated with its cyto-

toxic activity such as the induction of oxidative stress, action on the p53 protein pathway that generates alterations in the cell cycle, blocking cell division by formation of adducts in DNA that, in general, generate signaling and induction of cell death by apoptosis [13]. However, CDDP therapeutic efficacy is limited and compromised by the emergence of intrinsic or acquired drug resistance that can result from degradation of the copper membrane transporter (CTR1) [14-17], or overexpression of the transmembrane protein 205 (TMEM205) [18] and ABC type ATPases proteins such as the multiple drug resistance protein (MRP) [18-20]. Other factors are described in the literature, including epigenetic silencing of critical genes in DNA repair pathway for response to drugs by DNA methylation [21-23].

The DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system that correct the DNA mismatches is required to cell cycle arrest or apoptosis and participate in the DNA damage response after treatment with chemotherapeutic agents which forms adducts resulting in the generation of mismatched bases [24, 25]. MMR loss has been related with resistance mechanisms [26]. In addition, MLH1 gene has also been found to be epigenetically silenced by promoter hypermethylation, down-regulating MMR, which may play a role in maintaining drug sensitivity [27-29]. Another gene involved in DNA repair activity is 06-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT). The protein encoded by this gene acts to protect cells from the toxic and carcinogenic effects of alkylating agents, such as nitrosamines present in cigarette smoke [30] and also, of chemotherapeutic agents such as cisplatin [31], removing the adducts from the O6 position of the guanine. Many studies show that hypermethylation in the promoter region of this gene is associated with decreased expression, which is related to the increased risk of brain tumor, colon cancer, lung cancer, head and neck cancer and other tumors [32-34]. However, the action of this gene may also be correlated with the resistance mechanism to treatment by chemotherapy, an unfavorable bias [35-38].

Some strategies, including combination therapies, have been applied in patients to prevent chemotherapy resistance [39]. This study aims to associate cisplatin with the demethylating agent 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-2CdR or decitabine). The possible action to reverse the silencing of key genes in the cell cycle, through demethylation by the action of demethylating drugs, has emerged as a therapeutic strategy to be used in severe cases and unresponsiveness to conventional therapies [40, 41].

In a previous study by our research group, it was shown that cells of the SCC-9 tumor lineage present methylation in the *MGMT* gene in at least half of the tumor cell population. Moreover, treatment with low concentrations of 5-aza-2CdR promoted partial demethylation of *MGMT* and increase its expression [42]. In addition, the promoter hypermethylation in *MLH1* gene has been reversed with the use of 5-aza-2CdR, allowing gene expression and normal MMR capability [43, 44]. However, the effect of cisplatin in association with 5-aza-2CdR was not described in OSCC lineages studied here: SCC-9, SCC-15, and SCC-25.

Numerous studies have shown that the use of 5-aza-2CdR in combination with chemotherapeutic agents could represent an interesting therapeutic approach, due to the anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effect against tumor cells as well as the recovery of sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents, such as cisplatin [45-49]. Our objective was to analyze the effect of combined therapy between 5-aza-2CdR and cisplatin in three OSCC cell lines, with *MGMT* and *MLH1* as the main target genes, while also assessing the epigenetic profile.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

SCC-9, SCC-15, and SCC-25 cells (ATCC[®] CRL-1629[™], ATCC[®] CRL-1623[™], ATCC[®] CRL-1628[™]) were gently provided by Dr. Edgar Graner (Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas, Piracicaba, Brazil). Cells were cultured in DMEM/Ham's F12 medium (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium e Ham's F12) (Sigma, USA) supplemented with 400 ng/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma, USA), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma, USA), antibiotic solution (100 U/ mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin) (Invitrogen, USA) and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ until the pre-confluence.

Gene	Primer 5'-3'	Genome position	CpG	Amplicon size	Annealing
MGMT	F-GCGTTTCGGATATGTTGGGATAGT R-CCTACAAAACCACTCGAAACTACCA	chr10:131,155,459-131,155,631	18	173 bp	55
MLH1*	F-AGTTTTTAAAAACGAATTAATAGGAAGAG R-ACTACCCGCTACCTAAAAAAATATAC	chr3:37,034,741-37,034,821	5	81 bp	58°C

Table 1. Methylation-sensitive high-resolution melting (MS-HRM) primer data

*Ref [51].

Combined-treatment with cisplatin and 5-Aza-2CdR

Cisplatin (Takara Bio USA, Inc.) was diluted in 0.9% saline solution and 5-aza-2CdR (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 × phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS). SCC-9 cells were treated with 0.1 μ M or 4.44 μ M (IC₅₀ value) of cisplatin and 0.1 μ M, 0.3 μ M, 1 μ M or 3 μ M 5-aza-2CdR (Sigma-Aldrich) for 72 h. SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells were treated with 0.1 μ M cisplatin and 0.1 μ M or 0.3 of 5-aza-2CdR. Cisplatin and 5-Aza-2CdR were replaced each 24 h. Control cells were treated with same amount of saline solution and PBS. The experiments were assayed in triplicate.

MTT assay

Cell viability was assessed by 3-(4,5-dimethvlthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Invitrogen, Carlsband, CA, USA). Briefly, cells were seeded in 96-well plates (n=8 wells/group) at a density of 1×10^4 cells/well, allowed to adhere for 24 h and after the experimental period the MTT test was performed. Cells were first incubated for 3 h (37°C and 5% CO₂) in culture medium (200 µL/well) containing MTT (0.3 mg/mL). Wells containing only the culture medium without cells were incubated with MTT in parallel with the experiment samples to normalize the absorbance values obtained. Then, solubilization of the formazan crystals with 100% ethanol was performed and then the absorbance reading at a 570 nm wavelength was performed by a microspectrophotometer (ASYS UVM340, Biochrom Ltd., Cambridge, England) with the aid of ScanPlus software. Results were expressed as a percentage of cell viability, considering the Control group as 100% that were treated with the corresponding volume of serum culture medium.

