Original Article Systematic screen with kinases inhibitors reveals kinases play distinct roles in growth of osteoprogenitor cells

Ni-Rong Bao*, Meng Lu*, Fan-Wen Bin, Zhi-Yong Chang, Jia Meng, Li-Wu Zhou, Ting Guo, Jian-Ning Zhao

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Jinling Hospital Affiliated to School of Medicine, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China. *Equal contributors.

Received August 1, 2013; Accepted August 25, 2013; Epub September 15, 2013; Published October 1, 2013

Abstract: Cancer treatment-related bone loss has become growing problematic, especially in breast and prostate cancer treated with hormone/endocrine therapy, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. However, bone loss caused by targeted therapy in cancer patients is largely unknown yet. In present study, a kinase inhibitors screen was applied for MC3T3-E1, a murine osteoprogenitor cell line, and seven kinase inhibitors (GSK1838705A, PF-04691502, Dasatinib, Masitinib, GDC-0941, XL880 and Everolimus) were found to suppress the cell viability with dose- and time-dependent manner. The most interesting is that many kinase inhibitors (such as lapatinib, erlotinib and sunitinib) can promote MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation at 0.01 μ M. 4 out of 7 inhibitors were selected to perform the functional study and found that they lead to cell cycle dysregulation, treatments of PF-04691502 (AKT inhibitor), Dasatinib (Src inhibitor) and Everolimus (mTOR inhibitor) lead to G1 arrest of MC3T3-E1 cells via downregulation of cyclin D1 and p-AKT, whereas XL880 (MET and VEGFR inhibitor) treatment results in increase of sub-G1 and G2/M phase by upregulation of p53 protein. Our work provides important indications for the comprehensive care of cancer patients treated with some targeted drugs.

Keywords: Cancer treatment-related bone loss, kinases inhibitors screening, osteoprogenitor cells

Instruction

Over 400,000 individuals in the United States annually, including significant proportions of patients with breast, prostate, lung and other solid tumors, are affected by tumor metastasis to the skeleton, more than any other site of metastasis [1]. One the other hand, cancer and its treatment can comprise bone health, particularly in women with breast cancer and men with prostate cancer, leading to fracture, pain, loss of mobility, and hypercalcemia of malignancy [2, 3]. These suggest that bone microenvironment plays crucial roles in cancer metastasis and that cancer and cancer treatment aggravate the imbalance of bone hemostasis and eventually lead to bone loss-related phenotype. The long-term side effects associated with cancer therapies with hormone therapy (or endocrine therapy), chemotherapy or radiotherapy has become increasingly problematic [4], while bone loss caused by cancer treatment with targeted therapy has few clinical reports. In regard to the anti-proliferation effect of some targeted drugs for tumor cells as well as bone cells, the main reason for this difference may be in that the malignant progression of cancer and the high cost of targeted drugs hinder the long-term use of targeted drugs. With the advances in early diagnosis and wide use of targeted drugs in future, it is of great interest to uncover the possibility that targeted therapy results in bone loss.

In the healthy adult skeleton, bone maintenance is a coordinated, dynamic balance between bone resorption and bone formation. The resorption of old bone is as important to skeletal homeostasis as the formation of new bone. Resorption involves the osteoclasts, large cells originating in the bone marrow. Formation involves osteoblasts, differentiated cells of mesenchymal origin that produce the calcified bony matrix, and osteocalcin. However, in aging people and cancer patients, the balance is broken. In treatment with estrogendepleting therapies for breast cancer, such as aromatase inhibitors (Als), accelerating bone resorption and bone loss then leads to osteopenia and osteoporosis [3, 5, 6]. In prostate cancer, therapeutic androgen deprivation leads to increased osteoclastic bone resorption and a progressive decrease in bone mineral density (BMD) [7-9]. In the cancer treatment for these two types of cancer, drug use makes the bone hemostasis both bias to bone resorption. While for the cancer targeted therapy, the situation may be different to some extent. Although there are no clinical reports, preclinical data give tips. Pinski et al reported in 2002 that Trk receptor inhibition induces apoptosis of proliferating but not quiescent human osteoblasts [10]. Singha et al reported in 2007 that rapamycin, a specific inhibitor of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), inhibits osteoblast proliferation and differentiation in MC3T3-E1 cells and primary mouse bone marrow stromal cells [11]. Duan et al reported in 2009 that insulin-like growth factor-I receptor (IGF1R) tyrosine kinase inhibitor cyclolignan picropodophyllin inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in multidrug resistant osteosarcoma cell lines, osteoblast-like cells [12]. O'Sullivan et al reported in 2011 that tyrosine kinase inhibitor nilotinib potently inhibited osteoblast proliferation at relative lower dose (0.01-1 µM) through inhibition of the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGFR) and have important effects on bone metabolism [13]. Chandra et al reported that epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling promotes proliferation and survival in osteoprogenitors by increasing early growth response 2 (EGR2) expression [14], suggesting that EGFR inhibition can lead to osteoprogenitor cell death. Taken together, all these studies propose that many targeted drugs may inhibit the proliferation of osteoprogenitors and osteoblasts and hence interrupt bone formation. This hypothesis is worth of further study and may be implicated in the comprehensive care of cancer patients treated with some targeted drugs.