DNA isolation and sodium bisulfite treatment

Total DNA was purified from cells using the Quick-DNA Universal kit (Zymo Research, USA)

following the manufacturer's protocol. After DNA quantification and purity verification using Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), 800 ng of genomic DNA were treated with sodium bisulfite using the Epitect[®] Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions. The converted DNA was stored at 4°C until Methylation-Sensitive High-Resolution Melting (MS-HRM) analysis.

MS-HRM analysis

Real-time PCR followed by MS-HRM analysis was performed on the Light Cycler 480 II thermal cycler (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Primer sets were designed in accordance with guidelines proposed by Wojdacz and Dobrovic [50] (Table 1). Reactions ran in duplicate per sample and each reaction consisted of: 1 µL bisulfite converted DNA, 1x LightCycler[®]480 HRM Master Mix+4 mM MgCl₂ (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), 250 nM of each primer, in one 10 µL volume end. Amplification parameters were: 95°C for 10 minutes (initial DNA denaturation), followed by 45 cycles: 95°C for 10 seconds (denaturation), 20 seconds at 55°C (MGMT); 20 seconds at 58°C (MLH1) (annealing temperature) and 72°C for 20 seconds (extension). MS-HRM analyses were performed with manufacturer-recommended ramping temperature and fluorescence acquisition adjustments: 1 minute at 95°C, maintained at 70°C for 1 minute (to allow re-annealing of all PCR product), acquisition step from 70°C to 95°C, rising 0.2°C/s with 25 acquisitions per °C. Fully methylated (100%) and fully unmethylated (0%) DNA (Qiagen EpiTect PCR Control DNA Set) were used as controls to estimate the DNA methylation level of each sample. From these, an intermediate 1:1 dilution (50% methylated) was prepared, resulting in 0, 50 and 100% methylated DNA standard melting curves of a serial dilution. To compensate for the initial fluorescence variation, MS-HRM

60°C

154 pb

reaction (RT-qPCR) primer sequences						
Gene	Primer 5'-3'	Amplicon size	Annealing			
MGMT*	F-GCTGAATGCCTATTTCCACCA	124 bp	62°C			
	R-CACAACCTTCAGCAGCTTCCA					
MLH1**	F-CAACAAGTCTGACCTCGTCTTC	80 bp	60°C			

 Table 2. Reverse transcription real time polymerase chain

 reaction (RT-qPCR) primer sequences

*Ref [52]; **Gene ID: 4292; GenBank NM_001167619; ***Gene ID: 14433; GenBank NM_008084.2.

R-CCGGGAATCTGTACGAACCAT

R-ACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCA

GAPDH*** F-CCACTCCTCCACCTTTGAC

data were normalized (Light Cycler 480 II analysis software). The melting curve of the product from each sample was compared to the standard melting curves (0, 50 and 100% methylated). As a result, the samples were classified in methylation ranges 0-50% and 51-100%. Assays were run in triplicate.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Reverse transcription followed by qPCR was utilized on the expression of MGMT and MLH1 genes. The total RNA was purified by Trizol® reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA); 1 µg of total highly purified RNA was treated with DNAse (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA); 500 ng of RNA were used for cDNA synthesis using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis Systems for RT-PCR (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to manufacturer's recommendation. The sequences of the primers used are in Table 2. qPCR was carried out in 10 µL total, containing 1 µL cDNA, 5 µL SYBR Green (LightCycler[®] 480, Roche Applied Science), 250 nM (MGMT); 100 nM (MLH1) each primer, 3 µL (MGMT); 2 µL (MLH1) of cDNA and nuclease-free H₂O. The SYBR Green amplification conditions consisted in an initial 10 min denaturation at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of 15 s at 95°C (denaturation), 20 s at 62°C (MGMT); 20 s at 60°C (MLH1) (annealing temperature), and 20 s at 72°C (extension). Relative levels of gene expression were performed using the cycle threshold (Ct) method in reference to GAPDH. Assays were run in triplicate. Relative gene expression was determined using 2-DACT method [53]. The graphical representation of results was created using the R package version 3.6.1 (2019).

Statistical analysis

Data are displayed as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical analysis of data was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's posthoc test. Statistical analysis was performed using the R package version 3.6.1 (2019) using a 5% level of significance.

Results

Low dose 5-aza-2CdR sensitizes SCC-9 (IC_{50} value) and SCC-15 cells reducing cell viability by effect of cisplatin, but not in SCC-25 cells

MTT assay results showed that different treatments with CDDP and 5-aza-2CdR affected the mitochondrial metabolic activity of the 3 tumor lineages studied - SCC-9, SCC-15 and SCC-25 - in different ways (Figure 1). All treatments in both lineages significantly decreased the number of viable cells when compared to controls. In the SCC-9 lineage (Figure 1A), the groups with isolated treatments of cisplatin, mainly in the 4.44 µM concentration, decreased the number of living cells in 50%. Additionally, when combined with the lower concentration 5-Aza groups (5-Aza 0.1 and 5-Aza 0.3) the decreased ratio is maintained. However, the combination with the higher concentration 5-Aza groups (5-Aza 1 and 5-Aza 3) led to additional reduction of about 20% of viable cells when compared to the other groups with reference to control, within the same significance level. The decrease in the percentage of viable cells was proportional among the low concentration isolated 5-Aza groups and the combined groups in the presence of 0.1 μ M cisplatin (P<0.05 and P<0.001) in reference to control at a dose-response 5-Aza relationship.