In present study, a kinase inhibitors screening was applied to MC3T3-E1, a mouse osteoprogenitor cell line, and seven kinase inhibitors were found to suppress the cell viability with dose- and time-dependent manner. 4 out of 7 inhibitors were selected to perform the functional study and found that they lead to cell cycle dysregulation, the underling mechanisms were examined by western blotting.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

MC3T3-E1 cell line (Subclone 14) was purchased from CellBank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone), penicillin (100 IU/mI) and Streptomycin (100 μ g/mI) (Life Technologies) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells in the exponential growth phase were used for all the experiments.

Kinase inhibitors screen

44 kinase inhibitors were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (USA) and list in Table 1. 300 MC3T3-E1 cells were seeded in each well of 384-well plate. 24 h later four concentrations (final concentrations were 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10 µM, respectively) of all the 44 inhibitors were subjected to MC3T3-E1 cells. 6 days later, 5 µl of AlamaraBlue (CellTiter-Blue® Cell Viability Assay, Promega) was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 2 h and the cell viability was assayed according to the manufacturer's instruction. Every treatment was triplicate in the experiment and the cell viability was calculated relative to the cells left untreated. The inhibitors that repress the cell viability by higher than 20% at relative lower concentration (0.1 µM) and that exert anti-proliferation effect by a dose-dependent manner were selected as positive hits which have critical effects on MC3T3-E1 survival.

Validation of anti-proliferation effects of positive hits on MC3T3-E1 cells

7 positive hits were applied to MC3T3-E1 cells (1500 cells in each well of 96-well plate) at 3 different concentrations for 72 h. The lowest doses were the average cellular IC50 dose of that inhibitor to suppress the targeted enzyme activity, as described before [15-21]. The other two doses were 5-fold and 25-fold of the lowest doses, respectively. The final concentration for each inhibitor was shown in **Figure 2A**. And then MC3T3-E1 cells were treated with the 7 drugs at the corresponding highest doses used above, respectively, for 24 or 48 h to examine

No	Name	Target	Cellular IC50 of target en-
S2672	PF-562271	FAK PYK2	5 nM
S1028	LapatinibDitosvlate	FGER HER2	200 nM 80 nM
S1181	ENMD-2076	Flt Aurora Kinase Src VEGER	130 nM
S2205	OSI-420 (erlotinih)	FGFR	20 nM
S1042	Sunitinib Malate	FLT3 PDGFR VEGFR CSE-1R	10-50 nM
\$2248	CX-4945		100 pM
S1032	MotesanibDiphosphate	VEGER PDGER c-Kit Ret	207 nM 37 nM
S1249	INJ-7706621	CDK Aurora Kinase	100 nM
S1207	AV-951 (Tiyozanih)	VEGER c-Kit PDGER	100-200 nM
\$1361	MGCD-265	c-Met VEGER Tie-2	20-30 nM
S1078	MK-2206		20~120 nM
S1078	KIL006379/	mTOR	200 rM
S1220	Vatalanih		17 34 nM
S1101 S2617	TAK-733	MEK	19 nM
S2017	CSK1059615		1.9 mM
S1300 S113/	AT0283	Bor-Abl JAK Aurora Kinase	40 mM
S1108	NI/P-TAF68/		/ nM
S1486	ΔFF788	FGER ErbB2	4 mm
S5001	CP-690550 (Tofacitinih)		77 nM
S1065	GDC-0941	PI3K	30-50 nM [19]
S1187	PIK-90	VEGER c-Kit PDGER	100 nM
S1451	Aurora A Inhibitor I	Aurora A	0.19 uM
S2622	PP121	PDGFR Hck mTOR VEGFR2 Src Abl	20 nM
S1111	XL880 (GSK1363089)	METIVEGFR	21~23 nM [20]
S1046	Vandetanib	VEGFR-2	60 nM
S2680	PCI-32765	ВТК	11 nM
S1003	ABT-869 (Linifanib)	RTK VEGFR PDGFR FGFR	0.2 nM 2 nM 4nM 7 nM
S2216	Mubritinib	HER2 CDK	100 nM
S1171	CYC116	Aurora Kinase VEGFR	60 nM
S2158	KW 2449	FLT3 ABL	100 nM
S2201	BMS 794833	Met VEGFR-2	40 nM
S2743	PF-04691502	AKT	32 nM [15]
S2703	GSK1838705A	IGF-1R INSR ALK	100 nM [21]
S1526	AC-220	FLT3	4 nM
S2730	Crenolanib (CP-868569)	PDGFRα PDGFRβ	10 nM
S1490	AP24534	RTK	10-100 nM
S1040	SorafenibTosylate	VEGFR PDGFR RAF	40-80 nM
S2719	AMG 900	Aurora Kinase	50 nM
S1021	Dasatinib	SRC Abl	15 nM [16]
S2231	Telatinib	VEGFR-2 VEGFR-3 c-Kit PGFR-β	19 nM
S1178	BAY 73-4506 (Rego- rafenib)	c-Kit B-Raf VEGFR	10-100 nM
S1005	Axitinib	VEGFR	0.2 nM
S1064	Masitinib (AB1010)	KIT	150 nM [17]
S1120	Everolimus (RAD001)	mTOR	20 nM [18]

 Table 1. 44 small-molecule inhibitors of kinases



the time effects of these drugs on MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation. Then 10 μ l of AlamaraBlue was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 2 h and the cell viability was assayed according to the manufacturer's instruction. Every treatment was triplicate in the experiment and the cell viability was calculated relative to the cells left untreated.

Flowcytometry

Cells left untreated or treated with 0.5 μ M of 4 inhibitors (PF-04691502, Dasatinib, XL880 and Everolimus) respectively, for 48 h. Then cells were harvested with trypsin-EDTA, washed with PBS, and fixed with 70% ethanol at -20°C for a few days. The fixed cells were pelleted, resuspended in 100 μ L of hypotonic citric buf-

fer (192 mmol/L Na₂HPO₄ and 4 mmol/L citric acid), and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. The cells were pelleted and suspended in Pl/RNase/PBS (100 μ g/mL propidium iodide and 10 μ g/mL RNase A) overnight at 4°C. Analysis of DNA content was done on a FACSCalibur system (BD Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA).

Protein isolation and western blotting

Cell pellets were resuspended in $1 \times SDS$ loading buffer (1 mmol/L Na₃VO₄, 10 mmol/L NaF, 1 mmol/L PMSF) containing protease inhibitors. Lysates (20 µg each lane) were applied to SDS-PAGE. Immunoblotting of Abs specific for GAPDH (Abmart, 080922), CCND1 (Santa Cruz, SC-450), p53 (Abclonal, A0263), BAX (Abclonal,



A0207), AKT (Santa Cruz, sc-8312) and p-AKT (Santa Cruz, SC-7985-R, pS473), were detected using HRP-conjugated anti-mouse (Promega) or anti-rabbit (Promega) and visualized by chemiluminescence detection system (Millipore, WBKLS0500).