The isolated treatments on SCC-15 cells (CDDP 0.1, 5-Aza 0.1 and 5-Aza 0.3, **Figure 1B**) maintained an approximately 20% proportion of viability decrease (P<0.001) and on the combined groups (CDDP 0.1+5-Aza 0.1 and CDDP 0.1+5-Aza 0.3) an additional 10% decrease in relation to control occurred. However, only the CDDP 0.1+5-Aza 0.1 group had the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin potentiated more significantly (P<0.001).

Cisplatin and 5-aza-2CdR in OSCC



Figure 1. The treatments with cisplatin and 5-Aza-2CdR affect the cell viability of the lineages SCC-9, SCC-15, and SCC-25 differently. A. The SCC-9 cells were challenged with two cisplatin concentrations (0.1 and 4.44 μ M) and four 5-Aza-2CdR concentrations (0.1, 0.3, 1 and 3 μ M). The six groups combined demonstrate the influence of cisplatin concentrations associated with 5-aza-2CdR. B, C. The viability of SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells was assessed only at 0.1 μ M concentration of cisplatin and two 5-Aza-2CdR concentrations (0.1 and 0.3 μ M), with two combinations between the drugs. No treatment cells were used (control). Cellular viability was assessed by MTT reduction assay and results were expressed as percentage of cell viability, considering the Control group as 100% and presented as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments (*P<0.05; ***P<0.001).

Cisplatin concentration on SCC-25 cells (**Figure 1C**) decreased about 35% of the viability and when combined with 0.1 μ M 5-Aza the same results were observed in reference to controls. The isolated and combined groups with 5-Aza showed a dose-response relationship but maintaining viability between 60 and 70%. SCC-25 was the cell lineage with the greatest decrease in viability relative to cisplatin exposure at 0.1 μ M (P<0.001).

Expression and methylation profile of MLH1 and MGMT genes are differently affected by treatment with 5-aza-2CdR

The effect of 5-aza-2CdR on demethylation of MGMT and MLH1 genes was evaluated by realtime PCR followed by HRM analysis in SCC-9, SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells (Figure 2). MGMT gene was partially methylated (50% methylation) in SCC-9 cells (Control group, Figure 2A) and totally demethylated in SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells. MLH1 gene is usually demethylated in all cells studied (Figure 2A-C). All treatments with 5-aza-2CdR alone increased MGMT expression in SCC-9 cells besides demethylating around 25% in the applied concentrations, except for the 3 µM dose that not demethylated, but increased the expression (Figure **3A**). We observed significant downregulation of MLH1 gene when cells were submitted to the lowest 5-aza-2CdR concentrations (0.1 µM and 0.3 μ M); however, the 3 μ M dose increased expression 1.82-fold (P<0.001; Figure 3D).

MGMT gene expression in SCC-15 cells significantly decreased (P<0.05) by approximately 1.5-fold in all groups with the two concentrations of 5-aza-2CdR (**Figure 3B**). Regardless of treatment, *MLH1* gene expression remained significantly unchanged, although the fold values changed (**Figure 3E**).

Analyzing SCC-25 cells after treatment, *MGMT* gene was significantly downregulated \cong 2.5-fold in groups that received only treatment with 5-aza-2CdR (**Figure 3C**). *MLH1* expression was not altered with the isolated treatments (**Figure 3F**).

Cisplatin IC $_{\rm 50}$ value ensures methylation profile of MGMT in SCC-9 cells decreasing the expression

As expected, cisplatin does not change *MGMT* methylation status or expression in SCC-9,

even at the 0.1 µM concentration (Figures 2A, **3A**). However, in the IC_{50} cisplatin value (4.44 μ M) in these cells even though there was no change in the DNA methylation, this concentration decreased the gene expression. On the other hand, the combined groups of 5-aza-2CdR with 0.1 µM cisplatin partially demethylates SCC-9 and significantly increased MGMT expression, mainly in the CDDP 0.1+5-Aza 0.3 group (P<0.001). Curiously, the combined groups with 4.44 µM cisplatin did not change the methylation profile in SCC-9 cells even in the presence of different 5-Aza concentrations, although the expression remains low. Surprisingly, the group isolated 5-Aza 3 was unable to demethylate the MGMT gene but increased its expression.

Combination of low doses of cisplatin and 5-aza-2CdR is not useful for cells in relation to MLH1 gene expression profile

In SCC-9 cells, *MLH1* gene had significantly decreased expression when cells were submitted to low 0.1 μ M CDDP concentration; however, expression increased at a 4.44 μ M dose (**Figure 3D**). We observed significant *MLH1* upregulation of 1.74-fold and 1.83-fold at high concentration 5-Aza combined with 4.44 μ M cisplatin groups, CDDP 4.44+5-Aza 1 and CDDP 4.44+5-Aza 3, respectively, after 72 h treatment.

The combined treatment with 0.1 μ M cisplatin+0.1 μ M 5-Aza-2CdR upregulated the *MLH1* expression at 7.55-fold in SCC-15 cells, but it was not significant (**Figure 3E**).

Curiously, when Cisplatin and 5-aza-2CdR were combined in SCC-25 treatment, the expression level decreased significantly: 72-fold for CDDP 0.1+5-Aza 0.1 (P<0.01) and 363.49-fold for CDDP 0.1+5-Aza 0.3 (P<0.001) (**Figure 3F**).

Discussion

The unusual histological composition of the tongue (rich lymphatic network and highly muscular structure) contributes to its susceptibility to metastatic invasion and tumor recurrence [54] and to poor prognoses, also associated with late diagnoses [55], resulting in lower treatment efficiency for squamous cell carcinoma [56, 57].

Surgery, radiotherapy, and the combination of radiotherapy and chemotherapy are among the



Figure 2. Methylation pattern of the *MGMT* and *MLH1* genes in SCC-9, SCC-15, and SCC-25 cells. A. MS-HRM analysis showed *MGMT* gene is partially (50%) methylated in SCC-9 cells. The effect of 5-Aza-2CdR at $0.1 \,\mu$ M, $0.3 \,\text{and} 1 \,\mu$ M after 72 h of treatment promoted significant demethylation (25%) of the *MGMT* gene in SCC-9 (P<0.05). However, no effect of 5-Aza-2CdR at 3 μ M concentration. No effect of cisplatin (0.1 and 4.44 μ M) and combined groups with cisplatin 0.1 μ M in methylation profile. No effect in methylation pattern also in the association of cisplatin at 4.44 μ M with the 5-Aza-2CdR groups. *MLH1* gene was demethylated in SCC-9. B, C. Both genes (*MGMT* and *MLH1*) were originally demethylated in SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells.

Cisplatin and 5-aza-2CdR in OSCC



Figure 3. The box plot showed the effect of cisplatin and 5-aza-2CdR on gene expression. A-C. Effect of isolated and combined of drugs on MGMT expression after 72 h treatment. D-F. Effect of isolated and combined of drugs on MLH1 expression after 72 h treatment. A, D. SCC-9 cells treated with two cisplatin concentrations (0.1 and 4.44 μ M), four 5-Aza-2CdR concentrations (0.1, 0.3, 1 and 3 μ M) and six groups combined. B, E. SCC-15 cells were treated only at 0.1 μ M concentration of cisplatin and two 5-Aza-2CdR concentrations (0.1 and 0.3 μ M), with two combinations between the drugs concentrations. C, F. SCC-25 cells with five groups treated like SCC-15. The relative gene expression was determined using 2^{-ΔΔCT} method. Box plots represent medians and interquartile ranges of relative mRNA expression. The statistical analysis (ANOVA/Dunnett's) considered the following Δ Ct values (*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001).

main treatments [58-60]. Cisplatin is an effective but highly cytotoxic radiosensitizer chemotherapy drug [61]. Given this tumor's highly heterogeneous profile, studies found that patients develop some resistance to DNA-damaging anticancer agents like cisplatin, either intrinsically or acquired [21, 62].

In an attempt to bypass the resistance mechanism associated with epigenetic events, studies have combined chemotherapeutic drugs and the demethylating agent 5-aza-2CdR [63-66] to establish alternative therapy methods to many types of solid tumors.

Numerous studies have related DNA methylation profile with DNA repair pathways, showing that this system is among the most studied mechanisms of resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs [67-69]. The nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway and homologous recombination (HR) are the systems responsible for the most DNA damage [70, 71]. In addition to NER and HR. studies have revealed the role of the mismatch repair (MMR) pathway (MLH1, MLH3, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, PMS1 and PMS2) in signaling apoptosis to cell death and 0-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) gene in CDDP resistance by repairs of alkyl adducts at the 06-position of guanine, but still controversial [37, 70, 72]. In this study, we made three novel observations about the drug association therapy between cisplatin and the demethylating agent 5-aza-2CdR that may help develop new OSCC treatments: MLH1 gene is demethylated in the 3 OSCC lineages and low doses of the drugs studied decrease the expression profile; MGMT gene is demethylated in the SCC-15 and SCC-25 lineages and treatments with 5-aza-2CdR decrease expression; and cisplatin $\mathrm{IC}_{_{50}}$ value ensures the partial methylation of MGMT in the SCC-9 cells and decrease expression.

Beyrouthy et al. described that low doses of 5-aza-2CdR sensitize cell and a pretreatment with this demethylating agent increases CDDP cytotoxicity in pluripotent embryonal carcinomas (ECs) in 3 days [66]. From the results of our study, by proposing to associate these drugs for 72 hours, we speculate no potentiation action and no cell sensitization to the action of cisplatin occurred. The effect in SCC-9 that reduces viability is attributed only to CDDP at IC_{50} value. The lineages responded in different ways when considering cellular viability (Figure 1). While SCC-15 and SCC-25 presented decreased cell viability to both low concentrations of 5-aza-2CdR-0.1 and 0.3 µM. the SCC-9 cells only had viability reduction in the high concentration of the drug (0.3, 1 and 3 µM). Thus, for SCC-9, 5-aza-2CdR acts in a time dependent manner [42]. These findings are supported, since the use of demethylating agents in high concentrations is associated with immediate cytotoxic effects such as DNA damage, apoptosis and cell cycle arrest [73, 74], whereas low concentrations become more specific for tumor cell populations [75]. SCC-15 and SCC-25 cells are more sensitive to treatment with CDDP at the lowest concentration (0.1 µM), whereas SCC-9 are more resistant to cisplatin when compared. Even studies show that for the SCC-9 strain the IC_{50} value found (4.79 μ M) for cisplatin treatment, that is, the concentration that reduces cell viability by 50% is higher than for the other strains (SCC-15 IC_{_{50}}=3 μM and SCC-25 IC_{_{50}}=2.41 $\mu M)$ [76, 77], which brings our IC50 value found closer for SCC-9 cells.