Results

60

40

20

0

GSK1838T05A

PF-04691502

GDC-0941

Mastinib

+1-880

Everoli

Kinase inhibitors screen reveals that osteoprogenitor cells have different sensitivities to kinase inhibitors

To examine the influence of kinase on growth of osteoprogenitor cells, a kinase inhibitors screen was applied to MC3T3-E1 cells. Almost all the 44 inhibitors at final dose of 10 µM display potent inhibition to cell growth by higher than 50%, except for five inhibitors (Figure 1A). When cells were treated with 1 µM kinase inhibitors, 20 inhibitors repressed cell growth by > 30% and 4 inhibitors had no effect on cell growth (Figure 1A). There were 9 inhibitors suppressed cell growth by > 20% at 0.1 μ M (Figure 1A), while only one inhibitor, Everolimus (RAD001, targeted to mTOR), had apparent proliferation in a dose- and time-dependent manner. A: The dose-effect of 7 inhibitors on cell viability. MC3T3-E1 cells were left untreated or treated with 7 inhibitors at 3 distinct concentrations, 1 × average cellular IC50 dose, 5 × average cellular IC50 dose, and 25 × average cellular IC50 dose, respectively, for 72 h. B: The timeeffect of 7 inhibitors on cell viability. MC3T3-E1 cells were left untreated or treated with the 7 drugs at the corresponding highest doses used in (A), respectively, for 24 or 48 h. NC represents negative control, the untreated cells. The bar represents the standard error (SD).

anti-proliferation effect at 0.01 µM and inhibited cell growth by 31% (Figure 1A). As 0.1μ M is a dose near to the cellular IC50 dose of most kinase inhibitors, those inhibitors that repressed the cell growth by higher than 20% at 0.1 µM and that inhibited the cell growth with a significant dose-dependent manner were selected as positive hits in the screen. There were 7 positive hits in our screen, they were GSK1838705A (targeted to IGF-1R/INSR/ALK), PF-04691502 (targeted to AKT), Dasatinib (targeted to SRC/Abl), Masitinib (AB1010, targeted to KIT), GDC-0941 (targeted to PI3K), XL880 (GSK1363089, targeted to MET/VEGFR) and Everolimus (RAD001, targeted to mTOR), respectively.

Positive hits specifically inhibit MC3T3-E1 cells viability in a dose- and time-dependent manner

Then the 7 positive hits were further validated by another cell viability experiments. First, we investigated the dose effects of the 7 positive hits on MC3T3-E1 cells viability. The cellular IC50 dose of each inhibitor, 5-fold and 25-fold



of cellular IC50 dose were applied to MC3T3-E1 cells (Figure 2A). The results showed that 6 inhibitors exhibited apparent dose-dependent inhibition to cell growth, while Everolimus (RAD001, targeted to mTOR) displayed almost the same anti-proliferation effect on MC3T3-E1 cells at the three different concentrations, all inhibited cell growth by 60% or so. Second, the time effects of the 7 positive hits on MC3T3-E1 cells viability were examined. MC3T3-E1 cells were treated with the 7 drugs at the corresponding highest doses used in Figure 2A, respectively, for 24 or 48 h. And the results showed that all the 7 drugs exerted timedependent inhibition effects on MC3T3-E1 cells growth. Collectively, the 7 drugs specifically inhibit MC3T3-E1 cells viability in a doseand time-dependent manner.

Flowcytometry showed that 4 inhibitors treatments lead to MC3T3-E1 cell cycle dysregulation

To elucidate how the positive hits inhibit the cell growth, MC3T3-E1 cells treated with 4 kinase inhibitors (PF-04691502, Dasatinib, XL880 and Everolimus), respectively, were subjected to flowcytometry. The results showed that treatments of PF-04691502, Dasatinib and Everolimus resulted in increased fraction of G1 phase and subsequent decrease in S phase (Figure 3), suggesting that MC3T3-E1 cells were arrested at G1 phase following these three inhibitors treatments. While for XL880, its treatment caused significant increase in sub-G1 and G2/M phase, indicating its dual roles in promoting apoptosis and G2/M arrest.

Immunoblotting showed 4 inhibitors repress MC3T3-E1 proliferation by different mechanisms

Subsequently, cells untreated or treated with the 4 inhibitors at 0.5 µM concentrations, respectively, were applied for western blotting to figure out the underlying mechanisms by which these drugs dysregulate the cell cycle of MC3T3-E1. Cyclin D1 was found to be markedly downregulated following PF-04691502, Dasatinib and Everolimus treatment, respectively (Figure 4A). While for XL880, its treatment resulted in a moderate upregulation of Cyclin D1 protein (Figure 4A). And then, protein expression of p53, Bax, AKT and p-AKT was examined for cells treated with 0.5 µM of Dasatinib and XL880, respectively (Figure 4B). The results showed that Dasatinib treatment led to inactivation of AKT whereas p53 protein was upregulated following XL880 treatment.



Figure 4. Immunoblotting experiments showed that the underlying signaling were different following the treatment of the 4 inhibitors. A: CCND1 was repressed by PF-04691502, Dasatinib, and Everolimus treatment whereas induced by XL880 treatment. The number 1, 2 represent the two repeated samples. B: Dasatinib treatment caused AKT inactivation, while XL880 treatment led to p53 upregulation.

Discussion

в

Cancer treatment-related bone loss has become increasing problems, especially in breast and prostate cancer patients treated with hormone/endocrine therapy, chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Although there are few clinical reports on bone loss caused by targeted therapy for cancer patients, preclinical studies have given a lot tips on this topic. With the improvements of early diagnosis and wide use of targeted drugs for long-term, it is possible that bone loss resulted from targeted therapy comes into a new big trouble. So, it is worth of studying deeply to this field now.

p53

BAX

p-AKT

AKT

GAPDH

In this work, a mouse osteoprogenitor cell line, MC3T3-E1, was subjected to a kinase inhibitors screen. We found that 7 inhibitors can repress MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation in a doseand time-dependent manner. 4 out of 7 drugs exhibit this effect by different mechanisms.

The phosphoinositide 3-kinase and AKT (protein kinase B) signaling pathway (PI3K/AKT) plays a central role in the control of cell survival, growth, and proliferation throughout the body. With regard to bone, and particularly in osteoblasts, there is an increasing amount of evidence that the many signaling molecules exert some of their bone-specific effects in part via selectively activating some of the generic effects of the PI3K/AKT pathway in osteoblasts [22-27]. Therefore, it is not surprising that two inhibitors, PF-04691502 (targeted to AKT) and GDC-0941 (targeted to PI3K), were screened to positive hits he for MC3T3-E1 growth. For the other two inhibitors, GSK1059615 (targeted to PI3K/mTOR) and MK-2206 (targeted to AKT), although their inhibition effects on MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation were not as potent as the former two drugs, they can impair osteoprogenitor cells growth at higher doses (when treated with 1 µM of MK-2206, the cell viability was 81%; while

treated with 10 µM of MK-2206, the cell viability was 48%). In regard to that the cellular IC50 doses of these four drugs were roughly the same level, above results indicate that GSK1059615 and MK-2206 may be more potential therapeutic drugs for long-term cancer PI3K/AKT-targeted therapy with slight adverse effects on bone loss. mTOR is mechanistic target of rapamycin (serine/threonine kinase), belongs to PI3K pathway. For mTOR inhibitors, the situation is the same as the PI3K/AKT inhibitors. There mTOR inhibitors (KU-0063794, GSK1059615 and PP121) also exert inhibition effects at higher dose of $1 \mu M$, while Everolimus inhibits MC3T3-E1 cell growth even at 0.01 µM, suggesting that the long-term use of Everolimus in cancer therapy may do great damage to bone formation.

Src is a proto-oncogene. SRC protein is a tyrosine-protein kinase and is implicated in the regulation of embryonic development, cell growth, adhesion and migration. Recently, SRC has been reported to cross-talk with PI3K/AKT pathway and to be involved in osteoblast and osteoblast progenitor proliferation, commitment, differentiation [28-31]. Our data showed that Src inhibition by Dasatinib results in p-AKT downregulation and hence suppress the growth of osteoblast progenitor cells. Dasatinib is a small inhibitors targeted to Src and Abl, now have been approved by FDA for treatment of Acute lymphoblastic leukemia and Chronic myelogenous leukemia. Garcia-Gomez et al reported that low dasatinib concentrations (1-2 nM) show convergent bone anabolic and reduced bone resorption effects [32], while our screening data showed that MC3T3-E1 cell growth was not influenced following 10 nM of dasatinib treatment. This contradiction may be caused by the different cell lines used in the studies and may be worth of further study. Actually, there were many inhibitors can promote the proliferation when used at lower concentration. For example, viabilities of cells treated with 0.01 µM of lapatinib, erlotinib or sunitinib, respectively, were all increased by higher than 40%. It is an intriguing phenotype and this proposes that not all targeted drugs lead to osteoblast and osteoblast progenitor cells death at any event.