The MGMT gene promoter is often seen to be hypermethylated both in normal surrounding oral mucosa and in squamous cell oral carcinoma itself [32]. In the study published by Zuo et al., in 94 cases of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, about 18% had hypermethylation in the promoter of this gene and 20% had loss of expression [78]. Interestingly, we found that this gene is partially methylated in SCC-9 (heterogeneous methylation) (Figure 2A), which means that 50% of the cells were hypermethylated and with low expression (Figure 3A) in the analyzed region, as already described by Amaral et al. [42], and 100% demethylated in SCC-15 and 25 (Figure 2B, 2C), which causes a high expression in these cells (Figure 3B, 3C). The fact that the MGMT gene is partially or totally demethylated and overexpressed, as has been discussed, can culminate in increased resistance by removing cisplatin (alkylating agent). Our data show that the CDDP IC₅₀ value maintains the methylation profile and low MGMT expression whether combined with 5-aza-2CdR or not in SCC-9 cells and all treatments with only 5-aza-2CdR effectively decrease MGMT gene expression in SCC-15 and 25 cells.

Both SCC-9, 15 and 25 cells have the *MLH1* gene 100% demethylated, but the combination therapy with low concentration to decrease the expression is not useful because the low levels or inactivation of *MLH1* give rise to cisplatin resistance [27, 79, 80]. The IC₅₀ dose of cisplatin, on the other hand, that was present potentiated action by 5-aza-CdR 1 and 3 μ M to increase expression in SCC-9.

This study has some limitations. The decrease in expression does not represent a direct effect of 5-aza-2CdR on methylation of MGMT or MLH1 genes in SCC-15 and 25 cells, since they unmethylated before treatment in those genes. Besides that, the study cannot clarify the precise causal relationship between MGMT demethylation and the increase in gene expression as the 5-aza-2CdR is a global demethylating agent. However, we can infer that nontarget genes are being affected by the action of 5-aza-2CdR and possibly, some of these genes are modulating the expression of MGMT in these cells. Recently, Liu et al. showed that the Trps1 gene modulates the expression of MGMT in cisplatin-resistant lung cancer cells [81].

In conclusion, even though 5-aza-2CdR does not sensitize tongue squamous cell carcinoma for the treatment with cisplatin DNA methylation has an important role in the resistance mechanism induced by this drug. Further investigations into the signaling pathway are needed. The prospects are to explore this mechanism and provide new insights about the changes in genetics and epigenetics into oral cancer research and identify a useful molecular target for efficiently treating this disease.

Acknowledgements

This research project was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico-CNPq (Proc. 140439/ 2020-0). The authors thank Espaço da Escrita-Pró-Reitoria de Pesquisa-UNICAMP.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Ana Paula de Souza, Department of Bioscience, Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas, Avenida Limeira 901, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil. Tel: +55 19 21065214; E-mail: apsfop@unicamp.br

References

- [1] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA and Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424.
- [2] Warnakulasuriya S. Global epidemiology of oral and oropharyngeal cancer. Oral Oncol 2009; 45: 309-16.
- [3] Woolgar JA and Triantafyllou A. Pitfalls and procedures in the histopathological diagnosis of oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma and a review of the role of pathology in prognosis. Oral Oncol 2009; 45: 361-85.
- [4] Johnson NW, Jayasekara P and Amarasinghe AA. Squamous cell carcinoma and precursor lesions of the oral cavity: epidemiology and aetiology. Periodontol 2000 2011; 57: 19-37.
- [5] Ong TK, Murphy C, Smith AB, Kanatas AN and Mitchell DA. Survival after surgery for oral cancer: a 30-year experience. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017; 55: 911-6.
- [6] Bello IO, Soini Y and Salo T. Prognostic evaluation of oral tongue cancer: means, markers and perspectives (I). Oral Oncol 2010; 46: 630-5.
- [7] Sano D and Myers JN. Metastasis of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral tongue. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2007; 26: 645-62.
- [8] Vigneswaran N and Williams MD. Epidemiologic trends in head and neck cancer and aids in diagnosis. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2014; 26: 123-41.
- [9] Mascolo M, Siano M, Ilardi G, Russo D, Merolla F, De Rosa G and Staibano S. Epigenetic Disregulation in oral cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2012; 13: 2331-53.
- [10] Bailoor D and Manjunatha B. Role of genetic and epigenetic factors in oral squamous cell carcinoma - a structured review. Recent Pat Biomark 2015; 5: 14-9.
- [11] Irimie A, Ciocan C, Gulei D, Mehterov N, Atanasov A, Dudea D and Berindan-Neagoe I. Current insights into oral cancer epigenetics. Int J Mol Sci 2018; 19: 670.
- [12] Florea AM and Büsselberg D. Cisplatin as an anti-tumor drug: cellular mechanisms of activity, drug resistance and induced side effects. Cancers (Basel) 2011; 3: 1351-71.
- [13] Dasari S and Tchounwou PB. Cisplatin in cancer therapy: molecular mechanisms of action. Eur J Pharmacol 2014; 740: 364-78.
- [14] Ishida S, Lee J, Thiele DJ and Herskowitz I. Uptake of the anticancer drug cisplatin mediated

by the copper transporter Ctr1 in yeast and mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002; 99: 14298-302.