c-Met receptor tyrosine kinase is one of the most mentioned cancer therapeutic targets. This kinase and its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), play a central role in cell proliferation and the survival of several human cancers [33] and are associated with increased invasion and progression of human cancer [34]. XL880 (Foretinib), a multitargeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor of several receptors, including MET and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2), is in phase I studies as well as in phase II studies in solid tumors, including Metastatic Gastric Cancer, Recurrent or Metastatic Squamous Cell Cancer of the Head and Neck, and Papillary Renal-Cell Carcinoma. In our data, XL880 exerts its antiproliferation effect on MC3T3-E1 cells by markedly distinct mechanism with the other three kinase inhibitors. MC3T3-E1 cells were arrested at G2/M phase and induced to apoptosis following XL880 treatment, which is in consistent with previous studies in mouse [35] and pancreatic islet cancer cells [36], respectively. However, the concurrent occurrence of G2/M arrest and apoptosis may be worth of further study to investigate the possibility whether this phenotype is specific for osteoprogenitor cells when treated with XL880.

Collectively, our kinases inhibitors screen uncovered 7 inhibitors that impair MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Treatments of PF-04691502, Dasatinib and Everolimus lead to G1 arrest of MC3T3-E1 cells via downregulation of cyclin D1 and p-AKT, whereas XL880 treatment results in increase of sub-G1 and G2/M phase by upregulation of p53 protein.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by National Natural Scientific Funding of China (No. 81000814) and the scientific and technological funding for clinical research of Jiangsu province, China (BL2012002).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Jian-Ning Zhao, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Jinling Hospital Affiliated to School of Medicine, Nanjing University, 305 Zhongshan East Road, Nanjing 210002, China. Tel: +86-25-80860015; E-mail: zhaojn_jinling@ yeah.net

References

- [1] Coleman RE, Lipton A, Roodman GD, Guise TA, Boyce BF, Brufsky AM, Clezardin P, Croucher PI, Gralow JR, Hadji P, Holen I, Mundy GR, Smith MR, Suva LJ. Metastasis and bone loss: advancing treatment and prevention. Cancer Treat Rev 2010; 36: 615-620.
- [2] Coleman RE, Rathbone E, Brown JE. Management of cancer treatment-induced bone loss. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2013; 9: 365-374.
- [3] Lipton A, Uzzo R, Amato RJ, Ellis GK, Hakimian B, Roodman GD, Smith MR. The science and practice of bone health in oncology: managing bone loss and metastasis in patients with solid tumors. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2009; 7 Suppl 7: S1-29; quiz S30.
- [4] Hershman D, Narayanan R. Prevention and management of osteoporosis in women with breast cancer and men with prostate cancer. Curr Oncol Rep 2004; 6: 277-284.
- [5] Hofbauer LC, Schoppet M. Clinical implications of the osteoprotegerin/RANKL/RANK system for bone and vascular diseases. JAMA 2004; 292: 490-495.
- [6] Brufsky A. Management of cancer-treatmentinduced bone loss in postmenopausal women undergoing adjuvant breast cancer therapy: a Z-FAST update. Semin Oncol 2006; 33: S13-17.
- [7] Mohler JL, Armstrong AJ, Bahnson RR, Boston B, Busby JE, D'Amico AV, Eastham JA, Enke CA, Farrington T, Higano CS, Horwitz EM, Kantoff PW, Kawachi MH, Kuettel M, Lee RJ, MacVicar GR, Malcolm AW, Miller D, Plimack ER, Pow-Sang JM, Roach M 3rd, Rohren E, Rosenfeld S,

Srinivas S, Strope SA, Tward J, Twardowski P, Walsh PC, Ho M, Shead DA. Prostate cancer, Version 3.2012: featured updates to the NCCN guidelines. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2012; 10: 1081-1087.