- [15] Holzer AK, Manorek GH and Howell SB. Contribution of the major copper influx transporter CTR1 to the cellular accumulation of cisplatin, carboplatin, and oxaliplatin. Mol Pharmacol 2006; 70: 1390-4.
- [16] Howell SB, Safaei R, Larson CA and Sailor MJ. Copper transporters and the cellular pharmacology of the platinum-containing cancer drugs. Mol Pharmacol 2010; 77: 887-94.
- [17] Kilari D, Guancial E and Kim ES. Role of copper transporters in platinum resistance. World J Clin Oncol 2016; 7: 106-13.
- [18] Shen DW, Ma J, Okabe M, Zhang G, Xia D and Gottesman MM. Elevated expression of TMEM205, a hypothetical membrane protein, is associated with cisplatin resistance. J Cell Physiol 2010; 225: 822-8.
- [19] Ikuta K, Takemura K, Sasaki K, Kihara M, Nishimura M, Ueda N, Naito S, Lee E, Shimizu E and Yamauchi A. Expression of multidrug resistance proteins and accumulation of cisplatin in human non-small cell lung cancer cells. Biol Pharm Bull 2005; 28: 707-12.
- [20] Yamasaki M, Makino T, Masuzawa T, Kurokawa Y, Miyata H, Takiguchi S, Nakajima K, Fujiwara Y, Matsuura N, Mori M and Doki Y. Role of multidrug resistance protein 2 (MRP2) in chemoresistance and clinical outcome in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer 2011; 104: 707-13.
- [21] Galluzzi L, Senovilla L, Vitale I, Michels J, Martins I, Kepp O, Castedo M and Kroemer G. Molecular mechanisms of cisplatin resistance. Oncogene 2011; 31: 1869-83.
- [22] Shen DW, Pouliot LM, Hall MD and Gottesman MM. Cisplatin resistance: a cellular self-defense mechanism resulting from multiple epigenetic and genetic changes. Pharmacol Rev 2012; 64: 706-21.
- [23] Skowron M, Melnikova M, van Roermund J, Romano A, Albers P, Thomale J, Schulz W, Niegisch G and Hoffmann M. Multifaceted mechanisms of cisplatin resistance in longterm treated urothelial carcinoma cell lines. Int J Mol Sci 2018; 19: 590.
- [24] Fink D, Nebel S, Aebi S, Zheng H, Cenni B, Nehmé A, Christen RD and Howell SB. The role of DNA mismatch repair in platinum drug resistance. Cancer Res 1996; 56: 4881-6.
- [25] Fink D, Zheng H, Nebel S, Norris PS, Aebi S, Lin TP, Nehmé A, Christen RD, Haas M, MacLeod CL and Howell SB. In vitro and in vivo resistance to cisplatin in cells that have lost DNA mismatch repair. Cancer Res 1997; 57: 1841-5.

- [26] Mojas N, Lopes M and Jiricny J. Mismatch repair-dependent processing of methylation damage gives rise to persistent single-stranded gaps in newly replicated DNA. Genes Dev 2007; 21: 3342-55.
- [27] Adachi M, Ijichi K, Hasegawa Y, Nakamura H, Ogawa T and Kanematsu N. Human MLH1 status can potentially predict cisplatin sensitivity but not microsatellite instability in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. Exp Ther Med 2010; 1: 93-6.
- [28] Tian J, Geng Y, Lv D, Li P, Cordova M, Liao Y, Tian X, Zhang X, Zhang Q, Zou K, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Li Y, Zhang J, Ma Z, Shao Y, Song L, Owen GI, Li T, Liu R, Liu Q, Zou L, Zhang Z and Li Z. Using plasma cell-free DNA to monitor the chemoradiotherapy course of cervical cancer. Int J Cancer 2019; 145: 2547-57.
- [29] Cooper JS, Pajak TF, Forastiere A, Jacobs J, Fu KK, Ang KK, Laramore GE and Al-Sarraf M. Precisely defining high-risk operable head and neck tumors based on rtog #85-03 and #88-24: targets for postoperative radiochemotherapy? Head Neck 1998; 20: 588-94.
- [30] Koutsimpelas D, Pongsapich W, Heinrich U, Mann S, Mann WJ and Brieger J. Promoter methylation of MGMT, MLH1 and RASSF1A tumor suppressor genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: pharmacological genome demethylation reduces proliferation of head and neck squamous carcinoma cells. Oncol Rep 2012; 27: 1135-41.
- [31] Moutinho C, Garcia-del-Muro X, Guino E, Vidal A, Puertas S, Muñoz C, Piulats J, Villanueva A and Esteller M. Abstract 432: loss of MGMT promoter methylation and resistance to cisplatin in non-seminomatous germ cell tumors. Cancer Res 2016; 76: 432.
- [32] Kato K, Hara A, Kuno T, Mori H, Yamashita T, Toida M and Shibata T. Aberrant promoter hypermethylation of p16 and MGMT genes in oral squamous cell carcinomas and the surrounding normal mucosa. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2006; 132: 735-43.
- [33] Sharma S, Salehi F, Scheithauer BW, Rotondo F, Syro LV and Kovacs K. Role of MGMT in tumor development, progression, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis. Anticancer Res 2009; 29: 3759-68.
- [34] Chen R, Zheng Y, Zhuo L and Wang S. Association between MGMT promoter methylation and risk of breast and gynecologic cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 12783.
- [35] Hegi ME, Liu L, Herman JG, Stupp R, Wick W, Weller M, Mehta MP and Gilbert MR. Correlation of O 6-methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation with clinical outcomes in glioblastoma and clinical strategies

to modulate MGMT activity. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 4189-99.

- [36] Fan CH, Liu WL, Cao H, Wen C, Chen L and Jiang G. 06-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase as a promising target for the treatment of temozolomide-resistant gliomas. Cell Death Dis 2013; 4: e876.
- [37] Chen SH, Kuo CC, Li CF, Cheung CH, Tsou TC, Chiang HC, Yang YN, Chang SL, Lin LC, Pan HY, Chang KY and Chang JY. O(6)-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase repairs platinum-DNA adducts following cisplatin treatment and predicts prognoses of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2015; 137: 1291-305.
- [38] Chen X, Zhang M, Gan H, Wang H, Lee JH, Fang D, Kitange GJ, He L, Hu Z, Parney IF, Meyer FB, Giannini C, Sarkaria JN and Zhang Z. A novel enhancer regulates MGMT expression and promotes temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma. Nat Commun 2018; 9: 2949.
- [39] Adamska A, Elaskalani O, Emmanouilidi A, Kim M, Abdol Razak NB, Metharom P and Falasca M. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. Adv Biol Regul 2018; 68: 77-87.
- [40] Palii SS, Van Emburgh BO, Sankpal UT, Brown KD and Robertson KD. DNA methylation inhibitor 5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine induces reversible genome-wide DNA damage that is distinctly influenced by DNA methyltransferases 1 and 3B. Mol Cell Biol 2008; 28: 752-71.
- [41] Seelan RS, Mukhopadhyay P, Pisano MM and Greene RM. Effects of 5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine (decitabine) on gene expression. Drug Metab Rev 2018; 50: 193-207.
- [42] do Amaral GCLS, Planello AC, Borgato G, de Lima DG, Guimarães GN, Marques MR and de Souza AP. 5-Aza-CdR promotes partial MGMT demethylation and modifies expression of different genes in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2019; 127: 425-32.
- [43] Veigl ML, Kasturi L, Olechnowicz J, Ma A, Lutterbaugh JD, Periyasamy S, Li GM, Drummond J, Modrich PL, Sedwick WD and Markowitz SD. Biallelic inactivation of hMLH1 by epigenetic gene silencing, a novel mechanism causing human MSI cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998; 95: 8698-702.
- [44] Herman JG, Umar A, Polyak K, Graff JR, Ahuja N, Issa JP, Markowitz S, Willson JK, Hamilton SR, Kinzler KW, Kane MF, Kolodner RD, Vogelstein B, Kunkel TA and Baylin SB. Incidence and functional consequences of hMLH1 promoter hypermethylation in colorectal carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998; 95: 6870-5.
- [45] Shang D, Ito N, Kamoto T and Ogawa O. Demethylating Agent 5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine en-

hances susceptibility of renal cell carcinoma to paclitaxel. Urology 2007; 69: 1007-12.

- [46] Tawbi HA, Beumer JH, Tarhini AA, Moschos S, Buch SC, Egorin MJ, Lin Y, Christner S and Kirkwood JM. Safety and efficacy of decitabine in combination with temozolomide in metastatic melanoma: a phase I/II study and pharmacokinetic analysis. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 1112-9.
- [47] Viet CT, Dang D, Achdjian S, Ye Y, Katz SG and Schmidt BL. Decitabine rescues cisplatin resistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. PLoS One 2014; 9: e112880.
- [48] Fu X, Zhang Y, Wang X, Chen M, Wang Y, Nie J, Meng Y and Han W. Low dose decitabine combined with taxol and platinum chemotherapy to treat refractory/recurrent ovarian cancer: an open-label, single-arm, phase I/II study. Curr Protein Pept Sci 2015; 16: 329-36.
- [49] Young CS, Clarke KM, Kettyle LM, Thompson A and Mills KI. Decitabine-Vorinostat combination treatment in acute myeloid leukemia activates pathways with potential for novel triple therapy. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 51429-46.
- [50] Wojdacz TK and Dobrovic A. Methylation-sensitive high resolution melting (MS-HRM): a new approach for sensitive and high-throughput assessment of methylation. Nucleic Acids Res 2007; 35: e41.
- [51] Do H, Wong NC, Murone C, John T, Solomon B, Mitchell PL and Dobrovic A. A critical re-assessment of DNA repair gene promoter methylation in non-small cell lung carcinoma. Sci Rep 2015; 4: 4186.
- [52] Uno M, Oba-Shinjo SM, Camargo AA, Moura RP, de Aguiar PH, Cabrera HN, Begnami M, Rosemberg S, Teixeira MJ and Marie SK. Correlation of MGMT promoter methylation status with gene and protein expression levels in glioblastoma. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2011; 66: 1747-55.
- [53] Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT method. Methods 2001; 25: 402-8.
- [54] Lim SY, Kim SA, Ahn SG, Kim HK, Kim SG, Hwang HK, Kim BO, Lee SH, Kim JD and Yoon JH. Metastatic tumours to the jaws and oral soft tissues: a retrospective analysis of 41 Korean patients. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006; 35: 412-5.
- [55] Varela-Centelles P, Seoane J, García-Pola MJ, Seoane-Romero JM and García Martín JM. Diagnostic delay in symptomatic oral cancer. Cham: Springer International Publishing 2019; 95-108.
- [56] Kelner N, Vartanian JG, Pinto CA, Coutinho-Camillo CM and Kowalski LP. Does elective neck dissection in T1/T2 carcinoma of the oral tongue and floor of the mouth influence recur-

rence and survival rates? Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2014; 52: 590-7.