- [8] Krupski TL, Smith MR, Lee WC, Pashos CL, Brandman J, Wang Q, Botteman M, Litwin MS. Natural history of bone complications in men with prostate carcinoma initiating androgen deprivation therapy. Cancer 2004; 101: 541-549.
- [9] Shahinian VB, Kuo YF, Freeman JL, Goodwin JS. Risk of fracture after androgen deprivation for prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 154-164.
- [10] Pinski J, Weeraratna A, Uzgare AR, Arnold JT, Denmeade SR, Isaacs JT. Trk receptor inhibition induces apoptosis of proliferating but not quiescent human osteoblasts. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 986-989.
- [11] Singha UK, Jiang Y, Yu S, Luo M, Lu Y, Zhang J, Xiao G. Rapamycin inhibits osteoblast proliferation and differentiation in MC3T3-E1 cells and primary mouse bone marrow stromal cells. J Cell Biochem 2008; 103: 434-446.
- [12] Duan Z, Choy E, Harmon D, Yang C, Ryu K, Schwab J, Mankin H, Hornicek FJ. Insulin-like growth factor-I receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor cyclolignan picropodophyllin inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in multidrug resistant osteosarcoma cell lines. Mol Cancer Ther 2009; 8: 2122-2130.
- [13] O'Sullivan S, Lin JM, Watson M, Callon K, Tong PC, Naot D, Horne A, Aati O, Porteous F, Gamble G, Cornish J, Browett P, Grey A. The skeletal effects of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor nilotinib. Bone 2011; 49: 281-289.
- [14] Chandra A, Lan S, Zhu J, Siclari VA, Qin L. Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) Signaling Promotes Proliferation and Survival in Osteoprogenitors by Increasing Early Growth Response 2 (EGR2) Expression. J Biol Chem 2013; 288: 20488-20498.
- [15] Yuan J, Mehta PP, Yin MJ, Sun S, Zou A, Chen J, Rafidi K, Feng Z, Nickel J, Engebretsen J, Hallin J, Blasina A, Zhang E, Nguyen L, Sun M, Vogt PK, McHarg A, Cheng H, Christensen JG, Kan JL, Bagrodia S. PF-04691502, a potent and selective oral inhibitor of PI3K and mTOR kinases with antitumor activity. Mol Cancer Ther 2011; 10: 2189-2199.
- [16] Chang AY, Wang M. Molecular mechanisms of action and potential biomarkers of growth inhibition of dasatinib (BMS-354825) on hepatocellular carcinoma cells. BMC Cancer 2013; 13: 267.
- [17] Dubreuil P, Letard S, Ciufolini M, Gros L, Humbert M, Casteran N, Borge L, Hajem B, Lermet A, Sippl W, Voisset E, Arock M, Auclair C, Leven-

thal PS, Mansfield CD, Moussy A, Hermine O. Masitinib (AB1010), a potent and selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting KIT. PLoS One 2009; 4: e7258.

- [18] Kneissel M, Luong-Nguyen NH, Baptist M, Cortesi R, Zumstein-Mecker S, Kossida S, O'Reilly T, Lane H, Susa M. Everolimus suppresses cancellous bone loss, bone resorption, and cathepsin K expression by osteoclasts. Bone 2004; 35: 1144-1156.
- [19] O'Brien C, Wallin JJ, Sampath D, GuhaThakurta D, Savage H, Punnoose EA, Guan J, Berry L, Prior WW, Amler LC, Belvin M, Friedman LS, Lackner MR. Predictive biomarkers of sensitivity to the phosphatidylinositol 3' kinase inhibitor GDC-0941 in breast cancer preclinical models. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16: 3670-3683.
- [20] Qian F, Engst S, Yamaguchi K, Yu P, Won KA, Mock L, Lou T, Tan J, Li C, Tam D, Lougheed J, Yakes FM, Bentzien F, Xu W, Zaks T, Wooster R, Greshock J, Joly AH. Inhibition of tumor cell growth, invasion, and metastasis by EXEL-2880 (XL880, GSK1363089), a novel inhibitor of HGF and VEGF receptor tyrosine kinases. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 8009-8016.
- [21] Sabbatini P, Korenchuk S, Rowand JL, Groy A, Liu Q, Leperi D, Atkins C, Dumble M, Yang J, Anderson K, Kruger RG, Gontarek RR, Maksimchuk KR, Suravajjala S, Lapierre RR, Shotwell JB, Wilson JW, Chamberlain SD, Rabindran SK, Kumar R. GSK1838705A inhibits the insulinlike growth factor-1 receptor and anaplastic lymphoma kinase and shows antitumor activity in experimental models of human cancers. Mol Cancer Ther 2009; 8: 2811-2820.
- [22] McGonnell IM, Grigoriadis AE, Lam EW, Price JS, Sunters A. A specific role for phosphoinositide 3-kinase and AKT in osteoblasts? Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2012; 3: 88.
- [23] Guntur AR, Rosen CJ. The skeleton: a multifunctional complex organ: new insights into osteoblasts and their role in bone formation: the central role of PI3Kinase. J Endocrinol 2011; 211: 123-130.
- [24] Liang D, Xiang L, Yang M, Zhang X, Guo B, Chen Y, Yang L, Cao J. ZnT7 can protect MC3T3-E1 cells from oxidative stress-induced apoptosis via PI3K/Akt and MAPK/ERK signaling pathways. Cell Signal 2013; 25: 1126-1135.
- [25] Dufour C, Guenou H, Kaabeche K, Bouvard D, Sanjay A, Marie PJ. FGFR2-Cbl interaction in lipid rafts triggers attenuation of PI3K/Akt signaling and osteoblast survival. Bone 2008; 42: 1032-1039.
- [26] Raucci A, Bellosta P, Grassi R, Basilico C, Mansukhani A. Osteoblast proliferation or differentiation is regulated by relative strengths of opposing signaling pathways. J Cell Physiol 2008; 215: 442-451.