- [57] Liu JC, Sopka DS, Mehra R, Lango MN, Fundakowski C, Ridge JA and Galloway TJ. Early oral tongue cancer initially managed with surgery alone: treatment of recurrence. World J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2016; 2: 193-7.
- [58] Mao L, Hong WK and Papadimitrakopoulou VA. Focus on head and neck cancer. Cancer Cell 2004; 5: 311-6.
- [59] Mazeron JJ, Ardiet JM, Haie-Méder C, Kovács G, Levendag P, Peiffert D, Polo A, Rovirosa A and Strnad V. GEC-ESTRO recommendations for brachytherapy for head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Radiother Oncol 2009; 91: 150-6.
- [60] Rapidis AD, Gullane P, Langdon JD, Lefebvre JL, Scully C and Shah JP. Major advances in the knowledge and understanding of the epidemiology, aetiopathogenesis, diagnosis, management and prognosis of oral cancer. Oral Oncol 2009; 45: 299-300.
- [61] Siddik ZH. Cisplatin: mode of cytotoxic action and molecular basis of resistance. Oncogene 2003; 22: 7265-79.
- [62] Dagogo-Jack I and Shaw AT. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2018; 15: 81-94.
- [63] Coronel J, Cetina L, Pacheco I, Trejo-Becerril C, González-Fierro A, de la Cruz-Hernandez E, Perez-Cardenas E, Taja-Chayeb L, Arias-Bofill D, Candelaria M, Vidal S and Dueñas-González A. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized phase III trial of chemotherapy plus epigenetic therapy with hydralazine valproate for advanced cervical cancer. Preliminary results. Med Oncol 2011; 28 Suppl 1: S540-6.
- [64] Chang X, Monitto CL, Demokan S, Kim MS, Chang SS, Zhong X, Califano JA and Sidransky D. Identification of hypermethylated genes associated with cisplatin resistance in human cancers. Cancer Res 2010; 70: 2870-9.
- [65] Appleton K, Mackay HJ, Judson I, Plumb JA, McCormick C, Strathdee G, Lee C, Barrett S, Reade S, Jadayel D, Tang A, Bellenger K, Mackay L, Setanoians A, Schätzlein A, Twelves C, Kaye SB and Brown R. Phase I and pharmacodynamic trial of the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor decitabine and carboplatin in solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 4603-9.
- [66] Beyrouthy MJ, Garner KM, Hever MP, Freemantle SJ, Eastman A, Dmitrovsky E and Spinella MJ. High DNA methyltransferase 3B expression mediates 5-Aza-deoxycytidine hypersensitivity in testicular germ cell tumors. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 9360-6.
- [67] Esteller M, Hamilton SR, Burger PC, Baylin SB and Herman JG. Inactivation of the DNA repair gene 06-methylguanine-DNA methyltransfer-

ase by promoter hypermethylation is a common event in primary human neoplasia. Cancer Res 1999; 59: 793-7.

- [68] Ha PK and Califano JA. Promoter methylation and inactivation of tumour-suppressor genes in oral squamous-cell carcinoma. Lancet Oncol 2006; 7: 77-82.
- [69] Hema K, Smitha T, Sheethal H and Mirnalini SA. Epigenetics in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2017; 21: 252.
- [70] Rocha CRR, Silva MM, Quinet A, Cabral-Neto JB and Menck CFM. DNA repair pathways and cisplatin resistance: an intimate relationship. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2018; 73: e478s.
- [71] Bouwman P and Jonkers J. The effects of deregulated DNA damage signalling on cancer chemotherapy response and resistance. Nat Rev Cancer 2012; 12: 587-98.
- [72] Zhang Y, Chen H, Peng Z, Banerjee S, Li W, Zhao Z, Sun J, Lv J, Huang H, Bai R, Lin K and Li Z. A novel MLH1 initiation codon mutation (c.3G>T) in a large Chinese lynch syndrome family with different onset age and mRNA expression Level. Biomed Res Int 2018; 2018: 1460835.
- [73] Abele R, Clavel M, Dodion P, Bruntsch U, Gundersen S, Smyth J, Renard J, Van Glabbeke M and Pinedo HM. The EORTC early clinical trials cooperative group experience with 5-aza-2'deoxycytidine (NSC 127716) in patients with colo-rectal, head and neck, renal carcinomas and malignant melanomas. Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol 1987; 23: 1921-4.
- [74] Momparler RL, Bouffard DY, Momparler LF, Dionne J, Belanger K and Ayoub J. Pilot phase I-II study on 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (decitabine) in patients with metastatic lung cancer. Anticancer Drugs 1997; 8: 358-68.
- [75] Tsai HC, Li H, Van Neste L, Cai Y, Robert C, Rassool FV, Shin JJ, Harbom KM, Beaty R, Pappou E, Harris J, Yen RW, Ahuja N, Brock MV, Stearns V, Feller-Kopman D, Yarmus LB, Lin YC, Welm AL, Issa JP, Minn I, Matsui W, Jang YY, Sharkis SJ, Baylin SB and Zahnow CA. Transient low doses of DNA-demethylating agents exert durable antitumor effects on hematological and epithelial tumor cells. Cancer Cell 2012; 21: 430-46.
- [76] Elias ST, Borges GA, Rêgo DF, E Silva LF, Avelino S, DE Matos Neto JN, Simeoni LA and Guerra EN. Combined paclitaxel, cisplatin and fluorouracil therapy enhances ionizing radiation effects, inhibits migration and induces G0/G1 cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in oral carcinoma cell lines. Oncol Lett 2015; 10: 1721-7.
- [77] Kotowski U, Heiduschka G, Brunner M, Erovic BM, Martinek H and Thurnher D. Arsenic trioxide enhances the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin in

head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. Oncol Lett 2012; 3: 1326-30.

- [78] Zuo C, Ai L, Ratliff P, Suen JY, Hanna E, Brent TP and Fan CY. 06-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase gene: epigenetic silencing and prognostic value in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004; 13: 967-75.
- [79] Sawant A, Kothandapani A, Zhitkovich A, Sobol RW and Patrick SM. Role of mismatch repair proteins in the processing of cisplatin interstrand cross-links. DNA Repair (Amst) 2015; 35: 126-36.
- [80] Avdievich E, Reiss C, Scherer SJ, Zhang Y, Maier SM, Jin B, Hou H, Rosenwald A, Riedmiller H, Kucherlapati R, Cohen PE, Edelmann W and Kneitz B. Distinct effects of the recurrent MIh-1G67R mutation on MMR functions, cancer, and meiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105: 4247-52.
- [81] Liu H, Liao Y, Tang M, Wu T, Tan D, Zhang S and Wang H. Trps1 is associated with the multidrug resistance of lung cancer cell by regulating MGMT gene expression. Cancer Med 2018; 7: 1921-32.