- [27] Yamamoto T, Kambe F, Cao X, Lu X, Ishiguro N, Seo H. Parathyroid hormone activates phosphoinositide 3-kinase-Akt-Bad cascade in osteoblast-like cells. Bone 2007; 40: 354-359.
- [28] Garcia-Martin A, Acitores A, Maycas M, Villanueva-Penacarrillo ML, Esbrit P. Src kinases mediate VEGFR2 transactivation by the osteostatin domain of PTHrP to modulate osteoblastic function. J Cell Biochem 2013; 114: 1404-1413.
- [29] Rangaswami H, Schwappacher R, Tran T, Chan GC, Zhuang S, Boss GR, Pilz RB. Protein kinase G and focal adhesion kinase converge on Src/ Akt/beta-catenin signaling module in osteoblast mechanotransduction. J Biol Chem 2012; 287: 21509-21519.
- [30] Klein BY, Kerem Z, Rojansky N. LDL induces Saos2 osteoblasts death via Akt pathways responsive to a neutral sphingomyelinase inhibitor. J Cell Biochem 2006; 98: 661-671.
- [31] Almeida M, Han L, Bellido T, Manolagas SC, Kousteni S. Wnt proteins prevent apoptosis of both uncommitted osteoblast progenitors and differentiated osteoblasts by beta-catenin-dependent and -independent signaling cascades involving Src/ERK and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT. J Biol Chem 2005; 280: 41342-41351.

- [32] Garcia-Gomez A, Ocio EM, Crusoe E, Santamaria C, Hernandez-Campo P, Blanco JF, Sanchez-Guijo FM, Hernandez-Iglesias T, Brinon JG, Fisac-Herrero RM, Lee FY, Pandiella A, San Miguel JF, Garayoa M. Dasatinib as a bonemodifying agent: anabolic and anti-resorptive effects. PLoS One 2012; 7: e34914.
- [33] Hong SW, Jung KH, Park BH, Zheng HM, Lee HS, Choi MJ, Yun JI, Kang NS, Lee J, Hong SS. KRC-408, a novel c-Met inhibitor, suppresses cell proliferation and angiogenesis of gastric cancer. Cancer Lett 2013; 332: 74-82.
- [34] Logan TF. Foretinib (XL880): c-MET inhibitor with activity in papillary renal cell cancer. Curr Oncol Rep 2013; 15: 83-90.
- [35] Factor VM, Seo D, Ishikawa T, Kaposi-Novak P, Marquardt JU, Andersen JB, Conner EA, Thorgeirsson SS. Loss of c-Met disrupts gene expression program required for G2/M progression during liver regeneration in mice. PLoS One 2010; 5.
- [36] You WK, Sennino B, Williamson CW, Falcon B, Hashizume H, Yao LC, Aftab DT, McDonald DM. VEGF and c-Met blockade amplify angiogenesis inhibition in pancreatic islet cancer. Cancer Res 2011; 71: 4758-4768.